

THE HOCHSCHILD COCYCLE CORRESPONDING TO A LONG EXACT SEQUENCE

Dedicated to Hiroyuki Tachikawa on his 60th birthday

By

Vlastimil DLAB and Claus Michael RINGEL

1. Let k be a field, and A an associative k -algebra with 1. Let M, N be right A -modules. We denote by H^t the Hochschild cohomology of A . It is well-known that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\eta_{MN} : \text{Ext}_A^t(M, N) \longrightarrow H^t(A, \text{Hom}_k(M, N))$$

see Cartan-Eilenberg [CE], Corollary IX. 4.4. For $t \geq 1$, the elements of $\text{Ext}_A^t(M, N)$ may be considered as equivalence classes of long exact sequences, see Mac Lane [M], chapter III. Let

$$E = (0 \longleftarrow M \xleftarrow{g_0} Y_1 \xleftarrow{g_1} Y_2 \longleftarrow \dots \longleftarrow Y_t \xleftarrow{g_t} N \longleftarrow 0)$$

be an exact sequence. We want to derive a recipe for obtaining a corresponding cocycle $A^{\otimes(t+2)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$.

For $0 \leq i \leq t+1$, let Z_i be right A -modules, and for $0 \leq i \leq t$, let $\beta_i : Z_i \rightarrow Z_{i+1}$ be k -linear maps. With $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_t)$ we associate a map

$$\Omega_\beta : A^{\otimes(t+2)} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(Z_0, Z_{t+1})$$

defined by

$$(a_0, \dots, a_{t+1}) \Omega_\beta = \bar{a}_0 \beta_0 \bar{a}_1 \beta_1 \dots \bar{a}_t \beta_t \bar{a}_{t+1},$$

for $a_0, \dots, a_{t+1} \in A$, where \bar{a}_i denotes the scalar multiplication by a_i (on Z_i); note that all maps will be written on the right of the argument, thus the composition of $\beta_0 : Z_0 \rightarrow Z_1$, and $\beta_1 : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ is denoted by $\beta_0 \beta_1$.

Given the exact sequence E exhibited above, it clearly splits as a sequence of k -spaces, thus there are k -linear maps

$$M \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} Y_2 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow Y_t \xrightarrow{\gamma_t} N$$

such that

$$\gamma_{i-1} \gamma_i = 0, \quad g_{i-1} \gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_i g_i = 1_{Y_i}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

and

$$\gamma_0 g_0 = 1_M, \quad g_t \gamma_t = 1_N,$$

(see section 2).

THEOREM. *The map $\Omega_\gamma: A^{\otimes(t+2)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ is a cocycle, and the cohomology classes $[\Omega_\gamma]$ and $\eta([E])$ in $H^t(A, \text{Hom}_k(M, N))$ are equal up to sign.*

One reason for our interest in this problem is the following: Consider the case $t=2$. Given any bimodule ${}_A T_A$, the elements of $H^2(A, T)$ index the various ‘‘Hochschild extensions’’ \tilde{A} of A by T (here, \tilde{A} is a k -algebra with a square zero ideal I such that $\tilde{A}/I=A$, and such that I , as an A - A -bimodule, is isomorphic to T ; note that the multiplication of \tilde{A} can be recovered from A and T using the corresponding 2-cocycle, see [H] or [CE], XIV. 2). There is a recursive construction for quasi-hereditary algebras due to Parshall and Scott ([PS], Theorem 4.6) which uses Hochschild extensions of quasi-hereditary algebras A by bimodules of the form $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$, so we have to deal with 2-cocycles $A^{\otimes(4)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$. Our presentation of such 2-cocycles using long exact sequences should help to understand these algebras. Also, we remark that the Hochschild cohomology groups with values in $\text{Hom}_k(DA, A)$, where $DA = \text{Hom}_k(A, k)$, play a prominent role in Tachikawa’s discussion of the Nakayama conjecture [T].

2. *The splitting for E over k .* In order to work with the sequence E , it will be convenient to use the notation: $Y_{-1}=0, Y_0=M, Y_{t+1}=N, Y_{t+2}=0$, and to deal also with the zero maps $g_{-1}: Y_0 \rightarrow Y_{-1}, \gamma_{-1}: Y_{-1} \rightarrow Y_0, g_{t+1}: Y_{t+2} \rightarrow Y_{t+1}, \gamma_{t+1}: Y_{t+1} \rightarrow Y_{t+2}$; so that the conditions mentioned above can be rewritten in the form

$$\gamma_{i-1} \gamma_i = 0, \quad g_{i-1} \gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_i g_i = 1_{Y_i}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq t+1.$$

Let X_i be the image of g_i , thus we have short exact sequences

$$0 \longleftarrow X_{i-1} \xleftarrow{h_{i-1}} Y_i \xleftarrow{f_i} X_i \longleftarrow 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq t$, with $g_0 = h_0, g_i = h_i f_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, and $g_t = f_t$. These sequences split over k , thus we obtain k -linear maps $\varphi_i: Y_i \rightarrow X_i, \eta_{i-1}: X_{i-1} \rightarrow Y_i$ such that $\eta_{i-1} \varphi_i = 0, f_i \varphi_i = 1_{X_i}, \eta_{i-1} h_{i-1} = 1_{X_{i-1}}$ and $h_{i-1} \eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i f_i = 1_{Y_i}$ for all i . Now, take $\gamma_i = \varphi_i \eta_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i+1}$, in this way we obtain a splitting of E over k .

3. *Preparation for the proof.* Let $A^e = A^{\otimes p} \otimes_k A$ be the enveloping algebra

of A , where A^{op} is the opposite algebra of A . The A - A -bimodules are just the (right) A^e -modules, in particular, A itself is in a canonical way an A^e -module. For $n \geq 0$, let $S_n = A^{\otimes(n+2)}$, and let $\nabla_n : S_{n+1} \rightarrow S_n$ be defined by

$$(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+2}) \nabla_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_i a_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+2}.$$

Also, let $\nabla_{-1} : S_0 \rightarrow A$ be defined by

$$(a_0 \otimes a_1) \nabla_{-1} = a_0 a_1.$$

The S_n are A - A -bimodules, or, equivalently A^e -modules, the scalar multiplication of $a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \in S_n$ by $a \otimes a' \in A^{op} \otimes A = A^e$ yields $(aa_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_{n+1}a')$.

Note that for all $n \geq -1$, the maps ∇_i are A^e -linear, in fact

$$A \xleftarrow{\nabla_{-1}} S_0 \xleftarrow{\nabla_0} S_1 \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots$$

is a projective resolution of A as a right A^e -module, it is called the *standard resolution* of A , see [CE], IX. 6. We can use this resolution in order to calculate $H^i(A, \text{Hom}_k(M, N)) = \text{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, \text{Hom}_k(M, N))$.

4. Besides $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_t)$, we also will need for $0 \leq r \leq t$, the sequences $\gamma(r) = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_r)$, so that $\gamma(0) = (\gamma_0)$, $\gamma(t) = \gamma$. According to section 1, there is defined $\Omega_{\gamma(r)} : S_r \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(Y_0, Y_{r+1})$. In addition, by abuse of language, we also define $\Omega_{\gamma(-1)} : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(Y_0, Y_0)$ by $a \Omega_{\gamma(-1)} = \bar{a}$, for $a \in A$.

LEMMA. For $0 \leq r \leq t$, we have $\nabla_{r-1} \Omega_{\gamma(r-1)} = (-1)^r \Omega_{\gamma(r)} \text{Hom}(1, g_r)$.

PROOF. We introduce the following notation: let $\sigma_i = \gamma_i \bar{a}_i$, $\tau_i = \bar{a}_i \gamma_i : Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, and let $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_j$, $\tau_{ij} = \tau_i \tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_j$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq t-1$; by abuse of language, let $\sigma_{i+1,i} = 1_{Y_i}$, and $\tau_{i+1,i} = 1_{Y_{i+1}}$. Recall that

$$(a_{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \nabla_{r-1} = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i a_{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_{i-1} a_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \nabla_{r-1} \Omega_{\gamma(r-1)} &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \bar{a}_{-1} \sigma_{0,i-1} \tau_{i,r-1} \bar{a}_r \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \bar{a}_{-1} \sigma_{0,i-1} (g_{i-1} \gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_i g_i) \tau_{i,r-1} \bar{a}_r, \end{aligned}$$

where we have inserted $1_{Y_i} = g_{i-1} \gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_i g_i$. Note that for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{0,i-1} \gamma_i g_i \tau_{i,r-1} &= \sigma_{0,i-1} \gamma_i g_i \bar{a}_i \gamma_i \tau_{i+1,r-1} \\ &= \sigma_{0,i-1} \gamma_i \bar{a}_i g_i \gamma_i \tau_{i+1,r-1} \\ &= \sigma_{0,i} g_i \gamma_i \tau_{i+1,r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since g_i is A -linear. As a consequence, the last term of the summand with index i and the first term of the summand with index $i+1$ are equal up to sign, so they cancel. In addition, the first term of the summand with index $i=0$ involves $g_{-1}=0$, thus vanishes. It remains

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \nabla_{r-1} \Omega_{\gamma(r-1)} &= (-1)^r \bar{a}_{-1} \sigma_{0, r-1} \gamma_r g_r \bar{a}_r \\ &= (-1)^r \bar{a}_{-1} \sigma_{0, r} g_r \\ &= (-1)^r (a_{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \Omega_{\gamma(r)} - g_r \\ &= (-1)^r (a_{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \Omega_{\gamma(r)} \text{Hom}(1, g_r). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.

5. *An injective coresolution of the A - A -bimodule $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$.* We choose a projective resolution

$$0 \longleftarrow M \xleftarrow{p_{-1}} P_0 \xleftarrow{p_0} P_1 \longleftarrow \dots$$

of the A -module M , and an injective coresolution

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{q^{-1}} Q^0 \xrightarrow{q^0} Q^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of the A -module N . For $t \geq 0$, let $L^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t \text{Hom}_k(P_i, Q^{t-i})$, this is an A - A -bimodule, or, equivalently a right A^e -module. For $t \geq 0$, define an A^e -linear map $\Delta^t: L^t \rightarrow L^{t+1}$ by

$$(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_t) \Delta^t = (\varphi_0 q^t, (-1)^{t+1} p_0 \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 q^{t-1}, \dots, (-1)^{t+1} p_{t-1} \varphi_{t-1} + \varphi_t q^0, (-1)^{t+1} p_t \varphi_t),$$

where $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_k(P_i, Q^{t-i})$, and define $\Delta^{-1}: \text{Hom}_k(M, N) \rightarrow L^0$ by $\Delta^{-1} = \text{Hom}(p_{-1}, q^{-1})$.

We obtain a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N) \xrightarrow{\Delta^{-1}} L^0 \xrightarrow{\Delta^0} L^1 \longrightarrow \dots,$$

which is an injective coresolution, see [CE], IX, Cor. 2.7a.

In order to relate the given sequence E with the injective coresolution $Q^\bullet = (Q^\bullet, q^\bullet)$, we define $u_{-1} = 1_N$, and, inductively, we find $u_i: Y_{t-i} \rightarrow Q^i$ such that $g_{t-i} u_i = u_{i-1} q^{i-1}$, for $0 \leq i \leq t$.

We are going to reformulate the previous lemma using the maps Δ^t and u_i . For $0 \leq r \leq t-1$, let

$$\Omega'_r: S_r \longrightarrow L^{t-r-1}$$

be defined by

$$(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r+1}) \Omega'_r = (p_{-1} \cdot (a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r+1}) (\Omega_{\gamma(r)} \cdot u_{t-r-1}), 0, \dots, 0),$$

and similarly, let

$$\Omega'_{-1}: A \longrightarrow L^t$$

be defined by

$$(a)\Omega'_{-1} = (p_{-1}\bar{a}u_t, 0, \dots, 0).$$

PROPOSITION. For $0 \leq r \leq t-1$, we have $\nabla_{r-1}\Omega'_{r-1} = (-1)^r \Omega'_r \Delta^{t-r-1}$. For $r=t$, we have $\nabla_{t-1}\Omega'_{t-1} = (-1)^t \Omega'_t \Delta^{-1}$.

PROOF. For $0 \leq r \leq t$, and $a_0, \dots, a_{r+1} \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \nabla_{r-1} \Omega'_{r-1} &= (p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \nabla_{r-1} \Omega_{\gamma(r-1)} u_{t-r}, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (-1)^r (p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \Omega_{\gamma(r)} g_r u_{t-r}, 0', \dots, 0) \\ &= (-1)^r (p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \Omega_{\gamma(r)} u_{t-r-1} q^{t-r-1}, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

using the definition of Ω'_{r-1} , the lemma, and the defining condition for u_{t-r} . On the other hand, for $0 \leq r \leq t-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \Omega'_r \Delta^{t-r-1} &= (p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \Omega_r u_{t-r-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \Delta^{t-r-1} \\ &= (p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{r+1}) \Omega_r u_{t-r-1} q^{t-r-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

using the definitions of Ω'_r , Δ^{t-r-1} , and the fact that $p_0 p_{-1} = 0$. Similarly, for $r=t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{t+1}) \Omega'_t \Delta^{-1} &= p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{t+1}) \Omega_t q^{-1} \\ &= p_{-1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{t+1}) \Omega_{\gamma(t)} u_{-1} q^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Omega_\gamma = \Omega_{\gamma(t)}$ and $u_{-1} = 1$.

6. *Some homological algebra.* We will need some basic result of homological algebra which we want to review. We have chosen already a projective resolution of M , and an injective coresolution of N . In order to calculate $\text{Ext}^t(M, N)$ we may use one of these sequences, or else the double complex $\text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q^j)$. So let $R^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t \text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q^{t-i})$, this is a subset of $L^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t \text{Hom}_k(P_i, Q^{t-i})$, and let $\delta^t: R^t \rightarrow R^{t+1}$ be the restriction of Δ^t to R^t , similarly, let $\delta^{-1}: \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow L^0$ be the restriction of $\Delta^{-1} = \text{Hom}(p_{-1}, q^{-1})$ to $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. So we obtain a complex

$$R^\bullet = (R^0 \xrightarrow{\delta^0} R^1 \xrightarrow{\delta^1} R^2 \longrightarrow \dots),$$

which we want to compare with the complexes

$$\text{Hom}_A(P_\bullet, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_A(M, Q^\bullet).$$

Note that there are maps

$$\text{Hom}(1, q^{-1}): \text{Hom}_A(P, N) \longrightarrow R^\cdot,$$

$$\text{Hom}(p_{-1}, 1): \text{Hom}_A(M, Q^\cdot) \longrightarrow R^\cdot,$$

and they are quasi-isomorphisms: they induce isomorphisms when passing to the cohomology ([B], §5.2).

Consider now the given exact sequence E . Its equivalence class $[E]$ in $\text{Ext}_A^t(M, N) = H^t(\text{Hom}_A(P, N))$ is given by the cocycle $u_t: M \rightarrow Q_t$. Under the map $\text{Hom}(p_{-1}, 1): \text{Hom}_A(M, Q^\cdot) \rightarrow R^\cdot$, the cocycle u_t is mapped onto the cocycle $(p_{-1}u_t, 0, \dots, 0) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^t \text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q^{t-i}) = R^t$.

7. Proof of the theorem. We apply the previous considerations to the ring A^e (instead of A), and the A^e -modules A and $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$. For A , we use the standard resolution $S = (S, \nabla)$, for $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$, we use the injective coresolution $L = (L, \Delta)$. We form $C^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t \text{Hom}_{A^e}(S_i, L^{t-i})$, with differential $D^t: C^t \rightarrow C^{t+1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_t) D^t = & (\Phi_0 \Delta^t, (-1)^{t+1} \nabla_0 \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \Delta^{t-1}, \dots, \\ & (-1)^{t+1} \nabla_{t-1} \Phi_{t-1} + \Phi_t \Delta^0, (-1)^{t+1} \nabla_t \Phi_t), \end{aligned}$$

for $\Phi_i \in \text{Hom}_{A^e}(S_i, L^{t-i})$. The maps

$$\text{Hom}(1, \Delta^{-1}): \text{Hom}_{A^e}(S, \text{Hom}_k(M, N)) \longrightarrow C^\cdot$$

and

$$\text{Hom}(\nabla_{-1}, 1): \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, L^\cdot) \longrightarrow C^\cdot$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. Clearly, we have an isomorphism

$$\rho: \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, L^\cdot) \longrightarrow R^\cdot,$$

since for A -modules X, Y , the bimodule maps $\Sigma: A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(X, Y)$ correspond bijectively to the elements of $\text{Hom}_A(X, Y)$, with $(\Sigma)\rho = (1)\Sigma$.

It remains to chase elements via the various quasi-isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{A^e}(S, \text{Hom}_k(M, N)) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(1, \Delta^{-1})} C^\cdot \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}(\nabla_{-1}, L)} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, L^\cdot),$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, Q^\cdot) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(p_{-1}, 1)} R^\cdot \cong \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, L^\cdot).$$

The last map $\text{Hom}(p_{-1}, 1)$ sends the cocycle u_t onto the element $(p_{-1}u_t, 0, \dots, 0) \in R^t$, thus to Ω'_{-1} in $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, L^t)$. So it remains to consider the elements

$$\Omega_\gamma \Delta^{-1} = (\Omega_\gamma) \text{Hom}(1, \Delta^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{-1} \Omega'_{-1} = (\Omega'_{-1}) \text{Hom}(\nabla_{-1}, 1)$$

in C^t . Let $\varepsilon_{2i} = (-1)^i$, and $\varepsilon_{2i+1} = (-1)^{t+i}$, thus $\varepsilon_j = (-1)^{t+j+1} \varepsilon_{j-1}$, for all j . Let $\Phi_i = \varepsilon_i \Omega'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, and $(\Psi_0, \dots, \Psi_t) := (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{t-1}) D^{t-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 &= \Phi_0 \Delta^{t-1} = \varepsilon_0 \Omega'_0 \Delta^{t-1} = \nabla_{-1} \Omega'_{-1} \\ \Psi_t &= \varepsilon_t \nabla_{t-1} \Phi_{t-1} = \varepsilon_t (-1)^t \Omega_\gamma \Delta^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas, for $1 \leq r \leq t-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_r &= (-1)^t \nabla_{r-1} \Phi_{r-1} + \Phi_r \Delta^{t-1-r} \\ &= (-1)^t \varepsilon_{r-1} \nabla_{r-1} \Omega'_{r-1} + \varepsilon_r \Omega'_r \Delta^{t-1-r} \\ &= (-1)^t \varepsilon_{r-1} (-1)^r \Omega'_r \Delta^{t-r-1} + (-1)^{t+r+1} \varepsilon_{r-1} \Omega'_r \Delta^{t-1-r} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

always using the proposition. This shows that

$$(\nabla_{-1} \Omega'_{-1}, 0, \dots, 0, (-1)^t \varepsilon_t \Omega_\gamma \Delta^{-1}) = (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{t-1}) D^{t-1}$$

is a coboundary in C ; thus $\nabla_{-1} \Omega'_{-1}$ and $(-1)^{t+1} \varepsilon_t \Omega_\gamma \Delta^{-1}$ yield the same cohomology class in $H^t(C)$.

Let us summarize: the composition of $H^t(\text{Hom}(p_{-1}, 1))$, $H^t(p^{-1})$, $H^t(\text{Hom}(\nabla_{-1}, 1))$ and $H^t(\text{Hom}(1, \Delta^{-1}))^{-1}$ yields a natural isomorphism

$$\eta_{MN} : \text{Ext}_A^t(M, N) \longrightarrow H^t(A, \text{Hom}_k(M, N))$$

and $\eta_{MN}([E]) = (-1)^{t+1} \varepsilon_t [\Omega_\gamma]$, thus $\eta_{MN}([E])$ and $[\Omega_\gamma]$ are equal up to sign. This completes the proof.

REMARK. As the proof shows, the precise relation (under the given identification of $H^t(A, \text{Hom}_k(M, N))$ and $\text{Ext}_A^t(M, N)$) is

$$\eta_{MN}([E]) = (-1)^{i+1} [\Omega_\gamma],$$

where i is the largest integer with $2i \leq t$ (for $t=2i$, we have the sign $(-1)^{t+1} \varepsilon_{2i} = (-1)^{t+1+i} = (-1)^{i+1}$, for $t=2i+1$, we have $(-1)^{t+1} \varepsilon_{2i+1} = (-1)^{t+1} (-1)^{t+i} = (-1)^{i+1}$).

References

- [B] Bourbaki, N., Algèbre, Ch. 10: Algèbre homologique, Masson. Paris 1980.
- [CE] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S., Homological algebra, Princeton Math. Series. Princeton 1956.
- [H] Hochschild, G., On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra, Annals Math. 46 (1945), 58-67.
- [M] MacLane, S., Homology, Grundlehren der math. Wiss. Springer. New York 1967.
- [PS] Parshall, B. and Scott, L., Derived categories, quasi-hereditary algebras, and

algebraic groups, Ottawa-Moosonee-Workshop. Carleton LNM.

- [T] Tachikawa, H., Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalisations, Springer LNM 351 (1973).

V. Dlab

Department of Mathematics
Carleton University
Ottawa
Canada

C. M. Ringel

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität
D-4800 Bielefeld 1
West-Germany