

TWO THEOREMS ON THE EXISTENCE OF INDISCERNIBLE SEQUENCES

By

Akito TSUBOI

§ 0. Introduction.

In this paper we shall state two theorems (Theorem A and Theorem B below) concerning the existence of indiscernible sequences which realize a given type. When we know the existence of a set $A=(a_i)_{i<\omega}$ which realizes a given infinite type p , it will be convenient to assume that A is an indiscernible sequence. Of course this is not always the case. But the type p satisfies a certain condition, we can assume A to be an indiscernible sequence. The reader will find some such conditions in this paper. Our results generalize the following fact:

FACT. The following two conditions on a type $p(x_0, \dots, x_i, \dots)_{i<\omega}$ are equivalent:

- i) There is an indiscernible sequence $(a_i)_{i<\omega}$ which realizes $p(x_i)_{i<\omega}$.
- ii) There is a sequence $(a_i)_{i<\omega}$ such that $(a_{f(i)})_{i<\omega}$ realizes $p(x_i)_{i<\omega}$, whenever f is an increasing function on ω .

Our results in this paper will be used to investigate the number $\kappa_{in p}(T)$ of independent partions of T , in the sequel [3] to this paper. In §1 below, we shall state Theorem A and Theorem B, whose proofs will be given in §2.

§ 1. Theorems.

We use the usual standard notions in Shelah [2]. But some of them will be explained below. Let T be a fixed complete theory formulated in a first order language $L(T)$, and \mathfrak{C} a model of T with sufficiently large saturation (cf. p. 7 in [2]). We use $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ for ordinals and m, n, i, j, k, \dots for natural numbers. \bar{a}, \bar{b} , and \bar{a}_α^i are used to denote finite tuples of elements in \mathfrak{C} . \bar{x}, \bar{y} , and \bar{x}_α^i are used to denote finite sequence of variables. We use capitals $A_\alpha, B_\alpha, \dots (X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, \dots)$ to denote (distinct) ω -sequences of (distinct) k -tuples of (distinct) elements in \mathfrak{C} (variables). Therefore, A_α has the form $(\bar{a}_\alpha^i)_{i<\omega}$, where \bar{a}_α^i is a tuple of elements of \mathfrak{C} , whose length is k . For such an A_α ,

$\cup A_\alpha$ means the set $\{a: a \text{ is an element of some } \bar{a}_\alpha^i\}$. The set of increasing functions on ω is denoted by \mathcal{F} . If $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A = (\bar{a}^i)_{i < \omega}$, then A^f is the sequence $(\bar{a}^{f(i)})_{i < \omega}$. Similarly, $\cup X_\alpha$ and X^f will be used. Also we assume that $\cup X_\alpha, \cup X_\beta, \dots, \cup Y_\alpha, \dots$ are all disjoint.

To state our results, we require two notions, "strongly consistent" and "almost strongly consistent", on a set $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ of formulas of $L(T)$ with variables in $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \cup X_\alpha$ defined by:

- i) $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is strongly consistent if $\bigcup_{F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}} p(X_\alpha^{F(\alpha)})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is consistent with T ;
- ii) $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is almost strongly consistent if $\bigcup_{F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}} p(Y_{F \upharpoonright \alpha}^{F(\alpha)})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is consistent with T , where $F \upharpoonright \alpha$ is the restriction of F to α , and Y_G ($G \in {}^{< \omega} \mathcal{F}$) are sequences of new variables.

Then our results are:

THEOREM A. *The following two conditions on a type $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ are equivalent:*

- a) $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is strongly consistent.
- b) *There is a sequence $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ with the properties i) $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ and ii) $A_\alpha = (\bar{a}_\alpha^i)_{i < \omega}$ is an indiscernible sequence over $\bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} \cup A_\beta$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$.*

THEOREM B. *The following two conditions on a type $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ are equivalent:*

- c) $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is almost strongly consistent.
- d) *There is a sequence $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ with the properties i) $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ and ii) $A_\alpha = (\bar{a}_\alpha^i)_{i < \omega}$ is an indiscernible sequence over $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \cup A_\beta$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$.*

§2. Proofs.

The implication b) \Rightarrow a) is trivial, because the sequence $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes every $p(X_\alpha^{F(\alpha)})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ ($F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}$). a) \Rightarrow b) and c) \Rightarrow d) will be proved by iterated use of Ramsey's theorem.

a) \Rightarrow b): Let's define the set $r(X, Y)$ by

$$r(X, Y) = \{\phi(\bar{x}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}^{i_n} : \bar{y}) \leftrightarrow \phi(\bar{x}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}^{j_n} : \bar{y}) : \phi \in L(T),$$

$$\bar{x}^{i_1}, \dots, \bar{x}^{i_n} \in X \ (i_1 < \dots < i_n),$$

$$\bar{x}^{j_1}, \dots, \bar{x}^{j_n} \in X \ (j_1 < \dots < j_n), \bar{y} \in \cup Y\},$$

where $\bar{y} \in \cup Y$ means that every element in the tuple \bar{y} belongs to the set $\cup Y$. We shall show the consistency of

$$q_\beta(p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa} = \bigcup_{F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}} p(X_\alpha^{F(\alpha)})_{\alpha < \kappa} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} r(X_\gamma, \bigcup_{\delta \neq \gamma} X_\delta),$$

by induction on β . If $\beta=0$, then the consistency follows from a). If β is a limit ordinal, the consistency is clear by compactness. Let $\beta=\gamma+1$ and suppose that $(B_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ realizes $q_\gamma(X_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$. For given formulas $(^*)\phi_i(\bar{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_n : \bar{y}_i) \in L(T)$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) and elements $\bar{b}_i \in \bigcup_{\delta \in \gamma} B_\delta$ ($i=1, \dots, m$), we can choose a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{C} \mid = \phi_i(\bar{b}_\gamma^{f(i_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{b}_\gamma^{f(i_n)} : \bar{b}_i) \longleftrightarrow \phi_i(\bar{b}_\gamma^{f(j_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{b}_\gamma^{f(j_n)} : \bar{b}_i) \quad (i=1, \dots, m),$$

whenever $i_1 < \dots < i_n$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_n$, by using Ramsey's theorem. Then the sequence $(B_\delta)_{\delta < \gamma} \wedge (B_\gamma^f) \wedge (B_\delta)_{\delta < \gamma}$ realizes the following type:

$$q_\gamma(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{ \phi_i(\bar{x}_\gamma^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_\gamma^{i_n} : \bar{b}_i) \longleftrightarrow \phi_i(\bar{x}_\gamma^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_\gamma^{j_n} : \bar{b}_i) : \\ i_1 < \dots < i_n, j_1 < \dots < j_n \}.$$

This shows the consistency of $q_\beta(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$. This means that b) holds.

c) \Rightarrow d): The proof of this case is similar to that of a) \Rightarrow b). For each $F = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \beta} \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}$, we prepare new variables $X_F = (\bar{x}_F^i)_{i < \omega}$. We shall show the consistency of

$$q'_\beta(X_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}} = \bigcup_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}} p(X_{F \upharpoonright \alpha}^{F(\alpha)}) \cup \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} \bigcup_{F \in \gamma^>\mathcal{F}} r(X_F, \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} X_{F \upharpoonright \delta}^{F(\delta)}),$$

by induction on β . As in a) \Rightarrow b), we can assume that β is a successor and that $\beta=\gamma+1$. Let $(B_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$ realize $q'_\beta(X_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$. For given $\phi_{i,j}(\bar{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_n : \bar{y}) \in L(T)$ ($i=1, \dots, l; j=1, \dots, m$), $F_i \in \gamma^>\mathcal{F}$ ($i=1, \dots, l$), and $b_{i,j} \in \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} B_{F_i \upharpoonright \delta}^{F_i(\delta)}$ ($i=1, \dots, l; j=1, \dots, m$), we can choose a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, for each i, j ,

$$\mathfrak{C} \mid = \phi_{i,j}(\bar{b}_{F_i}^{f(i_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{b}_{F_i}^{f(i_n)} : \bar{b}_{i,j}) \longleftrightarrow \phi_{i,j}(\bar{b}_{F_i}^{f(j_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{b}_{F_i}^{f(j_n)} : \bar{b}_{i,j}),$$

whenever $i_1 < \dots < i_n$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_n$, by using Ramsey's theorem. Then define $(A_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$ by

$$A_F = B_F \quad \text{if } lh(F) < \gamma,$$

$$A_F = B_F^f \quad \text{if } lh(F) = \gamma,$$

$$A_{F \wedge (g) \wedge H} = B_{F \wedge (g \circ h) \wedge H} \quad \text{if } lh(F) = \gamma.$$

It is a routine to check that $(A_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$ realizes the following type:

$$q'_\beta(X_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 \leq l \\ 1 \leq j_1 \leq m}} \{ \phi_{i,j}(\bar{x}_{F_i}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_{F_i}^{i_n} : \bar{b}_{i,j}) \\ \longleftrightarrow \phi_{i,j}(\bar{x}_{F_i}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{x}_{F_i}^{j_n} : \bar{b}_{i,j}) : i_1 < \dots < i_n, j_1 < \dots < j_n \}.$$

The above argument shows the consistency of $q'_\beta(X_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$. Let $(A_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$ realize $q'_\beta(X_F)_{F \in \kappa^>\mathcal{F}}$ and define $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ by

(*) Exactly speaking, n depends on i , but we can assume that n does not depend on i without loss of generality.

$$A_\alpha = A_{(id)_{\beta < \alpha}} = \underbrace{A_{(id, id, \dots)}}_{\alpha \text{ times}}$$

$(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is the desired sequence which satisfies the conditions i) and ii) of d).

d) \Rightarrow c): For each $\alpha < \kappa$ and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, let $E_{\alpha, f}$ be an elementary map such that

- 1) $\text{dom}(A_{\alpha, f}) = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha+1} A_\beta$, $E_{\alpha, id}$ = the identity map,
- 2) $E_{\alpha, f} \upharpoonright (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta)$ = the identity map,
- 3) $E_{\alpha, f}(\bar{a}_\alpha^i) = \bar{a}_\alpha^{f(i)}$, for each $i < \omega$.

Using these $E_{\alpha, f}$ ($\alpha < \kappa$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$), let's define elementary maps I_F ($F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}$) such that

- 4) $\text{dom}(I_F) = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq lh(F)} A_\alpha$,
- 5) $I_F \upharpoonright (\bigcup_{\alpha < lh(F)} A_\alpha) \subseteq I_G \upharpoonright (\bigcup_{\alpha < lh(G)} A_\alpha)$, for all $F, G \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subseteq G$,
- 6) $I_{F \hat{\ } (f)} = I_{F \wedge (id)} \circ E_{lh(F), f}$.

Suppose that we have already constructed I_F ($F \in {}^\alpha \mathcal{F}$). Our construction splits into the following two cases:

CASE 1. $\alpha = \beta + 1$. For each $F \in {}^\beta \mathcal{F}$, let J be an arbitrary elementary map such that $J \supseteq I_F$ and $\text{dom}(J) = \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \alpha} A_\gamma$. Then put $I_{F \wedge (f)} = J \circ E_{\beta, f}$.

CASE 2. α is a limit ordinal. For each $F \in {}^\alpha \mathcal{F}$, let $I_F^* = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (I_{F \upharpoonright \beta} \upharpoonright (\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} A_\gamma))$. By 5), I_F^* is an elementary map. We define I_F as an elementary map such that $I_F \supseteq I_F^*$ and $\text{dom}(I_F) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$. If we put $A_F = (I_F(\bar{a}_{lh(F)}^i))_{i < \omega}$, then $(A_F)_{F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}}$ guarantees the almost strong consistency of $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$, i.e., it realizes the type $\bigcup_{F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}} p(Y_{F \upharpoonright \alpha}^{F(\alpha)})_{\alpha < \kappa}$. For this we must show that $(A_F^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ for each $F \in {}^\kappa \mathcal{F}$. But this is clear, since the followings hold in turn:

- $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$;
- $((I_F(\bar{a}_\alpha^i))_{i < \omega})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$;
- $((I_{F \upharpoonright \alpha+1}(\bar{a}_\alpha^i))_{i < \omega})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$;
- $((I_{F \upharpoonright \alpha \wedge (id)} \circ E_{\alpha, F(\alpha)}(\bar{a}_\alpha^i))_{i < \omega})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$;
- $((I_{F \upharpoonright \alpha}(\bar{a}_\alpha^i))_{i < \omega})^{F(\alpha)}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$;
- $(A_{F \upharpoonright \alpha}^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$ realizes $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$.

REMARK. If T is stable, any indiscernible sequence becomes an indiscernible set. Hence, in such cases, we can require in b) and d) that A_α is an indiscernible set. We are inspired by Chapter III of [2]. In fact, the construction of I_F^* in

the proof of $d) \Rightarrow c)$ is very similar to that of F_η in Theorem 3.7 of [2]. We use Theorems A and B freely in our forthcoming paper [3].

Added in proof.

In Theorems A and B, each sequence X_α ($\alpha < \kappa$) is assumed to be an ω -sequence of finite tuples of variables (to avoid unnecessary complexity). But the restriction to ω -sequence is not necessary. By using compactness, we can prove Theorems A and B for a type $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ with $lh(X_\alpha) = \lambda$, where λ is an arbitrary infinite cardinal. Moreover, if $p(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is a strongly consistent type of a stable theory T , its realization $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ can be assumed to be independent over some A with $|A| = \kappa$. (Precisely speaking, $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} A_\alpha$ is independent over A .) To prove this, we must note that for each $A_\alpha = (\bar{a}_\alpha^i)_{i < \lambda}$, the average type $Av(A_\alpha/A_\alpha)$ is a unique non-forking extension of $Av(A_\alpha/(\bar{a}_\alpha^i)_{i < \omega})$ to the domain A_α . A hint for its proof will be found in [3].

References

- [1] Sacks, G. E., Saturated Model Theory, W. A. Benjamin, Reading Mass., 1972.
- [2] Shelah, S., Classification Theory and the Number of Non-isomorphic Models, Studies in logic, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [3] Tsuboi, A., On the number of independent partitions, to appear in J. Symb. Logic.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Sakura-mura, Niihari-gun
Ibaraki, 305 Japan