

NOTE ON THE TAYLOR EXPANSION OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS DEFINED ON SOBOLEV SPACES

By

Atsushi YOSHIKAWA*

§ 1. Introduction

It is well-known that the Sobolev spaces $H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (with norm $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$) are multiplicative algebras when $\sigma > n/2$. Let $u \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be real valued. If f is a rapidly decreasing function on the real line, i. e., $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, then we may speak of the composite function $f(u)$, which again belongs to $H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ provided $f(0)=0$ (See Rauch and Reed [1]). As for more precise results including higher order Taylor expansions, we have the following

THEOREM. *Suppose $\sigma > (n/2)+1$, and u and $v \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are real valued. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$. Consider the m -th remainder*

$$(1.1) \quad R_m(f)(v; u) = f(v+u) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(v) u^k$$

of the Taylor expansion of $f(v+u)$ around $u=0$ ($m=1, 2, \dots$). Then $R_m(f)(v; u) \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and, for $0 \leq s \leq \sigma$,

$$(1.2) \quad \|R_m(f)(v; u)\|_s \leq A_{m,s} (1 + \|v\|_{\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)} + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)}) \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{m!} \|u^m\|_s + \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \|u\|_{(2m)}^m \|\nabla u\|_{\text{Max}(s, 1)}^{\text{Max}(s, 1)} \right),$$

where $A_{m,s}$ is a positive constant independent of u and v . In the above, ∇ stands for the gradient operator, and $\|w\|_{(p)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |w(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$ is the L^p -norm of a function w on \mathbf{R}^n , $p > 0$. Note $\|w\|_{(2)} = \|w\|_0$, for $H^0(\mathbf{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

REMARKS. (i) $\|u\|_{(2m)}$ makes sense for $u \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ since $\sigma > (n/2)+1$ and $H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset H^{n(m-1)/2m}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^{2m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem.

(ii) The constant $A_{m,s}$ admits the estimate

$$A_{m,s} \leq C_s \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\hat{f}(\tau)| |\tau|^m (1 + |\tau|^{s*}) d\tau,$$

* Supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japanese Government, No. 62460005.

Received February 8, 1990. Revised June 1, 1990.

$s^*=1+\text{Max}(s, 1)+\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)$. Here $\hat{f}(\tau)$ is the Fourier transform of f and C_s a positive constant independent of m and of f .

(iii) Similar results are valid when $\sigma > n/2$ and $\sigma \geq 1$. Then we have to replace (1.2) by

$$(1.3) \quad \|R_m(f)(v; u)\|_s \leq A_{m, s, \varepsilon} (1 + \|v\|_\sigma + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^{\sigma/\varepsilon}) \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{m!} \|u^m\|_s + \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \|u\|_{(2m)}^m \|\nabla u\|_{\sigma-1}^{\text{Max}(s/\varepsilon, 1)} \right),$$

where

$$A_{m, s, \varepsilon} \leq C_{s, \varepsilon} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\hat{f}(\tau)| |\tau|^m (1 + |\tau|^{s^*(\varepsilon)}) d\tau,$$

$$s^*(\varepsilon) = 1 + (\sigma/\varepsilon) + \text{Max}(s/\varepsilon, 1), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \sigma - (n/2), \quad \varepsilon \leq 1.$$

The proof of Theorem is carried out by extending the idea of Rauch and Reed [1] where they discussed the case of $m=1$ and $v=0$, $f(0)=0$. Observe

$$R_m(f)(v; u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{iv\tau} \left(e^{iu\tau} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(i\tau u)^k}{k!} \right) \hat{f}(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $\hat{f}(\tau) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-i\tau t} f(t) dt$ is the Fourier transform of $f(t)$. Then, for $0 \leq s \leq \sigma$

$$\|R_m(f)(v; u)\|_s = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left\| e^{iv\tau} \left(e^{iu\tau} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(i\tau u)^k}{k!} \right) \right\|_s |\hat{f}(\tau)| d\tau.$$

Therefore, in order to prove Theorem, we only have to verify the estimate:

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| e^{iv\tau} \left(e^{iu\tau} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(i\tau u)^k}{k!} \right) \right\|_s \\ \leq C_s (1 + \|v\|_{\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)} + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^{\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)}) \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{m!} \|u^m\|_s + \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \|u\|_{(2m)}^m \|\nabla u\|_{\sigma-1}^{\text{Max}(s, 1)} \right) (1 + |\tau|^{s^*}) |\tau|^m,$$

for real τ provided $u, v \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\sigma > (n/2) + 1$, are real valued. Here $s^* = 1 + \text{Max}(s, 1) + \text{Max}(s, \sigma - 1)$ and C_s is a positive constant independent of u, v, τ and m .

For a verification of (1.4), we appeal to the following

LEMMA 1.1. Suppose $\sigma > (n/2) + 1$, and m a positive integer. Let $w \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be real valued. Then $e^{iw} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (iw)^k / k! \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \left\| e^{iw} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(iw)^k}{k!} \right\|_s \\ \leq C_s \left(\frac{1}{m!} \|w^m\|_s + \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \|w\|_{(2m)}^m \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1}^{\text{Max}(s, 1)} \right),$$

for $0 \leq s \leq \sigma$. Here C_s is a positive constant independent of m and w .

A proof will be given in the next section.

Let us derive (1.4) for $\tau=1$ from (1.5), since then (1.4) for general τ follows via an elementary inequality :

$$(1+r^a X+r^{a+b} Y)(r^d Z+r^{c+d} W) \leq r^d(1+r^{a+b+c})(1+X+Y)(Z+W),$$

for all $r>0$. Here a, b, c, d, X, Y, Z, W are all positive. Observe the identity :

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iv} \left(e^{iu} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(iu)^k}{k!} \right) \\ = (e^{iv}-1) \left(e^{iu} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(iu)^k}{k!} \right) + \left(e^{iu} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(iu)^k}{k!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 1.1, we only need to show

$$(1.6) \quad \|(e^{iv}-1)w\|_s \leq C_s (\|v\|_{\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)} + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^{\text{Max}(s, \sigma-1)}) \|w\|_s$$

for all $w \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $0 \leq s \leq \sigma$, when v is real valued. Now by Lemma 1.1 and the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\|(e^{iv}-1)w\|_0 \leq C \|e^{iv}-1\|_{\sigma-1} \|w\|_0 \leq C (\|v\|_{\sigma-1} + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^{\sigma-1}) \|w\|_0,$$

while, for $\sigma \geq s \geq \sigma-1$,

$$\|(e^{iv}-1)w\|_s \leq C \|e^{iv}-1\|_s \|w\|_s \leq C (\|v\|_s + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^s) \|w\|_s.$$

(1.6) then follows by interpolating $0 \leq s \leq \sigma-1$.

REMARK. We also have $\|(e^{iv}-1)w\|_0 \leq 2\|w\|_0$ since v is real valued. Thus, when $\|v\|_{\sigma-1} + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^{\sigma-1}$ is very large, we have

$$\|(e^{iv}-1)w\|_s \leq C (\|v\|_{\sigma-1} + \|v\|_0 \|\nabla v\|_{\sigma-1}^{\sigma-1})^{s/(\sigma-1)} \|w\|_s,$$

for $0 \leq s \leq \sigma-1$.

§ 2. Proof of Lemma 1.1

Our proof of Lemma 1.1 is based on the following simplified analogue of Proposition 4.1 of Rauch and Reed [1].

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose $g \in H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is real valued. Let $0 \leq s \leq \sigma$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad |\text{Re} \langle i \langle D \rangle^s M_g \langle D \rangle^{-s} w, w \rangle| \leq B_s \|\nabla g\|_{\sigma-1} \|w\|_{-1} \|w\|_0,$$

for all $w \in H^0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ provided $\sigma > (n/2) + 1$. Here B_s is a positive constant independent of w and g and $(,)$ the inner product of $H^0(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Recall M_g is the multi-

plication operator by the function g , and $\langle D \rangle^s$ is the pseudo-differential operator with the full symbol $\langle \xi \rangle^s = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

PROOF. Since g is real valued,

$$\operatorname{Re} i \langle D \rangle^s M_g \langle D \rangle^{-s} w, w = \operatorname{Re} i [\langle D \rangle^s, M_g] \langle D \rangle^{-s} w, w.$$

Then (2.1) is shown by the classical estimate (See, e. g., [2]):

$$|(v, [\langle D \rangle^s, M_g] u)| \leq C \|\nabla g\|_{\sigma-1} (\|v\|_0 \|u\|_{s'} + \|v\|_{-t'} \|u\|_s),$$

$$s' \geq s-1, \quad 1 \geq t' \geq 0, \quad \sigma - \frac{n}{2} > t', \quad \sigma - \frac{n}{2} > s-s', \quad \sigma \geq 1, \quad s > 0.$$

We can choose $s' = s-1$, $t' = 1$ if $\sigma > (n/2) + 1$. If we merely have $\sigma > n/2$, $\sigma \geq 1$, then we choose $s' = s - \varepsilon$, $t' = \varepsilon$ for $\sigma - (n/2) > \varepsilon > 0$, $1 \geq \varepsilon > 0$.

Now let us proceed to a verification of Lemma 1.1. The case when $m=1$ is essentially due to Rauch and Reed [1]. By slightly modifying their ideas, a proof of Lemma 1.1 for general m is obtained. Thus, to verify (1.5), we first reproduce a part of the discussions of Rauch and Reed [1], and then indicate our modification. Let

$$E_m(w) = e^{iw} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(iw)^k}{k!}, \quad m=1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$W_m(t) = \langle D \rangle^s E_m(tw).$$

A straightforward computation yields to

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_m(t) = i \langle D \rangle^s M_w \langle D \rangle^{-s} W_m(t) + \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \langle D \rangle^s (iw)^m,$$

with $W_m(0) = 0$. Taking the inner product of the both hand sides with $W_m(t)$, and using Lemma 2.1 we have,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|W_m(t)\|_0 \leq B_s \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1} \|W_m(t)\|_{-1} + \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \|w^m\|_s.$$

Our idea is to employ the logarithmic convexity of the Sobolev scale. Thus, suppose $s > 1$. Then

$$\|W_m(t)\|_{-1} = \|E_m(tw)\|_{s-1} \leq \|E_m(tw)\|_0^{1-\theta} \|E_m(tw)\|_s^\theta,$$

$\theta = 1 - 1/s$. Therefore, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\|W_m(t)\|_{-1} \leq \delta^\theta \frac{t^m}{m!} \|w\|_{\binom{m}{2m}} + C_\theta \delta^{\theta-1} \|W_m(t)\|_0.$$

Here we have used the fact $\|E_m(tw)\|_0 \leq (t^m/m!) \|w\|_{\binom{m}{2m}}$, which is also a con-

sequence of realness of w . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|W_m(t)\|_0 &\leq C_\theta B_s \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1} \delta^{\theta-1} \|W_m(t)\|_0 \\ &\quad + B_s \delta^\theta \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1} \frac{t^m}{m!} \|w\|_{(2m)}^m + \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \|w^m\|_s. \end{aligned}$$

Since Lemma 1.1 is trivial when $w=0$, we assume $w \neq 0$ so that $\nabla w \neq 0$. Choose $\delta = \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1}^s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|W_m(t)\|_0 &\leq C_\theta B_s \|W_m(t)\|_0 \\ &\quad + B_s \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1}^s \|w\|_{(2m)}^m \frac{t^m}{m!} + \|w^m\|_s \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, integrating from $t=0$ to $t=1$, we have

$$\|E_m(w)\|_s = \|W_m(1)\|_0 \leq B_s e^{C_\theta B_s} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \|w\|_{(2m)}^m \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1}^s + e^{C_\theta B_s} \frac{1}{m!} \|w^m\|_s.$$

On the other hand, if $s \leq 1$, then

$$\|W_m(t)\|_{-1} = \|\langle D \rangle^{s-1} E_m(tw)\|_0 \leq \|E_m(tw)\|_0 \leq \frac{t^m}{m!} \|w\|_{(2m)}^m.$$

Thus, (2.2) yields to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|W_m(t)\|_0 \leq B_s \frac{t^m}{m!} \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1} \|w\|_{(2m)}^m + \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \|w^m\|_s,$$

whence

$$\|E_m(w)\|_s \leq \frac{B_s}{(m+1)!} \|\nabla w\|_{\sigma-1} \|w\|_{(2m)}^m + \frac{1}{m!} \|w^m\|_s.$$

References

- [1] J. Reed and M. Rauch, Nonlinear microlocal analysis of semilinear hyperbolic system in one space dimension, *Duke Math. J.*, **49** (1982), 337-475.
- [2] A. Yoshikawa, On expansions of commutators acting in the Sobolev scale (preprint).