

## ON CONJUGATE LOCI AND CUT LOCI OF COMPACT SYMMETRIC SPACES I

By

Masaru TAKEUCHI

### Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact connected Riemannian manifold. Fix a point  $o$  of  $M$  and denote by  $T_o(M)$  the tangent space of  $M$  at  $o$ . Let  $\text{Exp}: T_o(M) \rightarrow M$  be the exponential map of  $(M, g)$  at  $o$ . A tangent vector  $X \in T_o(M)$  is called a *tangential conjugate point* of  $(M, g)$ , if  $\text{Exp}$  is degenerate at  $X$ . The set  $\tilde{Q}$  of all tangential conjugate points of  $(M, g)$  in  $T_o(M)$  is called the *tangential conjugate locus* of  $(M, g)$  in  $T_o(M)$ . The image  $Q = \text{Exp } \tilde{Q}$  of  $\tilde{Q}$  under  $\text{Exp}$  is called the *conjugate locus* of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$ .

Let  $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$  be a geodesic of  $(M, g)$  (parametrized by arc-length) emanating from  $o$ . Let  $X_1 = \dot{\gamma}(0) \in T_o(M)$  denote the initial tangent vector of  $\gamma$ . Assume that the set of  $t \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $tX_1 \in \tilde{Q}$  is not empty and let  $t_0$  be the infimum of this set. Then the tangent vector  $t_0X_1$  is called the *tangential first conjugate point along  $\gamma$* . The set  $\tilde{F}$  of all  $X \in T_o(M)$  which is the tangential first conjugate point along some geodesic  $\gamma$  emanating from  $o$ , is called the *tangential first conjugate locus* of  $(M, g)$  in  $T_o(M)$ . The image  $F = \text{Exp } \tilde{F}$  of  $\tilde{F}$  under  $\text{Exp}$  is called the *first conjugate locus* of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$ .

Let again  $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$  be a geodesic emanating from  $o$  and  $X_1 = \dot{\gamma}(0)$ . Let  $\bar{t}_0$  be the supremum of the set of  $t \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $\gamma|_{[0, t]}$  is a minimal geodesic segment from  $o$  to  $\gamma(t)$ . The number  $\bar{t}_0$  is always finite since  $M$  is compact. Then the tangent vector  $\bar{t}_0X_1$  is called the *tangential cut point along  $\gamma$* . The set  $\tilde{C}$  of all  $X \in T_o(M)$  which is the tangential cut point along some geodesic  $\gamma$  emanating from  $o$ , is called the *tangential cut locus* of  $(M, g)$  in  $T_o(M)$ . The image  $C = \text{Exp } \tilde{C}$  of  $\tilde{C}$  under  $\text{Exp}$  is called the *cut locus* of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$ .

In the present article, we shall study the structures of the conjugate locus, the first conjugate locus and the cut locus of a compact symmetric space.

Helgason [3] showed by a group theoretical method that the conjugate locus of a compact connected Lie group  $M$ , endowed with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric  $g$ , is nicely stratified in the sense that it is the disjoint union of smooth submani-

folds of  $M$ . On the other hand, Wong [12], [13], [14] studied conjugate loci and cut loci of Grassmann manifolds by a geometric method and gave stratifications of them. Recently Sakai [7] studied the cut locus of a general compact symmetric space  $(M, g)$  and showed that it is determined by the cut locus of a maximal totally geodesic flat submanifold  $\hat{A}$  in  $(M, g)$ . He gave in [6], [7] also stratifications of cut loci of  $U(n)/O(n)$ ,  $U(n)$ ,  $SO(n)$ ,  $Sp(2n)/U(n)$  and Grassmann manifolds by his method. These spaces are included in the class of so-called symmetric  $R$ -spaces. Naitoh [5] studied the cut locus of  $\hat{A}$  and the first conjugate locus in  $\hat{A}$  for each irreducible symmetric  $R$ -space. Moreover, Sakai [8] gave a stratification of the conjugate locus of a simply connected compact symmetric space, by a refinement of Helgason's approach.

In the present note I, we shall give a stratification of the conjugate locus  $Q$ , the first conjugate locus  $F$  and the cut locus  $C$  of a general (not necessarily simply connected) compact symmetric space  $(M, g)$  by a group theoretical method. Our stratification consists of regular submanifolds of  $M$ , which are diffeomorphic with fibre bundles over compact manifolds. Our stratification is a generalization of those of Helgason [3] and Sakai [8].

In the forthcoming paper II, we shall study topological structures of  $Q$ ,  $F$  and  $C$ . Furthermore we shall give another stratification of the cut locus for a symmetric  $R$ -space  $M$ . This stratification consists of orbits of a certain group acting on  $M$ . Our results include those of Wong and Sakai on cut loci of the previously mentioned symmetric  $R$ -spaces.

### § 1. Conjugate loci of compact symmetric spaces

In this section, we shall study the structure of conjugate loci of compact symmetric spaces by a group theoretical approach.

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group,  $K$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $\theta$  an involutive automorphism of  $G$ . Assume that the pair  $(G, K)$  is a symmetric pair with respect to  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $K$  lies between the subgroup:

$$G_\theta = \{x \in G; \theta(x) = x\}$$

and the identity component of  $G_\theta$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$  respectively. The involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced by  $\theta$  will be also denoted by  $\theta$ . Then the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is a symmetric pair with respect to  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{k}$  satisfies

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \theta X = X\}.$$

Choose an inner product  $(\ , \ )$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is invariant under  $\theta$  and the adjoint action of  $G$ . In what follows, for a subspace  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the group of ortho-

gonal transformations of  $\mathfrak{h}$  with respect to this inner product  $(\ , \ )$ , will be denoted by  $O(\mathfrak{h})$ . Consider the homogeneous space:

$$M = G/K,$$

and denote the origin  $K$  of  $M$  by  $o$ . Then the tangent space  $T_o(M)$  of  $M$  at  $o$  is identified with the subspace:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \theta X = -X\},$$

through the canonical projection  $\pi_G: G \rightarrow M$ . This subspace  $\mathfrak{m}$  will be called the *canonical complement* for the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ . Let  $g$  be the unique  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M$  such that it coincides on  $T_o(M)$  with the inner product  $(\ , \ )$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is a compact connected symmetric space. Note that any compact connected symmetric space is obtained in this way. It is known that the exponential map  $\text{Exp}$  of  $(M, g)$  at the origin  $o$  is given by

$$\text{Exp } X = (\exp X)o \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

Take a Cartan subalgebra  $\alpha$ , i.e., a maximal abelian subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , for the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  and fix it once for all. We denote by  $A$  the toral subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\alpha$ . Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  be the center and the derived algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  respectively. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k}' &= \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}', & \mathfrak{m}' &= \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{g}', & \alpha' &= \alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}', \\ \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{k}} &= \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{k}, & \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{k}')$  is also a symmetric pair with respect to  $\theta' = \theta|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$  with the canonical complement  $\mathfrak{m}'$ . The subspace  $\alpha'$  is a Cartan subalgebra for  $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{k}')$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}} + \mathfrak{m}', \quad \alpha = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}} + \alpha'.$$

Put

$$r = \dim \alpha, \quad r_0 = \dim \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

The integer  $r$  is the so-called rank of the symmetric space  $(M, g)$ . For  $\gamma \in \alpha$ , we define a subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{c}}$  of the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{c}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{c}} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{c}}; [H, X] = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\gamma, H)X \text{ for each } H \in \alpha\},$$

and put

$$\Sigma = \{\gamma \in \alpha - \{0\}; \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{c}} \neq \{0\}\} \subset \alpha'.$$

An element of  $\Sigma$  is a root (or angular parameter) for  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  relative to  $\alpha$ . Take

next a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $\alpha$  and put

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \quad \mathfrak{t}' = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{g}'.$$

Then we have direct sum decompositions:

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{t}'.$$

For  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ , we define a subspace  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}; [H, X] = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\alpha, H)X \text{ for each } H \in \mathfrak{t}\},$$

and put

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t} - \{0\}; \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\} \subset \mathfrak{t}'.$$

An element of  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is a root (or angular parameter) for  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{t}$ . We put further

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_0 = \tilde{\Sigma} \cap \mathfrak{b}.$$

Let  $H \mapsto \bar{H}$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $\mathfrak{t}$  onto  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then we have

$$\Sigma = \{\bar{\alpha}; \alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma} - \tilde{\Sigma}_0\}.$$

Choose a compatible order  $>$ , i.e., a lexicographic order  $>$  on  $\mathfrak{t}$  such that

$$\alpha > 0, \alpha \notin \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \implies -\theta\alpha > 0,$$

and fix it one for all. This induces an order on  $\mathfrak{a}$ , which will be also denoted by  $>$ .

Let  $\tilde{\Pi}$  be the fundamental root system for  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  with respect to the order  $>$  and let

$$\tilde{\Pi}_0 = \tilde{\Pi} \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0.$$

Then the fundamental root system  $\Pi$  for  $\Sigma$  with respect to the order  $>$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$  is given by

$$\Pi = \{\bar{\alpha}; \alpha \in \tilde{\Pi} - \tilde{\Pi}_0\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\Sigma}_+$  denote the set of positive roots in  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . Then the set  $\Sigma_+$  of positive roots in  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$\Sigma_+ = \{\bar{\alpha}; \alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  denote the centralizer of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  respectively. Put

$$\mathfrak{t}_\gamma = \mathfrak{k} \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma}^{\mathbb{C}}), \quad \mathfrak{m}_\gamma = \mathfrak{m} \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma}^{\mathbb{C}})$$

for  $\gamma \in \Sigma_+$ . Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. 1) We have orthogonal direct sums:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k} &= \mathfrak{k}_0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{k}_\gamma, \\ \mathfrak{m} &= \mathfrak{m}_0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{m}_\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

2) We can choose  $S_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $T_\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$  for each  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0$  in such a way that:

(1) For each  $\gamma \in \Sigma_+$ , the sets  $\{S_\alpha; \bar{\alpha} = \gamma\}$  and  $\{T_\alpha; \bar{\alpha} = \gamma\}$  are basis for  $\mathfrak{k}_\gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_\gamma$  respectively;

(2)  $[H, S_\alpha] = 2\pi(\alpha, H)T_\alpha$ ,  $[H, T_\alpha] = -2\pi(\alpha, H)S_\alpha$  for each  $H \in \alpha$ ;

(3)  $\text{Ad}(\exp H)S_\alpha = \cos 2\pi(\alpha, H)S_\alpha + \sin 2\pi(\alpha, H)T_\alpha$ ,  
 $\text{Ad}(\exp H)T_\alpha = -\sin 2\pi(\alpha, H)S_\alpha + \cos 2\pi(\alpha, H)T_\alpha$

for each  $H \in \alpha$ .

3) Let  $\Psi^K: K \times \alpha \rightarrow M$  be the  $C^\infty$  map defined by

$$\Psi^K(k, H) = k \text{Exp } H \quad \text{for } k \in K, H \in \alpha.$$

Then the differential  $d\Psi^K$  of  $\Psi^K$  at  $(k_0, H_0)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(d\Psi^K)_{(k_0, H_0)}(d\tau_{k_0}(S_0 + \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha S_\alpha), H) \\ = d\tau_{k_0, \exp H_0} d\pi_G(H - \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha \sin 2\pi(\alpha, H_0)T_\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

for  $H \in \alpha = T_{H_0}(\alpha)$  and  $S_0 \in \mathfrak{k}_0$ , where  $\tau_x$  denotes the left translation by  $x$ .

PROOF. 1) is an easy consequence of definitions.

2) We define a real reductive subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^C$  by

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{k} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{m}$$

and put

$$\mathfrak{g}_\gamma = \mathfrak{g}^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_\gamma^C \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Sigma.$$

Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_\gamma + \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma} = \mathfrak{k}_\gamma + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{m}_\gamma \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \Sigma_+.$$

Choose an  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  for each  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0$  in such a way that for each  $\gamma \in \Sigma_+$  the set  $\{X_\alpha; \bar{\alpha} = \gamma\}$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ . For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0$  with  $\bar{\alpha} = \gamma$ , let

$$X_\alpha = S_\alpha - \sqrt{-1}T_\alpha \quad S_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}_\gamma, T_\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_\gamma.$$

Then these  $S_\alpha$  and  $T_\alpha$  have the required properties.

3) follows from direct computations. q.e.d.

Let  $W$  be the Weyl group for the symmetric pair  $(G, K)$ , i.e.,  $W = N_K(A)/Z_K(A)$ , where  $N_K(A)$  and  $Z_K(A)$  are the normalizer and the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$  respectively.

It is identified with a finite subgroup of  $O(\alpha)$  through the adjoint action on  $\alpha$ . We define the *diagram*  $D$  for the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  by

$$D = \{H \in \alpha; 2(\gamma, H) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Sigma\}.$$

It is invariant under the Weyl group  $W$ . A connected component of  $\alpha - D$  is called a *fundamental cell* of  $\alpha$ . We define a lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $\alpha$ , a lattice  $\Gamma^0$  in  $\alpha'$  and a subgroup  $\Gamma^*$  of  $\alpha$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \{H \in \alpha; \exp H \in K\}, \\ \Gamma^0 &= \{A_\gamma; \gamma \in \Sigma\}_{\mathbf{Z}}, \quad \text{where } A_\gamma = (1/(\gamma, \gamma))\gamma, \\ \Gamma^* &= \{H \in \alpha; 2(\gamma, H) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\{*\}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  means the subgroup generated by  $*$ . The following inclusions are known (cf. Takeuchi [11]).

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma^0 \subset \Gamma \subset \Gamma^*.$$

The Weyl group  $W$  leaves these groups invariant. Denoting by  $t(A)$  the translation:  $H \mapsto H + A$  of  $\alpha$  by an element  $A \in \alpha$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W} &= t(\Gamma)W, \\ \bar{W}^0 &= t(\Gamma^0)W, \\ \bar{W}^* &= t(\Gamma^*)W. \end{aligned}$$

In virtue of a general relation:

$$st(A)s^{-1} = t(sA) \quad \text{for } s \in O(\alpha), A \in \alpha,$$

these are subgroups of the group of Euclidean motions of  $\alpha$ , and the above expressions are semi-direct decompositions. The inclusions (1.1) implies the inclusions:

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{W}^0 \subset \bar{W} \subset \bar{W}^*.$$

These groups leave the diagram  $D$  invariant, and hence they act on the set of all fundamental cells of  $\alpha$ . The following is classical.

LEMMA 1.2. (E. Cartan [1])

1) *Let*

$$S_\gamma^n = \{H \in \alpha; 2(\gamma, H) = n\} \quad \gamma \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbf{Z}$$

*be a hyperplane of  $\alpha$  contained in the diagram, and denote by  $s_\gamma^n$  the symmetry:*

$$H \mapsto H - (2(H, \gamma)/(\gamma, \gamma))\gamma + (n/(\gamma, \gamma))\gamma \quad \text{for } H \in \alpha$$

*of  $\alpha$  with respect to  $S_\gamma^n$ . Then  $\bar{W}^0$  is generated by these symmetries  $s_\gamma^n$  with  $\gamma \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and it acts simply transitively on the set of fundamental cells of  $\alpha$ .*

2) If  $G$  is simply connected, then  $G_\theta$  is connected.

3) If  $M$  is simply connected, then  $\Gamma = \Gamma^0$ .

Now decompose the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{k}')$  into the sum of irreducible symmetric pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{k}_k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ):

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s, \quad \mathfrak{k}' = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{k}_s,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}'; [X, m'] = \{0\}\}.$$

Then we have also the following decompositions.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_s, \text{ where } \alpha_k = \alpha' \cap \mathfrak{g}_k \text{ (} 1 \leq k \leq s \text{),} \\ \Sigma &= \Sigma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Sigma_s, \text{ where } \Sigma_k = \Sigma \cap \alpha_k \text{ (} 1 \leq k \leq s \text{),} \\ \Pi &= \Pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_s, \text{ where } \Pi_k = \Pi \cap \Sigma_k \text{ (} 1 \leq k \leq s \text{).} \end{aligned}$$

These imply direct product decompositions:

$$(1.3) \quad \Gamma^* = c_m + \Gamma_1^* + \cdots + \Gamma_s^*,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{W}^* = t(c_m) \times \bar{W}_1^* \times \cdots \times \bar{W}_s^*,$$

where  $\Gamma_k^*$  and  $\bar{W}_k^*$  are the corresponding groups for the  $k$ -th irreducible factor  $(\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{k}_k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ). Let  $\delta_k \in \Sigma_k$  denote the highest root in  $\Sigma_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ) and put

$$\Sigma^\dagger = \{\delta_k; 1 \leq k \leq s\}.$$

Consider disjoint unions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_k^\dagger &= \Pi_k \cup \{\delta_k\} \quad (1 \leq k \leq s), \\ \Pi^\dagger &= \Pi_1^\dagger \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_s^\dagger = \Pi \cup \Sigma^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$S_k = \{H \in \alpha_k; 0 < 2(\gamma, H) < 1 \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Pi_k^\dagger\} \quad (1 \leq k \leq s),$$

$$S = \{H \in \alpha; 0 < 2(\gamma, H) < 1 \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Pi^\dagger\},$$

$$S' = S \cap \alpha'.$$

Then we have

$$(1.5) \quad S = c_m \times S_1 \times \cdots \times S_s = c_m \times S',$$

$$(1.6) \quad S' = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_s.$$

Their closures are given by

$$\bar{S}_k = \{H \in \alpha_k; 0 \leq 2(\gamma, H) \leq 1 \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Pi_k^\dagger\} \quad (1 \leq k \leq s),$$

$$\bar{S} = \{H \in \alpha; 0 \leq 2(\gamma, H) \leq 1 \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Pi^\dagger\},$$

$$\bar{S}' = \bar{S} \cap \alpha'.$$

Thus we have also

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{S} = c_m \times \bar{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \bar{S}_s = c_m \times \bar{S}',$$

$$(1.8) \quad \bar{S}' = \bar{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \bar{S}_s.$$

It is easy to see that  $S$  is an open convex cell in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and that it is the unique fundamental cell of  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $S$  is contained in the closed positive Weyl chamber:

$$\alpha_+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}; (\gamma, H) \geq 0 \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Sigma_+\},$$

and such that the closure  $\bar{S}$  contains 0. Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}_S &= \{\tau \in \bar{W}; \tau S = S\}, \\ \bar{W}_S^* &= \{\tau \in \bar{W}^*; \tau S = S\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5) we have an inclusion:

$$(1.9) \quad \bar{W}_S \subset \bar{W}_S^*$$

and a direct product decomposition:

$$(1.10) \quad \bar{W}_S^* = t(c_m) \times \bar{W}_{S_1}^* \times \cdots \times \bar{W}_{S_s}^*,$$

where  $\bar{W}_{S_k}^*$  is the corresponding group for the  $k$ -th irreducible factor  $(g_k, \mathfrak{t}_k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ). Note that each  $\bar{W}_{S_k}^*$  is a finite group.

LEMMA 1.3. 1) *The group  $\bar{W}^0$  is a normal subgroup of  $\bar{W}^*$ , and*

$$\bar{W}_S^* \cong \bar{W}^*/\bar{W}^0 \cong \Gamma^*/\Gamma^0,$$

$$\bar{W}_S \cong \bar{W}/\bar{W}^0 \cong \Gamma/\Gamma^0.$$

2) *If  $M$  is simply connected, then  $\bar{W}_S = \{1\}$ .*

PROOF. 1) We show first

$$(1.11) \quad sA - A \in \Gamma^0 \quad \text{for each } s \in W, A \in \Gamma^*.$$

In fact, if we denote by  $s_\gamma$  the symmetry:

$$H \longmapsto H - (2(H, \gamma)/(\gamma, \gamma))\gamma \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{a}$$

of  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ , then

$$s_\gamma A - A = -(2(A, \gamma)/(\gamma, \gamma))\gamma = -2(A, \gamma)A_\gamma \in \Gamma^0.$$

Since  $W$  is generated by symmetries  $s_\gamma$  with  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ , (1.11) holds for any  $s \in W$ .

Now we define a map  $\hat{p} : \bar{W}^* \longrightarrow \Gamma^*/\Gamma^0$  by

$$\hat{p}(t(A)s) = A + \Gamma^0 \quad \text{for } A \in \Gamma^*, s \in W.$$



Then  $p$  is a surjective homomorphism in virtue of (1.11). Since kernel  $p = \bar{W}^0$ ,  $\bar{W}^0$  is a normal subgroup of  $\bar{W}^*$  and  $\bar{W}^*/\bar{W}^0 \cong \Gamma^*/\Gamma^0$ . Moreover Lemma 1.2, 1) implies  $\bar{W}_S^* \cong \bar{W}^*/\bar{W}^0$ . The same proof shows  $\bar{W}_S \cong \bar{W}/\bar{W}^0 \cong \Gamma/\Gamma^0$ .

2) follows from 1) and Lemma 1.2, 3). q.e.d.

Now we shall decompose  $\bar{S}$  into the union of convex cells. For a subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^!$ , let  $S^\Delta$  be the set of all  $H \in \bar{S}$  satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\gamma, H) &> 0 & \text{if } \gamma \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Pi, \\ 2(\gamma, H) &< 1 & \text{if } \gamma \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Sigma^!, \\ 2(\gamma, H) &= 0 & \text{if } \gamma \notin \Delta, \gamma \in \Pi, \\ 2(\gamma, H) &= 1 & \text{if } \gamma \notin \Delta, \gamma \in \Sigma^!. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that  $S^\Delta$  is a convex cell in  $\bar{S}$ . If we denote by  $S^{A_k}$  the convex cell in  $\bar{S}_k$  defined in the same way from the subset  $A_k$  of  $\Pi_k^!$  defined by  $A_k = \Delta \cap \Pi_k^!$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ) and if we put  $S'^\Delta = S^\Delta \cap \alpha'$ , then we have

$$(1.12) \quad S^\Delta = c_m \times S^{A_1} \times \cdots \times S^{A_s} = c_m \times S'^\Delta,$$

$$(1.13) \quad S'^\Delta = S^{A_1} \times \cdots \times S^{A_s}.$$

Hence,  $S^\Delta \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $A_k \neq \emptyset$  for each  $k$ . A subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^!$  satisfying the latter conditions is said to be *admissible*. For an admissible subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^!$ , the dimension  $k_\Delta$  of  $S^\Delta$  is given by

$$(1.14) \quad k_\Delta = |\Delta| + r_0 - s,$$

where  $|\ast|$  means the cardinality of the set  $\ast$ .

LEMMA 1.4. 1)  $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{\Delta} S^\Delta$  (*disjoint union*), where  $\Delta$  ranges over the admissible subsets of  $\Pi^!$ .

2) The group  $\bar{W}_S^*$  acts on the set of all  $S^\Delta$  with  $\Delta$  admissible.

3) For admissible subsets  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  of  $\Pi^!$ ,

$$\bar{S}^{\Delta_1} \supset S^{\Delta_2} \iff \Delta_1 \supset \Delta_2.$$

In this case, for  $H_1 \in S^{\Delta_1}$  and  $H_2 \in S^{\Delta_2}$ , we have

$$tH_1 + (1-t)H_2 \in S^{\Delta_1} \quad \text{for each } t \text{ with } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

PROOF. In virtue of (1.7), (1.10) and (1.13), we may assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r})$  is irreducible.

We define a map  $\gamma^! \longrightarrow \gamma^!$  from  $\Pi^!$  into  $\alpha$  by

$$(1.15) \quad \gamma^{\natural} = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \Pi \\ -\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma^{\natural}, \end{cases}$$

and denote its image by  $\Pi^{\natural}$ . Let  $\Pi = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r\}$  the basis of a dual to  $\Pi$ :

$$(\varepsilon_i, \gamma_j) = \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq r).$$

Let

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \gamma_i \quad n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_i \geq 1$$

be the highest root of  $\Sigma$ . We put

$$\gamma_0 = -\delta, \quad \varepsilon_0 = 0, \quad n_0 = 1,$$

$$P_{\gamma_i} = (1/2n_i)\varepsilon_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq r),$$

so that  $\Pi^{\natural}$  is given by

$$\Pi^{\natural} = \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}.$$

Then  $\bar{S}$  is the ordinary closed Euclidean simplex spanned by the points  $\{P_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Pi^{\natural}\}$ :

$$\bar{S} = \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi^{\natural}} h_{\gamma} P_{\gamma}; 0 \leq h_{\gamma} \leq 1, \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi^{\natural}} h_{\gamma} = 1 \right\},$$

and  $S^{\natural}$  is the open Euclidean simplex spanned by the points  $\{P_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Delta^{\natural}\}$ :

$$(1.16) \quad S^{\natural} = \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^{\natural}} h_{\gamma} P_{\gamma}; 0 < h_{\gamma} < 1, \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^{\natural}} h_{\gamma} = 1 \right\}.$$

Thus the family  $\{S^{\natural}\}_{\Delta}$  gives the ordinary cellular decomposition of the closed simplex  $\bar{S}$ . This implies the Lemma. q.e.d.

REMARK. Any fundamental cell of  $\alpha$  can be decomposed to the union of disjoint convex cells in the same way. Thus we get a cellular decomposition of  $\alpha$ , which is invariant under the action of  $\bar{W}^*$ .

For an element  $H \in \bar{S}$ , we define a closed subgroup  $Z^H$  of  $K$  by

$$Z^H = \{k \in K; k \text{ Exp } H = \text{Exp } H\}.$$

For an admissible subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^{\natural}$ , we define a subgroup  $N^{\Delta}$  of  $K$  and a normal subgroup  $Z^{\Delta}$  of  $N^{\Delta}$  by

$$N^{\Delta} = \{k \in K; k \text{ Exp } S^{\Delta} = \text{Exp } S^{\Delta}\},$$

$$Z^{\Delta} = \{k \in N^{\Delta}; k|_{\text{Exp } S^{\Delta}} = \text{id}\},$$

where  $k|_{\text{Exp } S^{\Delta}} = \text{id}$  means that  $k p = p$  for each  $p \in \text{Exp } S^{\Delta}$ . Then  $Z^{\Delta}$  is a closed

subgroup of  $K$  and  $Z^d \subset Z^H$  for each  $H \in S^d$ . Let  $W^d$  be the quotient group:

$$W^d = N^d / Z^d.$$

The class  $kZ^d \in W^d$  containing  $k \in N^d$  will be denoted by  $[k]$ . Also we define a subgroup  $\bar{N}^d$  of  $\bar{W}_S$ , a normal subgroup  $\bar{Z}^d$  of  $\bar{N}^d$  and the quotient group  $\bar{W}^d$  by

$$\bar{N}^d = \{\tau \in \bar{W}_S; \tau S^d = S^d\},$$

$$\bar{Z}^d = \{\tau \in \bar{N}^d; \tau | S^d = \text{id}\},$$

$$\bar{W}^d = \bar{N}^d / \bar{Z}^d.$$

The class  $\tau \bar{Z}^d \in \bar{W}^d$  containing  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$  will be also denoted by  $[\tau]$ . Let further

$$\Sigma^d = \Sigma \cap \{\Pi^1 - \Delta\}_Z, \quad \Sigma_+^d = \Sigma^d \cap \Sigma_+,$$

$$\mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{a} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_+^d} (\mathfrak{k}_\gamma + \mathfrak{m}_\gamma).$$

Then we have a decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{k}^d + \mathfrak{m}^d,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{k}^d = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_+^d} \mathfrak{k}_\gamma = \mathfrak{g}^d \cap \mathfrak{k},$$

$$\mathfrak{m}^d = \mathfrak{m}_0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_+^d} \mathfrak{m}_\gamma = \mathfrak{g}^d \cap \mathfrak{m}.$$

We define moreover a  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi^d: K/Z^d \times S^d \longrightarrow M$  by

$$\Psi^d(kZ^d, H) = k \text{Exp } H \quad \text{for } k \in K, H \in S^d.$$

The image of  $\Psi^d$  will be denoted by  $M^d$ . Our first task is to study the structure of the set  $M^d$ .

**LEMMA 1.5.** *Let  $\Delta$  be an admissible subset of  $\Pi^1$ . Take an element  $H \in S^d$ . Then:*

- 1)  $\Sigma_+^d = \{\gamma \in \Sigma; 2(\gamma, H) = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$ .
- 2)  $\Sigma^d = \{\gamma \in \Sigma; 2(\gamma, H) \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ .
- 3)  $\mathfrak{g}^d = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \text{Ad}(\exp 2H)X = X\}$ .
- 4)  $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{k}^d)$  is a symmetric pair with the canonical complement  $\mathfrak{m}^d$ .

**PROOF.** 1) We may assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is irreducible. Under the notation in the proof of Lemma 1.4, let  $\gamma \in \Sigma_+$  be written as

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \gamma_i \quad m_i \in \mathbf{Z}, m_i \geq 0.$$

We shall show that  $\gamma \in \{\Pi^1 - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  if and only if  $2(\gamma, H) = 0$  or  $1$ .

Case 1:  $\delta \notin \Delta$ . We have

$$2(\gamma_i, H) > 0 \quad \text{if } \gamma_i \in \Delta,$$

$$2(\gamma_j, H) = 0 \quad \text{if } \gamma_j \notin \Delta,$$

$$2(\delta, H) = 1,$$

and hence

$$0 \leq 2(\gamma, H) = 2 \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Delta} m_i (\gamma_i, H) \leq 1,$$

$$2(\delta, H) = 2 \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Delta} n_i (\gamma_i, H) = 1.$$

Thus, if  $2(\gamma, H) = 1$ , then

$$\gamma = \sum_{\gamma_j \notin \Delta} m_j \gamma_j,$$

and hence  $\gamma \in \{\Pi - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}} \subset \{\Pi^1 - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ . If  $2(\gamma, H) = 0$ , then

$$\gamma = \sum_{\gamma_j \notin \Delta} m_j \gamma_j + \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Delta} n_i \gamma_i = \sum_{\gamma_j \notin \Delta} (m_j - n_j) \gamma_j + \delta,$$

and hence  $\gamma \in \{\Pi^1 - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ . Conversely, if  $\gamma \in \{\Pi^1 - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ , i.e.,  $\gamma$  is written as

$$\gamma = \sum_{\gamma_j \notin \Delta} l_j \gamma_j + l_0 \delta \quad l_j, l_0 \in \mathbf{Z},$$

then  $m_i = l_0 n_i$  for each  $i$  with  $\gamma_i \in \Delta$ . Thus  $l_0 = 0$  or  $1$ , and hence  $2(\gamma, H) = 0$  or  $1$ .

Case 2:  $\delta \in \Delta$ . We have

$$2(\gamma_i, H) > 0 \quad \text{if } \gamma_i \in \Delta,$$

$$2(\delta, H) < 1,$$

$$2(\gamma_j, H) = 0 \quad \text{if } \gamma_j \notin \Delta,$$

and hence

$$0 \leq 2(\gamma, H) = 2 \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Delta} m_i (\gamma_i, H),$$

$$2(\delta, H) = 2 \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Delta} n_i (\gamma_i, H) < 1.$$

These imply  $2(\gamma, H) < 1$ . Now

$$2(\gamma, H) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma = \sum_{\gamma_j \notin \Delta} m_j \gamma_j$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \gamma \in \{\Pi - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}} = \{\Pi^1 - \Delta\}_{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

- 2) follows from 1).  
 3) The complexification of the right hand side is

$$\{X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}; \text{Ad}(\exp 2H)X = X\} = \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{2(\gamma, H) \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma}^{\mathbb{C}},$$

which is equal to  $(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{\mathbb{C}}$  by 2). This implies the assertion 3).

4) is clear, since both  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  and  $\mathfrak{t}^d$  are subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in virtue of the assertion 3). q.e.d.

LEMMA 1.6. 1) *Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be admissible subsets of  $\Pi^d$ ,  $H_1 \in S^{\Delta_1}$ ,  $H_2 \in S^{\Delta_2}$  and  $k \in K$ . If  $k \text{Exp } H_1 = \text{Exp } H_2$ , then  $\text{Ad}k \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta_1} = \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta_2}$ .*

2) *Let  $\Delta$  be an admissible subset of  $\Pi^d$ . Then  $N^{\Delta}$  is a subgroup of the normalizer  $N_K(\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta})$  of  $\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta}$  in  $K$ . The Lie algebras of  $Z^H$  are the same  $\mathfrak{t}^{\Delta}$  for any  $H \in S^{\Delta}$ . The Lie algebra of  $N_K(\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta})$  is also  $\mathfrak{t}^{\Delta}$ .*

PROOF. 1) From the assumption, there exists  $l \in K$  such that  $k \text{exp } H_1 = \text{exp } H_2 l$ . Applying the automorphism  $\theta$  of  $G$ , we get  $k(\text{exp } H_1)^{-1} = (\text{exp } H_2)^{-1} l$  and hence  $l = (\text{exp } H_2) k (\text{exp } H_1)^{-1}$ . It follows  $k \text{exp } H_1 = \text{exp } H_2 \text{exp } H_2 k (\text{exp } H_1)^{-1}$  and hence  $k(\text{exp } 2H_1) k^{-1} = \text{exp } 2H_2$ . Now Lemma 1.5, 3) implies  $\text{Ad}k \mathfrak{g}^{\Delta_1} = \mathfrak{g}^{\Delta_2}$ , and thus  $\text{Ad}k \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta_1} = \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta_2}$ .

2)  $N^{\Delta} \subset N_K(\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta})$  follows from 1). Let  $H \in S^{\Delta}$  and

$$X = S_0 + \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} S_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}, \quad S_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0.$$

Then,  $X \in \text{Lie algebra of } Z^H \iff (\text{exp } H)^{-1} (\text{exp } tX) \text{exp } H \in \mathfrak{t} \text{ for each } t \in \mathbf{R} \iff \text{Ad}(\text{exp } H)^{-1} X \in \mathfrak{t} \iff 2(\alpha, H) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for each } \alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \text{ with } a_{\alpha} \neq 0 \text{ (by Lemma 1.1)} \iff X \in \mathfrak{t}^{\Delta} \text{ (by Lemma 1.5). Thus the Lie algebra of } Z^H \text{ coincides with } \mathfrak{t}^{\Delta}.$

To show that the Lie algebra of  $N_K(\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta})$  is also  $\mathfrak{t}^{\Delta}$ , take an element  $H \in S^{\Delta}$ . Then,  $X \in \text{Lie algebra of } N_K(\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta}) \Rightarrow [H, X] \in \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta} \Rightarrow a_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for each } \alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \text{ with } 0 < 2(\alpha, H) < 1 \text{ (by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.5)} \Rightarrow X \in \mathfrak{t}^{\Delta} \text{ (by Lemma 1.5). Conversely, Lemma 1.5, 4) implies } [\mathfrak{t}^{\Delta}, \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta}] \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\Delta} \text{ and hence } \mathfrak{t}^{\Delta} \subset \text{Lie algebra of } N_K(\mathfrak{m}^{\Delta}). \text{ q.e.d.}$

The following Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of the above lemma.

COROLLARY 1. *The group  $N^{\Delta}$  is a compact subgroup of  $K$ . The groups  $N^{\Delta}$  and  $Z^{\Delta}$  have the same Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}^{\Delta}$ . Therefore  $W^{\Delta}$  is a finite group.*

COROLLARY 2. 1)  $\dim K/Z^{\Delta} = (1/2)(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}^d)$ .

2) *The map  $\Psi^{\Delta}$  is an immersion.*

PROOF. 1) In virtue of the above lemma, the tangent space of  $K/Z^{\Delta}$  at the origin  $Z^{\Delta}$  is linearly isomorphic with

$$\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}^d \cong \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_+ - \mathfrak{S}_+^d} \mathfrak{k}_\gamma,$$

through the canonical projection  $\pi_K: K \rightarrow K/Z^d$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^d \cong \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_+ - \mathfrak{S}_+^d} (\mathfrak{k}_\gamma + \mathfrak{m}_\gamma).$$

These imply the assertion 1).

2) It follows from Lemma 1.1 that the differential  $d\Psi^d$  of  $\Psi^d$  at  $(k_0Z^d, H_0) \in K/Z^d \times S^d$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} d\tau_{k_0} d\pi_K S_\alpha \longmapsto -d\tau_{k_0 \exp H_0} d\pi_G \sin 2\pi(\alpha, H_0) T_\alpha & \text{for } \alpha \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+ - \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \text{ with } 0 < 2(\alpha, H_0) < 1 \\ H \longmapsto d\tau_{k_0 \exp H_0} d\pi_G H & \text{for } H \in T_{H_0}(S^d). \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $d\Psi^d$  is linearly injective at  $(k_0Z^d, H_0)$ . q.e.d.

LEMMA 1.7. *Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be admissible subsets of  $\Pi^1$ ,  $H_1 \in S^{d_1}$ ,  $H_2 \in S^{d_2}$  and  $k \in K$ . If  $k \text{Exp } H_1 = \text{Exp } H_2$ , then there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that:*

- i)  $\tau S^{d_1} = S^{d_2}$ ;
- ii)  $k \text{Exp } H = \text{Exp } \tau H$  for each  $H \in S^d$ ;
- iii)  $\tau H_1 = H_2$ ,

and hence  $k \text{Exp } S^{d_1} = \text{Exp } S^{d_2}$ .

PROOF. We know  $\text{Ad} k \mathfrak{m}^{d_1} = \mathfrak{m}^{d_2}$  by Lemma 1.6. Since both  $\alpha$  and  $\text{Ad} k \alpha$  are Cartan subalgebras for the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}^{d_2}, \mathfrak{k}^{d_2})$ , and since the Lie algebra of  $Z^{d_2}$  is  $\mathfrak{k}^{d_2}$  by the above Corollary 1, we can find  $k_1 \in Z^{d_2}$  such that  $k_1 k \in N_K(A)$ . Therefore, we may assume  $k \in N_K(A)$ . Put  $s = \text{Ad} k|_\alpha \in W$ . Then  $(\exp s H_1) o = (\exp H_2) o$  and hence there exists  $A \in \Gamma$  such that  $s H_1 + A = H_2$ . Putting  $\tau_1 = t(A) s \in \bar{W}$ , we have  $\tau_1 H_1 = H_2$ . It follows from Remark after Lemma 1.4 that  $\tau_1 S^{d_1} = S^{d_2}$ . Now Lemma 1.2, 1) implies that there exists  $\tau_2 \in \bar{W}^0 \subset \bar{W}$  such that  $\tau = \tau_2 \tau_1 \in \bar{W}_S$  and  $\tau_2|_{S^{d_2}} = \text{id}$ , and so  $\tau S^{d_1} = S^{d_2}$ ,  $\tau H_1 = H_2$ . Then, for each  $H \in S^{d_1}$  we have

$$\text{Exp } \tau H = \text{Exp } \tau_2 \tau_1 H = \text{Exp } \tau_1 H = \text{Exp } s H = k \text{Exp } H. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

COROLLARY. *We have  $Z^H \subset N^d$  for each  $H \in S^d$ . Thus  $Z^d \subset Z^H \subset N^d \subset N_K(\mathfrak{m}^d)$  for each  $H \in S^d$ .*

Put

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{m}$$

and define a homomorphism  $\iota^d: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \bar{W}^d$  by

$$\iota^d(A) = [t(A)] \quad \text{for } A \in \Gamma_0.$$

Then  $\Gamma_0$  is a lattice in  $c_m$  and  $\iota^d$  is injective. With these definitions we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.8. 1) *There exists a unique homomorphism  $\pi^d: \bar{W}^d \rightarrow W^d$  such that if  $\pi^d[\tau] = [k]$  with  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$  and  $k \in N^d$ , then*

$$(1.17) \quad k \text{Exp } H = \text{Exp } \tau H \quad \text{for each } H \in S^d.$$

2) *The sequence  $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \xrightarrow{\iota^d} \bar{W}^d \xrightarrow{\pi^d} W^d \rightarrow 1$  is exact.*

PROOF. 1) Take an arbitrary  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$  and let  $\tau = t(A)s$ , where  $A \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in W$ . Choose  $k \in N_K(A)$  such that  $\text{Ad}k|_{\alpha} = s$ . Then the relation (1.17) holds and hence  $k \in N^d$ . Since  $Z_K(A) \subset Z^d$ , the class  $[k] \in \bar{W}^d$  is determined by  $\tau$ . Moreover, the relation (1.17) implies that  $[k]$  depends only on the class  $[\tau]$ . Now the correspondence  $[\tau] \mapsto [k]$  defines the required homomorphism. The uniqueness is clear from the relation (1.17).

2) The surjectivity of  $\pi^d$  follows from Lemma 1.7. It is clear that  $\pi^d \circ \iota^d$  is trivial. Take  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$  such that  $\pi^d[\tau] = 1$ . Let  $\tau = t(A'' + A')s$ , where  $A'' \in c_m$ ,  $A' \in \alpha'$  and  $s \in W$ . Put  $\tau' = t(A)s$  so that  $\tau = t(A'')\tau'$ . It follows from (1.5), (1.10) and (1.12) that  $\tau'$  leaves both  $S'$  and  $S'^d$  invariant. On the other hand,  $\pi^d[\tau] = 1$  implies

$$\text{Exp } H = \text{Exp } \tau H \quad \text{for each } H \in S^d.$$

Since  $S^d$  is connected and  $\Gamma$  is discrete in  $\alpha$ , we can find  $B \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\tau H = H + B \quad \text{for each } H \in S^d.$$

Let  $B = B'' + B'$ , where  $B'' \in c_m$  and  $B' \in \alpha'$ . Then

$$\tau H' = B'' + (H' + B') \quad \text{for each } H' \in S'^d.$$

It follows from the decomposition:  $\tau = t(A'')\tau'$  that

$$\tau' H' = H' + B' \quad \text{for each } H' \in S'^d.$$

Since  $S'^d$  is bounded in  $\alpha'$ , we have  $B' = 0$  and hence  $\tau' = 1$ . Thus we have  $\tau = t(A'')$  with  $A'' \in c_m \cap \Gamma = \Gamma_0$ , and hence  $[\tau] = \iota^d(A'')$ . This completes the proof.

q.e.d.

Now we define a  $C^\infty$  right action of the group  $\bar{W}^d$  on  $K/Z^d \times S^d$  as follows. Let  $kZ^d \mapsto (kZ^d) \cdot [k'] = kk'Z^d$  be the natural right action of  $[k'] \in W^d$  on  $K/Z^d$ . We define a right action of  $[\tau] \in \bar{W}^d$  on  $K/Z^d$  by  $kZ^d \mapsto (kZ^d)[\tau] = (kZ^d) \cdot \pi^d[\tau]$ . Define a right action of  $[\tau] \in \bar{W}^d$  on  $K/Z^d \times S^d$  by

$$(kZ^d, H) \mapsto ((kZ^d)[\tau], \tau^{-1}H) \quad \text{for } k \in K, H \in S^d.$$

Then we have the following

LEMMA 1.9. 1) *The group  $\bar{W}^d$  acts on  $K/Z^d \times S^d$  freely.*

2) *Let  $\Psi^d: K/Z^d \times S^d \rightarrow M$  be the previously defined  $C^\infty$  map. Then,  $\Psi^d(k_1 Z^d, H_1) = \Psi^d(k_2 Z^d, H_2)$  if and only if there exists  $[\tau] \in \bar{W}^d$  such that  $(k_1 Z^d, H_1)[\tau] = (k_2 Z^d, H_2)$ .*

PROOF. 1) Assume that  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$ ,  $k_0 \in K$  and  $H_0 \in S^d$  satisfy  $(k_0 Z^d, H_0)[\tau] = (k_0 Z^d, H_0)$ . Since the natural action of  $W^d$  on  $K/Z^d$  is free, we have  $\pi^d[\tau] = 1$  and  $\tau^{-1}H_0 = H_0$ . It follows from Lemma 1.8 that  $\tau = t(A)$  with  $A \in \Gamma_0$ . But  $H_0 = \tau H_0 = H_0 + A$  implies  $A = 0$  and hence  $[\tau] = 1$ .

2) Assume  $\Psi^d(k_1 Z^d, H_1) = \Psi^d(k_2 Z^d, H_2)$ , i.e.,  $k_1 \text{Exp } H_1 = k_2 \text{Exp } H_2$ . Put  $k = k_1^{-1}k_2 \in K$  so that  $k \text{Exp } H_2 = \text{Exp } H_1$ . It follows from Lemma 1.7 that there exists  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$  such that  $\pi^d[\tau] = [k]$  and  $\tau H_2 = H_1$ . Then  $k_2 Z^d = k_1 k Z^d = (k_1 Z^d) \cdot [k] = (k_1 Z^d)[\tau]$  and  $\tau^{-1}H_1 = H_2$ . Thus  $(k_1 Z^d, H_1)[\tau] = (k_2 Z^d, H_2)$ . Conversely, assume  $(k_1 Z^d, H_1)[\tau] = (k_2 Z^d, H_2)$  with  $\tau \in \bar{N}^d$ . Let  $\pi^d[\tau] = [k]$  where  $k \in N^d$ . Then  $k_1 k Z^d = k_2 Z^d$  and  $\tau^{-1}H_1 = H_2$ , and hence  $k_2 \text{Exp } H_2 = k_1 k \text{Exp } H_2 = k_1 \text{Exp } \tau H_2 = k_1 \text{Exp } H_1$ , i.e.,  $\Psi^d(k_1 Z^d, H_1) = \Psi^d(k_2 Z^d, H_2)$ . q.e.d.

For an admissible subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^d$ , let

$$E^\Delta = K/Z^d \times_{\bar{W}^d} S^d$$

be the quotient manifold of  $K/Z^d \times S^d$  relative to the above free right action of  $\bar{W}^d$ . The class in  $E^\Delta$  of a point  $(kZ^d, H) \in K/Z^d \times S^d$  will be denoted by  $[kZ^d, H]$ . Note that  $K/Z^d$  is connected since  $K$  is generated by  $K \cap A$  and the identity component of  $K$  (cf. Takeuchi [11]). Thus  $E^\Delta$  is also connected. We will show in Part II that  $E^\Delta$  is diffeomorphic with a fibre bundle over a compact manifold. With these definitions, we have

THEOREM 1.1. 1) *A compact connected symmetric space  $M$  is the (not necessarily disjoint) union:*

$$M = \bigcup_{\Delta} M^\Delta$$

*of connected regular submanifolds  $M^\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  ranges over the admissible subsets of  $\Pi^d$ . Each  $M^\Delta$  is diffeomorphic with  $E^\Delta$  by the diffeomorphism  $\phi^\Delta: E^\Delta \rightarrow M^\Delta$  induced by the  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi^d: K/Z^d \times S^d \rightarrow M$ .*

2) *The dimension of  $M^\Delta$  is given by*

$$\dim M^\Delta = (1/2)(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}^\Delta) + |\Delta| + r_0 - s.$$

*In particular,  $\dim M^\Delta \leq \dim M - 2$  for any proper admissible subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^d$ .*



3)  $M^{d_1} \cap M^{d_2} \neq \emptyset \iff M^{d_1} = M^{d_2} \iff$  There exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau S^{d_1} = S^{d_2}$ .

4)  $\bar{M}^{d_1} \supset M^{d_2} \iff$  There exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau \bar{S}^{d_1} \supset S^{d_2}$ .

PROOF. 1) Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point of  $M$ . Take  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\text{Exp} X = p$  and then take  $k_1 \in K$  such that  $H_1 = \text{Ad} k_1 X \in \mathfrak{a}$ . It follows from Lemma 1.2, 1) that there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}$  such that  $H = \tau H_1 \in S$ . By Lemma 1.4, we have an admissible subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^1$  with  $H \in S^\Delta$ . Let  $\tau = t(A)s$ , where  $A \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in W$ , and take  $k_2 \in N_K(A)$  such that  $\text{Ad} k_2 |_{\mathfrak{a}} = s$ . Put  $k = (k_2 k_1)^{-1} \in K$ . Then  $k^{-1}p = k_2 k_1 \text{Exp} X = k_2 \text{Exp} \text{Ad} k_1 X = k_2 \text{Exp} H_1 = \text{Exp} s H_1 = \text{Exp} \tau H_1 = \text{Exp} H$ , and hence  $p = k \text{Exp} H = \Psi^\Delta(kZ^\Delta, H) \in M^\Delta$ . Thus  $M = \cup M^\Delta$ .

For each admissible subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi^1$ ,  $\Psi^\Delta$  is a  $C^\infty$  immersion by Corollary 2 of Lemma 1.6, and it induces a  $C^\infty$  imbedding  $\phi^\Delta: E^\Delta \rightarrow M$  by Lemma 1.9. Thus it suffices to show that  $\phi^\Delta: E^\Delta \rightarrow M^\Delta$  is an open map with respect to the topology of  $M^\Delta$  induced by that of  $M$ . We prove this in the same way as in Sakai [8]. Suppose that this would not hold. Then, there would exist sequences  $k_n \in K$ ,  $H_n \in S^\Delta$  such that  $k_n \text{Exp} H_n$  would converge in  $M$  to a point  $k_0 \text{Exp} H_0$  with  $k_0 \in K$ ,  $H_0 \in S^\Delta$ , but  $[k_n Z^\Delta, H_n]$  would not converge to  $[k_0 Z^\Delta, H_0]$  in  $E^\Delta$ . We shall show that this assumption leads to a contradiction. From the assumption, there exist a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $[k_0 Z^\Delta, H_0]$  in  $E^\Delta$  and subsequences  $k_{n_i}$ ,  $H_{n_i}$  such that  $[k_{n_i} Z^\Delta, H_{n_i}] \notin \mathcal{U}$ . Since both  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{m}/\Gamma_0$  are compact, we may assume that subsequences  $k_{n_i}$  and  $H_{n_i}$  converge to  $k' \in K$  and to  $H' \in \bar{S}^\Delta$  respectively, so that  $k' \text{Exp} H' = k_0 \text{Exp} H_0$ . Putting  $k = k_0^{-1} k' \in K$ , we get

$$k \text{Exp} H' = \text{Exp} H_0 \quad \text{where } H' \in \bar{S}^\Delta, H_0 \in S^\Delta.$$

It follows from Lemma 1.7 that there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau H' = H_0$ . Thus  $H' \in S^\Delta$ , and hence

$$k \text{Exp} H' = k_0 \text{Exp} H_0 \quad \text{where } H', H_0 \in S^\Delta.$$

Now Lemma 1.9, 2) implies  $[k' Z^\Delta, H'] = [k_0 Z^\Delta, H_0]$ . But the sequence  $[k_{n_i} Z^\Delta, H_{n_i}]$  converges to  $[k' Z^\Delta, H']$  in  $E^\Delta$ . This contradicts to the assumption:  $[k_{n_i} Z^\Delta, H_{n_i}] \notin \mathcal{U}$ .

2) follows from Corollary 2 of Lemma 1.6 and (1.14).

3) Let  $M^{d_1} \cap M^{d_2} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exist  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ,  $H_1 \in S^{d_1}$  and  $H_2 \in S^{d_2}$  such that  $k_1 \text{Exp} H_1 = k_2 \text{Exp} H_2$ . Putting  $k = k_2^{-1} k_1 \in K$ , we get  $k \text{Exp} H_1 = \text{Exp} H_2$ . By Lemma 1.7, there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau S^{d_1} = S^{d_2}$ . Assume conversely that there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau S^{d_1} = S^{d_2}$ . Let  $\tau = t(A)s$ , where  $A \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in W$ , and take  $k \in N_K(A)$  such that  $\text{Ad} k |_{\mathfrak{a}} = s$ . Then  $k \text{Exp} H = \text{Exp} \tau H$  for each  $H \in S^{d_1}$ , and hence  $M^{d_1} = K \text{Exp} S^{d_1} = K \text{Exp} \tau S^{d_1} = K \text{Exp} S^{d_2} = M^{d_2}$ . These prove the assertion 3).

4) Assume  $\bar{M}^{d_1} \supset M^{d_2}$ . Then there exist sequences  $k_n \in K$ ,  $H_n \in S^{d_1}$  such that

$k_n \text{Exp} H_n$  converges to a point  $k_0 \text{Exp} H_0 \in M^{d_2}$  with  $k_0 \in K$ ,  $H_0 \in S^{d_2}$ . In the same way as in the proof of 1), we may assume that sequences  $k_n$  and  $H_n$  converge to  $k' \in K$  and to  $H' \in \bar{S}^{d_1}$  respectively. The same argument as there shows the existence of  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau H' = H_0$ . Thus  $\tau \bar{S}^{d_1} \supset S^{d_2}$ .

Conversely, assume the existence of  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  with  $\tau \bar{S}^{d_1} \supset S^{d_2}$ . Let  $\tau = t(A)s$ , where  $A \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in W$ . Take an arbitrary point  $k_0 \text{Exp} H_0 \in M^{d_2}$ , where  $k_0 \in K$  and  $H_0 \in S^{d_2}$ . Choose  $k_1 \in N_K(A)$  with  $\text{Ad } k_1|_{\mathfrak{a}} = s$  and a sequence  $H_n \in S^{d_1}$  such that  $\tau H_n$  converges to  $H_0$ . Then the sequence  $k_0 k_1 \text{Exp} H_n = k_0 \text{Exp} \tau H_n$  in  $M^{d_1}$  converges to  $k_0 \text{Exp} H_0$ . This shows  $\bar{M}^{d_1} \supset M^{d_2}$ . q.e.d.

COROLLARY 1. (Sakai [8])

Let  $(M, g)$  be a simply connected compact symmetric space. Then:

1)  $M$  is the disjoint union:

$$M = \bigcup_A M^A$$

of connected regular submanifolds  $M^A$ , which are diffeomorphic with  $K/Z^A \times S^A$ ;

2)  $\bar{M}^{d_1} \supset M^{d_2} \iff \Delta_1 \supset \Delta_2$ ;

3)  $Z^{H_0} = Z^A$  for each  $H_0 \in S^A$ .

PROOF. 1) and 2) follow from Lemma 1.3, 2):  $\bar{W}_S = \{1\}$ .

3) Let  $k \in Z^{H_0}$ , so that  $k \text{Exp} H_0 = \text{Exp} H_0$ . We have to show  $k \in Z^A$ . Lemma 1.7 implies the existence of  $\tau \in \bar{N}^A$  such that

$$k \text{Exp} H = \text{Exp} \tau H \quad \text{for each } H \in S^A.$$

Since  $\bar{N}^A = \{1\}$  in our case, we have  $\tau = 1$ , and hence  $k \in Z^A$ . q.e.d.

Consider the map  $\Psi^A$  in the case where  $\Delta = \Pi^A$ . Our  $\Psi^{n^1}$  will be abbreviated to  $\Psi$  and  $M^{n^1}$  will be denoted by  $R$ . Note that  $R$  is connected. An element of  $R$  is called a *regular point* of  $(M, g)$  with respect to the origin  $o$ . In this case, we have  $Z^{n^1} = Z_K(A)$ ,  $S^{n^1} = S$  and  $\bar{W}^{n^1} = \bar{W}_S$ . Thus we have the following

COROLLARY 2. The  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi: K/Z_K(A) \times S \longrightarrow R$  defined by

$$\Psi(kZ_K(A), H) = k \text{Exp} H \quad \text{for } k \in K, H \in S$$

is a covering map, and it induces a diffeomorphism  $\phi: K/Z_K(A) \times_{\bar{w}_S} S \longrightarrow R$ . In particular, the  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi$  is a diffeomorphism if  $M$  is simply connected.

It is known (cf. Helgason [3]) that the conjugate locus  $Q$  of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$  is given by

$$Q = M - R.$$

The tangential first conjugate locus  $\tilde{F}$  of  $(M, g)$  in  $T_o(M)$  is given as follows. Recall first that  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ad}K\mathfrak{a}_+$  and thus  $\tilde{F} = \text{Ad}K(\tilde{F} \cap \mathfrak{a}_+)$ . It is known (cf. Helgason [3]) that  $\tilde{F} \cap \mathfrak{a}_+$  is given by

$$\tilde{F} \cap \mathfrak{a}_+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}_+; 2(\gamma, H) = 1 \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Sigma^+\}.$$

Thus we get

$$\tilde{F} = \text{Ad}K(\tilde{F} \cap \bar{S}).$$

where  $\tilde{F} \cap \bar{S}$  is given by

$$\tilde{F} \cap \bar{S} = \bigcup_{\Delta \ni \Sigma^+} S^\Delta.$$

Recall that the first conjugate locus  $F$  of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$  is defined by  $F = \text{Exp } \tilde{F}$ . Now we get stratifications of  $Q$  and  $F$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *We have*

$$Q = \bigcup_{\Delta \ni \Pi^+} M^\Delta,$$

$$F = \bigcup_{\Delta \ni \Sigma^+} M^\Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  ranges in admissible subsets of  $\Pi^+$ .

### § 2. Fundamental groups of compact symmetric spaces

In this section, we shall prove that the group  $\bar{W}_S$  is isomorphic with the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of  $M$ . Furthermore we shall investigate the relations between submanifolds  $M^\Delta$  making use of the group  $\bar{W}_S$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be the set of regular points of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$ , and let  $\iota: R \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion map. Then the induced homomorphism  $\iota_*: \pi_1(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is surjective.*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1.1,  $Q = M - R$  is the union of submanifolds  $M^\Delta$  with  $\dim M^\Delta \leq \dim M - 2$ . Thus a theorem of the dimension theory (cf. Helgason [3]) yields the Lemma. q.e.d.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $G_0'$  be the simply connected compact Lie group with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and let  $\theta_0'$  be the involutive automorphism of  $G_0'$  whose differential is  $\theta' = \theta|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ . Put*

$$K_0' = \{x \in G_0'; \theta_0'(x) = x\}.$$

*Let  $A_0'$  denote the toral subgroup of  $G_0'$  generated by  $\alpha'$ . Then  $K/Z_K(A)$  is diffeomorphic with  $K_0'/Z_{K_0'}(A_0')$  in the natural way.*

**PROOF.** (i) Let  $K^0$  denote the identity component of  $K$ . Then the inclusion

$K_0 \longrightarrow K$  induces a diffeomorphism  $K^0/Z_{K^0}(A) \longrightarrow K/Z_K(A)$ , since  $K$  is generated by  $K^0$  and  $K \cap A$ .

(ii) Let  $G'$  and  $A'$  be connected Lie subgroups of  $G$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and  $\mathfrak{a}'$  respectively, and put  $K' = G' \cap K$ . We have  $K^0 = C_t K'^0$ , where  $C_t$  is the toral subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $c_t$  and  $K'^0$  is the identity component of  $K'$ . Thus the inclusion  $K'^0 \longrightarrow K^0$  induces a diffeomorphism  $K'^0/Z_{K'^0}(A') \longrightarrow K^0/Z_{K^0}(A)$ .

(iii) Let  $\pi: G_0' \longrightarrow G'$  be the covering homomorphism. Since  $K_0'$  is connected by Lemma 1.2, 2),  $\pi$  induces a covering homomorphism  $\pi: K_0' \longrightarrow K'^0$ . This induces a diffeomorphism  $K_0'/Z_{K_0'}(A_0') \longrightarrow K'^0/Z_{K'^0}(A')$ .

The composition of the above three diffeomorphisms is the required one. q.e.d.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The group  $\bar{W}_S$  is isomorphic with the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** This theorem, in a restricted case, was proved by Takeuchi [9]. We prove the present theorem in the same way as [9].

Let  $M_0' = G_0'/K_0'$ . Since  $K_0'$  is connected,  $M_0'$  is a compact simply connected symmetric space. Let  $R_0'$  denote the set of regular points of  $M_0'$ . Then, by Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.1, the  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi_0': K_0'/Z_{K_0'}(A_0') \times S' \longrightarrow R_0'$  defined by

$$\Psi_0'(kZ_{K_0'}(A_0'), H) = k \text{Exp}' H \quad \text{for } k \in K_0', H \in S'$$

is a diffeomorphism. Here  $\text{Exp}'$  denotes the exponential map of  $M_0'$  at the origin.

Identifying  $K/Z_K(A) \times S$  with  $c_m \times K_0'/Z_{K_0'}(A_0') \times S'$  by Lemma 2.2 and (1.5), we define a  $C^\infty$  map  $\tilde{\tau}: K/Z_K(A) \times S \longrightarrow c_m \times M_0'$  by

$$\tilde{\tau}(H'', kZ_{K_0'}(A_0'), H') = (H'', \Psi_0'(kZ_{K_0'}(A_0'), H'))$$

$$\text{for } H'' \in c_m, k \in K_0', H' \in S'.$$

From the above argument we see that  $\tilde{\tau}$  is an imbedding with the image  $c_m \times R_0'$ . We define further a covering map  $\Pi: c_m \times M_0' \longrightarrow M$  by

$$\Pi(H'', xK_0') = (\exp H'' \pi(x))o \quad \text{for } H'' \in c_m, x \in G_0'.$$

Then it is verified that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K/Z_K(A) \times S & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} & c_m \times M_0' \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Pi \\ R & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \end{array}$$

Fix points  $p \in R$  and  $\tilde{p} \in K/Z_K(A) \times S$  with  $\Psi(\tilde{p}) = p$ . For a continuous closed curve  $c: [0, 1] \longrightarrow R$  in  $R$  with  $c(0) = c(1) = p$ , let  $\tilde{c}: [0, 1] \longrightarrow K/Z_K(A) \times S$  denote the

lift of  $c$  relative to  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\tilde{c}(0)=\tilde{p}$ . The terminal point  $\tilde{c}(1)$  of  $\tilde{c}$  depends only on the homotopy class  $\{c\} \in \pi_1(R)$  of  $c$ . From Corollary 2 of Theorem 1.1, there exists uniquely  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tilde{p}\tau = \tilde{c}(1)$ . Then the correspondence  $\{c\} \mapsto \tau$  defines a homomorphism  $\phi: \pi_1(R) \rightarrow \bar{W}_S$ . It is surjective since  $K/Z_K(A) \times S$  is connected. For  $\{c\} \in \pi_1(R)$ , we have  $\phi(\{c\})=1$  if and only if the lift  $\tilde{c}$  of  $c$  relative to  $\mathcal{P}$  is a closed curve, which is equivalent to that the lift  $\widetilde{\iota \circ c} = \tilde{\iota} \circ \tilde{c}$  of  $\iota \circ c$  relative to  $\Pi$  is a closed curve. Since  $c_m \times M_0'$  is simply connected, the above is equivalent to that the closed curve  $\iota \circ c$  is homotopic to zero in  $M$ . Thus we get

$$\pi_1(R) / \text{kernel } \iota_* \cong \bar{W}_S.$$

On the other,  $\iota_*$  is surjective by Lemma 2.1, and hence

$$\pi_1(R) / \text{kernel } \iota_* \cong \pi_1(M).$$

Thus  $\bar{W}_S \cong \pi_1(M)$ . q.e.d.

Now Lemma 1.3 implies the following

**COROLLARY.** *The fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of a compact connected symmetric space  $(M, g)$  is isomorphic with  $\Gamma/\Gamma^0$ . Therefore  $\pi_1(M)$  is an abelian group.*

Now we shall study the detailed structure of  $\bar{W}_S$ .

We define a surjective map  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W}^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  by

$$\pi_{\Gamma^*}(\tau) = \tau(0) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \bar{W}^*,$$

or equivalently, by

$$\pi_{\Gamma^*}(t(A)s) = A \quad \text{for } A \in \Gamma^*, s \in W.$$

It induces also a surjective map  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W} \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Let  $\pi_W: \bar{W}^* \rightarrow W$  be a homomorphism defined by

$$\pi_W(t(A)s) = s \quad \text{for } A \in \Gamma^*, s \in W.$$

It induces also a homomorphism  $\pi_W: \bar{W} \rightarrow W$ . Recall the decomposition:

$$\alpha = c_m + \alpha'.$$

Let  $p_c: \alpha \rightarrow c_m$  and  $p_{\alpha'}: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$  denote orthogonal projections onto  $c_m$  and  $\alpha'$  respectively. We define a map  $\pi_c: \bar{W}^* \rightarrow c_m$  by

$$\pi_c = p_c \circ \pi_{\Gamma^*}.$$

In general, for  $\tau_i = t(A_i'' + A_i')s_i$ , where  $A_i'' \in c_m$ ,  $A_i' \in \alpha'$ ,  $s_i \in W$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), we have

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_1 \tau_2 = t(A_1'' + A_2'' + (A_1' + s_1 A_2'))s_1 s_2,$$

where  $A_1'' + A_2'' \in c_m$ ,  $A_1' + s_1 A_2' \in \alpha'$ ,  $s_1 s_2 \in W$ . Therefore  $\pi_c$  is a homomorphism. It

induces also a homomorphism  $\pi_c: \bar{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_m$ . We define subgroups  $\bar{W}_{S'}^*$  and  $(\bar{W}_S)_*$  of  $\bar{W}_S^*$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{W}_{S'}^* &= \{\tau \in \bar{W}_S^*; \pi_c(\tau) = 0\}, \\ (\bar{W}_S)_* &= \{\tau \in \bar{W}_S; \pi_c(\tau) = 0\} \subset \bar{W}_{S'}^*.\end{aligned}$$

The group  $\bar{W}_{S'}^*$  acts on  $\mathfrak{c}_m$  trivially, and hence it is identified with a subgroup of the group of Euclidean motions of  $\alpha'$ . Actually we have an isomorphism:

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{W}_{S'}^* \cong \bar{W}_{S_1}^* \times \cdots \times \bar{W}_{S_r}^*.$$

Thus  $\bar{W}_{S'}^*$  is a finite group, and hence  $(\bar{W}_S)_*$  is also a finite group. Next we define a subgroup  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{c}_m$  by

$$Z = \pi_c(\bar{W}_S).$$

Since  $Z$  contains the lattice  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\mathfrak{c}_m$ ,  $Z$  is also a lattice of  $\mathfrak{c}_m$ . Thus  $Z$  is isomorphic with  $\mathbf{Z}^{r_0}$ . From definitions, we have an exact sequence:

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (\bar{W}_S)_* \longrightarrow \bar{W}_S \xrightarrow{\pi_c} Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence splits since  $Z$  is free, and hence

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{W}_S \cong (\bar{W}_S)_* \times Z, \quad Z \cong \mathbf{Z}^{r_0}.$$

We define a map  $\pi': \bar{W}_S \rightarrow \bar{W}_{S'}^*$  by

$$\pi'(t(A'' + A')s) = t(A')s \quad \text{for } A'' \in \mathfrak{c}_m, A' \in \alpha', s \in W.$$

The map  $\pi'$  is a homomorphism in virtue of (2.1), and satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad t(\pi_c(\tau))\pi'(\tau) = \tau \quad \text{for each } \tau \in \bar{W}_{S'}^*,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \pi_W(\pi'(\tau)) = \pi_W(\tau) \quad \text{for each } \tau \in \bar{W}_S.$$

We define subgroups  $\mathbf{F}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_*$  of  $W$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}^* &= \pi_W(\bar{W}_{S'}^*), \\ \mathbf{F} &= \pi_W(\bar{W}_S), \\ \mathbf{F}_* &= \pi_W((\bar{W}_S)_*).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi_W$  is injective on  $\bar{W}_{S'}^*$ , we have isomorphisms  $\mathbf{F}^* \cong \bar{W}_{S'}^*$  and  $\mathbf{F}_* \cong (\bar{W}_S)_*$ . In virtue of (2.6),  $\mathbf{F}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{F}^*$ , and hence

$$\mathbf{F}_* \subset \mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{F}^*.$$

Isomorphisms (2.4) imply

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{W}_S \cong \mathbf{F}_* \times Z, \quad Z \cong \mathbf{Z}^{r_0}.$$

Note that from (2.2) we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{F}^* \cong \mathbf{F}_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbf{F}_s^*,$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_k^*$  is the corresponding group for the  $k$ -th irreducible factor  $(\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{f}_k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq s$ ). We define an injective map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\natural}$  from  $\Pi^{\natural}$  into  $\mathfrak{a}'$  by the correspondence (1.15), and denote its image by  $\Pi^{\natural}$ . Define

$$\text{Aut}(\Pi_k^{\natural}) = \{s \in O(\mathfrak{a}_k); s\Pi_k^{\natural} = \Pi_k^{\natural}\} \quad (1 \leq k \leq s),$$

and then define a subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\Pi^{\natural})$  of  $O(\mathfrak{a}')$  by

$$\text{Aut}(\Pi^{\natural}) = \text{Aut}(\Pi_1^{\natural}) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(\Pi_s^{\natural}).$$

We can prove the following lemma in the same way as in Takeuchi [10].

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$  is irreducible. Then, under the notation in the proof of Lemma 1.4:*

- 1)  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma^*$  is a subset of the set  $\{P_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Pi^{\natural}\}$  of vertices of  $\bar{S}$ , given by

$$\bar{S} \cap \Gamma^* = \{P_{\gamma_i}; n_i = 1\}.$$

- 2) For  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S^*$  let  $\tau^{\natural}$  be the permutation of  $\Pi^{\natural}$  defined by

$$\tau P_{\gamma} = P_{\tau^{\natural}\gamma} \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Pi^{\natural}.$$

Then

$$\pi_W(\tau)\gamma = \tau^{\natural}\gamma \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \Pi^{\natural}.$$

If  $\tau^{\natural}\gamma_0 = \gamma_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ), then  $\pi_W(\tau) \in W$  is characterized by

$$\{\gamma \in \Sigma; \gamma > 0, \pi_W(\tau)^{-1}\gamma < 0\} = \{\gamma \in \Sigma; (\gamma, \varepsilon_i) > 0\}.$$

COROLLARY. *We have  $\mathbf{F}_k^* \subset \text{Aut}(\Pi_k^{\natural})$  for each  $k$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{F}^* \subset \text{Aut}(\Pi^{\natural})$ .*

LEMMA 2.4. 1) *We have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z \times \mathbf{F} & \xleftarrow[\text{inj.}]{\pi_c \times \pi_w} & \bar{W}_S & \xrightarrow[\text{bij.}]{\pi_{\Gamma^*}} & \bar{S} \cap \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \text{inj.} \downarrow \pi_c \times \pi' & & \text{inj.} \downarrow p_c \times p_{\alpha'} \\ Z \times \mathbf{F}^* & \xleftarrow[1 \times \pi_w]{\text{bij.}} & Z \times \bar{W}_S^* & \xrightarrow[1 \times \pi_{\Gamma^*}]{\text{bij.}} & Z \times (\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*) \end{array}$$

Thus  $Z$  is given by

$$(2.9) \quad Z = p_c(\bar{S} \cap \Gamma).$$

- 2) *As for groups  $\mathbf{F}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^*$ , we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F_* & \xleftarrow[\text{bij.}]{\pi_W} & (\bar{W}_S)_* & \xrightarrow[\text{bij.}]{\pi_{\Gamma^*}} & \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F^* & \xleftarrow[\pi_W]{\text{bij.}} & \bar{W}_{S'}^* & \xrightarrow[\pi_{\Gamma^*}]{\text{bij.}} & \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^* \\
\uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
F & \xleftarrow[\pi_W \circ \pi_{\Gamma^*}^{-1}]{\text{bij.}} & & & p_{\alpha'}(\bar{S} \cap \Gamma)
\end{array}$$

PROOF. 1) Let  $\tau = t(A'' + A')s \in \bar{W}_S$ , where  $A'' \in c_m$ ,  $A' \in \alpha'$  and  $s \in W$ . Then  $\pi_c(\tau) = A''$ ,  $\pi'(\tau) = t(A')s$  and  $\pi_W(\tau) = s$ . If  $\pi_c(\tau) = 0$ ,  $\pi'(\tau) = 1$ , then  $A'' = 0$ ,  $A' = 0$ ,  $s = 1$  and hence  $\tau = 1$ . If  $\pi_c(\tau) = 0$ ,  $\pi_W(\tau) = 0$ , then  $A'' = 0$ ,  $s = 1$  and hence  $\tau = t(A')$  with  $A' \in \alpha'$ . Since  $\tau S = S$ , we get  $A' = 0$ , and hence  $\tau = 1$ . Thus both  $\pi_c \times \pi_W$  and  $\pi_c \times \pi'$  are injective on  $\bar{W}_S$ . The commutativity of the left square follows from (2.5).

Note that  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W}_S \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  is injective, since  $sS = S$  implies  $s = 1$  for  $s \in W$ . Each  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  leaves also  $\bar{S}$  invariant. Recalling  $0 \in \bar{S}$ , we get  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}(\tau) = \tau(0) \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  for each  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$ . Take an arbitrary  $A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  and let  $A = A'' + A'$ , where  $A'' \in c_m$  and  $A' \in \alpha'$ . Then  $p_{\alpha'}(A) = A' \in \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*$ . Now  $t(A)^{-1}S = c_m \times t(A')^{-1}S'$ , where  $t(A')^{-1}S'$  is a fundamental cell for  $(g', \mathfrak{f}')$  whose closure contains 0. Hence there exists  $s \in W$  such that  $s^{-1}t(A')^{-1}S' = S'$ . Putting  $\tau = t(A)s \in \bar{W}$ , we get  $\tau^{-1}S = c_m \times S' = S$ , and thus  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$ . We have  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}(\tau) = A$ , and hence  $p_c(A) = A'' = p_c \pi_{\Gamma^*}(\tau) = \pi_c(\tau) \in Z$ . These show that  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W}_S \rightarrow \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  is surjective and that  $p_c \times p_{\alpha'}$  maps  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  into  $Z \times (\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*)$ . Thus the map  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W}_S \rightarrow \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  is bijective. The map  $p_c \times p_{\alpha'}$  is clearly injective.

Applying the same argument for the symmetric pair  $(G^*, K^*)$  of  $G^* = \text{Ad}G$  and  $K^* = \{x \in G^*; \theta x = x\theta\}$ , we see that  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W}_S^* \rightarrow \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*$  is bijective. This implies the bijectivity of  $1 \times \pi_{\Gamma^*}: Z \times \bar{W}_S^* \rightarrow Z \times (\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*)$ .

The commutativity of the right square follows from definitions.

2) The bijection  $\pi_{\Gamma^*}: \bar{W}_S \rightarrow \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma$  induces bijections  $(\bar{W}_S)_* \rightarrow \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma$  and  $\bar{W}_S^* \rightarrow \bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*$ . The lower square follows from the diagram 1). q.e.d.

COROLLARY. *The groups  $\bar{W}_S$ ,  $(\bar{W}_S)_*$  and  $\bar{W}_S^*$  act simply transitively on  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ ,  $\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma$  and  $\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*$  respectively.*

REMARK. 1) We can determine the torsion part  $F_*$  of  $\bar{W}_S$  and the group  $F$  by making use of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. In fact, each  $F_k^* \subset \text{Aut}(\Pi_k^{\mathfrak{h}})$  is determined by Lemma 2.3, and hence  $F^* = F_1^* \times \cdots \times F_s^* \subset \text{Aut}(\Pi^{\mathfrak{h}})$  is determined. Finding subsets  $\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma$  and  $p_{\alpha'}(\bar{S} \cap \Gamma)$  of  $\bar{S}' \cap \Gamma^*$ , we get subgroups  $F_*$  and  $F$  of  $F^*$  by



means of Lemma 2.4.

On the other hand, the free part  $Z$  of  $\bar{W}_S$  is obtained by (2.9).

Thus we get  $\bar{W}_S$  as a subgroup of  $Z \times \mathbf{F}$  by means of the diagram 1).

2) Let  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the Riemannian universal covering of  $M$ . Then  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  and  $\bar{W}_S$  are identified with  $\pi^{-1}(o)$  and the covering transformation group  $G(\pi)$  respectively, in such a way that the action of  $\bar{W}_S$  on  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  corresponds to that of  $G(\pi)$  on  $\pi^{-1}(o)$ .

3) If we identify  $\bar{W}_S$  and  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  with a subgroup and a subset of  $Z \times \mathbf{F}$  by means of bijections in the diagram 1), then the action of  $\bar{W}_S$  on  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  is nothing but the left translation in the group  $Z \times \mathbf{F}$ .

We define an action  $\gamma \mapsto \tau \cdot \gamma$  of  $\bar{W}_S$  on the set  $\Pi^\dagger$  by

$$(\tau \cdot \gamma)^\dagger = \pi_w(\tau) \gamma^\dagger \quad \text{for } \tau \in \bar{W}_S, \gamma \in \Pi^\dagger.$$

With these definitions we have

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $\Delta$  be an admissible subset of  $\Pi^\dagger$ . Then:*

- 1)  $\tau S^\Delta = S^{\tau \cdot \Delta}$  for each  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$ ;
- 2)  $\bar{N}^\Delta = \{\tau \in \bar{W}_S; \tau \cdot \Delta = \Delta\}$ , and  $\bar{Z}^\Delta = \{\tau \in (\bar{W}_S)_*; \tau \cdot \Delta = \text{id}\}$ .

PROOF. 1) We may assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is irreducible. In this case, under the notation in Lemmas 1.4 and 2.3,  $S^\Delta$  is given by

$$(1.16) \quad S^\Delta = \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^\dagger} h_\gamma P_\gamma; 0 < h_\gamma < 1, \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^\dagger} h_\gamma = 1 \right\},$$

and  $\tau$  is given by

$$(2.10) \quad \tau \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^\dagger} h_\gamma P_\gamma \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^\dagger} h_\gamma P_{\pi_w(\tau) \gamma}.$$

These imply the assertion 1).

2) The assertion for  $\bar{N}^\Delta$  follows from 1). If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is irreducible, then from (1.16) and (2.10) we have

$$\bar{Z}^\Delta = \{\tau \in \bar{W}_S; \tau \cdot \gamma = \gamma \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Delta\}.$$

This implies also the assertion for  $\bar{Z}^\Delta$  in general case. q.e.d.

Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be admissible subsets of  $\Pi^\dagger$ . They are said to be *equivalent* if there exists  $s \in \mathbf{F}$  such that  $s\Delta_1^\dagger = \Delta_2^\dagger$ . We denote by  $\Delta_1 \succ \Delta_2$  if there exists  $s \in \mathbf{F}$  such that  $s\Delta_1^\dagger \supset \Delta_2^\dagger$ . With these definitions, by Theorem 1.1, its Corollary 3 and Lemma 2.5, 1), we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. 1) *Let  $\mathcal{Q}^*$  be a set of complete representatives of equivalence classes of admissible subsets in  $\Pi^\dagger$ . Then*

$$M = \bigcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{Q}^*} M^\Delta \text{ (disjoint union),}$$

where  $\bar{M}^{\Delta_1} \supset M^{\Delta_2}$  if and only if  $\Delta_1 > \Delta_2$ .

2) Let  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^* - \{\Pi^1\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consisting of all  $\Delta \in \mathcal{Q}$  which is equivalent to some  $\Delta'$  with  $\Delta' \nabla \Sigma^1$ . Then

$$Q = \bigcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{Q}} M^\Delta \text{ (disjoint union),}$$

$$F = \bigcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{F}} M^\Delta \text{ (disjoint union).}$$

REMARK. Note that the set  $\mathcal{Q}$  as well as the set  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite set.

### § 3. Cut loci of compact symmetric spaces

In this section, we shall study the structure of cut loci of compact symmetric spaces and give stratifications of them by a refinement of methods for conjugate loci.

For  $H \in \alpha$ , the norm  $\sqrt{\langle H, H \rangle}$  of  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $(\ , \ )$  will be denoted by  $|H|$ . For a subset  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma' - \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ , we define functions  $m_{\Gamma'}$  and  $M_{\Gamma'}$  on  $\alpha$  by

$$m_{\Gamma'}(H) = \text{Min}_{A \in \Gamma' - \{0\}} |H - A|,$$

$$M_{\Gamma'}(H) = \text{Max}_{A \in \Gamma' - \{0\}} 2\langle H, A \rangle / \langle A, A \rangle.$$

An elementary calculation shows

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} |H| < |H - A| \iff 2\langle H, A \rangle / \langle A, A \rangle < 1, \\ |H| = |H - A| \iff 2\langle H, A \rangle / \langle A, A \rangle = 1, \\ |H| > |H - A| \iff 2\langle H, A \rangle / \langle A, A \rangle > 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$(3.2) \quad m_{\Gamma'}(H) = |H| \iff M_{\Gamma'}(H) = 1.$$

Let  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  be the tangential cut locus and the tangential conjugate locus of  $(M, g)$  in  $\mathfrak{m} = T_o(M)$  respectively. Let  $C = \text{Exp } \tilde{C}$  be the cut locus of  $(M, g)$  with respect to  $o$ . Now Sakai characterized  $\tilde{C}$  as follows.

**THEOREM 3.1.** (Sakai [7]) *We have*

$$\tilde{C} = \text{Ad}K(\tilde{C} \cap \alpha),$$

where  $\tilde{C} \cap \alpha$  is given by

$$\tilde{C} \cap \alpha = \{H \in \alpha; m_{\Gamma}(H) = |H|\},$$

or equivalently, by

$$\tilde{C} \cap \alpha = \{H \in \alpha; M_{\Gamma}(H) = 1\}.$$

REMARK. Let  $\hat{A} = A_0$ . It is a maximal totally geodesic flat submanifold of  $(M, g)$ . Then  $\tilde{C} \cap \alpha$  coincides with the tangential cut locus of  $\hat{A}$  in  $\alpha = T_o(\hat{A})$ .

In the course of the proof of Theorem 3.1, Sakai [7] proved the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$  and  $H \notin \bar{Q}$ . Then any  $A \in \Gamma - \{0\}$  with  $|H| = |H - A|$  belongs to  $\bar{S}$ . Thus, we have  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\} \neq \emptyset$  and*

$$m_{\bar{S} \cap \Gamma}(H) = |H|.$$

In Theorem 3.1, it is not easy to compute  $m_{\Gamma}(H)$ , since  $\Gamma$  is an infinite set. So we will try to replace  $\Gamma$  by a finite subset of  $\Gamma$ .

Define subsets  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\bar{S}$  by

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H \in \bar{S}; 2(H, A)/(A, A) < 1 \text{ for each } A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}\},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{cases} \{H \in \bar{S}; M_{\bar{S} \cap \Gamma}(H) = 1\} & \text{if } \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\} \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\} = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

and then define

$$\Theta = \{\gamma \in \Sigma^1; \mathcal{H} \cap S_{\gamma^1} \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$A = \{A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}; 2(H, A)/(A, A) = 1 \text{ for some } H \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Put

$$A(\Theta) = \{A_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Theta\}.$$

It should be noted that both  $A$  and  $A(\Theta)$  are finite subsets of  $\Gamma$ .

THEOREM 3.2. 1) *We have  $\tilde{C} = \text{Ad}K(\tilde{C} \cap \bar{S})$ . Therefore  $C = K \text{Exp}(\tilde{C} \cap \bar{S})$ .*

2) *The set  $A \cup A(\Theta) - \{0\} = A \cup A(\Theta)$  is not empty, and  $\tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$  is given by*

$$\tilde{C} \cap \bar{S} = \{H \in \bar{S}; M_{A \cup A(\Theta)}(H) = 1\},$$

or equivalently, by

$$\tilde{C} \cap \bar{S} = \{H \in \bar{S}; m_{A \cup A(\Theta)}(H) = |H|\}.$$

PROOF. 1) We know  $m = \text{Ad}K\alpha_+$ , and hence  $\tilde{C} = \text{Ad}K(\tilde{C} \cap \alpha_+)$ . Therefore it

suffices to show  $\tilde{C} \cap \alpha_+ \subset \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$ . Take an arbitrary  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \alpha_+$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1,  $2(\gamma, H) = 2(H, A_\gamma) / (A_\gamma, A_\gamma) \leq 1$  for each  $\gamma \in \Sigma^1$ , and hence  $H \in \bar{S}$ . This proves the required inclusion.

2) Let  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$ . Then  $M_\Gamma(H) = 1$  by Theorem 3.1. Put

$$\Omega_H = \{A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}; 2(H, A) / (A, A) = 1\}.$$

Case 1:  $\Omega_H \neq \emptyset$ . We have  $H \in \mathcal{L}$ , and hence  $\Omega_H \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

Case 2:  $\Omega_H = \emptyset$ . We have  $H \in \mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, Lemma 3.1 implies  $H \in \tilde{Q}$ . Therefore there exists  $\gamma \in \Sigma^1$  such that  $2(\gamma, H) = 1$  so that  $H \in S_\gamma^1$ . Thus we have

$$2(H, A_\gamma) / (A_\gamma, A_\gamma) = 1 \quad \text{with } \gamma \in \Theta.$$

These prove that  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}(\Theta) \neq \emptyset$  always and that  $M_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}(\Theta)}(H) = 1$ .

Conversely, assume that  $H \in \bar{S}$  satisfies  $M_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}(\Theta)}(H) = 1$ . Suppose  $H \notin \tilde{C}$ . If  $H' = s_0 H \in \tilde{C}$  with  $s_0 > 1$ , then  $M_\Gamma(H') > 1$ , which contradicts to Theorem 3.1. Thus there exists  $s_0$  with  $0 < s_0 < 1$  such that  $H' = s_0 H \in \tilde{C}$ . But  $H' \notin \tilde{Q}$  since  $H \in \bar{S}$ . Now Lemma 3.1 implies that  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $M_{\bar{S} \cap \Gamma}(H') = 1$ , and hence  $H' \in \mathcal{L}$ . Therefore there exists  $A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}$  such that  $2(H', A) / (A, A) = 1$ . From the definition, we have  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . But  $H = (1/s_0)H'$  implies  $2(H, A) / (A, A) > 1$ , which contradicts to  $M_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}(\Theta)}(H) = 1$ . This shows  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$ . q.e.d.

REMARK. By Theorem 3.2 we can show a well known fact that  $M$  is simply connected if and only if  $F = C$  (cf. Crittenden[2], Sakai[8]).

We have defined in §1 a cellular decomposition of  $\bar{S}$  closely related to the conjugate locus. Now we shall define another cellular decomposition of  $\bar{S}$  closely related to the cut locus.

Let  $\emptyset$  be a subset of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ . The complement  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \emptyset$  of  $\emptyset$  in  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  will be denoted by  $\emptyset^c$ . Let  $T^\emptyset$  be the subset of  $\bar{S}$  consisting of all  $H \in \bar{S}$  satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{cases} |H-A| = |H-A'| & \text{for each } A, A' \in \emptyset^c, \\ |H-A| < |H-A'| & \text{for each } A \in \emptyset^c, A' \in \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that  $T^\emptyset$  is a convex subset of  $\bar{S}$ . A subset  $\emptyset$  of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  is said to be *admissible* if  $\emptyset \subsetneq \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  and  $T^\emptyset \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $|\emptyset^c| < \infty$  for any admissible subset  $\emptyset$  of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ .

LEMMA 3.2. 1)  $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{\emptyset} T^\emptyset$  (disjoint union), where  $\emptyset$  ranges over the admissible subsets of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ .

2) The group  $\bar{W}_S$  acts on the set of all  $T^\emptyset$  with  $\emptyset$  admissible. More precisely, we have  $\tau T^\emptyset = T^{\tau\emptyset}$  for  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  and an admissible subset  $\emptyset$  of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ .

3) For admissible subsets  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ ,

$$\bar{T}^{\Phi_1} \supset T^{\Phi_2} \iff \Phi_1 \supset \Phi_2.$$

In this case, for  $H_1 \in T^{\Phi_1}$  and  $H_2 \in T^{\Phi_2}$ , we have

$$tH_1 + (1-t)H_2 \in T^{\Phi_1} \quad \text{for each } t \text{ with } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

PROOF. 1) Let  $H \in \bar{S}$ . We define a function  $\rho_H$  on  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  by

$$\rho_H(A) = |H - A| \quad \text{for } A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma.$$

Put

$$\Phi'_H = \{A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma; \rho_H(A) = \text{Min}_{A' \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma} \rho_H(A')\}$$

and let  $\Phi_H = \Phi'_H{}^c$ . Then  $\Phi'_H$  is a finite non-empty subset of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ , and  $\Phi_H$  is an admissible subset of  $\bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  such that  $H \in T^{\Phi_H}$ . This shows the assertion 1).

2) follows from that  $\bar{W}_S$  preserves the Euclidean distance  $|H - H'|$  on  $\alpha$ .

3) By a translation, we may assume  $0 \in \Phi_1{}^c$ . Then,  $H \in T^{\Phi_1}$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} |H| = |H - A| & \text{for each } A \in \Phi_1{}^c, \\ |H| < |H - A'| & \text{for each } A' \in \Phi_1. \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $\bar{T}^{\Phi_1} \supset T^{\Phi_2}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $H_n \in T^{\Phi_1}$  converging to  $H_0 \in T^{\Phi_2}$ . The conditions:

$$\begin{cases} |H_n| = |H_n - A| & \text{for each } A \in \Phi_1{}^c, \\ |H_n| < |H_n - A'| & \text{for each } A' \in \Phi_1 \end{cases}$$

imply

$$\begin{cases} |H_0| = |H_0 - A| & \text{for each } A \in \Phi_1{}^c, \\ |H_0| \leq |H_0 - A'| & \text{for each } A' \in \Phi_1. \end{cases}$$

This shows  $\Phi_1{}^c \subset \Phi_2{}^c$ , and hence  $\Phi_1 \supset \Phi_2$ . Conversely, assume  $\Phi_1 \supset \Phi_2$ . It follows from (3.1) that  $H \in T^{\Phi_i}$  ( $i=1,2$ ) if and only if

$$\begin{cases} 2(H, A)/(A, A) = 1 & \text{for each } A \in \Phi_i{}^c - \{0\}, \\ 2(H, A')/(A', A') < 1 & \text{for each } A' \in \Phi_i. \end{cases}$$

Take  $H_1 \in T^{\Phi_1}$  and  $H_2 \in T^{\Phi_2}$  and put

$$H = tH_1 + (1-t)H_2 \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Then the equality:

$$2(H, A)/(A, A) = t \cdot 2(H_1, A)/(A, A) + (1-t) \cdot 2(H_2, A)/(A, A)$$

implies

$$\begin{cases} 2(H, A)/(A, A) = 1 & \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{O}_1^c - \{0\}, \\ 2(H, A)/(A, A) < 1 & \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{O}_1, \end{cases}$$

and hence  $H \in T^{\mathcal{O}_1}$ . This shows also  $\bar{T}^{\mathcal{O}_1} \supset T^{\mathcal{O}_2}$ . q.e.d.

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$  be a pair of subsets  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Pi^1$  and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$ . We define a subset  $S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}}$  of  $\bar{S}$  by

$$S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}} = S^{\mathcal{A}} \cap T^{\mathcal{O}}.$$

A pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$  is said to be *admissible* if  $S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}} \neq \emptyset$ . Note that for an admissible pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$ ,  $S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}}$  is homeomorphic with a cell, since it is an open convex polyhedron in an affine subspace of  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.3. 1)  $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})} S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}}$  (*disjoint union*), where  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$  ranges over the admissible pairs.

2) The group  $\bar{W}_S$  acts on the set of all  $S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}}$  with  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$  admissible. More precisely, we have  $\tau S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}} = S^{\tau \cdot \mathcal{A}, \tau \cdot \mathcal{O}}$  for  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  and an admissible pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$ .

3) For admissible pairs  $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{O}_2)$ ,

$$\bar{S}^{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{O}_1} \supset S^{\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{O}_2} \iff \mathcal{A}_1 \supset \mathcal{A}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_1 \supset \mathcal{O}_2.$$

PROOF. 1) and 2) follow from Lemmas 1.4, 2.5 and 3.2.

3) Assume  $\bar{S}^{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{O}_1} \supset S^{\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{O}_2}$ . Then, Lemma 1.4, 3) and Lemma 3.2, 3) imply  $\mathcal{A}_1 \supset \mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1 \supset \mathcal{O}_2$ . Assume conversely  $\mathcal{A}_1 \supset \mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1 \supset \mathcal{O}_2$ . Then it follows from the same lemmas that for  $H_1 \in S^{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{O}_1}$  and  $H_2 \in S^{\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{O}_2}$  we have

$$tH_1 + (1-t)H_2 \in S^{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{O}_1} \text{ for each } t \text{ with } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

This implies  $\bar{S}^{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{O}_1} \supset S^{\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{O}_2}$ . q.e.d.

We can also extend the above decomposition of  $\bar{S}$  to a  $\bar{W}$ -invariant cellular decomposition of  $\alpha$  as in §1.

A pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$  of subsets  $\mathcal{A} \supset \Pi^1$  and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \bar{S} \cap \Gamma$  is called a *c-pair* if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})$  is admissible.
- (ii)  $0 \in \mathcal{O}^c$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{O}^c - \{0\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A} \not\supset \mathcal{O}$  if  $\mathcal{O}^c = \{0\}$ .

LEMMA 3.4.  $\bar{C} \cap \bar{S} = \bigcup'_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O})} S^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}}$  (*disjoint union*), where  $\bigcup'$  means the union over

the all  $c$ -pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \emptyset)$ .

PROOF. Let  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the unique admissible subset of  $\Pi^1$  with  $H \in S^{\mathcal{A}}$ . Put

$$\Omega_H = \{A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}; |H| = |H - A|\}.$$

In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have showed the following:

Case 1:  $\Omega_H \neq \emptyset$ .  $\Omega_H \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

Case 2:  $\Omega_H = \emptyset$ .  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and there exists  $\gamma \in \emptyset$  with  $2(\gamma, H) = 1$ .

In Case 1, put  $\emptyset = \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - (\Omega_H \cup \{0\})$ . Then  $H \in T^{\emptyset}$ ,  $\emptyset^c = \Omega_H \cup \{0\} \neq \{0\}$  and  $\emptyset^c - \{0\} = \Omega_H$ . Hence,  $H \in S^{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset}$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \emptyset)$  is a  $c$ -pair. In Case 2, put  $\emptyset = \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}$ . Then  $H \in T^{\emptyset} = \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\emptyset^c = \{0\}$ ,  $\emptyset^c - \{0\} = \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \not\subset \mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $H \in S^{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset}$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \emptyset)$  is a  $c$ -pair.

Conversely, let  $H \in S^{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset}$  with  $(\mathcal{A}, \emptyset)$  a  $c$ -pair. In virtue of  $0 \in \emptyset^c$ , we have  $|H| \leq |H - A|$  for each  $A \in \bar{S} \cap \Gamma - \{0\}$ . In particular, we have

$$|H| \leq |H - A| \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

On the other hand,  $H \in \bar{S}$  implies that  $2(\gamma, H) \leq 1$  for each  $\gamma \in \Sigma^1$ , or equivalently,  $2(H, A_\gamma)/(A_\gamma, A_\gamma) \leq 1$  for each  $\gamma \in \Sigma^1$ . In particular, we have

$$|H| \leq |H - A| \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{A}(\emptyset).$$

Therefore we get

$$|H| \leq m_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}(\emptyset)}(H).$$

Case 1:  $\emptyset^c \neq \{0\}$ . In this case, we have

$$|H| = |H - A| \quad \text{for each } A \in \emptyset^c - \{0\} (\neq \emptyset) \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus we get  $|H| = m_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}(\emptyset)}(H)$ , which implies  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$  by Theorem 3.2.

Case 2:  $\emptyset^c = \{0\}$ . In this case, we have  $2(\gamma, H) = 1$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^c \cap \emptyset$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^c$  denotes the complement  $\Pi^1 - \mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\Pi^1$ . In particular, we have

$$|H| = |H - A_\gamma| \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathcal{A}^c \cap \emptyset (\neq \emptyset) \subset \emptyset.$$

Thus we get  $H \in \tilde{C} \cap \bar{S}$  in the same way as Case 1. q.e.d.

Note that the dimension  $k_{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset}$  of  $S^{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset}$  for a  $c$ -pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \emptyset)$  is given by

$$k_{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset} = r - \dim\{(\emptyset^c - \{0\}) \cup \mathcal{A}^c\}_{\mathbf{R}},$$

where  $\{*\}_{\mathbf{R}}$  means the subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}$  spanned over  $\mathbf{R}$  by  $*$ .

Now we shall proceed as in §1 to study the structure of the set  $K \text{Exp } S^{\mathcal{A}, \emptyset}$ . For an admissible pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \emptyset)$ , we define

$$N^{d,\theta} = \{k \in K; k \text{Exp} S^{d,\theta} = \text{Exp} S^{d,\theta}\},$$

$$Z^{d,\theta} = \{k \in N^{d,\theta}; k|_{\text{Exp} S^{d,\theta}} = \text{id}\},$$

$$W^{d,\theta} = N^{d,\theta}/Z^{d,\theta},$$

and

$$\bar{N}^{d,\theta} = \{\tau \in \bar{W}_S; \tau S^{d,\theta} = S^{d,\theta}\},$$

$$\bar{Z}^{d,\theta} = \{\tau \in \bar{N}^{d,\theta}; \tau|_{S^{d,\theta}} = \text{id}\},$$

$$\bar{W}^{d,\theta} = \bar{N}^{d,\theta}/\bar{Z}^{d,\theta}.$$

Elements of  $W^{d,\theta}$  and  $\bar{W}^{d,\theta}$  will be denoted by  $[k]$  with  $k \in N^{d,\theta}$  and  $[\tau]$  with  $\tau \in \bar{N}^{d,\theta}$  respectively.

We define a  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi^{d,\theta}: K/Z^{d,\theta} \times S^{d,\theta} \longrightarrow M$  by

$$\Psi^{d,\theta}(kZ^{d,\theta}, H) = k \text{Exp} H \quad \text{for } k \in K, H \in S^{d,\theta},$$

and denote by  $M^{d,\theta}$  the image of  $\Psi^{d,\theta}$ .

Lemma 1.7 implies  $N^{d,\theta} \subset N^d$ , and hence

$$Z^d \subset Z^{d,\theta} \subset N^{d,\theta} \subset N^d \subset N_K(\mathfrak{m}^d).$$

These groups are compact and have the same Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}^d$ . In particular, the group  $W^{d,\theta}$  is a finite group. Moreover, Corollary 2 of Lemma 1.6 implies that  $\Psi^{d,\theta}$  is a  $C^\infty$  immersion and that

$$\dim K/Z^{d,\theta} = (1/2) (\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}^d).$$

In the same way as the proof of Lemmas 1.7 and 1.8, we can show the following

LEMMA 3.5. 1) Let  $(\Delta_1, \Phi_1)$  and  $(\Delta_2, \Phi_2)$  be admissible pairs,  $H_1 \in S^{\Delta_1, \Phi_1}$ ,  $H_2 \in S^{\Delta_2, \Phi_2}$  and  $k \in K$ . If  $k \text{Exp} H_1 = \text{Exp} H_2$ , then there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that:

$$\text{i) } \tau S^{\Delta_1, \Phi_1} = S^{\Delta_2, \Phi_2};$$

$$\text{ii) } k \text{Exp} H = \text{Exp} \tau H \quad \text{for each } H \in S^{\Delta_1, \Phi_1};$$

$$\text{iii) } \tau H_1 = H_2,$$

and hence  $k \text{Exp} S^{\Delta_1, \Phi_1} = \text{Exp} S^{\Delta_2, \Phi_2}$ .

2) For each admissible pair  $(\Delta, \Phi)$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\pi^{d,\theta}: \bar{W}^{d,\theta} \longrightarrow W^{d,\theta}$  such that if  $\pi^{d,\theta}[\tau] = [k]$  with  $\tau \in \bar{N}^{d,\theta}$  and  $k \in N^{d,\theta}$ , then

$$k \text{Exp} H = \text{Exp} \tau H \quad \text{for each } H \in S^{d,\theta}.$$



LEMMA 3.6. *The homomorphism  $\pi^{A,\theta}: \bar{W}^{A,\theta} \longrightarrow W^{A,\theta}$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\bar{W}^{A,\theta}$  is also a finite group.*

PROOF. The surjectivity of  $\pi^{A,\theta}$  follows from Lemma 3.5, 1). Assume  $\pi^{A,\theta}[\tau]=1$  where  $\tau \in \bar{W}^{A,\theta}$ . Then, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 1.8, we find  $A \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\tau H = H + A \quad \text{for each } H \in S^{A,\theta}.$$

Since  $S^{A,\theta}$  is bounded, we have  $A=0$ , and hence  $[\tau]=1$ .     q.e.d.

From Lemma 3.3 we have the following

LEMMA 3.7. *For an admissible pair  $(A, \Phi)$ ,  $\bar{N}^{A,\theta}$  is given by*

$$\bar{N}^{A,\theta} = \{ \tau \in \bar{W}_S; \tau \cdot A = A, \tau \Phi = \Phi \}.$$

We define a free  $C^\infty$  action of  $\bar{W}^{A,\theta}$  on  $K/Z^{A,\theta} \times S^{A,\theta}$  in the same way as for  $K/Z^A \times S^A$ . Let

$$E^{A,\theta} = K/Z^{A,\theta} \times_{\bar{W}^{A,\theta}} S^{A,\theta}$$

be the quotient manifold relative to this action. Put

$$B^{A,\theta} = K/N^{A,\theta}.$$

It is a compact connected  $C^\infty$  manifold. By Lemma 3.6,  $K/Z^{A,\theta}$  is a  $C^\infty$  principal bundle over  $B^{A,\theta}$  with the group  $\bar{W}^{A,\theta}$ , and  $E^{A,\theta}$  is a fibre bundle over  $B^{A,\theta}$  associated to  $K/Z^{A,\theta}$  with the fibre  $S^{A,\theta}$ .

Let  $(A_1, \Phi_1)$  and  $(A_2, \Phi_2)$  be  $c$ -pairs. They are said to be *equivalent* if there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau \cdot A_1 = A_2$  and  $\tau \Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ . We denote by  $(A_1, \Phi_1) \succ (A_2, \Phi_2)$  if there exists  $\tau \in \bar{W}_S$  such that  $\tau \cdot A_1 \supset A_2$  and  $\tau \Phi_1 \supset \Phi_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a set of complete representatives of equivalence classes of  $c$ -pairs. Note that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a finite set. Then in the same way as the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 2.2, we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. 1) *For each  $c$ -pair  $(A, \Phi)$ ,  $M^{A,\theta}$  is a connected regular submanifold of  $M$  with*

$$\dim M^{A,\theta} = (1/2) (\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}^A) + k_{A,\theta}.$$

*Each  $M^{A,\theta}$  is diffeomorphic with  $E^{A,\theta}$  by the diffeomorphism  $\phi^{A,\theta}: E^{A,\theta} \longrightarrow M^{A,\theta}$  induced by the  $C^\infty$  map  $\Psi^{A,\theta}: K/Z^{A,\theta} \times S^{A,\theta} \longrightarrow M$ .*

2) *The cut locus  $C$  of a compact connected symmetric space  $(M, \mathfrak{g})$  with respect to the origin  $o$  has a stratification:*

$$C = \bigcup_{(A,\Phi) \in \mathcal{E}} M^{A,\theta} \quad (\text{disjoint union}),$$

where  $\bar{M}^{A_1, \Phi_1} \supset M^{A_2, \Phi_2}$  if and only if  $(A_1, \Phi_1) \succ (A_2, \Phi_2)$ .

REMARK. Let  $\dim M = n$ . Then  $M$  is homeomorphic with the space obtained from the cut locus  $C$  by attaching an  $n$ -cell  $M^0$ . In fact (cf. Kobayashi [4]), let

$$E^0 = \{tX; 0 \leq t < 1, X \in \tilde{C}\},$$

$$\bar{S}^0 = E^0 \cap \bar{S}.$$

Then  $E^0 = \text{Ad}K\bar{S}^0$  (cf. proof of Theorem 3.2, 1)), and the closure  $\bar{E}^0$  of  $E^0$  is given by  $\bar{E}^0 = E^0 \cup \tilde{C}$ . The subset

$$M^0 = \text{Exp } E^0$$

of  $M$  is called the *interior* of  $(M, g)$  with respect to the point  $o$ . Subsets  $\bar{E}^0$ ,  $E^0$  and  $\tilde{C}$  of  $m$  are homeomorphic with the closed  $n$ -disk  $\bar{D}^n$ ,  $n$ -cell  $D^n$  and  $(n-1)$ -sphere  $S^{n-1}$  respectively. Thus the cut locus  $C$  is a closed subset of  $M$ . Moreover,  $\text{Exp}: \bar{E}^0 \rightarrow M$  is surjective and the continuous map  $\text{Exp}: (\bar{E}^0, \tilde{C}) \rightarrow (M, C)$  of pairs induces a relative diffeomorphism  $\text{Exp}: E^0 \rightarrow M^0$ .

### References

- [1] E. Cartan: Sur certaines formes Riemanniennes remarquables des géométries à groupes fondamentaux simples, *Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **44** (1927), 345-467.
- [2] R. Crittenden: Minimum and conjugate points in symmetric spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **14** (1962), 320-328.
- [3] S. Helgason: *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1962.
- [4] S. Kobayashi: On conjugate and cut loci, *Studies in Global Geometry and Analysis*, Math. Ass. Amer., 96-122.
- [5] H. Naitoh: On cut loci and first conjugate loci of the irreducible symmetric  $R$ -spaces and the irreducible compact hermitian symmetric spaces, to appear.
- [6] T. Sakai: On the geometry of manifold of Lagrangean subspaces of a symplectic vector space, to appear.
- [7] T. Sakai: On cut loci of compact symmetric spaces, to appear.
- [8] T. Sakai: On the structure of cut loci in compact riemannian symmetric spaces, to appear.
- [9] M. Takeuchi: On the fundamental group and the group of isometries of a symmetric space, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, I*, **10** (1964), Part 2, 88-123.
- [10] M. Takeuchi: On the fundamental group of a simple Lie group, *Nagoya J. Math.* **40** (1970), 147-159.
- [11] M. Takeuchi: Polynomial representations associated with symmetric bounded domains, *Osaka J. Math.* **10** (1973), 441-475.
- [12] Y.C. Wong: Differential geometry of Grassmann manifolds, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **57** (1967), 589-594.
- [13] Y.C. Wong: Conjugate loci in Grassmann manifolds, *Bull. A.M.S.*, **74** (1968), 240-245.
- [14] Y.C. Wong: A class of Schubert varieties, *J. Diff. Geom.* **4** (1970), 37-51.