

A REMARK ON ARTIN-SCHREIER CURVES WHOSE HASSE-WITT MAPS ARE THE ZERO MAPS

By

Susumu IROKAWA and Ryuji SASAKI

1. Introduction

Let X be a complete non-singular algebraic curve over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic p . Let $F: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be the Frobenius homomorphism $F(\alpha) = \alpha^p$, and denote the induced p -linear map $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ again by F , which is called the Hasse-Witt map. The dimension of the semi-simple subspace $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_s$ of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is denoted by $\sigma(X)$ and called the p -rank of a curve X , which is equal to the p -rank of the Jacobian variety of X .

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a p -cyclic covering of complete non-singular curves over k . Then the Deuring-Šafarevič formula is the following:

$$\sigma(X) - 1 + r = p(\sigma(Y) - 1 + r) \quad (1.1)$$

where r is the number of the ramification points with respect to π (see Subrao [10], Deuring [3], Šafarevič [8]).

An algebraic curve X , which is not birationally equivalent to \mathbf{P}^1 , is called an Artin-Schreier curve if there is a p -cyclic covering $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Then the p -rank $\sigma(X)$ of X is immediately known by the above formula, however the rank of the Hasse-Witt map is not known. In this article, we shall prove the following.

THEOREM. *Let X be an Artin-Schreier curve defined over an algebraically closed field k , of positive characteristic p . Then the Hasse-Witt map of X is the zero map if and only if X is birationally equivalent to the complete non-singular algebraic curve defined by the equation*

$$y^p - y = x^l$$

for some divisor l ($l \geq 2$) of $p+1$.

The Jacobian variety of a curve X is isomorphic to the product of supersingular elliptic curves if and only if the Cartier operator is the zero map

(Nygaard [7]). Since the Cartier operator is the transpose of the Hasse-Witt map, our theorem gives the Artin-Schreier curves whose Jacobian variety is isomorphic to the product of super-singular elliptic curves.

2. Basic for $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$

Let X be an Artin-Schreier curve, hence there is a p -cyclic covering $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Let $\mathbf{k}(X)$ and $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ denote the function fields, and we regard $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ as contained in $\mathbf{k}(X)$. The fields $\mathbf{k}(X)$ and $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ can be expressed in the following:

$$\mathbf{k}(X) = k(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{P}^1) = k(x)$$

where

$$y^p - y = f(x), \quad f(x) \in k(x).$$

Moreover, we can assume that $f(x)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$f(x) = \frac{G(x)}{(x - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \cdots (x - \alpha_n)^{e_n}} \tag{2.1}$$

where

- (1) $G(x)$ is a polynomial in $k[x]$,
- (2) e_i 's are positive integers prime to p ,
- (3) $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ if $i \neq j$ and $G(\alpha_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- (4) $\deg G(x) - (e_1 + \dots + e_n) = e_0$ is a positive integer relatively prime to p .

Then the points of \mathbf{P}^1 which ramify in $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ are exactly $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \infty\}$. If we denote by P_1, \dots, P_n and P_0 the points in X lying over $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and ∞ , then the divisor of the differential dx on X is given by

$$\text{div}(dx) = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i + 1)(p - 1)P_i - (2p - (e_0 + 1)(p - 1))P_0. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence the genus $g(X)$ of X is given by the formula

$$2g(X) - 2 = \deg(\text{div}(dx)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i + 1)(p - 1) - 2p. \tag{2.3}$$

In the sequel, for a real number, a , we denote by $[a]$ the largest integer not exceeding a . Further we denote by $|S|$ the cardinality of a finite set S .

We define finite sets of differentials;

$$H_0 = \{y^r x^b dx \mid (e_0 + 1)(p - 1) - re_0 - (b + 2)p \geq 0, \\ 0 \leq b \leq e_0 - 2, 0 \leq r \leq p - 1\}$$

and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$H_i = \left\{ \frac{y^r dx}{(x - \alpha_i)^a} \mid (e_i + 1)(p - 1) - r e_i - a p \geq 0, 1 \leq a \leq e_i, 0 \leq r \leq p - 2 \right\}.$$

Then we have the following ;

LEMMA.

- 1) $|H_0| = \frac{1}{2}(e_0 - 1)(p - 1)$
- 2) $|H_i| = \frac{1}{2}(e_i + 1)(p - 1)$
- 3) $|H_0| + |H_1| + \dots + |H_n| = g(X)$
- 4) $\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$ forms a basis for $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$.

PROOF. By the conditions defining the set $|H_0|$, we have

$$\frac{(e_0 - b - 1)p - 1}{e_0} - 1 \geq r \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For each b with $0 \leq b \leq e_0 - 2$, the number of r satisfying (2.4) is given by

$$\varphi(b) = \left[\frac{(b_0 - e - 1)p - 1}{e_0} \right].$$

Hence we have

$$|H_0| = \sum_{b=0}^{e_0-2} \varphi(b) = \sum_{b=0}^{e_0-2} \left[\frac{(e_0 - b - 1)p - 1}{e_0} \right].$$

Since $(p, e_0) = 1$, the set $\{(e_0 - 1)p, (e_0 - 2)p, \dots, 1 \cdot p, 0\}$ gives a complete set of representatives of \mathbf{Z} modulo $e_0 \mathbf{Z}$, hence so does $\{(e_0 - 1)p - 1, (e_0 - 2)p - 1, \dots, 1 \cdot p - 1, 0 - 1\}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{0}{e_0} + \frac{1}{e_0} + \dots + \frac{e_0 - 2}{e_0} &= \sum_{b=0}^{e_0-2} \left\{ \frac{(e_0 - b - 1)p - 1}{e_0} - \left[\frac{(e_0 - b - 1)p - 1}{e_0} \right] \right\} \\ &= (e_0 - 1) \frac{(e_0 - 1)p - 1}{e_0} - \frac{p}{e_0} \sum_{b=0}^{e_0-2} b = |H_0|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_0| &= (e_0 - 1) \frac{(e_0 - 1)p - 1}{e_0} - \frac{(p + 1)(e_0 - 1)(e_0 - 2)}{2e_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2e_0} (e_0 - 1) \{ 2(e_0 - 1)p - 2 - (p + 1)(e_0 - 2) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (e_0 - 1)(p - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of 1).

As the equality in 2) is proved in the same way, we shall omit its proof. 3) is a direct consequence of 1), 2) and (2.3).

As is easily seen, the divisors of the rational functions x , y and $x - \alpha_i$ on X , are given by

$$\operatorname{div}(x) = (x)_0 - pP_0,$$

$$\operatorname{div}(y) = (y)_0 - \sum_{i=0}^n e_i P_i,$$

$$\operatorname{div}(x - \alpha_i) = p(P_i - P_0),$$

where $(x)_0$ and $(y)_0$ are the divisors of zeros of x and y , respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{y^r dx}{(x - \alpha_i)^a}\right) &= r(y)_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \{(e_i + 1)(p - 1) - re_i - ap\} P_i \\ &\quad + \{(e_0 + 1)(p - 1) - re_0 + (a - 2)p\} P_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(y^r x^b dx) &= r(y)_0 + b(x)_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \{(e_i + 1)(p - 1) - re_i\} P_i \\ &\quad + \{(e_0 + 1)(p - 1) - re_0 - (b + 2)p\} P_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that every element in H_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$) is a holomorphic 1-form. The elements in $\bigcup_{i=0}^n H_i$ are linearly independent over k , since otherwise $[k(x, y) : k(x)]$ would be smaller than p . Thus, by 3), we get 4).

3. Proof of the theorem

We adopt the same notation as before. Let $C : H^0(X, \Omega_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ be the Cartier operator of X . (For the definition and properties of C , we refer to Cartier [1], [2] and Seshadri [9].) Then it satisfies

$$C((f_0^p + f_1^p x + \cdots + f_{p-1}^p x^{p-1}) dx) = f_{p-1} dx, \quad (3.1)$$

because x is a separable element of $k(x, y)$ over k and any element f in $k(x, y)$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$f = f_0^p + f_1^p x + \cdots + f_{p-1}^p x^{p-1}.$$

Since the Cartier operator is the transpose of the Hasse-Witt map $F : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, it suffices to determine Artin-Schreier curves whose Cartier operator is the zero map.

Now we shall prove the "if" part. Let X be the curve defined by

$$y^p - y = x^l$$

where l is a divisor of $p+1$ and $l \geq 2$. By the Lemma in the section 2, we can write a basis for $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ in the following way;

$$\begin{aligned} dx, xdx, \dots, x^{s_0}dx, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \\ y^r dx, y^r xdx, \dots, y^r x^{s_r}dx, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq r \leq p - (r+1)/l - 1$ and $s_r = [l - 1 - ((r+1)l + 1)/p]$. Then we have

$$l - 2 \geq s_0 \geq s_1 \geq \dots.$$

Since $y^r = (y^p - x^l)^r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(y^r x^b dx) &= C\left(\sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} y^{p(r-k)} (-x^l)^k x^b dx\right) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^r \binom{r}{h}^{1/p} (-1)^{h/p} y^{r-h} C(x^{lh+b} dx), \end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{r}{h}$ is the binomial coefficient. To prove that C is the zero map, it is sufficient to show

$$C(x^{lh+b} dx) = 0$$

for all r, b and h satisfying

$$0 \leq r \leq p-1, \quad 0 \leq h \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq b \leq s_r.$$

By (3.1), $C(x^{lh+b} dx) \neq 0$ if and only if $lh+b \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Suppose there exist h and b satisfying

$$0 \leq h \leq r \leq p-1, \quad 0 \leq b \leq s_r$$

and

$$lh+b = ip-1$$

for some $i > 0$. Let $p+1 = lm$. Then we have

$$lh+b = i(lm-1) - 1 = ilm - i - 1 < ilm$$

and

$$i = \frac{lh+b+1}{p} \leq \frac{l(p-1)+l-1}{p} < l.$$

hence

$$h \leq im-1 \quad \text{and} \quad i \leq l-1. \tag{3.2}$$

If $h = im - t, t \geq 1$, then $r \geq im - t = h$; hence

$$\begin{aligned} b &= lt - i - 1 \leq s_r \leq s_{i_{m-1}} \\ &= \left[l - 1 - \frac{(im - t + 1)l + 1}{p} \right] \leq l - 2. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), we have $t=1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} lh + b &\leq (im - 1)l + s_{i_{m-1}} \\ &= (im - 1)l + \left[l - 1 - \frac{iml + 1}{p} \right] \\ &\leq (im - 1)l + l - i - 2 = iml - i - 2 \\ &< iml - i - 1 = ip - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus we have $C(x^{lh+b}dx) = 0$.

Next we shall prove the "only if" part. Let X be an Artin-Schreier curve whose Hasse-Witt map is the zero map; hence the p -rank $\sigma(X)$ is zero. Then by (1.1), we see that X is defined by an equation

$$y^p - y = f(x),$$

where

$$f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2 \text{ and } (n, p) = 1.$$

As above,

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \{y^r x^b dx \mid (e_0 + 1)(p - 1) - re_0 - (b + 2)p \geq 0, \\ &\quad 0 \leq b \leq e_0 - 2, 0 \leq r \leq p - 1\} \end{aligned}$$

forms a basis for $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} C(y^r x^b dx) &= C((y^p - f)^r x^b dx) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^r \binom{r}{h}^{1/p} (-1)^{h/p} y^{r-h} C(f^h x^b dx), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$C(f^h x^b dx) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for all h, r and b satisfying $0 \leq h \leq r \leq p - 1, 0 \leq b \leq n - 2$ and

$$(n + 1)(p - 1) - (b + 2)p - rn \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By (3.3) with $r=0$, we have

$$C(dx) = C(x dx) = \cdots = C(x^{s_0} dx) = 0$$

where $s_0 = [n - 1 - (n + 1)/p]$. Since $C(x^{p-1} dx) = dx$, we must have $[n - 1 - (n + 1)/p] \leq p - 2$. It follows that $n \leq p + 1$ noticing that $(p, n) = 1$. Assume $n \leq p$; hence $n \leq p - 1$. Then there exists $l \geq 1$ such that

$$ln+1 \leq p < (l+1)n+1.$$

Again by $(p, n)=1$, we have

$$ln+1 \leq p \leq (l+1)n-1. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(f^l) &= ln, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ \deg(f^l x^{s_l}) &= ln + \left[n-1 - \frac{(l+1)n+1}{p} \right] = (l+1)n-3. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $p-1=ln+b$, $0 \leq b \leq s_l$. Then we have $f^l x^b = x^{p-1} + g(x)$ where $g(x)$ is polynomial in $k[x]$ of degree $\leq p-2$; hence we have

$$C(f^l x^b dx) = dx.$$

This contradicts to (3.3). Therefore we have

$$p-1 \geq ln + s_l + 1 = ln + n - 2. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$p-1 = (l+1)n-2, \quad \text{i.e. } p+1 = (l+1)n.$$

Thus in any case we have

$$p+1 = ln \tag{3.7}$$

for some $l \geq 1$. Since $(n, p)=1$, we can write

$$f = x^n + a_i x^i + \dots + a_0$$

with $i \leq n-2$ and

$$f^l = x^{ln} + l a_i x^{i+(l-1)n} + \dots + a_0^l. \tag{3.8}$$

(1) Assume $n \geq 3$ and $l \geq 2$. If $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, then

$$0 \leq n-i-2 \leq n-3 = s_l = \left[n-1 - \frac{(l+1)n+1}{p} \right]$$

and

$$i+(l-1)n+n-i-2 = ln-2 = p-1.$$

By (3.3), we have

$$C(f^l x^{n-i-2} dx) = (l a_i)^{1/p} dx = 0.$$

Hence f must be of the form

$$f(x) = x^n + a_0.$$

(2) Assume $n \geq 4$ and $l=1$. If $2 \leq i \leq n-2$, then

$$0 \leq n-i-2 \leq n-4 = s_l = \left[n-1 - \frac{2n+1}{p} \right]$$

and

$$i+n-i-2=n-2=p-1.$$

By the same reason as above, we have

$$f(x)=x^n+a_1x+a_0.$$

(3) If $n=2$, then we have

$$f(x)=x^2+a_0.$$

(4) If $n=3$ and $l=1$, then we have $p=2$ and

$$f(x)=x^3+a_1x+a_0.$$

On the other hand, the curves defined by

$$y^p-y=x^{p+1}+ax+b, \quad (a, b \in k),$$

are isomorphic to each other and all the curves defined by

$$y^p-y=x^n+a, \quad (a \in k),$$

are isomorphic to each other. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] P. Cartier, Questions de rationalite des diviseurs én géometrie algébrique, Bull. Soc. math. France **86** (1958), 177-251.
- [2] P. Cartier, Une nouvelle opération sur les formes différentielles, Compt. Rend. Paris **244** (1957), 426-428.
- [3] M. Deuring, Automorphismen und Divisorenklassen der Ordnung 1 in algebraischen Funktionenkörpern, Math. Ann. **113** (1936), 208-215.
- [4] H. Hasse, Theorie der relativ-zyklischen algebraischen Funktionen-Körper, insbesondere bei endlichem Konstantenkörper, J. reine angew. Math. **172** (1935), 37-54.
- [5] H. Hasse and E. Witt, Zyklische unverzweigte Erweiterungskörper vom Primzahlgrade p über einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper der Charakteristik p , Mh. Math. Phys. **43** (1936), 477-492.
- [6] M.L. Madan, On a theorem of M. Deuring and I.R. Šafarevič, Manuscripta math. **23** (1977), 91-102.
- [7] N.O. Nygaard, Slopes of powers of Frobenius on crystalline cohomology, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **14** (1981), 369-401.
- [8] I.R. Šafarevič, On p -extensions, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Series II vol. **4** (1954), 59-71.
- [9] C.J. Seshadri, L'opération de Cartier. Applications, in "Séminaire C. Chevalley, E.N.S. 1958/59", Secrétariat Math. Paris 1960.
- [10] D. Subrao, The p -rank of Artin-Schreier curves, Manuscripta math. **16** (1975), 169-193.

Susumu Irokawa
 Institute of Mathematics
 University of Tsukuba
 Ibaraki 305
 Japan

Ryuji Sasaki
 Department of Mathematics
 College of Science and Technology,
 Nihon University
 Kanda, Tokyo 101
 Japan