

ON COGENERATOR RINGS AS Φ -TRIVIAL EXTENSIONS

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Akira Hattori

By

Kazunori SAKANO

Let R be a ring with identity and M an (R, R) -bimodule with a pairing $\Phi = [-, -]: M \otimes_R M \rightarrow R$, that is, an (R, R) -bilinear map satisfying $[m, m']m'' = m[m', m]$. Then by defining a multiplication on the abelian group $R \oplus M$ as $(r, m)(r', m') = (rr' + [m, m'], mr' + rm')$, $R \oplus M$ becomes a ring, which is called the Φ -trivial extension of R by M and is denoted by $A = R \times_{\Phi} M$. Note that $\Phi = 0$ corresponds to the trivial extension $R \times M$. In particular, a generalized matrix ring defined by a Morita context can be considered as a special case of a Φ -trivial extension.

The main purpose of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for A to be a right cogenerator ring under the condition that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent.

In Section 1, we study the form of the injective hull of a simple right A -module and decide the condition for A to be a right cogenerator ring under the assumption that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent. Furthermore, in case of the trivial extension $R \times M$, we investigate the condition for $M=0$, when $R \times M$ is a right cogenerator ring. In Section 2, we give a sufficient condition for A to be right self-injective under the assumption that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent. Moreover, in case of the trivial extension $R \times M$, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $R \times M$ to be a right injective cogenerator ring. Let $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ U & T \end{pmatrix}$ be a generalized triangular matrix ring, where both S and T are rings with identity and U a (T, S) -bimodule. In the final Section 3, we study an application of results in Sections 1 and 2 to a generalized triangular matrix ring Γ . Especially, we show that Γ is a right injective cogenerator ring if and only if both S and T are right injective cogenerator rings, and $U=0$. This result was mentioned by T. Kato during a conversation and he pointed out whether the similar result as above holds when Γ is a right cogenerator ring (in case of Γ being a QF ring, see [6, Exercise (3)-(2), p. 362]). In case of $S=T$ in Γ , there holds that Γ is a right cogenerator ring if and only if T is a right cogenerator ring and

$U=0$. But it remains an unsolved problem when $S \neq T$ in Γ .

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, Λ denotes the Φ -trivial extension $R \times_{\Phi} M$ and $\mathbf{I}_R(K)$ the left annihilator of K in R for a subset K of a right R -module X . For a right R -module Y , $E(Y_R)$ means the injective hull of Y_R .

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor T. Kato for his useful suggestions and remarks during the preparation of this paper.

1. Cogenerator rings as Φ -trivial extensions.

In this section, we assume that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent. By a slight modification of the proof of [14, Lemma 3.1], we have the following.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let X be a right R -module and K a nilpotent ideal of R . Then $\mathbf{I}_X(K)$ is essential in X_R .*

LEMMA 1.2. *$\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M$ is a nilpotent ideal of Λ .*

PROOF. This is found in the proof of [12, LEMMA 1].

LEMMA 1.3. *Let X be a simple right Λ -module. Then the injective hull of X_{Λ} has the form $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E(X_R))_{\Lambda}$.*

PROOF. Since $E(X_R)$ is injective and ${}_{\Lambda}\Lambda$ is flat, $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E(X_R))_{\Lambda}$ is injective. Therefore, it suffices to show that X_{Λ} is essential in $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E(X_R))_{\Lambda}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, E(X))}(\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M)_{\Lambda} &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, E(X)))_{\Lambda} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda, E(X))_{\Lambda} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X))_{\Lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X))_{\Lambda}$ is essential in $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, E(X))_{\Lambda}$ by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2. Since $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X))_R \subset E(X_R)$, we may consider $X_R \subset \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X))_R$ and X_R is essential in $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X))_R$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X)) \text{Im } \Phi = 0$, X_{Λ} is also essential in $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E(X))_{\Lambda}$. Thus we obtain X_{Λ} is essential in $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E(X_R))_{\Lambda}$.

In the remainder of this section, let $\alpha: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_R, R_R)$ be the natural map defined via

$$(\alpha(m))(m') = [m, m'] \quad \text{for } m, m' \in M,$$

and $\sigma: R \rightarrow \text{End}(M_R)$ the canonical map. We put $\text{Ker } \alpha = M'$.

Since every simple right Λ -module is isomorphic to $R \oplus M/m \oplus M$, where m is a maximal right ideal of R , every simple right Λ -module is also simple as a right R -module and vice versa.

THEOREM 1.4. *Λ is a right cogenerator ring if and only if, for each simple right R -module X and $E_R = E(X_R)$, there exists a primitive idempotent e in R satisfying the following condition*

(1) $E_R \cong eR_R = e\mathbf{l}_R(M')_R$ and $\alpha' : eM_R \cong e\text{Hom}_R(M_R, R_R)_R$, where α' is the induced map by α ,

or

(2) $E_R \cong eM_R$ and $\sigma' : eR_R \cong e\text{End}(M_R)_R$, where σ' is the induced map by σ .

PROOF. (\Rightarrow). Let X be a simple right R -module and $E_R = E(X_R)$. Since every simple right R -module is also simple as a right Λ -module, $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E_R)_\Lambda$ is the injective hull of X_Λ by Lemma 1.3. Since Λ_Λ is a cogenerator, there exists a primitive idempotent (e, m) in Λ such that $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E_R)_\Lambda$. Then it is easily seen that e is a primitive idempotent in R and $[m, m] = 0$. Moreover, since $(e, m)^2 = (e, m)$, we have $m = em + me$, from which it follows that $eme = 0$. Therefore, we have $meR \cap eM = 0$ and $eR \cap [me, M] = 0$. Hence we get $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \subseteq (eR \oplus eM)_\Lambda \oplus ([me, M] \oplus meR)_\Lambda$. Since $(e, m)\Lambda$ is the injective hull of a simple right Λ -module, there holds $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \subseteq (eR \oplus eM)_\Lambda$ or $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \subseteq ([me, M] \oplus meR)_\Lambda$. If $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \subseteq ([me, M] \oplus meR)_\Lambda$, then $eR \subseteq \text{Im } \Phi \subseteq \text{Rad}(R)$. Therefore, we obtain $(e, m) = 0$. Hence we must have $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \subseteq (e, 0)\Lambda_\Lambda$. Since $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda$ is injective and $(e, 0)\Lambda_\Lambda$ is indecomposable, we have $(e, m)\Lambda_\Lambda \cong (e, 0)\Lambda_\Lambda$. Therefore, we may take $m = 0$. Since $(e, 0)\Lambda_\Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E_R)_\Lambda$, we have $eR \oplus eM_R \cong E_R \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, E)_R$. Since E_R is the injective hull of a simple right R -module, there holds $E_R \subseteq eR_R$ or $E_R \subseteq eM_R$. Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E)_\Lambda &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda, E)_\Lambda \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, E)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, (e, 0)\Lambda) \\ &\cong (e, 0)(\mathbf{l}_R(M) \oplus M')_\Lambda \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda/\text{Im } \Phi \oplus M, E)_R \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/\text{Im } \Phi, E)_R$ is essential in E_R by Lemma 1.1, there holds the following condition

(i) $eM' = 0$ and $e\mathbf{l}_R(M)_R$ is essential in E_R .

or

(ii) $e\mathbf{l}_R(M) = 0$ and eM'_R is essential in E_R .

First, we consider the case (i). In this case, $E_R \subsetneq eR_R$. Since eR_R is indecomposable, we have $E_R \cong eR_R$. Since $e \in \mathbf{l}_R(M')$ by (i), we have $eR \subset e\mathbf{l}_R(M')$. Therefore, we get $eR = e\mathbf{l}_R(M')$. It follows that $E_R \cong eR_R = e\mathbf{l}_R(M')$. We define a map $f_1: (eR \oplus eM)_R \rightarrow (eR \oplus e\text{Hom}_R(M, R))_R$ via

$$f_1(er, em) = (er, \alpha'(em)) \quad \text{for } r \in R, m \in M.$$

Since $\text{Ker } f_1 = (0, \text{Ker } \alpha') = (0, eM') = 0$, f_1 is a right R -monomorphism. Furthermore, a routine calculation shows that f_1 is also a right Λ -monomorphism. Consider the following composition map:

$$g_1: (e, 0)\Lambda_A \xrightarrow{f_1} (eR \oplus e\text{Hom}_R(M, R))_A \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, eR_R)_A \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E_R)_A.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E_R)_A$ is indecomposable and $(e, 0)\Lambda_A$ is injective, g_1 is an isomorphism. Hence f_1 is an isomorphism. Thus we get $\alpha': eM_R \cong e\text{Hom}_R(M, R)_R$. Hence we conclude that (1) holds. Next, we consider the case (ii). In this case, $E_R \subsetneq eM_R$. We claim that eM_R is indecomposable. Suppose that $eM_R = eM_{1R} \oplus eM_{2R}$ with $eM_1 \neq 0$ and $eM_2 \neq 0$. Then $(e, 0)([M_1, M] \oplus M_1)_A + (e, 0)([M_2, M] \oplus M_2)_A \subsetneq (e, 0)\Lambda_A$. We show that the above sum is direct. Let $(e, 0)([m_1, m], m'_1) \in (e, 0)([M_1, M] \oplus M_1) \cap (e, 0)([M_2, M] \oplus M_2)$. Then $em'_1 \in eM_1 \cap eM_2 = 0$. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} [em_1, m]M &\in [eM_1, M]M \cap [eM_2, M]M \\ &= eM_1[M, M] \cap eM_2[M, M] \\ &\subseteq eM_1 \cap eM_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $[em_1, m] \in e\mathbf{l}_R(M)$. Since $e\mathbf{l}_R(M) = 0$, we have $[em_1, m] = 0$. Therefore, we have $(e, 0)([M_1, M] \oplus M_1)_A \oplus (e, 0)([M_2, M] \oplus M_2)_A \subsetneq (e, 0)\Lambda_A$. Since $(e, 0)\Lambda_A$ is the injective hull of a simple right Λ -module, there holds $(e, 0)([M_1, M] \oplus M_1) = 0$ or $(e, 0)([M_2, M] \oplus M_2) = 0$. Thus eM_R is indecomposable. Hence we get $E_R \cong eM_R$. We define a map $f_2: (eR \oplus eM)_R \rightarrow (e\text{End}(M_R) \oplus eM)_R$ via

$$f_2(er, em) = (\sigma'(er), em) \quad \text{for } r \in R, m \in M.$$

Since $\text{Ker } f_2 = (\text{Ker } \sigma', 0) = (e\mathbf{l}_R(M), 0) = 0$, f_2 is a right R -monomorphism. Furthermore, it is easily verified that f_2 is also a right Λ -monomorphism. Consider the following composition map:

$$g_2: (e, 0)\Lambda_A \xrightarrow{f_2} (e\text{End}(M_R) \oplus eM)_A \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, eM_R)_A \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_R, E_R)_A.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{A}_R, E_R)_A$ is indecomposable and $(e, 0)\mathcal{A}_A$ is injective, g_2 is an isomorphism. Therefore, f_2 is an isomorphism. Hence we get $\sigma' : eR_R \cong e\text{End}(M_R)_R$. Thus we conclude that (2) holds.

(\Leftarrow). Let X be a simple right \mathcal{A} -module. Then X is simple as a right R -module. Suppose that (1) holds. Then we can take f_1 and g_1 as in the proof of the part (\Rightarrow). Since f_1 is a right \mathcal{A} -isomorphism, g_1 becomes also a right \mathcal{A} -isomorphism. Thus we obtain $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{A}_R, E_R)_A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_A$. Similarly, in case of (2), we can show that $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{A}_R, E_R)_A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_A$. Hence we conclude that \mathcal{A} is a right cogenerator ring.

If $\Phi=0$, that is, \mathcal{A} is the trivial extension $R \ltimes M$, then Theorem 1.4 is rewritten as follows. In this case, note that $M'=M$.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Assume that $\text{Im } \Phi=0$. Then \mathcal{A} is a right cogenerator ring if and only if, for each simple right R -module X and $E_R=E(X_R)$, there exists a primitive idempotent e in R satisfying the following condition*

(1) $E_R \cong e\mathbf{1}_R(M)_R$ and $\text{Hom}_R(M_R, E_R)=0$,

or

(2) $E_R \cong eM_R$ and $\sigma' : eR_R \cong e\text{End}(M_R)$, where σ' is the induced map by σ .

EXAMPLE 1.6. Let R be a right cogenerator ring and $\mathcal{A}=R \ltimes R$. Then \mathcal{A} becomes also a right cogenerator ring in view of Corollary 1.5.

In the remainder of this section, let \mathcal{A} denote the trivial extension $R \ltimes M$.

LEMMA 1.7 ([9, Theorem 1]). *If R is a right cogenerator ring, then the following holds.*

(1) *The mapping*

$$Ra \rightarrow aR, \quad a \in R$$

gives a one-to-one, onto, correspondence between isomorphism classes of simple left ideals and isomorphism classes of simple right ideals.

(2) *Each simple left ideal is of the form $Re/\text{Rad}(R)e$, $e=e^2 \in R$.*

LEMMA 1.8 (cf. [13]). $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{A})=\text{Rad}(R) \oplus M$.

THEOREM 1.9. *If \mathcal{A} is a right cogenerator ring, then $M=0$ if and only if $\text{Soc}({}_R M) \hookrightarrow \text{Soc}({}_R \mathbf{r}_R(M))$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow). Obvious.

(\Leftarrow). We first show that $\text{Soc}(M_R) = 0$. Suppose that $\text{Soc}(M_R) \neq 0$ and let mR_R be a simple right R -module contained in $\text{Soc}(M_R)$. Then $(0 \oplus mR)_A = (0, m)A_A$ is also simple as a right A -module. Since A_A is a cogenerator, there exists a primitive idempotent e in R such that $(0, m)A_A = (e, 0)\mathfrak{r}_A(\text{Rad}(A))_A$ (cf. [9, Proof of (2), p. 116]). Since $(e, 0)\mathfrak{r}_A(\text{Rad}(A))_A = (e, 0)(\mathfrak{r}_A(\text{Rad}(R) \oplus M))_A = (e, 0)(\mathfrak{r}_R(M) \cap \mathfrak{r}_R(\text{Rad}(R)) \oplus \mathfrak{r}_M(\text{Rad}(R)))_A$ by Lemma 1.8, we have $mR_R = e\mathfrak{r}_M(\text{Rad}(R))_R$ and $e(\mathfrak{r}_R(M) \cap \mathfrak{r}_R(\text{Rad}(R))) = 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 1.7, ${}_A A(0, m) = {}_A(0 \oplus Rm)$ is also a simple left ideal of A isomorphic to ${}_A(A(e, 0)/\text{Rad}(A)(e, 0))$. So, we get ${}_R(Re/\text{Rad}(R)e) \cong {}_R(A(e, 0)/\text{Rad}(A)(e, 0)) \cong {}_R Rm \subset \text{Soc}({}_R M)$. Therefore, we see that $\text{Soc}({}_R \mathfrak{r}_R(M)) \neq 0$ if $\text{Soc}(M_R) \neq 0$. Since $\text{Soc}({}_R M) \subset \text{Soc}({}_R \mathfrak{r}_R(M))$, there exists a simple left ideal $Ra \in \text{Soc}({}_R \mathfrak{r}_R(M))$ of R which is isomorphic to ${}_R(Re/\text{Rad}(R)e)$. Since ${}_A(Ra \oplus 0) = {}_A A(a, 0)$ is a simple left ideal of A and A is a right cogenerator ring, $(a, 0)A_A$ is also a simple right ideal of A which is isomorphic to $(e, 0)\mathfrak{r}_A(\text{Rad}(A))_A$ by Lemma 1.7. Furthermore, we get $(a, 0)A_A = (e', 0)\mathfrak{r}_A(\text{Rad}(A))_A$ by Lemma 1.7, where e' is a primitive idempotent in R such that $(e', 0)A_A = E((a, 0)A_A)$. Therefore, we have $aR_R = e'(\mathfrak{r}_R(M) \cap \mathfrak{r}_R(\text{Rad}(R)))_R$ and $e'\mathfrak{r}_M(\text{Rad}(R)) = 0$. Since $(e, 0)A_A \cong (e', 0)A_A$, we get $eR_R \cong e'R_R$. Therefore, we obtain $e\mathfrak{r}_M(\text{Rad}(R)) \cong e'\mathfrak{r}_M(\text{Rad}(R)) = 0$. On the other hand, $mR_R = e\mathfrak{r}_M(\text{Rad}(R))_R \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. So, we must have $\text{Soc}(M_R) = 0$. Since only (1) of Corollary 1.5 holds, we conclude that $M = 0$.

2. Injective cogenerator rings.

Let $\alpha: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_R, R_R)$ and $\sigma: R \rightarrow \text{End}(M_R)$ be the natural maps as in Section 1. We set $\text{Ker } \alpha = M'$.

LEMMA 2.1 ([15, Theorem 2.4]). *Assume that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent. Then the injective hull of A has the form*

$$\text{Hom}_R(A_R, E(\mathfrak{l}_R(M) \oplus M'_R)).$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Assume that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent. Then A is right self-injective if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{l}_R(M)_R$ and M'_R are injective.
- (2) (i) For each $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M_R, \mathfrak{l}_R(M)_R)$, there exists $m_0 \in M$ such that $f = [m_0, -]$.
- (ii) For each $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M_R, M'_R)$, there exists $r_0 \in R$ such that $g = \bar{r}_0$, where \bar{r}_0 denotes left multiplication by r_0 .

PROOF. Suppose that (1) and (2) are satisfied. Since $\mathfrak{l}_R(M)_R$ and M'_R are

injective, the inclusion maps $M'_R \hookrightarrow M_R$ and $\mathbf{I}_R(M)_R \hookrightarrow R_R$ split. So, let $p : M \rightarrow M'$ and $q : R \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_R(M)$ be the natural projection maps. We define a map $\Psi : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A_R, \mathbf{I}_R(M)_R \oplus M'_R)$ via

$$(\Psi(r, m))(a, x) = (q(r)a + q([m, x]), p(m)a + (pr)(x)) \quad \text{for } (r, m), (a, x) \in A.$$

It is easily verified that Ψ is a right A -homomorphism. We claim that Ψ is an isomorphism. Let $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \text{Hom}_R(A_R, \mathbf{I}_R(M)_R \oplus M'_R)$, where $f_1 \in \text{Hom}_R(R_R, \mathbf{I}_R(M)_R \oplus M'_R)$ and $f_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(M_R, \mathbf{I}_R(M)_R \oplus M'_R)$. Then by (2), there exist $m_0 \in M$ and $r_0 \in R$ such that $f_2(m) = ([m_0, m], r_0 m)$ for every $m \in M$. We put $f_1(1) = (a_1, x_1)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Psi((1-q)(r_0) + a_1, (1-p)(m_0) + x_1))(a, x) \\ &= (q((1-q)(r_0) + a_1)a + q([(1-p)(m_0) + x_1, x]), p((1-p)(m_0) + x_1)a \\ & \quad + (p \cdot ((1-q)(r_0) + a_1))(x)) = (a_1 a + [(1-p)(m_0), x], x_1 a + (1-q)(r_0)x) \\ &= (a_1 a + [m_0, x], x_1 a + r_0 x) = f_1(a) + f_2(x) = f(a, x) \quad \text{for } (a, x) \in A, \end{aligned}$$

Ψ is an epimorphism. Let $\iota : (\mathbf{I}_R(M) \oplus M')_A \hookrightarrow A_A$ be the inclusion map. Then ι is an essential monomorphism by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2. Since $\Psi\iota$ is a monomorphism, Ψ is also a monomorphism. Thus Ψ is an isomorphism. Since $(\mathbf{I}_R(M) \oplus M')_R$ is injective, $\text{Hom}_R(A_R, \mathbf{I}_R(M)_R \oplus M'_R)_A$ is injective, from which it follows that A is right self-injective.

Following [2], a right R -module X is called lower distinguished if it contains a copy of each simple right R -module.

THEOREM 2.3. *Assume that $\text{Im } \Phi$ is nilpotent. Then A_A is lower distinguished if and only if $(\mathbf{I}_R(M) \oplus M')_R$ is lower distinguished.*

PROOF. Since every maximal right ideal X of A has the form $\mathfrak{m} \oplus M$, where \mathfrak{m} is a maximal right ideal of R , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A(A/X, A) &\cong \text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{m} \oplus M, A) \\ &\cong \mathbf{I}_A(\mathfrak{m} \oplus M) \\ &= \mathbf{I}_{(\mathbf{I}_R(M) \oplus M')_R}(\mathfrak{m}), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that A_A is lower distinguished if and only if $(\mathbf{I}_R(M) \oplus M')_R$ is lower distinguished.

From now on, let A be the trivial extension $R \ltimes M$.

LEMMA 2.4 ([13, Theorem 1.4.1]). *A is right self-injective if and only if the*

following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\mathbf{l}_R(M)_R$ and M_R are injective.
- (2) $\sigma : R \rightarrow \text{End}(M_R)$ is an epimorphism.
- (3) $\text{Hom}_R(M_R, \mathbf{l}_R(M)_R) = 0$.

The following is derived from Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, directly.

THEOREM 2.5. *A is a right injective cogenerator ring if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $(\mathbf{l}_R(M) \oplus M)_R$ is an injective cogenerator.
- (2) $\sigma : R \rightarrow \text{End}(M_R)$ is an epimorphism.
- (3) $\text{Hom}_R(M_R, \mathbf{l}_R(M)_R) = 0$.

REMARK. Y. Kitamura also obtained the above Theorem 2.5 independently (cf. [10, Theorem 3]).

3. Generalized triangular matrix rings.

In this section, let

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ U & T \end{pmatrix}$$

be a generalized triangular matrix ring, where S and T are rings with identity, and U a (T, S) -bimodule. Since U is regarded as an $(S \oplus T, S \oplus T)$ -bimodule in the natural way, Γ is isomorphic to $(S \oplus T) \ltimes U$.

LEMMA 3.1 ([13, Theorem 1.5.1]). *Γ is semiperfect if and only if both S and T are semiperfect.*

LEMMA 3.2 ([11, Theorem 1]). *If R is a right injective cogenerator ring, then R is semiperfect.*

LEMMA 3.3 ([8, Theorem 1]). *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a right injective cogenerator ring.
- (2) $E(R_R)$ is torsionless, and both R_R and ${}_R R$ are lower distinguished.
- (3) R_R is a cogenerator and there are only finitely many non-isomorphic simple right (or left) ideals.

If we apply Theorem 1.9 to Γ , then we have the following.

COROLLARY 3.4. *If Γ is a right cogenerator ring, then $U=0$ if and only if $\text{Soc}({}_T U) \subsetneq \text{Soc}({}_T T)$.*

The following indicates that Γ can not be a right injective cogenerator ring except the trivial case.

THEOREM 3.5. *Γ is a right injective cogenerator ring if and only if both S and T are right injective cogenerator rings, and $U=0$.*

PROOF. (\Leftarrow). Obvious.

(\Rightarrow). Since Γ_Γ is an injective cogenerator, Γ is semiperfect by Lemma 3.2. Therefore, T is semiperfect by Lemma 3.1. On the other hand, since $\mathbf{l}_T(U)_T$ is an injective cogenerator in view of Theorem 2.5, T_T is an injective cogenerator by Lemma 3.3, from which it follows that ${}_T T$ is lower distinguished together with Lemma 3.3. Thus we get $\text{Soc}({}_T U) \subsetneq \text{Soc}({}_T T)$. Hence $U=0$ by Corollary 3.4, from which it follows that S_S and T_T are injective cogenerators in view of Theorem 2.5.

THEOREM 3.6. *If $S=T$ in Γ , then Γ is a right cogenerator ring if and only if T is a right cogenerator ring, and $U=0$.*

PROOF. (\Leftarrow). Obvious.

(\Rightarrow). If $\text{Soc}({}_T U)=0$, then $0=\text{Soc}({}_T U) \subsetneq \text{Soc}({}_T T)$. Therefore, $U=0$ by Corollary 3.4. Next, we suppose that $\text{Soc}({}_T U) \neq 0$ and let ${}_T T u$ be a simple left T -module contained in $\text{Soc}({}_T U)$. Then ${}_T \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is also a simple left ideal of Γ . Since Γ_Γ is a cogenerator, $\mathbf{l}_T(U)_T$ is a cogenerator in view of Corollary 1.5 and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ uT & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\Gamma$ is a simple right ideal of Γ by Lemma 1.7, from which it follows that uT_T is isomorphic to a simple right ideal aT_T of T together with the fact that $\mathbf{l}_T(U)_T$ is a cogenerator. Since $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_\Gamma$ is a simple right ideal of Γ and Γ_Γ is a cogenerator, ${}_T \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is also a simple left ideal of Γ which is isomorphic to ${}_T \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ by Lemma 1.7. Hence ${}_T T a$ is a simple left ideal of T which is isomorphic to ${}_T T u$. Therefore, we have $\text{Soc}({}_T U) \subsetneq \text{Soc}({}_T T)$. Hence we have $U=0$ by Corollary 3.4, and T is a right cogenerator ring.

References

- [1] Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R., Rings and Categories of Modules. Graduate Texts in Math. vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1973.

- [2] Azumaya, G., Completely faithful modules and self-injective rings. Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966), 697-708.
- [3] Faith, C., Self-injective rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 157-164.
- [4] Fossum, R., Griffith, P. and Reiten, I., Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories. Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 456, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
- [5] Goodearl, K.R., Ring Theory. Pure and Applied Math. vol. 33, Dekker, New York, 1976.
- [6] Kasch, F., Modules and Rings. L. M. S. Monographs vol. 17, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [7] Kato, T., Self-injective rings. Tohoku Math. J. 19 (1967), 485-495.
- [8] ———, Torsionless modules. Tohoku Math. J. 20 (1968), 234-243.
- [9] ———, Some generalizations of QF -rings. Proc. Japan Acad. 44 (1968), 114-119.
- [10] Kitamura, Y., On QF -3 trivial extension rings. unpublished.
- [11] Osofsky, B.L., A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings. J. Algebra 4 (1966), 373-387.
- [12] Palmér, I., The global homological dimension of semi-trivial extensions of rings. Math. Scand. 37 (1975), 223-256.
- [13] Reiten, I., Trivial extensions and Gorenstein rings. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1971.
- [14] Sakano, K., Maximal quotient rings of generalized matrix rings. Comm. Algebra 12 (1984), 2055-2065.
- [15] ———, Injective hulls of ϕ -trivial extensions of rings. Comm. Algebra 13 (1985), 1367-1377.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki, 305, Japan