

ON THE HIGHER WAHL MAPS

Dedicated to Prof. S. Koizumi on his 70-th birthday

By

Akira OHBUCHI

0. Introduction

Let C be a complete non-singular curve defined over an algebraically closed field k and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf of positive degree on C . J. Wahl defines a natural map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}: \wedge^2 \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$$

given by $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}(s \wedge t) = s(dt) - t(ds)$ where $s, t \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ (see [18]). This notation is locally defined and well-defined on C . We often call this map a Wahl map. The original study of a Wahl map is the study of Φ_{ω_C} where ω_C is the canonical sheaf on C . This map is very much useful. For example it gives a property which must be satisfied in order that a curve sits on a K3 surface. Precisely if C lies on a K3 surface, then Φ_{ω_C} is not surjective (see [17]). In [3], we have that if C is a general curve of genus 10 or ≥ 12 , then Φ_{ω_C} is surjective. This result gives an answer of Mukai conjecture. Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} be two invertible sheaves on C and let

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) = \ker [\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\text{cup product}} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M})].$$

In [18], Wahl constructs another Wahl map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}),$$

(if $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}$, then $\wedge^2 \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}$). And he proves that if $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 5g+2$ and $\deg(\mathcal{M}) \geq 2g+2$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}$ is surjective, and if C is a non-hyperelliptic curve and $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 5g+2$, then $\Phi_{\omega_C, \mathcal{L}}$ is surjective. These results give several informations about first ordered deformations of a cone over the $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}))$ when \mathcal{L} is a normally generated (very ample) invertible sheaf on C (if $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 5g+2$ then \mathcal{L} is clearly normally generated (and very ample)). For example we have that if $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 5g+2$ then a cone over a non-hyperelliptic curve embedded by \mathcal{L} has only one canonical deformation

from the above results (see [17]). $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}$ also has a geometric meaning. The geometric aspect of a Wahl map is the following. Let \mathcal{L} be a very ample invertible sheaf and $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^m$ is an embedding defined by \mathcal{L} . Then we can consider a Gaussian map

$$g: C \longrightarrow \text{Grass}(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{P}^m)$$

which is given by $g(p)$ =the tangent line of C in \mathbf{P}^m at p where $\text{Grass}(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{P}^m)$ is a Grassmannian variety of all projective lines in \mathbf{P}^m . Let

$$\iota: \text{Grass}(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{P}^m) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^M$$

be a Plücker embedding. Then the restriction map

$$g^*\iota^*: \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^M}(1)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^M, g^*\iota^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^M}(1))$$

gives the above $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}$. Sometimes we call the image $\iota g(C)$ a dual curve of C . If $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is surjective, then the dual curve $\iota g(C)$ is linearly normal and if $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is injective, then the dual curve $\iota g(C)$ is non-degenerate. Therefore the above dual curve $\iota g(C)$ is linearly normal if $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ is sufficiently large. In this paper, we want to generalize a Wahl map from the viewpoint of projective geometry. The notion of dual curve is generalized as follows. Let $C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^m$ be a birational morphism to its image, let

$$g_n: C \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Grass}(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^m)$$

be a Gaussian map defined by $g_n(p)$ =the osculating tangent n -th plane at p and let

$$\iota_n: \text{Grass}(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{P}^m) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{M_n}$$

be a Plücker embedding. Then the image $\iota_n g_n(C)$ is also called a dual curve, and in projective geometry, whether $\iota_{m-1} g_{m-1}(C)$ is linearly normal or not and whether $\iota_{m-1} g_{m-1}(C)$ is non-degenerate or not are very big problems. These conditions are equivalent to surjectivity or injectivity of $g_{m-1}^* \iota_{m-1}^*$. Let \mathcal{L} be a very ample invertible sheaf on C . In section 1, we define a generalized Wahl map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}: \wedge^n \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

which is equal to $g_n^* \iota_n^*$ if $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ is sufficiently large. Unfortunately we can not give the sufficient conditions for surjectivity or injectivity of $g_{m-1}^* \iota_{m-1}^*$. But in the sections 2 and 3, we have the following main theorem:

THEOREM. *Let C be a non-singular curve of genus g defined over an algebraically closed field k and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on C . We assume that $\text{char}(k)=0$ or $\text{char}(k) > \deg(\mathcal{L})$. If $\deg(\mathcal{L}) > (g-1)(2n^2-2n+3)+2(n^2-1)$, then*

$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$ is surjective.

I would like to express my sincerely gratitude to Professor Masaaki Homma for his help and continuous support.

NOTATIONS

$\text{char}(k)$: The characteristic of a field k

\mathcal{O}_C : The structure sheaf of a variety C

ω_C : The canonical invertible sheaf on a non-singular variety C

f^* : The pull back defined by a morphism f

$\text{deg}(\mathcal{L})$: The degree of an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L}

$\mathcal{O}_C(D)$: The invertible sheaf associated with a divisor D

$\Gamma(C, \mathcal{F})$: The global sections of a sheaf \mathcal{F}

$H^i(C, \mathcal{F})$: The i -th cohomology group of a sheaf \mathcal{F}

\mathfrak{S}_n : The symmetric group of degree n

$\wedge^n V$: The exterior product of a vector space V

V^* : The dual space of a vector space V

$\sum_{\lambda \in A} V_\lambda$: The direct sum of vector spaces V_λ ($\lambda \in A$)

$V \oplus W$: The direct sum of vector spaces V and W

$\mathbf{P}(V)$: The projective space of all 1-dimensional subspaces of V

$\text{Grass}(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^m)$: The Grassmann variety of all n -planes in \mathbf{P}^m .

1. The definition of a higher Wahl map and its basic property

Let C be a complete non-singular algebraic curve of genus g defined over an algebraically closed field k and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on C . Throughout of this paper, we assume that $\text{char}(k)=0$ or $\text{char}(k) < \text{deg}(\mathcal{L})$.

DEFINITION 1. Let V be a vector subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$. We define the n -Wahl map

$$\Phi_V^{(n)} : \wedge^n V \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

by

$$\Phi_V^{(n)}(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_n) = \begin{vmatrix} s_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}s_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_n & \cdots & d^{n-1}s_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

If $V = \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$, we define $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$ to be $\Phi_V^{(n)}$.

This definition is well-defined. Because if $n=1$, then the proof is found in

Wahl [18] (see p. 77) and if $n > 1$, then the proof is given by the same argument.

DEFINITION 2. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over k . Then for each $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n, y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n \in \wedge^n V$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n] \wedge [y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+1} \left(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} y_i \otimes y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{y}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n \right. \\ & \quad \left. - y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n \wedge \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x_i \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{x} means that the term x is omitted.

Clearly this definition is also well-defined. In the above definition,

$$x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n] \wedge [y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n]$$

is contained in $\wedge^{n+1} V \otimes \wedge^{n-1} V$. According to Definition 1 and Definition 2, we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. For every $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_F^{(n)} \cap \Phi_F^{(n-2)}([x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}] \wedge [y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}]) \\ &= \Phi_{\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes (n-1)(n-2)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1}}^{(n)} (\Phi_F^{(n-1)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}) \wedge \Phi_F^{(n-1)}(y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_F^{(n)} \cap \Phi_F^{(n-2)}([x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}] \wedge [y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^n \Phi_F^{(n)} \cap \Phi_F^{(n-2)} \left(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1} \wedge \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} y_i \otimes y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{y}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1} \wedge \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x_i \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1} x \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1} x_{n-1} \\ y_i & \cdots & d^{n-1} y_i \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3} y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ > y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3} y_i < \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3} y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1} y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1} y_{n-1} \\ x_i & \cdots & d^{n-1} x_i \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3} x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ > x_i & \cdots & d^{n-3} x_i < \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3} x_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \rangle$ means that this row (or column) is omitted. First we calculate the first term of the right-hand side of this equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_{n-1} \\ y_i & \cdots & d^{n-1}y_i \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_i \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)^{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j-1} (d^{j-1}y_i) \\
 & \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & \bigvee d^{j-1}x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{j-1}x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_{n-1} \\ \bigwedge & & & & \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_i \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} (d^{j-1}y_i) \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_i \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \right. \\
 & \left. \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & \bigvee d^{j-1}x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{j-1}x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_{n-1} \\ \bigwedge & & & & \end{vmatrix} \right) \\
 &= - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d^{j-1}y_i & y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_i \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \right. \\
 & \left. \begin{vmatrix} x_i & \cdots & \bigvee d^{j-1}x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{j-1}x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_{n-1} \\ \bigwedge & & & & \end{vmatrix} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \begin{vmatrix} d^{j-1}y_1 & y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d^{j-1}y_i & y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_i \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d^{j-1}y_{n-1} & y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&\quad \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{j-1}x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{j-1}x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_1 & d^{n-1}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_i & d^{n-1}y_i \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3}y_{n-1} & d^{n-1}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-2}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-2}x_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&\quad - \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-2}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-2}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}x_1 & d^{n-1}x_x \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_i & \cdots & d^{n-3}x_i & d^{n-1}x_i \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-3}x_{n-1} & d^{n-1}x_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-2}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-2}x_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} d \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-2}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-2}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&\quad - \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & d^{n-2}y_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-2}y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} d \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-2}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & d^{n-2}x_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (\Phi_F^{(n-1)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1})) d(\Phi_F^{(n-1)}(y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1})) \\
&\quad - (\Phi_F^{(n-1)}(y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1})) d(\Phi_F^{(n-1)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1})) \\
&= \Phi_{\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}(n-1)(n-2)/2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F} \otimes n-1}^{(2)}(\Phi_F^{(n-1)}([x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}]) \\
&\quad \wedge \Phi_F^{(n-1)}([y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}])).
\end{aligned}$$

We can calculate the second term in the first equation in the same way, and we are done. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2. *If V is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space, then we have an isomorphism*

$$g: \wedge^2(\wedge^{n-1}V) \oplus \wedge^{n-3}V \longrightarrow \wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2}V.$$

The isomorphism g is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1} \wedge y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}, \sigma) \\ &= [x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}] \wedge [y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}] + \partial \wedge \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} e_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \otimes e_i$ and e_0, \dots, e_n make a basis of V .

PROOF. If $e_0, \dots, e_n \in V$ and $0 \leq i_0 \leq n, \dots, 0 \leq i_n \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-2}}] \wedge [e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-3}}] \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} \{ e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-2}} \wedge e_{i_{n-1}} \} \otimes (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-3}} \\ & \quad - (e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-3}} \wedge (-1)^{n-3} e_{i_{n-2}}) \otimes (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-3}}) \} \\ &= (-1)^n (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-1}}) \otimes (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-3}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [e_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-2}}] \wedge [e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_n} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}}] \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-1}}) \otimes (e_{i_n} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}}) \\ & \quad - (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-2}} \wedge e_{i_n}) \otimes (e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}}) \\ & \quad - (e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_n} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \wedge (-1)^{n-3} e_{i_{n-3}}) \otimes (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \wedge e_{i_{n-3}}) \\ & \quad - (e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_n} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \wedge (-1)^{n-2} e_{i_{n-2}}) \otimes (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \wedge e_{i_{n-3}}) \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} (e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-1}} \otimes e_{i_n} - e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-2}} \wedge e_{i_n} \otimes e_{i_{n-1}} \\ & \quad - e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-3}} \wedge e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_n} \otimes e_{i_{n-2}} \\ & \quad + e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \wedge e_{i_{n-2}} \wedge e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_n} \otimes e_{i_{n-3}}) \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} (e_{i_n}^* \otimes e_{i_n} + e_{i_{n-1}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-1}} - e_{i_{n-2}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-2}} - e_{i_{n-3}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-3}}) e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \end{aligned}$$

where $e_0^*, \dots, e_n^* \in \wedge^n V \cong V^*$ is the dual basis of e_0, \dots, e_n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-2}}] \wedge [e_{i_{n-1}} \wedge e_{i_n} \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}}] \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} (e_{i_n}^* \otimes e_{i_n} + e_{i_{n-1}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-1}} - e_{i_{n-2}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-2}} - e_{i_{n-3}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-3}}) \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}} \\ &= (-1)^n \left(e_{i_n}^* \otimes e_{i_n} + e_{i_{n-1}}^* \otimes e_{i_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \partial \right) \wedge e_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore a basis of $\wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2} V$ is contained in $g(\wedge^{n-1} V) \oplus \wedge^{n-3} V$. Hence g is surjective. Moreover

$$\dim_k(\wedge^2(\wedge^{n-1}V) \oplus V) = (n+1) \frac{(n+1)n(n-1)}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} \dim_k(\wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2} V).$$

Hence g is an isomorphism.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 3. If $\partial = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} e_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \in \wedge^n V \otimes V$ where V is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ and e_0, \dots, e_n make a basis of V , then

$$\Phi_F^{(n)} \cap id_V(\partial) = 0.$$

PROOF. By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_F^{(n)} \cap id_V(\partial) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \Phi_F^{(n)}(e_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \left| \begin{array}{c} e_1 \cdots d^{n-1} e_1 \\ \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ e_i \cdots d^{n-1} e_i \\ \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ e_n \cdots d^{n-1} e_n \end{array} \right| e_i \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & e_1 \cdots d^{n-1} e_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ e_i & e_i \cdots d^{n-1} e_i \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ 0 & e_n \cdots d^{n-1} e_n \end{array} \right| \\ &= (-1)^n \left| \begin{array}{ccc} e_1 & e_1 \cdots d^{n-1} e_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ e_i & e_i \cdots d^{n-1} e_i \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ e_n & e_n \cdots d^{n-1} e_n \end{array} \right| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the result.

Q. E. D.

2. Generalized Castelnuovo's lemma

In this section, we will give a generalization of Castelnuovo's lemmas in Wahl [18] (p. 86 Theorem 2.6.)

DEFINITION 3. Let V be a vector subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ and let $s_1, \dots, s_N \in V$ be a basis. For any $p \in C$, if

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} s_1(p) & \cdots & d^n s_1(p) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_N(p) & \cdots & d^n s_N(p) \end{pmatrix} = n+1,$$

then we say that V is n -immersive. In particular if $n=1$, then we say that V is immersive.

DEFINITION 4. Let V be a vector subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$. If $\dim_k(V)=n$, then we call that V is an n -net.

LEMMA 4. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on a curve C of genus g . If $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2g+n$, then a general $(n+2)$ -dimensional subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ is an n -immersive $(n+2)$ -net.

PROOF. By the same argument of Hartshorne (see Hartshorne [9] p. 310 Proposition 3.5.), we have

$$\dim \left(\bigcup_{p \in C} (\text{osculating tangent } n\text{-plane at } p \in C \subset \mathbf{P}(\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})^*)) \right) \leq n+1.$$

This completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 5. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on C , and let V be a vector subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$. If V is an $(n-1)$ -immersive, then a sheaf homomorphism

$$\wedge^n V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \longrightarrow \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$$

induced by $\Phi_V^{(n)}$ is surjective.

PROOF. Let $s_1, \dots, s_n \in V$. Then we have

$$\Phi_V^{(n)}(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_n)(p) = \begin{vmatrix} s_1(p) & \cdots & d^{n-1} s_1(p) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_n(p) & \cdots & d^{n-1} s_n(p) \end{vmatrix}$$

for every $p \in C$. As V is $(n-1)$ -immersive, therefore this completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

The following definition and lemma are famous (see Hizeburch [11]).

DEFINITION 5. Let \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' be locally free sheaves and let \mathcal{F} be an invertible sheaf. Suppose that a sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}' \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. The homomorphism $\wedge^p \phi: \wedge^p \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^{p-1} \mathcal{W}'$ is defined by

$$\wedge^p \phi(w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \phi(w_i) w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{w}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p.$$

LEMMA 6. *The above $\wedge^p \phi$ is surjective and induces an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \wedge^p \mathcal{W}' \xrightarrow{\wedge^p \phi} \wedge^p \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\wedge^p \phi} \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^{p-1} \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. See Hirzebruch [11] p. 55 Theorem 4.1.3.

Q. E. D.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and V^* be a dual vector space of V . Let $\partial \in V \otimes V^* \cong \text{Hom}_k(V, V)$ be an element corresponding to the identity id. We consider the Koszul complex

$$\mathcal{K} : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \xrightarrow{\partial} V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(1) \xrightarrow{\partial} \wedge^2 V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(2) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots$$

defined by $\partial(f) = f \wedge \partial$ for $f \in \wedge^i V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(i)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots$) where $P = P(V^*)$.

LEMMA 7. *The above Koszul complex \mathcal{K} is exact and the image sheaf*

$$\text{im}(\partial) = \text{im}(\wedge^p V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(p) \xrightarrow{\partial} \wedge^{p+1} V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(p+1))$$

is isomorphic to $\wedge^p T_P$, where T_P is a tangent sheaf on P .

PROOF. If $p=1$, this is obvious (for example, see Hartshorne [9] p. 176). If p is arbitrary, then this follows directly from Lemma 6. Q. E. D.

DEFINITION 6. Let V be an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net. A locally free sheaf Q_n is given by

$$Q_n = \ker(\wedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}).$$

REMARK 1. As $\Phi_f^{(n)} : \wedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is surjective, Q_n is a locally free sheaf of rank n .

Let V be an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net. As $V^* \cong \wedge^n V$, $\partial \in V^* \otimes V$ is given by

$$\partial = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} e_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \otimes e_i.$$

Therefore ∂ is contained in $\Gamma(C, Q_n)$ by Lemma 3 in §1. As V is $(n-1)$ -immersive, V is base point free, so V defines a morphism $C \rightarrow P(V^*)$. We restrict the above Koszul complex \mathcal{K} to $C \rightarrow P(V^*)$ and we have the following exact sequence:

$$(B): 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\partial} V^* \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\partial} \wedge^2 V^* \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

As $\partial \in \Gamma(C, Q_n)$, ∂ defines the following complex:

$$(A): 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\partial} Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\partial} \wedge^2 Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

where the map ∂ is defined by $\partial(f) = f \wedge \partial$. Moreover we consider a complex $(C) = (A) \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} &\xrightarrow{\partial} Q_n \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} \wedge^2 Q_n \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+2} \dots \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 6, we have the following short exact sequence:

$$(1): 0 \longrightarrow Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\psi} V^* \otimes \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore we have the following short exact sequences by Lemma 6:

$$(i): 0 \longrightarrow \wedge^i Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i} \xrightarrow{\wedge^i \varphi} \wedge^i V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i} \xrightarrow{\wedge^i \psi} \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+i} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} Q_n \longrightarrow 0$$

where $i=1, 2, \dots$. Hence we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{ia} & \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & (I_1) & \downarrow & (II_1) & \downarrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V^* \otimes \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & (I_2) & \downarrow & (II_2) & \downarrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\wedge^2 \varphi} & \wedge^2 V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\wedge^2 \psi} & \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+2} \otimes Q_n & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ & \downarrow & (I_n) & \downarrow & (II_n) & \downarrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \wedge^n Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\wedge^n \varphi} & \wedge^n V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\wedge^n \psi} & \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n} \otimes \wedge^{n-1} Q_n & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & (I_{n+1}) & \downarrow & (II_{n+1}) & \downarrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^{n+1} V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n+1} \otimes \wedge^n Q_n & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

where $\psi = \Phi_F^{(n)}$.

LEMMA 8. *The above diagram is commutative.*

PROOF. It is easy that (I_i) part is commutative for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Because

$$(\wedge^{i-1}\varphi)(x_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1}) \wedge \partial = (\wedge^i \varphi)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \wedge \partial)$$

by the definition of (A) and (B). We now show that (II_i) part is commutative for $i=1, 2, \dots$. This is equivalent to

$$((\wedge^{i-1}\psi)(x_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1})) \wedge \partial = (\wedge^i \psi)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \wedge \partial)$$

for $i=1, 2, \dots$. As

$$(\wedge^{i-1}\psi)(x_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1}) \wedge \partial = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \psi(x_j) x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \wedge \partial,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\wedge^i \psi)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \wedge \partial) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \psi(x_j) x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \wedge \partial + (-1)^i \psi(\partial) x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \psi(x_j) x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i-1} \wedge \partial, \end{aligned}$$

we have the commutativity of (II_i) by Lemma 3.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 9. *The complexes (A) and (B) are exact.*

PROOF. As the exact sequence (1) splits locally, there is a local section ι_1 of φ . We put $\iota_i = \wedge^i \iota_1$ ($i=0, 1, \dots$) and put $\varphi_i = \wedge^i \varphi$ ($i=0, 1, \dots$). By the definition of ι_1 , it is clear that $\partial \iota_0 = \iota_1 \partial$. Therefore we have $\iota_{m+1} \partial = \partial \iota_m$ and $\iota_m \varphi_m = id$. Hence the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow (A) \longrightarrow (B) \longrightarrow (C) \longrightarrow 0$$

splits locally. As (B) is exact, therefore (A) and (C) are exact complexes.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 10. *Let V be a subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ with $\dim_k V \geq n+1$. If V is $(n+1)$ -immersive, then the subspace*

$$\Phi_F^{(n-1)}(\wedge^{n-1} V) \subset \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})$$

is immersive.

PROOF. Let $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1}}^{(2)}(\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n-1)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}) \wedge \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n-1)}(x_n \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2})) \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_n & \cdots & d^{n-1}x_n \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{ccc} x_1 & \cdots & d^{n-3}x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-2} & \cdots & d^{n-3}x_{n-2} \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for every $p \in C$ there are some $v, v' \in \wedge^{n-1}V$ such that

$$\Phi_{\omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1}}^{(2)}(\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n-1)}(v) \wedge \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n-1)}(v'))(p) \neq 0,$$

because V is $(n-1)$ -immersive. Therefore we have the result. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 11. *Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on C , let V be a subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{E})$ and let K be a function field of C . If the canonical map $V \otimes_k K \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} K$ is injective, then $V \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C$ is subsheaf of \mathcal{E} .*

PRFOO. This is obvious. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 12. *Let V be a subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ with $\dim_k V = n+1$. If W_0 is a general 3-dimensional linear subspace of $\wedge^{n-1}V$, then a composition of two canonical maps*

$$\wedge^2 W_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow T_{P^{n-1}C} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3}$$

is injective.

PROOF. This condition is an open condition. Therefore we construct an example of W_0 which satisfies the property of this lemma. Let x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} be a basis of V and let $W_0 = [x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}, x_n \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2}, x_{n+1} \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2}]$. By Lemma 2, a basis of $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)} \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n-2)}(\wedge^2 W_0)$ is $(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) \otimes (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2})$, $(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1} \wedge x_{n+1}) \otimes (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2})$, $(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2} \wedge x_n \wedge x_{n+1}) \otimes (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-2})$. Therefore we can construct an example of W_0 . Q. E. D.

LEMMA 13. *Let V be $(n-1)$ -immersive and $\dim_k(V) \geq n+1$. If W_0 is a general 3-dimensional linear subspace of $\wedge^{n-1}V$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n-1)}(W_0)$ is an immersive net.*

PROOF. This condition is also an open condition. Therefore this lemma follows from Lemma 10. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 14. *If $V \subset \wedge^{n-1}V$ is an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net, then there is an n -dimensional subspace $W \subset \wedge^2(\wedge^{n-1}V)$ such that*

- (1) *there is $W_0 \subset \wedge^{n-1}V$ such that $\dim_k W_0 = 3$, $\wedge^2 W_0 \subset W$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(2)}(W_0)$ is im-*

mersive net,

$$(2) \quad \wedge^2 W_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \leftarrow T_{P^{n|C}} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3} \text{ is injective.}$$

PROOF. By Lemma 12 and Lemma 13, there is a 3-dimensional subspace $W_0 \subset \wedge^{n-1} V$ such that

- (1) there is $W_0 \subset \wedge^{n-1} V$ such that $\dim_k W_0 = 3$ and $\Phi_F^{(2)}(W_0)$ is immersive net,
- (2) $\wedge^2 W_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow T_{P^{n|C}} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3}$ is injective.

As $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \wedge^n V \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow T_{P^{n|C}} \rightarrow 0$ is some $\bar{W} \subset \wedge^n V \otimes \wedge^{n-2} V$ such that $(\wedge^2 W_0 \oplus \bar{W}) \otimes K = T_{P^{n|C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} K$. We put $W = \wedge^2 W_0 \oplus \bar{W}$. By Lemma 11, we get the result.

Q. E. D.

DEFINITION 7. Let $V \subset F(C, \mathcal{L})$ be an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net. By the following exact commutative diagrams, we define a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E}_n :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathcal{O}_C & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V^* \otimes \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow id \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_n & \longrightarrow & T_{P^{n|C}} & \longrightarrow & \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Let $W_0 \subset \wedge^{n-1} V$ and $W \subset \wedge^2(\wedge^{n-1} V)$ be vector subspaces in Lemma 14. By the following exact sequences, we define locally free sheaves Q_{W_0} and Q_0 :

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\omega_C^{\otimes (n-1)(n-2)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1}}} \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_{W_0} \longrightarrow \wedge^2 W_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\omega_C^{\otimes (n-1)(n-2)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1}}} \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROPOSITION 1. We have the following exact sequences:

$$(A): 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(B): 0 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3} \longrightarrow (\text{torsion sheaf}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(C): 0 \longrightarrow Q_{W_0} \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow \bar{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(D): 0 \longrightarrow (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-1)(n-2)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \longrightarrow Q_{W_0} \\ \longrightarrow (\omega_C^{\otimes 1 + ((n-1)(n-2))/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes u-1})^{\otimes -1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\bar{W} = W / (\wedge^2 W_0)$.

PROOF. The sequence (A) is given by the definition of \mathcal{E}_n . Now we consider the sequence (B). By Lemma 14, we have the following commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3} & \longrightarrow & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & & & Q_0 & & \longrightarrow \\ T_{\mathbb{P}^n|C} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3} & \longrightarrow & \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\ \uparrow \text{inclusion} & & \uparrow \text{id} & & & & \\ W \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\ \Phi_{\omega_C^{\otimes (n-1)(n-2)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1}}^{(2)} & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Therefore there is an injective morphism:

$$Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3}.$$

As Q_0 and $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3}$ are both locally free invertible sheaves of rank $n-1$, hence we have (B). By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

the following exact commutative diagram is obtained:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q_{W_0} & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 W_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q_0 & \longrightarrow & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & \omega_C^{\otimes n^2-3n+3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \bar{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore we have the exact sequence (C) by the snake lemma. As W_0 is an immersive net, the exact sequence (D) is obtained by the definition of Q_2 (see

Wahl [18] p. 85 Lemma 2.4.).

Q. E. D.

By these lemmas and proposition, we have the following theorem which is a generalization of Castelnuovo's lemma in [18].

THEOREM 1. *If $V \subset \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ is an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net and if \mathcal{F} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_C -module on C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2} \otimes \mathcal{F}) &= 0, \\ H^1(C, (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) &= 0, \\ H^1(C, (\omega_C^{\otimes n^2-4n+4} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) &= 0, \\ H^1(C, (\omega_C^{\otimes n^2-4n+5} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

then a canonical map

$$\wedge^n V \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

which is induced by an n -Wahl map $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}^{(n)}$ is surjective.

PROOF. We put $(A)_1 = (A) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $(B)_1 = (B) \otimes (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $(C)_1 = (C) \otimes (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $(D)_1 = (D) \otimes (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. By $(B)_1$, we have the following two exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow (\text{kernel}) \longrightarrow Q_0 \otimes (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow (\text{image}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 \longrightarrow (\text{image}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2} \otimes \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow (\text{torsion sheaf}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the exact sequence $(D)_1$ and an assumption, we have that

$$H^1(C, Q_{w_0} \otimes (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$H^1(C, Q_0 \otimes (\omega_C^{\otimes (n-2)(n-3)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

by the exact sequence $(C)_1$. Hence

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

by the exact sequence $(B)_1$ because C is one-dimensional. And

$$H^1(C, Q_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

by the sequence $(A)_1$. Therefore we have the result.

Q. E. D.

3. Application

In this section, we consider the surjectivity of $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$. Now we prepare several definitions and lemmas.

DEFINITION 8. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ be invertible sheaves on C . We define a vector subspace $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n) \subset \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}_n)$ by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_j x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d^{i_1} x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \dots (d^{i_{n-1}} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) = 0 \\ \sum_j x_j^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_j^{(n)} : 0 \leq i_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq i_{n-1} \leq n-1 \\ \text{and } \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} i_s < \frac{n(n-1)}{2}, \text{ for any } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \end{array} \right\}.$$

LEMMA 15. *The above space $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$ is well-defined.*

PROOF. Let f_i be a transition function of an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L}_i and let $\tilde{x}_j^{(i)} = x_j^{(i)} f_i$ where $x_j^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{x}_j^{(i)}$ are local sections of \mathcal{L}_i ($i=1, \dots$). We assume that $\sum_j x_j^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_j^{(n)}$ satisfies

$$\sum_j x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d^{i_1} x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \dots (d^{i_{n-1}} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) = 0,$$

for $0 \leq i_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq i_{n-1} \leq n-1, \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} i_s < \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. As

$$d^i(fx) = \sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} (d^s x)(d^{n-s} f),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j \tilde{x}_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d^{i_1} \tilde{x}_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \dots (d^{i_{n-1}} \tilde{x}_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \\ &= \sum_{s_1=0}^{i_1} \dots \sum_{s_{n-1}=0}^{i_{n-1}} \left(\sum_j x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d^{i_1} x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \dots (d^{i_{n-1}} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \binom{i_1}{s_1} \dots \binom{i_{n-1}}{s_{n-1}} f_{\sigma(1)} (d^{i_1-s_1} f_{\sigma(2)}) \dots (d^{i_{n-1}-s_{n-1}} f_{\sigma(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the result.

Q. E. D.

REMARK 2. If $n=2$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$ in Definition 8 is the kernel of a cup product map

$$\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}_1) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2)$$

because

$$\sum_j x_j^{(1)} x_j^{(2)} = 0$$

in the only relation in Definition 8.

DEFINITION 9. Let $\tau = \sum x_j^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_j^{(n)} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$. We define the n -Wahl map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n} : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n}(\tau) &= \Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n} \left(\sum_j x_j^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_j^{(n)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_j \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \cdots (d^{n-1} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 16. *The above $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n}$ is well-defined.*

PROOF. Let f_i be a transition function of an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L}_i and let $\tilde{x}_j^{(i)} = x_j^{(i)} f_i$ ($i=1, \dots$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{x}_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d \tilde{x}_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \cdots (d^{n-1} \tilde{x}_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \cdots (d^{n-1} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \right) (f_1 \cdots f_n) \\ & \quad + \sum_j \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left(\sum_{u_2+v_2=1, v_2 \neq 1} \cdots \sum_{u_n+v_n=n-1, v_n \neq n-1} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. f_{\sigma(1)} x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} \binom{1}{u_2} (d^{u_2} f_{\sigma(2)}) (d^{v_2} x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \cdots \binom{n-1}{u_n} (d^{u_n} f_{\sigma(n)}) (d^{v_n} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_j^{\{\sigma(1)\}} (d x_j^{\{\sigma(2)\}}) \cdots (d^{n-1} x_j^{\{\sigma(n)\}}) \right) (f_1 \cdots f_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the result.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 17. *Assume that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L})$ contains*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)} \right) = \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n).$$

PROOF. If $0 \leq i_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq i_{n-1} \leq n-1$ and $\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} i_s < \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, then $0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ are not all distinct. Therefore $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L})$ contains $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$ by Definition 8. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) x_{\tau(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\tau(n)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_{(\sigma\tau(1))} (dx_{(\sigma\tau(2))}) \dots (d^{n-1} x_{(\sigma\tau(n))}) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_{(\sigma(1))} (dx_{(\sigma(2))}) \dots (d^{n-1} x_{(\sigma(n))}) \\
 &= \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 18. *The image of $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n}$ is equal to the image of $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$.*

PROOF. Let $\tau = \sum x_j^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_j^{(n)}$ be an element of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_j \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_j^{(\sigma(1))} (dx_j^{(\sigma(2))}) \dots (d^{n-1} x_j^{(\sigma(n))}) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_j \begin{vmatrix} x_j^{(1)} & \dots & d^{n-1} x_j^{(1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_j^{(n)} & \dots & d^{n-1} x_j^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_j \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the image of $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L}}$ is contained in the image of $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$. The converse is clear by Lemma 17.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 19. *Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ and \mathcal{P} be invertible sheaves on C . Then the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_i, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\text{cup product}} & \\
 \Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n} \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & & \\
 \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\text{cup product}} & \\
 & & \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{P}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n) \\
 & & \Phi_{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{P}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n} \downarrow \\
 & & \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{P} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n)
 \end{array}$$

PROOF. This lemma is clear by the same calculations as in Lemma 16.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 20. *Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} be invertible sheaves on C . If $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2g+1$ and $\deg(\mathcal{M}) \geq 1$ then $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ is surjective.*

PROOF. See Fujita [6] p. 168 Proposition 1,10,

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on C . If*

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq (g-1)(2n^2-2n+3) + 2(n^2-1),$$

then there is an effective divisor A of degree $g+n$ such that $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(A))$ is an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net and

$$H^1(C, (\omega_C^{\otimes n^2-4n+5} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)^{\otimes 2n-2})^{\otimes -1} \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

PROOF. If A is a general effective divisor of degree $g+n$, then $\dim \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(A-nP)) = 1$ for every $P \in C$. This implies that $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}(A))$ is an $(n-1)$ -immersive $(n+1)$ -net. Moreover the condition

$$H^1(C, (\omega_C^{\otimes n^2-4n+5} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)^{\otimes 2n-2})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

is also a general condition. Therefore we have the result.

Q. E. D.

Now we prove the Main theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on C . If*

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq (g-1)(2n^2-2n+3) + 2(n^2-1),$$

then the n -Wahl map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)} : \wedge^n \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

is surjective.

PROOF. Let A be an effective divisor in Proposition 2. By Lemma 18, Lemma 19 and Theorem 1, $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}(A), \dots, \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{L}}$ is surjective. As

$$\deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \geq 2g \text{ and } \deg(\omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \geq 2g+1,$$

a cup product map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))^{\otimes n-1} \\ \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective by Lemma 20. By Lemma 19 we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))^{\otimes n-1} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Gamma(C, \omega_C^{\otimes n(n-1)/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \\ \Phi_{\mathcal{O}(A), \dots, \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{L}} \uparrow & & \Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L}} \uparrow \\ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}(A), \dots, \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))^{\otimes n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L}). \end{array}$$

As μ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}(A), \dots, \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{O}(A), \mathcal{L}}$ are surjective, we have that $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{L}}$ is surjective. Therefore $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$ is surjective by Lemma 18. Q. E. D.

References

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic curves 1*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [2] J.N. Brauner, *The Gaussian map Φ_K for curves with special linear series*, Thesis University of North Carolina, 1992.
- [3] C. Ciliberto, J. Harris and R. Miranda, *On the surjectivity of the Wahl map*, *Duke Math.*, **57** (1988), 829–858.
- [4] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda, *On the Gaussian map for canonical curves of low genus*, *Duke Math.*, **81** (1990), 417–443.
- [5] H.M. Farkas and J. Kra, *Riemann surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [6] T. Fujita, *Defining equations for certain types of polarized varieties*, *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry*, Iwanami, Tokyo, 1977.
- [7] A. Garcia and J.F. Voloch, *Duality for projective curves*, *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. (N.S.)*, **21** (1991), 159–175.
- [8] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*, *J. Differential Geometry*, **19** (1984), 125–171.
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [10] A. Hefetz and S. Kleiman, *Notes on the duality of projective varieties*, *Geometry today*, Int. Conf., Roma 1984, *Prog. Math.*, **80** (1985), 143–183.
- [11] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [12] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [13] D. Laksov, *Wronskians and Plücker formulas for linear systems on curves*, *Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.*, **17** (1984), 17–45.
- [14] D. Mumford, *Varieties defined by quadratic equations*, *Questioni sulle varietà algebriche*, Corsi dal C.I.M.E., Editore Cremonese, Roma, (1969), 29–100.
- [15] A. Ohbuchi, *On the projective normality of some varieties of degree 5*, *Pacific Journal of Math.*, **144** (1990), 313–325.
- [16] J. Wahl, *The Jacobian algebra of a graded Gorenstein singularity*, *Duke Math. J.*, **55** (1987), 843–871.
- [17] J. Wahl, *Deformations of quasi-homogeneous singularities*, *Math. Ann.*, **280** (1988), 105–128.
- [18] J. Wahl, *Gaussian maps on algebraic curves*, *J. Differential Geometry*, **32** (1990), 77–98.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Yamaguchi University
Yamaguchi, 753
Japan

E-mail address : akira@ccyi.ccy.yamaguti-u.ac.jp