

ON THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR ANALYTIC SEMIGROUPS WITH WEAK SINGULARITY

By

Kenichiro UMEZU

I. Introduction and Results

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and \mathfrak{A} a linear operator defined in X . We consider the following initial-value problem: Given an element $u_0 \in X$ and an X -valued function f defined on an interval $I = [0, T]$, find an X -valued function u defined on I such that

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt}(t) = \mathfrak{A}u(t) + f(t), & 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, under the condition that the operator \mathfrak{A} generates an analytic semigroup *with weak singularity*, we give sufficient conditions on the function f for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the problem (*).

We say that a function $u(t)$ is a *strict solution* or simply a *solution* of the problem (*) if it satisfies the following three conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad u \in C([0, T]; X) \cap C^1((0, T]; X).$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(t) \text{ is in the domain } \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}) \text{ of the operator } \mathfrak{A} \text{ for } 0 < t \leq T.$$

$$(1.3) \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{du}{dt}(t) = \mathfrak{A}u(t) + f(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Here $C([0, T]; X)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $[0, T]$ taking values in X , and $C^1((0, T]; X)$ denotes the space of continuously differentiable functions on $(0, T]$ taking values in X , respectively.

We recall the following fundamental result in the theory of analytic semigroups (cf. Pazy [2]; Tanabe [4]):

THEOREM 1.0. *Assume that the following three assumptions are satisfied:*

(A.1) *The operator \mathfrak{A} is a densely defined, closed linear operator in X .*

(A.2) *There exist constants $0 < \omega < \pi/2$ and $\lambda_0 < 0$ such that the resolvent set of \mathfrak{A} contains the region $\Sigma(\omega) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; |\arg(\lambda - \lambda_0)| < \pi/2 + \omega\}$.*

(A.3) If $0 < \varepsilon < \omega$, then there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that the resolvent $(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}$ satisfies the estimate:

$$\|(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{1 + |\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma(\varepsilon).$$

Then the operator \mathfrak{A} generates a semigroup $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ in X which is analytic in the sector $\Delta(\omega) = \{z = t + is \in \mathbf{C}; z \neq 0, |\arg z| < \omega\}$.

If $0 < \gamma < 1$, we let

$C^\gamma([0, T]; X)$ = the space of X -valued, continuous functions $f(t)$ on $[0, T]$ such that we have $\|f(t) - f(s)\| \leq M|t - s|^\gamma$, $t, s \in [0, T]$ for some constant $M > 0$.

Now it is known (cf. Pazy [2], Theorem 3.2) that the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 1.1. Assume that the operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies Assumptions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3). If $f \in C^\gamma([0, T]; X)$ with $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, then, for any $u_0 \in X$, the problem (*) has a unique solution which takes the following form:

$$(1.4) \quad u(t) = e^{t\mathfrak{A}}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}}f(s)ds.$$

The next Besov space version of Theorem 1.1 is due to Muramatu [1] (see [1], Theorem B).

THEOREM 1.2. Assume that the operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies Assumptions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3). If f belongs to the Besov space $B_{\infty,1}^0((0, T); X)$, then, for any $u_0 \in X$, the problem (*) has a unique solution which takes the form of (1.4).

REMARK 1.1. Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1. In fact, the following inclusion holds:

$$\bigcup_{0 < \gamma \leq 1} C^\gamma([0, T]; X) \subseteq B_{\infty,1}^0((0, T); X).$$

EXAMPLE 1.1. The following function f belongs to the space $B_{\infty,1}^0((0, T); \mathbf{R})$, but does not belong to the spaces $C^\gamma([0, T]; \mathbf{R})$ for any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\log t} & \text{if } 0 < t \leq T, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

For the precise definition of the Besov space $B_{\infty,1}^0((0, T); X)$, we refer to Section 2.

We say that the operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 1$ if it satisfies Assumptions (A.1) and (A.2) and the following weaker assumption than (A.3):

(A.3) $_\theta$ If $0 < \varepsilon < \omega$, then there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that the resolvent $(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}$ satisfies the estimate:

$$\|(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(1 + |\lambda|)^\theta}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma(\varepsilon).$$

By Theorem 5.3 of Taira [3], we know that the operator \mathfrak{A} which satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 1$ generates an analytic semigroup $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ such that

$$\|e^{z\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq \frac{M_0}{|z|^{1-\theta}}, \quad z \in \Delta(\omega).$$

Thus, such an analytic semigroup as $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ may be called an *analytic semigroup with weak singularity*. We remark that Assumption $(A.3)_1$ is nothing but Assumption (A.3).

A concrete example of \mathfrak{A} which satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ is given by Taira [3]. Furthermore, Taira [3] has demonstrated that the operator \mathfrak{A} generates an analytic semigroup $e^{t\mathfrak{A}}$ which does not necessarily have the following property:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \in \Delta(\omega)}} e^{t\mathfrak{A}} u_0 = u_0 \quad \text{for all } u_0 \in X.$$

Here $\Delta(\omega) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\arg \lambda| < \omega\}$. More precisely, using fractional powers of the operator \mathfrak{A} , Taira [3] has proved that if Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ is satisfied, then the operator \mathfrak{A} generates an analytic semigroup $e^{t\mathfrak{A}}$ which has the property

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \in \Delta(\omega)}} e^{t\mathfrak{A}} u_0 = u_0$$

for all $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ with $1 - \theta < \alpha < 1$. Here if the operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies Assumptions (A.1), (A.2) and $(A.3)_\theta$, we can define the fractional powers $(-\mathfrak{A})^{-\alpha}$ of \mathfrak{A} for $1 - \theta < \alpha < 1$ by

$$(-\mathfrak{A})^{-\alpha} = \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{-\alpha} (t - \mathfrak{A})^{-1} dt,$$

and also define the fractional powers $(-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha$ by

$$(-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha = \text{the inverse of } (-\mathfrak{A})^{-\alpha}.$$

By the definition of $(-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha$, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha) \subset X, \quad 1 - \theta < \alpha < \theta,$$

$$\mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^0) = X.$$

The following theorem is due to Taira [3] (cf. [3], Theorem 8.2). In the case $\theta=1$, the theorem coincides with Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.3. *Assume that the operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ with $1/2 < \theta < 1$. If $f \in C^\gamma([0, T]; X)$ with $1 - \theta < \gamma \leq 1$, then, for any $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ with $1 - \theta < \alpha < \theta$, the problem (*) has a unique solution which takes the form of (1.4).*

In this paper, using Besov space theory, we prove the following result:

THEOREM 1.4. *Assume that the operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ with $1/2 < \theta < 1$. If f belongs to the Besov space $B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}((0, T); X)$, then, for any $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ with $1 - \theta < \alpha < \theta$, the problem (*) has a unique solution which takes the form of (1.4).*

REMARK 1.2. Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2. In fact, the following inclusion holds (cf. Corollary 2.1 and Remark 2.2):

$$\bigcup_{1-\theta < \gamma \leq 1} C^\gamma([0, T]; X) \subsetneq B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}((0, T); X).$$

EXAMPLE 1.2. The following function f belongs to the space $B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}((0, T); \mathbf{R})$, but does not belong to the spaces $C^\gamma([0, T]; \mathbf{R})$ for any $1 - \theta < \gamma \leq 1$.

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{1-\theta}}{\log t} & \text{if } 0 < t \leq T, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we state the basic definition and properties of Besov spaces that will be used in the sequel.

In Section 3 we present a brief description of the analytic semigroups with weak singularity generated by the operator \mathfrak{A} which satisfies Assumption $(AS)_\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 1$.

Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main Theorem 1.4 by following the argument in the proof of Theorem B of Muramatu [1].

2. Besov spaces

This section is devoted to a description of the definition and properties of Besov spaces (for the details, see Muramatu [1]). We define Besov spaces on an open set Ω in \mathbf{R}^N , but, in this paper, only use the case when Ω is an open interval I ($N=1$).

Let Ω be an open set in \mathbf{R}^N , X a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and m a non-negative integer. For an X -valued function f on Ω , we define

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|^p dx \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} \|f(x)\| & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$\|f\|_{L^p_*(\Omega; X)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|^p |x|^{-N} dx \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} \|f(x)\| & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$\|f\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)}.$$

Here all the derivatives $\partial^\alpha f$ are taken in the sense of distributions. If $X = \mathbf{R}$, we simply write $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^p_*(\Omega; X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)}$ as $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^p_*(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega)}$, respectively.

We introduce function spaces as follows:

$L^p(\Omega; X)$ = the space of X -valued functions such that $\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)}$ is finite.

$L^p_*(\Omega; X)$ = the space of X -valued functions such that $\|f\|_{L^p_*(\Omega; X)}$ is finite.

$H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)$ = the space of functions $f \in L^p(\Omega; X)$ whose derivatives

$\partial^\alpha f$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, in the sense of distributions, belong to $L^p(\Omega; X)$.

The spaces $L^p(\Omega; X)$ and $H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)$ are Banach spaces with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)}$, respectively.

DEFINITION OF BESOV SPACES. Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, Ω an open set in \mathbf{R}^N , $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and σ a real number such that $\sigma = m + \theta$ with an integer m and $0 < \theta \leq 1$.

(a) The case $m \geq 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$: The Besov space $B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)$ is the set of all functions $f \in H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)$ such that the seminorm

$$|f|_{B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left\| |y|^{-\theta} \|\partial^\alpha f(x+y) - \partial^\alpha f(x)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{1,y}; X)} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbf{R}^N)}$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left(\int_{\Omega_{1,y}} \|\partial^\alpha f(x+y) - \partial^\alpha f(x)\|^p dx \right)^{q/p} \frac{dy}{|y|^{q\theta+N}} \right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. Here $\Omega_{k,y} = \bigcap_{j=0}^k \Omega - jy$ and $\Omega - jy = \{z - jy; z \in \Omega\}$.

(b) The case $m \geq 0$ and $\theta = 1$: The Besov space $B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)$ consists of all functions $f \in H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)$ such that the seminorm

$$|f|_{B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left\| |y|^{-1} \|\partial^\alpha f(x+2y) - 2\partial^\alpha f(x+y) + \partial^\alpha f(x)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2,y}; X)} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbf{R}^N)}$$

is finite.

The space $B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)} = \|f\|_{H^{m,p}(\Omega; X)} + |f|_{B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)}.$$

(c) The case $m < 0$: The Besov space $B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)$ is the set of all distributions f of the form

$$(2.1) \quad f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq -m} \partial^\alpha f_\alpha, \quad f_\alpha \in B_{p,q}^0(\Omega; X).$$

The space $B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^\sigma(\Omega; X)} = \inf \sum_{|\alpha| \leq -m} \|f_\alpha\|_{B_{p,q}^0(\Omega; X)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all expressions of the form (2.1).

In the rest of this section we describe a characterization theorem of Besov spaces. In the following we denote the interval $(0, T)$ by I .

We introduce two function spaces.

(i) $\mathcal{K}_0(I)$ is the set of all functions $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(2.2) For any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, there exists a compact set K_t in \mathbf{R} such that K_t contains the support of $\phi(t, \cdot)$.

(2.3) For any compact set K in I , there is a compact set $K_1 \subset I$ such that $\text{supp } \phi(t, (t-\cdot)/\tau) \subset K_1$ for $t \in K$ and $0 < \tau \leq 1$.

(ii) $\mathcal{K}_m(I)$ is the set of m -th derivatives $\partial_s^m \phi(t, s)$ of the functions in $\mathcal{K}_0(I)$. Let ϕ_0 be a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ which satisfies the conditions:

$$\text{supp } \phi_0 \subset I, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} \phi_0(t) dt = 1.$$

If $0 < c \leq 1$, we define ϕ , e_m , e_m^* as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(t, s) = \frac{m}{m!} s^m \phi_0(t-s),$$

$$e_m(t, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \partial_s^k \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} s^k \phi_0(t-s) \right\}, \quad m=1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2.5) \quad e_m^*(t, s) = 2e_m(t, s) - \int e_m(t, r) e_m(t-cr, s-r) dr, \quad m=1, 2, \dots.$$

Then we have the following results:

LEMMA 2.1. *The functions ϕ , e_m and e_m^* introduced above belong to the space $\mathcal{K}_0(I)$. Further ϕ , e_m and e_m^* belong to the space $\mathcal{K}_0(J)$ for any open interval $J \supset I$.*

LEMMA 2.2 (*Integral representation of distributions*). Let $0 < c \leq 1$ and $m = l + h$ where l and h are non negative integers. Let ϕ, e_m^* be the functions as above. If f is an X -valued distribution on I , then it can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) = & \int_0^c \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{0,h} \left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau} \right), u_l(\tau, s) \right\rangle_s \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^h \int_0^c \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{0,m+j} \left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau} \right), u_{jh}(\tau, s) \right\rangle_s \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\ & + \frac{1}{c} \left\langle e_m^* \left(t, \frac{t-s}{c} \right), f(s) \right\rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ denotes the pairing of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}; X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{i,j}(t, s) &= \partial_t^i \partial_s^j \phi(t, s), \\ u_l(\tau, t) &= \int_\tau^c \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau'} \right)^l \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \tau'^k \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau'} \phi_{k,m+l-k} \left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau'} \right), f(s) \right\rangle_s \frac{d\tau'}{\tau'}, \\ u_{jh}(\tau, s) &= (-\tau)^{h-j} \binom{h}{j} \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tau'}{\tau} \right)^h \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau'} \phi_{h-j,l} \left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau'} \right), f(s) \right\rangle_s \frac{d\tau'}{\tau'}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.1 (*Characterization of Besov spaces*). Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}$ and m a non-negative integer such that $m > \sigma$, and $0 < c \leq 1$. An X -valued distribution f on I belongs to the space $B_{p,q}^\sigma(I; X)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \phi \left(t, \frac{t-s}{c} \right), f(s) \right\rangle_s &\in L^p(I; X) \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I), \\ \tau^{-\sigma} \left\langle \phi \left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau} \right), f(s) \right\rangle_s &\in L_*^q((0, c); L^p(I; X)) \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathcal{K}_m(I). \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.1. (A) Let m, h and l be integers such that $-h < \sigma < l, m = l + h$. Set

$$\psi_k(t, s) = \partial_t^k \partial_s^{l-k} e_m^*(t, s), \quad k = 0, \dots, l.$$

Then $f \in B_{p,q}^\sigma(I; X)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-\sigma} \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{k,m+l-k} \left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau} \right), f(r) \right\rangle_\tau &\in L_*^q((0, c); L^p(I; X)) \\ &\text{for } k = 0, \dots, l, \\ \tau^{-\sigma} \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{h-j,l} \left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau} \right), f(r) \right\rangle_\tau &\in L_*^q((0, c); L^p(I; X)) \\ &\text{for } j = 0, \dots, h, \\ \left\langle \phi_k \left(t, \frac{t-s}{c} \right), f(s) \right\rangle_s &\in L^p(I; X) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, l. \end{aligned}$$

(B) Furthermore, the norm of f in $B_{p,q}^\sigma(I, X)$ is equivalent with the sum of the corresponding norms of the above functions.

COROLLARY 2.1. *We have the following inclusions:*

$$(2.6) \quad B_{\infty,q_1}^{\sigma_1}(I; X) \subset B_{\infty,q_2}^{\sigma_2}(I; X) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty, \sigma_2 < \sigma_1.$$

$$(2.7) \quad B_{\infty,q_1}^\sigma(I; X) \subset B_{\infty,q_2}^\sigma(I; X) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}.$$

$$(2.8) \quad B_{\infty,1}^0(I; X) \subset L^\infty(I; X).$$

$$(2.9) \quad B_{\infty,1}^m(I; X) \subset C^m([0, T]; X) \quad \text{if } m \text{ is a non negative integer.}$$

$$(2.10) \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^\theta(I; X) = C^\theta([0, T]; X) \quad \text{for } 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Further the inclusions (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) are continuous.

REMARK 2.2. From the inclusions (2.6) and (2.10), it follows that

$$C^\gamma([0, T]; X) \subset B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X) \quad \text{for } 1-\theta < \gamma \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$. If $g \in B_{p,q}^\sigma(I; X)$, then there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$g_n \in B_{p,q}^\sigma(I; X) \cap C^1([0, T]; X),$$

$$g_n \longrightarrow g \quad \text{in } B_{p,q}^\sigma(I; X) \cap L^1(I; X) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

3. Analytic semigroups with weak singularity

In this section we briefly state properties of analytic semigroups with weak singularity which will be used in the following section.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that a linear operator \mathfrak{A} satisfies conditions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) $_\theta$ for $0 < \theta < 1$. Then we have the following:*

(3.1) *The operator \mathfrak{A} generates a semigroup $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ on X which is analytic in the sector $\Delta(\omega)$.*

(3.2) *The operators $\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ and $(d^m/dz^m)e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ are bounded operators on X for any non-negative integer m and $z \in \Delta(\omega)$, and satisfy the following relation and estimate.*

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) = \mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}, \quad z \in \Delta(\omega).$$

$$\|\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq M_m |z|^{\theta-1-m}, \quad z \in \Delta(\omega).$$

Here the letter M_m is a constant depending on m and ω .

PROOF. We can define the semigroup $e^{z\mathfrak{A}}$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < \omega$ as follows:

$$e^{z\mathfrak{A}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

Here Γ is a path in the set $\Sigma(\varepsilon)$ such that $\Gamma = -\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ where

$$\Gamma_1 = \{re^{-i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)}; 0 \leq r < \infty\}.$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \{re^{i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)}; 0 \leq r < \infty\}.$$

Then, according to Theorem 5.3 of Taira [3], we have the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for $m=0, 1$. In the following we show the condition (3.2) for general $m \geq 2$.

First we show the following formula:

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad m \geq 1, z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon).$$

For $z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda \in \Gamma_1$, we set

$$z = |z| e^{i\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \varepsilon,$$

$$\lambda = re^{-i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)}, \quad 0 \leq r < \infty.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{z\lambda}| &= |e^{|z|r(\cos(\alpha-\pi/2-\varepsilon)+i\sin(\alpha-\pi/2-\varepsilon))}| \\ &= e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that for $z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda \in \Gamma_1$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq r^m e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon-\alpha)} \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(1+r)^\theta}.$$

Similarly, for $z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$, we let

$$z = |z| e^{i\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \varepsilon,$$

$$\lambda = re^{i(\pi/2+\varepsilon)}, \quad 0 \leq r < \infty.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{z\lambda}| &= |e^{|z|r(\cos(\alpha+\pi/2+\varepsilon)+i\sin(\alpha+\pi/2+\varepsilon))}| \\ &= e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that for $z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$ and $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$

$$(3.5) \quad \|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq r^m e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon+\alpha)} \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{(1+r)^\theta}.$$

If $z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$, we have by the estimates (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma} \|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}\| d\lambda \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_i} \|\lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}\| d\lambda \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^m}{(1+r)^\theta} (e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \alpha)} + e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon + \alpha)}) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho = |z|r$. By interchanging the integral order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{r^m}{(1+r)^\theta} (e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \alpha)} + e^{-|z|r \cdot \sin(\varepsilon + \alpha)}) dr \\ & = \int_0^\infty (\rho/|z|)^m \left(\frac{1}{1+\rho/|z|} \right)^\theta (e^{-\rho \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \alpha)} + e^{-\rho \cdot \sin(\varepsilon + \alpha)}) \frac{d\rho}{|z|} \\ & \leq |z|^{\theta-1-m} \int_0^\infty \rho^{m-\theta} (e^{-\rho \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \alpha)} + e^{-\rho \cdot \sin(\varepsilon + \alpha)}) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sin(\varepsilon - \alpha) > 0$ and $\sin(\varepsilon + \alpha) > 0$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty \rho^{m-\theta} (e^{-\rho \cdot \sin(\varepsilon - \alpha)} + e^{-\rho \cdot \sin(\varepsilon + \alpha)}) d\rho < \infty.$$

This implies that the operator $\int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda$ is bounded on X for $z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$.

Further we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) \right\| \leq C |z|^{\theta-1-m}, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon).$$

Here the letter C is a constant depending on m and ω .

Next, using induction on m , we show that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) = \mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon).$$

By Theorem 5.3 of [3], we have the equality (3.8) for $m=1$. We assume that the equality (3.8) holds for $m \geq 1$. Then it follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}} (e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^{m+1} e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} \lambda (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark that $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} = 1 + \lambda(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1}$, it follows that

$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} d\lambda.$$

The closedness of \mathfrak{A} tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{A} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda &= \mathfrak{A} \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} (\mathfrak{A}-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{A} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) \\ &= \mathfrak{A}^{m+1} e^{z\mathfrak{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \lambda^m e^{z\lambda} d\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}}(e^{z\mathfrak{A}}) = \mathfrak{A}^{m+1} e^{z\mathfrak{A}}, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon).$$

The statements (3.7) and (3.8) imply that

$$\|\mathfrak{A}^m e^{z\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq M_m |z|^{\theta-1-m}, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon), \quad m \geq 1$$

with a constant $M_m > 0$ depending on m and ω .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 by following the proof of Theorem B of Muramatu [1]. If there exists a solution u of the problem (*) for $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}((-\mathfrak{A})^\alpha)$ with $1-\theta < \alpha < \theta$, we can uniquely write the solution in the following form:

$$u(t) = e^{t\mathfrak{A}} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}} f(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

First we verify that u satisfies the condition (1.1). Theorem 1.3 tells us that

$$e^{t\mathfrak{A}} u_0 \in C([0, T]; X) \cap C^1((0, T]; X).$$

So, it suffices to show that

$$F(\cdot) = \int_0^\cdot e^{(\cdot-s)\mathfrak{A}} f(s) ds \in C([0, T]; X) \cap C^1((0, T]; X).$$

Since it is clear that $f \in B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X)$ implies $F \in C([0, T]; X)$, we have only to verify that $F \in C^1((0, T]; X)$. By Corollary 2.1, we have

$$B_{\infty,1}^1((\varepsilon, T); X) \subset C^1([\varepsilon, T]; X) \quad \text{for any } 0 < \varepsilon < T.$$

Therefore, if $F \in B_{\infty,1}^1((\varepsilon, T); X)$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < T$, it follows that $F \in C^1((0, T]; X)$.

Let I_ε be the open interval (ε, T) . In the following we simply write $\int_{\mathcal{R}}$ as \int . In order to verify that $F \in B_{\infty,1}^1(I_\varepsilon; X)$, we apply Theorem 2.1 with $I = I_\varepsilon$ and $m = 4$. That is, we show that the function F satisfies the following conditions for $0 < c \leq 1$:

$$(4.1) \quad \int \phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s}{c}\right) F(s) ds \in L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X) \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \tau^{-1} \int \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s}{\tau}\right) F(s) ds \in L_*^1((0, c); L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X))$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_4(I_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{K}_4(I)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.1(A)).

First, we show that F satisfies the condition (4.1). Since ϕ satisfies the condition (2.3), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \int \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right) F(s) ds = \int_0^T \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right) \left(\int_0^s e^{(s-r)\alpha} f(r) dr \right) ds.$$

By interchanging the integral order of s and r and by integration by substitution with $s-r = s'$, the right hand of (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right) \left(\int_0^s e^{(s-r)\alpha} f(r) dr \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\int_r^T \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right) e^{(s-r)\alpha} ds \right) f(r) dr \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\int_0^{T-r} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\right) e^{s'\alpha} ds' \right) f(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by interchanging the integral order of s and r , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\int_0^{T-r} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\right) e^{s'\alpha} ds' \right) f(r) dr \\ &= \int_0^T e^{s'\alpha} ds' \int_0^{T-s'} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\right) f(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{c}\right) F(s) ds = \int_0^T e^{s'\alpha} ds' \int_0^{T-s'} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s'-r}{c}\right) f(r) dr.$$

Now we cite a lemma which we use in order to estimate the right term (cf. Muramatu [1], Lemma 3).

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $f \in L^1(I; X)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon)$.*

Then there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s - r}{\tau}\right) f(r) dr \right\|_{L^p(I; X)} \leq M_1 \tau^{-1+1/p} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)}$$

for $0 \leq s \leq T$.

By making use of Lemma 4.1 and the estimate:

$$\|e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq Ms^{\theta-1}, \quad s > 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & \left\| \int \phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s}{c}\right) F(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ & \leq \int_0^T \|e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\| ds \left\| \int_0^{T-s} \phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s - r}{c}\right) f(r) dr \right\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the following the letter C is a general constant independent of f .

Next we show that F satisfies the condition (4.2). Let $0 < \tau \leq c$, $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_4(I_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{K}_4(I)$ and

$$U(\tau, t) = \int \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right) F(s) ds.$$

We divide the integral with respect to s into two parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\tau, t) &= \int \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right) F(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^T e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\right) f(r) dr \\ &= \left(\int_0^\tau + \int_\tau^T \right) e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau}\right) f(r) dr \\ &\equiv U_1(\tau, t) + U_2(\tau, t). \end{aligned}$$

We cite a lemma which is used in order to estimate U_1 and U_2 (cf. Muramatu [1], Lemma 4).

LEMMA 4.2. Assume that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \tau \leq c$, $f \in L^1(I; X)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon)$. Then there exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot - s - r}{\tau}\right) f(r) dr \right\|_{L^p(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{s^j}{j!} \|u_j(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^p(I; X)} + M_2 s^3 \tau^{-1+1/p} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon$. Here

$$u_j(\tau, t) = \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{j,0} \left(t, \frac{t-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr,$$

$$\phi_{j,k}(t, s) = \partial_t^j \partial_s^k \phi(t, s).$$

Now we may assume that $0 < c \leq \varepsilon$. Lemma 4.2 gives that

$$(4.5) \quad \|U_1(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)}$$

$$\leq \int_0^\tau \|e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\| ds \left\| \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi \left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot-s-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr \right\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)}$$

$$\leq \int_0^\tau M s^{\theta-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{s^j}{j!} \|u_j(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + M_2 s^3 \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right) ds$$

$$\leq C \tau^\theta \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \tau^j \|u_j(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + \tau^2 \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right).$$

Since $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_4(I_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{K}_4(I)$, we can represent ϕ as $\phi(t, s) = \partial_s^4 \psi(t, s)$ where $\psi \in \mathcal{K}_0(I_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{K}_0(I)$. By interchanging the integral order, we have

$$U_2(\tau, t) = \int_\tau^T e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr$$

$$= \int_0^{T-\tau} \left(\int_\tau^{T-r} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \right) f(r) dr$$

$$= \int_0^{T-\tau} \left(\int_\tau^{T-r} \frac{1}{\tau} \psi_{0,4} \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \right) f(r) dr$$

where $\psi_{i,j}(t, s) = \partial_t^i \partial_s^j \psi(t, s)$. By integration by parts, it follows that

$$\int_\tau^{T-r} \frac{1}{\tau} \psi_{0,4} \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds = \sum_{k=0}^3 \psi_{0,k} \left(t, \frac{t-\tau-r}{\tau} \right) (\tau \mathfrak{A})^{3-k} e^{\tau \mathfrak{A}}$$

$$+ \int_\tau^{T-r} \tau^3 \psi \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) \mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds.$$

Hence we obtain that

$$(4.6) \quad U_2(\tau, t) = \int_0^{T-\tau} \left(\sum_{k=0}^3 \psi_{0,k} \left(t, \frac{t-\tau-r}{\tau} \right) (\tau \mathfrak{A})^{3-k} e^{\tau \mathfrak{A}} \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_\tau^{T-r} \tau^3 \psi \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) \mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \right) f(r) dr.$$

We write the first and second terms of (4.6) as

$$V_k(\tau, t) = \tau (\tau \mathfrak{A})^{3-k} e^{\tau \mathfrak{A}} \int_0^{T-\tau} \frac{1}{\tau} \psi_{0,k} \left(t, \frac{t-\tau-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr, \quad k=0, 1, 2, 3,$$

$$V_4(\tau, t) = \int_0^{T-\tau} \left(\int_\tau^{T-r} \tau^3 \psi \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) \mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \right) f(r) dr,$$

respectively. That is, $U_2(\tau, t)$ is written as

$$U_2(\tau, t) = \sum_{k=0}^3 V_k(\tau, t) + V_4(\tau, t).$$

By noting that

$$\|\mathfrak{A}^m e^{t\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq M_m t^{\theta-1-m}, \quad t > 0$$

with a constant $M_m > 0$ for $m=0, 1, 2, \dots$, Lemma 4.2 gives that

$$(4.7) \quad \|V_k(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \leq C\tau^\theta \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \|\tau^j v_{jk}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + \tau^2 \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right) \\ \text{for } k=0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Here

$$v_{jk}(\tau, t) = \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{j,k} \left(t, \frac{t-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr, \quad j=0, 1, 2, k=0, 1, 2, 3.$$

$V_4(\tau, t)$ is, by interchanging the integral order of s and r , written by the following form:

$$V_4(\tau, t) = \tau^4 \int_\tau^T \mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}} ds \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi \left(t, \frac{t-s-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr.$$

Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 give that

$$(4.8) \quad \|V_4(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ \leq \tau^4 \left(\int_\tau^\varepsilon + \int_\varepsilon^T \right) \|\mathfrak{A}^4 e^{s\mathfrak{A}}\| ds \left\| \int_0^{T-s} \frac{1}{\tau} \phi \left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot-s-r}{\tau} \right) f(r) dr \right\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ \leq \tau^4 \int_\tau^\varepsilon M_4 s^{\theta-5} \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{s^j}{j!} \|v_{j0}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + M_2 s^3 \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right) ds \\ + \tau^4 \int_\varepsilon^T M_4 s^{\theta-5} \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} ds \\ \leq C\tau^4 \int_\tau^\infty s^{\theta-5} \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 s^j \|v_{j0}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + s^3 \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right) ds \\ C\tau^4 \int_\varepsilon^T s^{\theta-5} \tau^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} ds \\ \leq C\tau^\theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \tau^j \|v_{j0}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + (\tau^2 + \tau^{3-\theta}) \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right).$$

Hence we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|U_2(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^4 \|V_k(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ \leq C \sum_{k=0}^3 \tau^\theta \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \tau^j \|v_{jk}(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} + (\tau^2 + \tau^{3-\theta}) \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right).$$

By the estimates (4.5) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad & \|\tau^{-1}U(\tau, t)\|_{L^1_\tau((0, c); L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X))} \\
&= \int_0^c \tau^{-1} \|U(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\
&\leq C \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \|\tau^{-(1-\theta)} u_j(\tau, t)\|_{L^1_\tau((0, c); L^\infty(I; X))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{k=0}^3 \|\tau^{-(1-\theta)} v_{jk}(\tau, t)\|_{L^1_\tau((0, c); L^\infty(I; X))} + \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1 (B), it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \|\tau^{-1}U(\tau, t)\|_{L^1_\tau((0, c); L^\infty(I_\varepsilon; X))} \leq C(\|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X)} + \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)}).$$

It has been proved that F satisfies the condition (4.2).

Now, by making use of Remark 2.1 (B), the estimates (4.6) and (4.11) imply that

$$(4.12) \quad \|F\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1(I_\varepsilon; X)} \leq C(\|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X)} + \|f\|_{L^1(I; X)}).$$

Now we verify that u , given by the formula

$$u(t) = e^{t\mathfrak{A}} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}} f(s) ds,$$

satisfies the conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Theorem 1.3 tells us that $e^{t\mathfrak{A}} u_0$ satisfies the conditions (1.2) and (1.3). By virtue of Theorem 2.2, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad f_n \in B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X) \cap C^1([0, T]; X),$$

$$(4.14) \quad f_n \longrightarrow f \quad \text{in } B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X) \cap L^1(I; X).$$

We let

$$F_n(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathfrak{A}} f_n(s) ds.$$

Then we have by Theorem 1.3

$$F_n \in C^1((0, T]; X),$$

$$F_n(t) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$\frac{dF_n}{dt}(t) = \mathfrak{A}F_n(t) + f_n(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

By applying the inequality (4.12) to $f - f_n$ and $F - F_n$, we have

$$\|F - F_n\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1(I_\varepsilon; X)} \leq C(\|f - f_n\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X)} + \|f - f_n\|_{L^1(I; X)}).$$

Using the statement (4.14), we obtain that

$$(4.15) \quad \|F - F_n\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1(I_\varepsilon; X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathfrak{A}F_n - \frac{dF}{dt} + f \right\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ &= \left\| -f_n + \frac{dF_n}{dt} - \frac{dF}{dt} + f \right\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)} + \left\| \frac{dF_n}{dt} - \frac{dF}{dt} \right\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the two terms of the right. The inclusion (2.6) and the statement (4.14) tell us that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)} &\leq C \|f_n - f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I_\varepsilon; X)} \\ &\leq C \|f_n - f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}(I; X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The definition of Besov spaces and (4.15) give that

$$(4.17) \quad \left\| \frac{dF_n}{dt} - \frac{dF}{dt} \right\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)} \leq \|F_n - F\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1(I_\varepsilon; X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), it follows that

$$(4.18) \quad \left\| \mathfrak{A}F_n - \frac{dF}{dt} - f \right\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I_\varepsilon; X)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

By using the inclusion (2.8), if $t \in I_\varepsilon$, the statements (4.15) and (4.18) imply that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(t) &\longrightarrow F(t) \quad \text{in } X, \\ \mathfrak{A}F_n(t) &\longrightarrow \frac{dF}{dt}(t) - f(t) \quad \text{in } X. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the closedness of \mathfrak{A} , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \\ \mathfrak{A}F(t) &= \frac{dF}{dt}(t) - f(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is now complete.

REMARK 4.1. The proof of Theorem 1.4 tells us that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$f \in B_{\infty,1}^{1-\theta}((0, T); X) \implies F \in B_{\infty,1}^1((\varepsilon, T); X).$$

This implies that the regularity of F is as maximal as possible. In other words, if $\sigma > 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, it does not necessarily hold that $F \in B_{\infty,q}^\sigma((\varepsilon, T); X)$ if

$$f \in B_{\infty,1}^{l-\theta}((0, T); X).$$

References

- [1] Muramatu, T., Besov spaces and analytic semigroups of linear operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 42 (1990), 133-146.
- [2] Pazy, A., Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [3] Taira, K., The theory of semigroups with weak singularity and its applications to partial differential equations, Tsukuba J. Math., Vol. 13 (1989), 513-562.
- [4] Tanabe, H., Equations of evolutions, Iwanami-Shoten, Tokyo, 1975 (Japanese); English translation: Pitman, London, 1979.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305
Japan