

ON THE NILPOTENCY INDICES OF THE RADICALS OF
GROUP ALGEBRAS OF p -GROUPS WHICH HAVE
CYCLIC SUBGROUPS OF INDEX p

By

Shigeo KOSHITANI

Let K be a field with characteristic $p > 0$, G a finite group, KG the group algebra of G over K and $J(KG)$ the radical of KG . We are interested in relations between ring-theoretical properties of KG and the structure of G . Particularly, in the present paper we shall study the nilpotency index $t(G)$ of $J(KG)$, which is the least positive integer $t(G)$ such that $J(KG)^{t(G)} = 0$.

For a finite p -group P of order p^r , S. A. Jennings [3] showed that $r(p-1)+1 \leq t(P) \leq p^r$. Recently K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [7] determined all p -groups P of order p^r such that $t(P)$ are the lower bound $r(p-1)+1$ or the upper bound p^r . In fact they proved that for a p -group P of order p^r with $r \geq 1$, $t(P) = r(p-1)+1$ if and only if P is elementary abelian and that $t(P) = p^r$ if and only if P is cyclic. So in this paper we shall investigate p -groups P of order p^r such that $t(P)$ are not necessarily equal to the lower bound $r(p-1)+1$ or the upper bound p^r . By the results of K. Motose [6, Theorem], K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [7, Theorem 1] it follows that when P is an abelian p -group of order p^r with $r \geq 2$, the secondarily highest nilpotency index $t(P)$ of $J(KP)$ is $p^{r-1} + p - 1$ and in this case P is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index p . Our main result of §1 is a generalization of the above fact. This can be stated as follows: For an arbitrary p -group P of order p^r with $r \geq 2$, the next conditions are equivalent;

- (i) $t(P) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$.
- (ii) $p^{r-1} < t(P) < p^r$.
- (iii) P is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index p .

There is a problem that when the value of $t(G)$ is given, what type is G ? About this there are some solutions ([9], [7]). D. A. R. Wallace [9] determined all finite groups G with the property $t(G) = 2$. Further, K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [7] determined all finite p -solvable groups G such that $t(G) = 3$. In connection with this in §2 we shall have all p -groups P such that $t(P) = 4, 5$ or 6 by calculating

$t(Q)$ for all p -groups Q of orders at most p^4 .

1. p -Groups which have cyclic subgroups of index p

To begin with we shall study $t(P)$ for metacyclic p -groups P .

LEMMA 1.1. *Let P be a metacyclic p -group containing a cyclic normal subgroup $Q = \langle b \rangle$ of order p^n and with a cyclic factor group $P/Q = \langle aQ \rangle$ ($a \in P$) of order p^m . Put $x = a - 1$ and $y = b - 1$ in KP . Then*

$$y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

PROOF. We may assume $n \geq 1$. There is a positive integer h such that

$$(1) \quad a^{-1}ba = b^h.$$

Since $a^{p^m} \in Q$, $h^{p^m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$, and so

$$(1') \quad h \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

At first we shall prove this lemma for $s=1$ and $t=1$ (cf. the proof of [4, Lemma]). Put $\binom{i}{j} = 0$ if $i < j$. By (1) and (1'),

$$\begin{aligned} yx &= ab^h - a - b + 1 = (x+1) \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \binom{h}{j} y^j + y + 1 \right) - x - y - 1 \\ &= xy + \sum_{j \geq 2} \binom{h}{j} (x+1) y^j. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$(2) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j.$$

From (2), we can prove

$$(3) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

by induction on t . Using (3) we can verify this lemma by induction on s .

Put $J(KP)^0 = KP$ for a p -group P .

THEOREM 1.2. *Let P be a metacyclic p -group containing a cyclic normal subgroup Q of order p^n and with a cyclic factor group $P/Q = \langle aQ \rangle$ ($a \in P$) of order p^m and k an integer such that $|a| = p^{m+n-k}$. Put*

$$h = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m \leq k \\ k, & \text{if } m > k. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $t(P) = p^{m+n-h} + p^h - 1$.

PROOF. Put $Q = \langle b \rangle$. We can assume $a^{p^m} = b^{p^k}$. Set x and y as in Lemma 1.1.

Case 1. $m \leq k$: We shall claim that $C_i = \{x^s y^t \mid 0 \leq s \leq p^m - 1, 0 \leq t \leq p^n - 1, s + t \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$ by induction on i . Every $g \in P$ can be written as $g = a^s b^t, 0 \leq s \leq p^m - 1, 0 \leq t \leq p^n - 1$ and the number of elements of C_0 is p^{m+n} . Thus C_0 is a K -basis of KP . By [3, Theorem 1.2], C_1 is a K -basis of $J(KP)$. Assume $i \geq 2$. Since $x, y \in J(KP)$, we have $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$. Since $J(KP)^i = J(KP)J(KP)^{i-1}$, it suffices to prove that if $0 \leq s, s' \leq p^m - 1, 0 \leq t, t' \leq p^n - 1, s + t \geq 1$ and $s' + t' \geq i - 1$, then $(x^s y^t)(x^{s'} y^{t'})$ can be written as a K -linear combination of C_i . From Lemma 1.1,

$$(4) \quad (x^s y^t)(x^{s'} y^{t'}) = \sum_{\substack{i'+j' \geq s'+t \\ 0 \leq i' \leq s'}} a_{i'j'} x^{s+i'} y^{j'+t'}, \quad a_{i'j'} \in K.$$

Consider each term of (4). Put $s + i' = up^m + u'$, where u, u' are integers with $0 \leq u' \leq p^m - 1$. Since $x^{p^m} = y^{p^k}$, it is seen that $x^{s+i'} y^{j'+t'} = x^{u'} (x^{p^m})^u y^{j'+t'} = x^{u'} y^{up^k + j'+t'}$. Since $y^{p^n} = 0$, we can put $up^k + j' + t' \leq p^n - 1$. We also have $u' + (up^k + j' + t') \geq i$ since $k \geq m$ and $i' + j' \geq s' + t$. Hence (4) can be written as a K -linear combination of C_i . This shows $J(KP)^{p^m + p^n - 2}$ is of K -dimension one, and so $t(P) = p^m + p^n - 1$.

Case 2. $m > k$: As in Case 1 we can show that $C_i = \{x^s y^t \mid 0 \leq s \leq p^{m+n-k} - 1, 0 \leq t \leq p^k - 1, s + t \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$. Thus $t(P) = p^{m+n-k} + p^k - 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Put that

$$D_r = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^{2^{r-1}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle \quad \text{for } r \geq 3,$$

$$Q_r = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^{2^{r-2}}, a^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle \quad \text{for } r \geq 3,$$

$$S_r = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^{2^{r-1}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{2^{r-2}-1} \rangle \quad \text{for } r \geq 4,$$

$$M_r(p) = \langle a, b \mid a^p = b^{p^{r-1}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{p^{r-2}+1} \rangle \\ \text{for } r \geq 4 \text{ if } p = 2, \quad \text{and for } r \geq 3 \text{ if } p \geq 3,$$

$$M(p) = \langle a, b, c \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle \quad \text{for } p \geq 3.$$

LEMMA 1.3. Let P be a p -group of order p^r . If P is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index p , $t(P) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$.

PROOF. This follows from [2, I 14.9 Satz] and Theorem 1.2.

Next, we shall compute $t(M(p))$ whose calculation is very fundamental in calculating $t(P)$ for the other p -groups P .

LEMMA 1.4. For $p \geq 3$, $t(M(p)) = 4p - 3$.

PROOF. Put $P = M(p)$. As in Lemma 1.1 set that $x = a - 1, y = b - 1$ and $z =$

$c-1$ in KP . Note that $x^p=y^p=z^p=0$ and $x, y, z \in J(KP)$. We have $zx=xz, zy=yz$ and $yx=xyz+xy+yz+xz+z$. Hence we know

$$(5) \quad z \in J(KP)^2,$$

$$(6) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using (6) we can show

$$(7) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

by induction on t as in the proof of (3). From (7) we obtain

$$(8) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0$$

by induction on s . Next, we shall show that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, t, u \leq p-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$ by induction on i . For $i=0$ or 1 , it is easy as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume $i \geq 2$. By (5), $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 it is sufficient to prove that $(x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'})$ can be written as a K -linear combination of C_i when $0 \leq s, s', t, t', u, u' \leq p-1, s+t+2u \geq 1$ and $s'+t'+2u' \geq i-1$. By (8),

$$(*) \quad (x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'}) = \sum_{\substack{i'+j'+2k' \geq s'+t' \\ 0 \leq i' \leq s'}} a_{i'j'k'} x^{s+i'} y^{t+j'} z^{u+k'},$$

$a_{i'j'k'} \in K$. Since $x^p=y^p=z^p=0$, we can assume that $0 \leq s+i', j'+t', k'+u+u' \leq p-1$. We have $(s+i')+(j'+t')+2(k'+u+u') \geq i$. Thus C_i is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$, and so $t(P) = (p-1) + (p-1) + 2(p-1) + 1 = 4p-3$.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let P be a p -group of order p^r with $r \geq 1$. If $t(P) > p^{r-1}$, then P has an element of order p^{r-1} .*

PROOF. We use induction on r . It is clear for $r=1$ or 2 . Assume $r=3$. When P is abelian, it follows from [6, Theorem]. When P is nonabelian, by [2, I 14.10 Satz], P is one of the following types;

- (i) $p=2$ and $P \cong D_3$ or Q_3 ,
- (ii) $p \geq 3$ and $P \cong M_3(p)$ or $M(p)$.

By Lemma 1.4 and $t(P) > p^2$, $P \not\cong M(p)$. Thus the assertion is proved for $r=3$. Assume $r \geq 4$. There is an element $c \in Z(P)$ of order p , where $Z(P)$ is the center of P . $C = \langle c \rangle$ is normal in P . By [10, Theorem 2.4] and $t(P) > p^{r-1}$, it follows that $t(P/C) > p^{r-2}$. Thus, from the hypothesis of induction, P/C has an element bC ($b \in P$) of order p^{r-2} . Now, suppose that P has no elements of order p^{r-1} . Hence $B = \langle b \rangle$

has order p^{r-2} . By [2, I 14.9 Satz], P/C is one of the following types;

Case 1. P/C is an abelian group of type (p^{r-2}, p) ,

Case 2. $p=2$ and $P/C \cong D_{r-1}$,

Case 3. $p=2$ and $P/C \cong Q_{r-1}$,

Case 4. $p=2, r \geq 5$ and $P/C \cong S_{r-1}$,

Case 5. $r \geq 5$ if $p=2$, and $P/C \cong M_{r-1}(p)$.

Case 1: Put $P/C = \langle aC, bC | (aC)^p = (bC)^{p^{r-2}} = C, abC = baC \rangle$ and $A = \langle a \rangle$. Clearly $|a| = p$ or p^2 . If $|a| = p^2$, we may put $a^p = c$. Since P/C is abelian, A is normal in P . Thus P is a semi-direct product of A by B , and so $t(P) = p^{r-2} + p^2 - 1$ from Theorem 1.2. This is a contradiction, and so $|a| = p$. If $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = 1$, P is an abelian group of type (p^{r-2}, p, p) . Hence, by [6, Theorem], $t(P) = p^{r-2} + 2p - 2$, a contradiction. This shows that $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \neq 1$, and so we may put $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = c$. Thus $P = \langle a, b, c | a^p = b^{p^{r-2}} = c^p = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$. Just as in the proof of Lemma 1.4, it is seen $t(P) = (p-1) + (p^{r-2}-1) + 2(p-1) + 1 = p^{r-2} + 3p - 3$, a contradiction.

Case 2: Put $p=2, P/C = \langle aC, bC | (aC)^2 = (bC)^{2^{r-2}} = C, a^{-1}baC = b^{-1}C \rangle$ and $A = \langle a \rangle$. We know $|a| = 2$ or 4 . Put x, y and z as in the proof of Lemma 1.4.

(i) Put $|a| = 2$ and $ba^{-1}ba = 1$. Then P is a direct product of $AB \cong D_{r-1}$ and a cyclic group of order 2. It follows from [6, Theorem] and Lemma 1.3 that $t(P) = 2^{r-2} + 2$, a contradiction.

(ii) Put $|a| = 4$ and $ba^{-1}ba = 1$. Since $a^2 = c, P = \langle a, b | a^4 = b^{2^{r-2}} = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle$. Thus, by Theorem 1.2, $t(P) = 2^{r-2} + 3$. This is a contradiction.

(iii) Put $|a| = 2$ and $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$. Then $ba^{-1}ba = c$. So $P = \langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^{2^{r-2}} = c^2 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$. We have $zx = xz$ and $zy = yz$. Set $f = 2^{r-2} - 1$. Since $f \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $yx = (x+1)(y+1)^f(z+1) - x - y - 1 = (x+1)\{\sum_{j=2}^f \binom{f}{j} y^j\}(z+1) + xyz + xy + yz + xz + z$. Hence we have (5) and (6), and so we have (7) and

$$(8') \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+2k \leq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } s = 0, 1.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1.4, $t(P) = 1 + (2^{r-2} - 1) + 2 + 1 = 2^{r-2} + 3$, a contradiction.

(iv) Put $|a| = 4$ and $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$. Then $a^2 = c$ and $ba^{-1}ba = c$. Hence $P = \langle a, b, c | a^2 = c, b^{2^{r-2}} = c^2 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$. Note $x^2 = z \neq 0$ and $y^{2^{r-2}} = z^2 = 0$. As (iii) we obtain (5) and (6), and so (7) and (8') hold. We shall show that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2^{r-2} - 1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$ by induction on i . It is clear for $i=0$ or 1 . Assume $i \geq 2$. By (5), $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$. As usual it suffices to show that $(x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'})$ can be written as a K -linear combination of C_i if $0 \leq s, s', u, u' \leq 1, 0 \leq t, t' \leq 2^{r-2} - 1, s+t+2u \geq 1$ and $s'+t'+2u' \geq i-1$. From (8'), we have (*). Consider each term of (*). Put $s+i' = 2v+v'$, where v, v' are integers with $0 \leq v' \leq 1$. Since $x^2 = z, x^{s+i'} y^{j'+t'} z^{k'+u+u'} = x^{v'} y^{j'+t'} z^{v+k'+u+u'}$. We may assume $j'+t' \leq 2^{r-2} - 1$ and

$v+k'+u+u' \leq 1$ since $y^{2^{r-2}}=z^2=0$. We also have $v'+(j'+t')+2(v+k'+u+u') \geq i$. This implies that C_i is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$, and so $t(P)=1+(2^{r-2}-1)+2+1=2^{r-2}+3$, a contradiction.

Case 3: Put $p=2$, $P/C=\langle aC, bC | (aC)^2=(bC)^{2^{r-3}}, (aC)^4=C, a^{-1}baC=b^{-1}C \rangle$ and $A=\langle a \rangle$. We can put $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}c^i$ for some i , and so $a^4=1$. This implies $|a|=4$. Put x, y and z as in the proof of Lemma 1.4.

(i) Put $ba^{-1}ba=1$ and $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}$. Then P is a direct product of $AB \cong Q_{r-1}$ and a cyclic group of order 2. Thus we have a contradiction as in (i) of Case 2.

(ii) Put $ba^{-1}ba=1$ and $a^2 \neq b^{2^{r-3}}$. Then $A \cap B=1$. Hence $P=AB=\langle a, b | a^4=b^{2^{r-2}}=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{-1} \rangle$, and so we have a contradiction as in (ii) of Case 2.

(iii) Put $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$ and $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}$. Since $ba^{-1}ba=c$, $P=\langle a, b, c | a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}, b^{2^{r-2}}=c^2=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$. As in (iii) of Case 2 we have (5), (6), (7) and (8'). Note $0 \neq x^2=y^{2^{r-3}}$. By $2^{r-3} \geq 2$, it is seen that $C_i=\{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2^{r-2}-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$ as in (iv) of Case 2. Thus $t(P)=2^{r-2}+3$, a contradiction.

(iv) Put $ba^{-1}ba \neq 1$ and $a^2 \neq b^{2^{r-3}}$. Hence $ba^{-1}ba=c$ and $a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}c$. Thus $P=\langle a, b, c | a^2=b^{2^{r-3}}c, a^4=b^{2^{r-2}}=c^2=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{-1}c, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$. We have $x^2=y^{2^{r-3}}(z+1)+z$. This implies (5) and

$$(9) \quad x^2 \in \sum_{j+2k \geq 2} Ky^j z^k.$$

As in (iii) of Case 2 we also have (6), (7) and (8'). Note $x^2 \neq 0$. By (9), as in (iv) of Case 2, we know that $C_i=\{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2^{r-2}-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$, and so we have a contradiction.

Case 4: As in Case 2 we have a contradiction.

Case 5: Put $r \geq 5$ if $p=2$, and put $P/C=\langle aC, bC | (aC)^p=(bC)^{p^{r-2}}=C, a^{-1}baC=b^{p^{r-3+1}}C \rangle$ and $A=\langle a \rangle$. Set x, y and z as in the proof of Lemma 1.4. Put $f=p^{r-3}+1$, and so $f \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

(i) Assume $|a|=p$ and $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=1$. So P is a direct product of $AB \cong M_{r-1}(p)$ and a cyclic group of order p , and so we have a contradiction by [6, Theorem] and Lemma 1.3.

(ii) Assume $|a|=p^2$ and $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=1$. We may put $a^p=c$. So $P=\langle a, b | a^{p^2}=b^{p^{r-2}}=1, a^{-1}ba=b^f \rangle$, hence $t(P)=p^{r-2}+p^2-1$, by Theorem 1.2. This is a contradiction.

(iii) Assume $|a|=p$ and $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba \neq 1$. We can put $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=c$. Hence $P=\langle a, b, c | a^p=b^{p^{r-2}}=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=b^f c, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$. Since $f \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, as (iii) of Case 2, we have (5), (6), (7) and (8). As in the proof of Lemma 1.4, $C_i=\{x^s y^t z^u | 0 \leq s, u \leq p-1, 0 \leq t \leq p^{r-2}-1, s+t+2u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$, and so $t(P)=(p-1)+(p^{r-2}-1)+2(p-1)+1=p^{r-2}+3p-3$, a contradiction.

(iv) Assume $|a|=p^2$ and $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba \neq 1$. We may put $a^p=c$. Since $1 \neq b^{-f}a^{-1}ba \in C$, $b^{-f}a^{-1}ba=c^h$ for some h with $1 \leq h \leq p-1$. Thus $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=c, b^{p^{r-2}}=c^p=1, a^{-1}ba=b^f c^h, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle$. From $x^p=z$,

$$(10) \quad z \in J(KP)^p.$$

Since $f \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, $yx = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k + hz, \quad a_{ijk} \in K.$

Hence

$$(11) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+pk \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using this, as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, by induction we have

$$(12) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+pk \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$(13) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+pk \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

Note $0 \neq x^p=z$. It follows from (10) and (13) that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, u \leq p-1, 0 \leq t \leq p^{r-2}-1, s+t+pu \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$, and so $t(P) = (p-1) + (p^{r-2}-1) + p(p-1) + 1 = p^{r-2} + p^2 - 1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.5.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let P be a p -group of order p^r . If $r \geq 2$, then the next four conditions (i)-(iv) are equivalent.*

- (i) $t(P) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$.
- (ii) $p^{r-1} < t(P) < p^r$.
- (iii) P is not cyclic and has a cyclic subgroup of index p .
- (iv) P is one of the following types;
 - (a) P is an abelian group of type (p^{r-1}, p) ,
 - (b) $p=2, r=3$ and $P \cong D_3$ or Q_3 ,
 - (c) $p=2, r \geq 4$ and $P \cong D_r, Q_r, S_r$ or $M_r(2)$,
 - (d) $p \geq 3, r \geq 3$ and $P \cong M_r(p)$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obtained from [7, Theorem 1] and Lemma 1.5. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from [2, I 14.9 Satz]. (iv) \Rightarrow (i) is easy from Lemma 1.3.

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let G be a finite group with a p -Sylow subgroup P . If G is a p -solvable group of p -length 1 and P has order p^r with $r \geq 2$, then the next four conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $t(G) = p^{r-1} + p - 1$.
- (ii) $p^{r-1} < t(G) < p^r$.

(iii) Same as (iii) of Theorem 1.6.

(iv) Same as (iv) of Theorem 1.6.

PROOF. It follows from [5, Theorems 2 and 7] (or [1, Theorem 2]) and [8, Lemma 2] that $t(G)=t(P)$. Thus this corollary is clear by Theorem 1.6.

REMARK 1. For a p -solvable group G of p -length ≥ 2 , the same statement as Corollary 1.7 does not necessarily hold. Let G be the symmetric group of degree 4 and $p=2$. Then G is a 2-solvable group of 2-length 2 of order 24 with a dihedral 2-Sylow subgroup of order 8. On the other hand, by [7, Proposition], $t(G)=4 \neq 2^2 + 2 - 1$.

2. p -Groups P with $t(P)=4, 5$ or 6

In this section, firstly, we shall compute $t(P)$ for all p -groups P of orders at most p^4 . Using this we shall have all p -groups P such that $t(P)=4, 5$ or 6 . All p -groups of order p^3 are found in [2, I 14.10 Satz] and all p -groups of order p^4 are found in [2, III 12.6 Satz] and [2, III §12 Aufgaben (29), (30)].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let P be a nonabelian p -group of order p^r . Then we have the followings.*

- (I) $r=3, p \geq 3$. There are two nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order p^3 .
 - (i) If $P=M_3(p), t(P)=p^2+p-1$.
 - (ii) If $P=M(p), t(P)=4p-3$.
- (II) $r=3, p=2$. There are two nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order 2^3 .
 - (i)-(ii) If $P=D_8$ or $Q_8, t(P)=5$.
- (III) $r=4, p \geq 5$. There are ten nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order p^4 .
 - (i) If $P=M_4(p), t(P)=p^3+p-1$.
 - (ii) If P is a direct product of $M_3(p)$ and a cyclic group of order $p, t(P)=p^2+2p-2$.
 - (iii) If P is a direct product of $M(p)$ and a cyclic group of order $p, t(P)=5p-4$.
 - (iv) If $P=\langle a, b \mid a^{p^2}=b^{p^2}=1, a^{-1}ba=b^{p+1} \rangle, t(P)=2p^2-1$.
 - (v) If $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^{p^2}=1, a^{-1}ba=bc^p, a^{-1}ca=c, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+2p-2$.
 - (vi) If $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^{p^2}=1, a^{-1}ba=b, a^{-1}ca=bc, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+3p-3$.
 - (vii) If $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^{p^2}=1, a^{-1}ba=bc^p, a^{-1}ca=bc, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+3p-3$.
 - (viii) If $P=\langle a, b, c \mid a^p=b^p=c^{p^2}=1, a^{-1}ba=bc^f, a^{-1}ca=bc, b^{-1}cb=c \rangle, t(P)=p^2+3p-3$, where f is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p .

(ix) If $P = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = d^p = 1, b^{-1}cb = c, c^{-1}dc = d, b^{-1}db = d, a^{-1}ba = b, a^{-1}ca = bc, a^{-1}da = cd \rangle, t(P) = 7p - 6.$

(x) If $P = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^p = b, b^p = c^p = d^p = 1, b^{-1}cb = c, c^{-1}dc = d, b^{-1}db = d, a^{-1}ca = bc, a^{-1}da = cd \rangle, t(P) = p^2 + 3p - 3.$

(IV) $r = 4, p = 3.$ There are ten nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order $3^4.$

(i) If $P = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3, b^3 = c^3 = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc, a^{-1}ca = b^3c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle, t(P) = 15.$

(ii)-(x) For the other nine groups P of order $3^4,$ we can know $t(P)$ by putting $p = 3$ in (III), where (ix) of (III) and (x) of (III) are isomorphic.

(V) $r = 4, p = 2.$ There are nine nonisomorphic nonabelian groups of order $2^4.$

(i)-(iv) If $P = D_4, Q_4, S_4$ or $M_4(2), t(P) = 9.$

(v) If P is a direct product of D_8 and a cyclic group of order 2, $t(P) = 6.$

(vi) If P is a direct product of Q_8 and a cyclic group of order 2, $t(P) = 6.$

(vii) If $P = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^3 \rangle, t(P) = 7.$

(viii) If $P = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc^2, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle, t(P) = 6.$

(ix) If $P = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b, a^{-1}ca = bc, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle, t(P) = 7.$

PROOF. Put $x = a - 1, y = b - 1, z = c - 1$ and $w = d - 1$ in KP if they exist.

(I) (i) and (ii) are verified by Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 1.4, respectively.

(II) Clear from Theorem 1.6.

(III) (i) Trivial by Theorem 1.6.

(ii)-(iii) These follow from [6, Theorem] and (I).

(iv) Easy from Theorem 1.2.

(v) Since $yx = xyz^p + xz^p + yz^p + z^p + xy,$ we have

$$(14) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using this, as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we know

$$(15) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$(16) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+j+k \geq s+t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

By (16), it is seen that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, t \leq p-1, 0 \leq u \leq p^2-1, s+t+u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i.$ Hence $t(P) = p^2 + 2p - 2.$

(vi) As in Lemma 1.4, $t(P) = (p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p^2-1) + 1 = p^2 + 3p - 3.$

(vii) Since $yx = xyz^p + xz^p + yz^p + z^p + xy,$

$$(17) \quad yx \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq 3 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

By induction it follows from (17) that

$$(18) \quad y^t x \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq 2t+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, since $zx = xyz + xz + yz + xy + y$, we have

$$(19) \quad y \in J(KP)^2,$$

$$(20) \quad zx \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k.$$

Using (20), as (18), it is seen that

$$(21) \quad z^u x \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq u+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } u \geq 0.$$

From (21) and (18), we can show

$$(22) \quad y^t x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq s+2t \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0$$

by induction on s . Similarly, from (21) and (18),

$$(23) \quad z^u x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+2j+k \geq s+u \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \quad \text{for all } s, u \geq 0.$$

Now, we shall prove that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, t \leq p-1, 0 \leq u \leq p^2-1, s+2t+u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$ by induction on i . Put $i \geq 2$. By (19), $C_i \subseteq J(KP)^i$. As usual it is sufficient to show that $(x^s y^t z^u)(x^{s'} y^{t'} z^{u'})$ can be written as a K -linear combination of C_i if $0 \leq s, s', t, t' \leq p-1, 0 \leq u, u' \leq p^2-1, s+2t+u \geq 1$ and $s'+2t'+u' \geq i-1$. Using (23) and (22) we can show this. Hence $t(P) = (p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p^2-1) + 1 = p^2 + 3p - 3$.

(viii) We can put $2 \leq f \leq p-1$. Hence we have (17). Thus, just as in (vii), we obtain $t(P) = p^2 + 3p - 3$.

(ix) It is clear that

$$(24) \quad zy = yz, wz = zw, wy = yw \quad \text{and} \quad yx = xy.$$

Since

$$(25) \quad zx = xyz + xz + yz + xy + y,$$

$y \in J(KP)^2$. Similarly, since

$$(26) \quad wx = xzw + xw + zw + xz + z,$$

$$(27) \quad z \in J(KP)^2.$$

From (25), (27) and $y \in J(KP)^2$, we have

$$(28) \quad y \in J(KP)^3.$$

It follows from (25) and (26) that

$$(29) \quad zx \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k \geq 3 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k,$$

and

$$(30) \quad wx \in \sum_{\substack{i+2k+h \geq 2 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i z^k w^h,$$

respectively. From (24) and (29),

$$(31) \quad z^u x \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k \geq 2u+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } u \geq 0.$$

Similarly, from (24) and (30), we have

$$(32) \quad w^v x \in \sum_{\substack{i+2k+h \geq v+1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq 1}} Kx^i z^k w^h, \text{ for all } v \geq 0.$$

By (31) and (24),

$$(33) \quad z^u x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k \geq s+2u \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k, \text{ for all } s, u \geq 0.$$

By (32), (31) and (24), we also have

$$(34) \quad w^v x^s \in \sum_{\substack{i+3j+2k+h \geq s+v \\ 0 \leq i \leq s}} Kx^i y^j z^k w^h, \text{ for all } s, v \geq 0.$$

As usual, by (24), (27), (28), (33) and (34), we can show that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u w^v \mid 0 \leq s, t, u, v \leq p-1, s+3t+2u+v \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$. So $t(P) = (p-1) + 3(p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p-1) + 1 = 7p-6$.

(x) Since $x^p = y$, it follows

$$(28') \quad y \in J(KP)^p.$$

Using (28') instead of (28), as in (ix), we can show that $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u w^v \mid 0 \leq s, t, u, v \leq p-1, s+pt+2u+v \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$. Thus $t(P) = (p-1) + p(p-1) + 2(p-1) + (p-1) + 1 = p^2 + 3p - 3$.

(IV) (i) $C_i = \{x^s y^t z^u \mid 0 \leq s, u \leq 2, 0 \leq t \leq 8, s+t+2u \geq i\}$ is a K -basis of $J(KP)^i$. Hence $t(P) = 15$.

(V) (i)-(iv) are easy by Theorem 1.6. (v) and (vi) are obtained from [6, Theorem] and (II). (vii), (viii) and (ix) follow from (iv) of (III), (v) of (III) and (vi) of (III), respectively.

COROLLARY 2.2. *For a p -group P , we have the followings.*

(I) $t(P) = 4$ if and only if P is one of the following types;

- (i) $p = 2$ and P is a cyclic group of order 2^2 ,
- (ii) $p = 2$ and P is an elementary abelian group of order 2^3 .

- (II) $t(P)=5$ if and only if P is one of the following types;
- (i) $p=2$ and P is an abelian group of type $(2^2, 2)$,
 - (ii) $p=2$ and $P \cong D_3$,
 - (iii) $p=2$ and $P \cong Q_8$,
 - (iv) $p=2$ and P is an elementary abelian group of order 2^4 ,
 - (v) $p=3$ and P is an elementary abelian group of order 3^2 ,
 - (vi) $p=5$ and P is a cyclic group of order 5.
- (III) $t(P)=6$ if and only if P is one of the following types;
- (i) $p=2$ and P is an abelian group of type $(2^2, 2, 2)$,
 - (ii) $p=2$ and P is a direct product of D_8 and a cyclic group of order 2,
 - (iii) $p=2$ and P is a direct product of Q_8 and a cyclic group of order 2,
 - (iv) $p=2$ and $P \cong \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, a^{-1}ba = bc^2, a^{-1}ca = c, b^{-1}cb = c \rangle$,
 - (v) $p=2$ and P is an elementary abelian group of order 2^5 .

PROOF. The assertions are proved by [3, Theorem 3.7] (cf. [10, Lemma 2.3]), [7, Theorem 1], [6, Theorem] and Theorem 2.1.

REMARK 2. As noting in the proof of Corollary 1.7 it is seen that $t(G)=t(P)$ for a p -solvable group G of p -length 1 with a p -Sylow subgroup P . Thus, by Corollary 2.2, we can have all p -solvable groups G of p -length 1 with $t(G)=4, 5$ or 6.

References

- [1] Clarke, R.J.: On the radical of the group algebra of a p -nilpotent group. J. Australian Math. Soc. **13** (1972), 119-123.
- [2] Huppert, B.: Endliche Gruppen I. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [3] Jennings, S.A.: The structure of the group ring of a p -group over a modular field. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1941), 175-185.
- [4] Koshitani, S.: Group rings of metacyclic p -groups. Proc. Japan Acad. **52** (1976), 269-272.
- [5] Morita, K.: On group rings over a modular field which possess radicals expressible as principal ideals. Sci. Rep. of Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku A4 (1951), 177-194.
- [6] Motose, K.: On C. Loncour's results. Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 570-571.
- [7] Motose, K. and Ninomiya, Y.: On the nilpotency index of the radical of a group algebra. Hokkaido Math. J. **4** (1975), 261-264.
- [8] Villamayor, O.E.: On the semisimplicity of group algebras II. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 27-31.
- [9] Wallace, D.A.R.: Group algebras with radicals of square zero. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **5** (1962), 158-159.
- [10] Wallace, D.A.R.: Lower bounds for the radical of the group algebra of a finite p -soluble group. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **16** (1968/69), 127-134.