



---

Banach J. Math. Anal. 9 (2015), no. 4, 126–145

<http://doi.org/10.15352/bjma/09-4-8>

ISSN: 1735-8787 (electronic)

<http://projecteuclid.org/bjma>

---

## JORDAN WEAK AMENABILITY AND ORTHOGONAL FORMS ON JB\*-ALGEBRAS

FATMAH B. JAMJOOM<sup>1</sup>, ANTONIO M. PERALTA<sup>2\*</sup> AND AKHLAQ A. SIDDIQUI<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by Y. Zhang

**ABSTRACT.** We prove the existence of a linear isometric correspondence between the Banach space of all symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  and the Banach space of all purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . We also establish the existence of a similar linear isometric correspondence between the Banach spaces of all anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$ , and of all Lie Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be functionals in the dual of a C\*-algebra  $A$ . The assignment

$$(a, b) \mapsto V_{\varphi, \psi}(a, b) := \varphi\left(\frac{ab + ba}{2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{ab - ba}{2}\right)$$

defines a continuous bilinear form on  $A$  which also satisfies the following property: given  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \perp b$  (i.e.  $ab^* = b^*a = 0$ ) we have  $V_{\varphi, \psi}(a, b^*) = 0$ . A continuous bilinear form  $V : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *orthogonal* when  $V(a, b) = 0$  for every  $a, b \in A_{sa}$  with  $a \perp b$  (see [15, Definition 1.1]). A renowned and useful theorem, due to S. Goldstein [15], gives the precise expression of every continuous bilinear orthogonal form on a C\*-algebra.

---

*Date:* Received: Oct. 31, 2014; Accepted: Jan. 2, 2015.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L57; Secondary 47B47, 17B40, 46L70, 46L05, 46L89, 43A25.

*Key words and phrases.* (Jordan) weak amenability, orthogonal form, generalized derivation, purely Jordan generalized derivation, Lie Jordan derivation.

**Theorem 1.1.** [15] *Let  $V : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous orthogonal form on a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then there exist functionals  $\varphi, \psi \in A^*$  satisfying that*

$$V(a, b) = V_{\varphi, \psi}(a, b) = \varphi(a \circ b) + \psi([a, b]),$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ , where  $a \circ b := \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ , and  $[a, b] := \frac{1}{2}(ab - ba)$ . □

Henceforth, the term “form” will mean a “continuous bilinear form”. It should be noted here that by the above Goldstein’s theorem, for every orthogonal form  $V$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra we also have  $V(a, b^*) = 0$ , for every  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \perp b$ .

The applications of Goldstein’s theorem appear in many different contexts ([5, 17]). Quite recently, an extension of Goldstein’s theorem for commutative real  $C^*$ -algebras has been published in [14].

Making use of the weak amenability of every  $C^*$ -algebra, U. Haagerup and N.J. Laustsen gave a simplified proof of Goldstein’s theorem in [17]. In the third section of the just quoted paper, and more concretely, in the proof of [17, Proposition 3.5], the above mentioned authors pointed out that for every anti-symmetric form  $V$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which is orthogonal on  $A_{sa}$ , the mapping  $D_V : A \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $D_V(a)(b) = V(a, b)$  ( $a, b \in A$ ) is a derivation. Reciprocally, the weak amenability of  $A$  also implies that every derivation  $\delta$  from  $A$  into  $A^*$  is inner and hence of the form  $\delta(a) = \text{adj}_\phi(a) = \phi a - a\phi$  for a functional  $\phi \in A^*$ . In particular, the form  $V_\delta(a, b) = \delta(a)(b)$  is anti-symmetric and orthogonal.

The above results are the starting point and motivation of the present note. In the setting of  $C^*$ -algebras we shall complete the above picture showing that symmetric orthogonal forms on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are in bijective correspondence with the *purely Jordan generalized derivations* from  $A$  into  $A^*$  (see Section 2 for definitions). However, the main goal of this note is to explore the orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra and the similarities and differences between the associative setting of  $C^*$ -algebras and the wider class of JB\*-algebras.

In Section 2 we revisit the basic theory and results on Jordan modules and derivations from the associative derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras to Jordan derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and JB\*-algebras. The novelties presented in this section include a new study about generalized Jordan derivations from a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  into a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module in the line explored in [24], [1, §4], and [7, §3]. We recall that, given a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module  $X$  over a JB\*-algebra, a *generalized Jordan derivation* from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $X$  is a linear mapping  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  for which there exists  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying

$$G(a \circ b) = G(a) \circ b + a \circ G(b) - U_{a,b}(\xi),$$

for every  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , where

$$U_{a,b}(x) := (a \circ x) \circ b + (b \circ x) \circ a - (a \circ b) \circ x \quad (x \in X^{**}).$$

We show how the results on automatic continuity of Jordan derivations from a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  into itself or into its dual, established by S. Hejazian, A. Niknam [19] and B. Russo and the second author of this paper in [26], can be applied to prove that every generalized Jordan derivation from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}$  or into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is continuous (see Proposition 2.1).

Section 3 contains the main results of the paper. In Proposition 3.8 we prove that for every generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a JB\*-algebra, the form  $V_G : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_G(a, b) = G(a)(b)$  is orthogonal on the whole  $\mathcal{J}$ . We introduce the two new subclasses of *purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations* and *Lie Jordan derivations*. A generalized derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is said to be a purely Jordan generalized derivation if  $G(a)(b) = G(b)(a)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ; while a Lie Jordan derivation is a Jordan derivation  $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  satisfying  $D(a)(b) = -D(b)(a)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J})$  the Banach space of all symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$ , and by  $\mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  the Banach space of all purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . The mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*), & \mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*) &\rightarrow \mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J}), \\ V &\mapsto G_V, & G &\mapsto V_G, \end{aligned}$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other (cf. Theorem 3.6). Let now  $\mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J})$  and  $\mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  denote the Banach spaces of all anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$ , and of all Lie Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , respectively. The mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*), & \mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*) &\rightarrow \mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J}), \\ V &\mapsto D_V, & D &\mapsto V_D, \end{aligned}$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other (see Theorem 3.13).

We culminate the paper with a short discussion which shows that, contrary to what happens for anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a C\*-algebra, the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra are not determined by the inner Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  (see Remark 3.15). It seems unnecessary to stress the high impact and deep repercussion of the theory of derivations on C\*-algebras and JB\*-algebras; the results in this note add a new interest and applications of Jordan derivations and generalized Jordan derivations on JB\*-algebras.

Throughout this paper, we habitually consider a Banach space  $X$  as a norm closed subspace of  $X^{**}$ . Given a closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ , we shall identify the weak\*-closure, in  $X^{**}$ , of  $Y$  with  $Y^{**}$ .

## 2. DERIVATIONS AND GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS IN CORRESPONDENCE WITH ORTHOGONAL FORMS

A *derivation* from a Banach algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -module  $X$  is a linear map  $D : A \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$ , ( $a \in A$ ). A *Jordan derivation* from  $A$  into  $X$  is a linear map  $D$  satisfying  $D(a^2) = aD(a) + D(a)a$ , ( $a \in A$ ), or equivalently,  $D(a \circ b) = a \circ D(b) + D(a) \circ b$  ( $a, b \in A$ ), where  $a \circ b = \frac{ab+ba}{2}$ , whenever  $a, b \in A$ , or one of  $a, b$  is in  $A$  and the other is in  $X$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $X$ , the mapping  $\text{adj}_x : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $a \mapsto \text{adj}_x(a) := xa - ax$ , is an example of a derivation from  $A$  into  $X$ . A derivation  $D : A \rightarrow X$  is said to be *inner* when it can be written in the form  $D = \text{adj}_x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

A well known result of S. Sakai (cf. [29, Theorem 4.1.6]) states that every derivation on a von Neumann algebra is inner.

J.R. Ringrose proved in [28] that every derivation from a C\*-algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule is continuous.

A Banach algebra  $A$  is *amenable* if every bounded derivation from  $A$  into a dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule is inner. Contributions of A. Connes and U. Haagerup show that a C\*-algebra is amenable if and only if it is nuclear ([11, 16]). The class of weakly amenable Banach algebras is less restrictive. A Banach algebra  $A$  is *weakly amenable* if every bounded derivation from  $A$  into  $A^*$  is inner. U. Haagerup proved that every C\*-algebra  $B$  is weakly amenable, that is, for every derivation  $D : B \rightarrow B^*$ , there exists  $\varphi \in B^*$  satisfying  $D(\cdot) = \text{adj}_\varphi$  ([16, Corollary 4.2]).

In [24] J. Li and Zh. Pan introduced a concept which generalizes the notion of derivation and is more related to the Jordan structure underlying a C\*-algebra. We recall that a linear mapping  $G$  from a unital C\*-algebra  $A$  to a (unital) Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  is called a *generalized derivation* in [24] whenever the identity

$$G(ab) = G(a)b + aG(b) - aG(1)b$$

holds for every  $a, b$  in  $A$ . The non-unital case was studied in [1, §4], where a generalized derivation from a Banach algebra  $A$  to a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  is defined as a linear operator  $D : A \rightarrow X$  for which there exists  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b) - a\xi b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

Given an element  $x$  in  $X$ , it is easy to see that the operator  $G_x : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $x \mapsto G_x(a) := ax + xa$ , is a generalized derivation from  $A$  into  $X$ . Clearly, every derivation from  $A$  into  $X$  is a generalized derivation. There are examples of generalized derivations from a C\*-algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  which are not derivations, for example  $G_a : A \rightarrow A$  is a generalized derivation which is not a derivation when  $a^* \neq -a$  (cf. [6, comments after Lemma 3]).

**2.1. Jordan algebras and modules.** We turn now our attention to Jordan structures and derivations. We recall that a real (resp., complex) *Jordan algebra* is a commutative algebra over the real (resp., complex) field which is not, in general associative, but satisfies the *Jordan identity*:

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2 = a \circ (b \circ a^2). \tag{2.1}$$

A normed Jordan algebra is a Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  equipped with a norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ , satisfying  $\|a \circ b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ ,  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ . A *Jordan Banach algebra* is a normed Jordan algebra whose norm is complete. A JB\*-algebra is a complex Jordan Banach algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  equipped with an isometric algebra involution  $*$  satisfying  $\| \{a, a^*, a\} \| = \|a\|^3$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{J}$  (we recall that  $\{a, a^*, a\} = 2(a \circ a^*) \circ a - a^2 \circ a^*$ ). A real Jordan Banach algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfying

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^2\| \text{ and } \|a^2\| \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|,$$

for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$  is called a *JB-algebra*. JB-algebras are precisely the self adjoint parts of JB\*-algebras [9, page 174]. A JBW\*-algebra is a JB\*-algebra which is a

dual Banach space (see [18, §4] for a detailed presentation with basic properties).

Every real or complex associative Banach algebra is a real or complex Jordan Banach algebra with respect to the natural Jordan product  $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Jordan algebra. A *Jordan  $\mathcal{J}$ -module* is a vector space  $X$ , equipped with a couple of bilinear products  $(a, x) \mapsto a \circ x$  and  $(x, a) \mapsto x \circ a$  from  $\mathcal{J} \times X$  to  $X$ , satisfying:

$$a \circ x = x \circ a, \quad a^2 \circ (x \circ a) = (a^2 \circ x) \circ a, \quad \text{and}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$2((x \circ a) \circ b) \circ a + x \circ (a^2 \circ b) = 2(x \circ a) \circ (a \circ b) + (x \circ b) \circ a^2, \quad (2.3)$$

for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $x \in X$ . When  $X$  is a Banach space and a Jordan  $\mathcal{J}$ -module for which there exists  $M > 0$  satisfying  $\|a \circ x\| \leq M \|a\| \|x\|$ , we say that  $X$  is a Jordan-Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module. For example, every associative Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a Banach algebra  $A$  is a Jordan-Banach  $A$ -module for the product  $a \circ x = \frac{1}{2}(ax + xa)$  ( $a \in A, x \in X$ ). The dual,  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , of a Jordan Banach algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Jordan-Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module with respect to the product

$$(a \circ \varphi)(b) = \varphi(a \circ b), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}, \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ .

Given a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  (respectively, a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module over a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ ), it is very useful to consider  $X^{**}$  as a Banach  $A$ -bimodule or as a Banach  $A^{**}$ -bimodule (respectively, as a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module or as a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ -module). The case of Banach bimodules over  $C^*$ -algebras is very well dealt with in the literature (see [12] or [7, §3]), we recall here the basic facts: Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces and let  $m : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a bounded bilinear mapping. Defining  $m^*(z', x)(y) := z'(m(x, y))$  ( $x \in X, y \in Y, z' \in Z^*$ ), we obtain a bounded bilinear mapping  $m^* : Z^* \times X \rightarrow Y^*$ . Iterating the process, we define a mapping  $m^{***} : X^{**} \times Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ . The mapping  $x'' \mapsto m^{***}(x'', y'')$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous whenever we fix  $y'' \in Y^{**}$ , and the mapping  $y'' \mapsto m^{***}(x, y'')$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous for every  $x \in X$ . One can consider the transposed mapping  $m^t : Y \times X \rightarrow Z, m^t(y, x) = m(x, y)$  and the extended mapping  $m^{t***t} : X^{**} \times Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ . In this case, the mapping  $x'' \mapsto m^{t***t}(x'', y)$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous whenever we fix  $y \in Y$ , and the mapping  $y'' \mapsto m^{t***t}(x'', y'')$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous for every  $x'' \in X^{**}$ .

In general, the mappings  $m^{t***t}$  and  $m^{***}$  do not coincide (cf. [2]). When  $m^{t***t} = m^{***}$ , we say that  $m$  is Arens regular. When  $m$  is Arens regular, its (unique) third Arens transpose  $m^{***}$  is separately weak\* continuous (see [2, Theorem 3.3]). It is well known that the product of every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is Arens regular and the unique Arens extension of the product of  $A$  to  $A^{**} \times A^{**}$  coincides with the product of its enveloping von Neumann algebra (cf. [12, Corollary 3.2.37]). Combining [2, Theorem 3.3] with [18, Theorem 4.4.3], we can deduce that the product of every  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular and the unique Arens extension of the product of  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $\mathcal{J}^{**} \times \mathcal{J}^{**}$  coincides with the product of  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  given by [18, Theorem 4.4.3]. The literature contains some other results assuring that certain bilinear operators are Arens regular. For example, if every operator

from  $X$  into  $Y^*$  is weakly compact and the same property holds for every operator from  $Y$  into  $X^*$ , then it follows from [4, Theorem 1] that every bounded bilinear mapping  $m : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is Arens regular. It is known that every bounded operator from a JB\*-algebra into the dual of another JB\*-algebra is weakly compact (cf. [10, Corollary 3]), thus given a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , every bilinear mapping  $m : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Z$  is Arens regular.

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Let us denote by

$$\pi_1 : A \times X \rightarrow X, \text{ and } \pi_2 : X \times A \rightarrow X,$$

the bilinear maps given by the corresponding module operations, that is,  $\pi_1(a, x) = ax$ , and  $\pi_2(x, a) = xa$ , respectively. The third Arens bitransposes  $\pi_1^{***} : A^{**} \times X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$ , and  $\pi_2^{***} : X^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  satisfy that  $\pi_1^{***}(a, x)$  defines a weak\* to weak\* linear operator whenever we fix  $x \in X^{**}$ , or whenever we fix  $a \in A$ , respectively, while  $\pi_2^{***}(x, a)$  defines a weak\* to weak\* linear operator whenever we fix  $x \in X$ , and  $a \in A^{**}$ , respectively. From now on, given  $a \in A^{**}$ ,  $z \in X^{**}$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $y \in Y^{**}$ , we shall frequently write  $az = \pi_1^{***}(a, z)$ ,  $za = \pi_2^{***}(z, a)$ , and  $b \circ y = \pi^{***}(b, y)$ , respectively. Let  $(a_\lambda)$ , and  $(x_\mu)$  be nets in  $A$  and  $X$ , such that  $a_\lambda \rightarrow a \in A^{**}$ , and  $x_\mu \rightarrow x \in X^{**}$ , in the respective weak\* topologies. It follows from the above properties that

$$\pi_1^{***}(a, x) = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} a_\lambda x_\mu, \text{ and } \pi_2^{***}(x, a) = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} x_\mu a_\lambda, \quad (2.5)$$

in the weak\* topology of  $X^{**}$ . It follows from above properties that  $X^{**}$  is a Banach  $A^{**}$ -bimodule for the above operations (cf. [12, Theorem 2.6.15(iii)]).

In the Jordan setting, we do not know of any reference asserting that the bidual  $Y^{**}$  of a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module  $Y$  over a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ -module, this is for the moment an open problem. However, in the particular case of  $Y = \mathcal{J}^*$ , it is quite easy and natural to check that  $\mathcal{J}^{***}$  is a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ -module with respect to the product defined in (2.4). That is, given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^{***}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ , let us define  $\varphi \circ a = a \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{J}^{***}$  as the functional determined by  $(\varphi \circ a)(y) := \varphi(a \circ y)$  ( $y \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ ).

**2.2. Jordan derivations.** Let  $X$  be a Jordan-Banach module over a Jordan Banach algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ . A *Jordan derivation* from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $X$  is a linear map  $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  satisfying:

$$D(a \circ b) = D(a) \circ b + a \circ D(b).$$

Following standard notation, given  $x \in X$  and  $a \in \mathcal{J}$ , the symbols  $L(a)$  and  $L(x)$  will denote the mappings  $L(a) : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $x \mapsto L(a)(x) = a \circ x$  and  $L(x) : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ ,  $a \mapsto L(x)(a) = a \circ x$ . By a little abuse of notation, we also denote by  $L(a)$  the operator on  $\mathcal{J}$  defined by  $L(a)(b) = a \circ b$ . Examples of Jordan derivations can be given as follows: if we fix  $a \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $x \in X$ , the mapping

$$[L(x), L(a)] = L(x)L(a) - L(a)L(x) : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X, \quad b \mapsto [L(x), L(a)](b),$$

is a Jordan derivation. A derivation  $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  that can be written in the form  $D = \sum_{i=1}^m (L(x_i)L(a_i) - L(a_i)L(x_i))$ , ( $x_i \in X, a_i \in \mathcal{J}$ ) is called *inner*.

In 1996, B.E. Johnson proved that every bounded Jordan derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to a Banach  $A$ -bimodule is a derivation (cf. [22]). B. Russo and



the second author of this paper showed that every Jordan derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to a Banach  $A$ -bimodule or to a Jordan Banach  $A$ -module is continuous (cf. [26, Corollary 17]). Actually every Jordan derivation from a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}$  or into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is continuous (cf. [19, Corollary 2.3] and also [26, Corollary 10]).

Contrary to Sakai's theorem, which affirms that every derivation on a von Neumann algebra is inner [29, Theorem 4.1.6], there exist examples of  $JBW^*$ -algebras admitting non-inner derivations (cf. [30, Theorem 3.5 and Example 3.7]). Following [20], a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  is said to be *Jordan weakly amenable*, if every (bounded) derivation from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is inner. Another difference between  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -algebras is that Jordan algebras do not exhibit a good behaviour concerning Jordan weak amenability; for example  $L(H)$  and  $K(H)$  are not Jordan weakly amenable when  $H$  is an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space (cf. [20, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]). Jordan weak amenability is deeply connected with the more general notion of ternary weak amenability (see [20]). More interesting results on ternary weak amenability were recently developed by R. Pluta and B. Russo in [27].

Let us assume that  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $X$  are unital. Following [6], a linear mapping  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  will be called a *generalised Jordan derivation* whenever

$$G(a \circ b) = G(a) \circ b + a \circ G(b) - U_{a,b}G(1),$$

for every  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , where  $U_{a,b}(x) := (a \circ x) \circ b + (b \circ x) \circ a - (a \circ b) \circ x$  ( $x \in \mathcal{J}$  or  $x \in X$ ). Following standard notation, given an element  $a$  in a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , the mapping  $U_{a,a}$  is usually denoted by  $U_a$ . Every generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  with  $G(1) = 0$  is a Jordan derivation. Every Jordan derivation from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $X$  is a generalized derivation. For each  $x \in X$ , the mapping  $L(x) : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  is a generalized derivation, and, as in the associative setting, there are examples of generalized derivations which are not derivations (cf. [6, comments after Lemma 3]). In the not necessarily unital case, a linear mapping  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  will be called a *generalized Jordan derivation* if there exists  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying

$$G(a \circ b) = G(a) \circ b + a \circ G(b) - U_{a,b}(\xi), \quad (2.6)$$

for every  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  (this definition was introduced in [1, §4] and in [7, §3]).

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a  $JB^*$ -algebra and let  $Y$  denote  $\mathcal{J}$  or  $\mathcal{J}^*$ , regarded as a Jordan Banach  $\mathcal{J}$ -module. Suppose  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Y$  is a generalized derivation, and let  $\xi \in Y^{**}$  denote the element for which (2.6) holds. As we have commented before,  $L(\xi) : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Y^{**}$  is a generalized Jordan derivation. If we regard  $G$  as a linear mapping from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $Y^{**}$ , it is not hard to check that  $\tilde{G} = G - L(\xi) : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow Y^{**}$  is a Jordan derivation. Corollary 2.3 in [19] implies that  $\tilde{G}$  is continuous. If, in the setting of  $C^*$ -algebras, we replace [19, Corollary 2.3] with [26, Corollary 17], then the above arguments remain valid and yield:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Every generalized Jordan derivation from a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  into itself or into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is continuous. Furthermore, every generalized derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule is continuous.  $\square$*

A consequence of the result established by T. Ho, B. Russo and the second author of this note in [20, Proposition 2.1] is that for every Jordan derivation  $D$  from a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  into its dual, its bitranspose  $D^{**} : \mathcal{J}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{***}$  is a Jordan derivation and  $D^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$ . A similar technique gives:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a JB-algebra or a JB\*-algebra, and suppose that  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a generalized Jordan derivation (respectively, a Jordan derivation). Then  $G^{**} : \mathcal{J}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{***}$  is a weak\*-continuous generalized Jordan derivation (respectively, Jordan derivation) satisfying  $G^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a JB-algebra. It is known that  $\widehat{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J} + i\mathcal{J}$  can be equipped with a structure of JB\*-algebra such that  $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{sa} = \mathcal{J}$  (cf. [9, page 174]). It is easy to check that, given a generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ , the mapping  $\widehat{G} : \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^*$ ,  $\widehat{G}(a + ib) = G(a) + iG(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ) defines a generalized Jordan derivation on  $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ , where, as usually, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , we regard  $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as defined by  $\varphi(a + ib) = \varphi(a) + i\varphi(b)$ . We may therefore assume that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a JB\*-algebra.

By Proposition 2.1, every generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is automatically continuous. Furthermore, since every bounded operator from a JB\*-algebra into the dual of another JB\*-algebra is weakly compact (cf. [10, Corollary 3]), we deduce that  $G$  is weakly compact. It is well known that this is equivalent to  $G^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$ .

Since  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a generalized Jordan derivation, there exists  $\xi \in \mathcal{J}^{***}$  satisfying

$$G(x \circ y) = G(x) \circ y + x \circ G(y) - U_{x,y}(\xi),$$

for every  $x, y$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be elements in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . By Goldstine's Theorem, we can find two (bounded) nets  $(a_\lambda)$  and  $(b_\mu)$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $(a_\lambda) \rightarrow a$  and  $(b_\mu) \rightarrow b$  in the weak\*-topology of  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . If we fix an element  $c$  in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ , and we take a net  $(\phi_\lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{J}^{***}$ , converging to some  $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^{***}$  in the  $\sigma(\mathcal{J}^{***}, \mathcal{J}^{**})$ -topology, the net  $(\phi_\lambda \circ c)$  converges in the  $\sigma(\mathcal{J}^{***}, \mathcal{J}^{**})$ -topology to  $\phi \circ c$ . The weak\*-continuity of the mapping  $G^{**}$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{**}(a \circ c) &= \text{w}^* \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} G(a_\lambda \circ c) = \text{w}^* \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} G(a_\lambda) \circ c + a_\lambda \circ G(c) - U_{a_\lambda, c}(\xi) \\ &= G^{**}(a) \circ c + a \circ G(c) - U_{a, c}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}$ . This shows that  $G^{**}(a \circ c) = G^{**}(a) \circ c + a \circ G(c) - U_{a, c}(\xi)$ , for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G^{**}(a \circ b) &= \text{w}^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} G^{**}(a \circ b_\mu) = \text{w}^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} G^{**}(a) \circ b_\mu + a \circ G(b_\mu) - U_{a, b_\mu}(\xi) \\ &= G^{**}(a) \circ b + a \circ G^{**}(b) - U_{a, b}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired conclusion.  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* Let  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  be a generalized Jordan derivation, where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a JB\*-algebra. Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{J}^{***}$  satisfy

$$G(a \circ b) = G(a) \circ b + a \circ G(b) - U_{a, b}(\xi),$$



for every  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ . The previous Proposition 2.2 assures that  $G^{**} : \mathcal{J}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{***}$  is a weak\*-continuous generalized Jordan derivation,  $G^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$ , and

$$G^{**}(a \circ b) = G^{**}(a) \circ b + a \circ G^{**}(b) - U_{a,b}(\xi),$$

for every  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . In particular,  $G^{**}(1) = \xi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , and  $G$  is a Jordan derivation if and only if  $G^{**}(1) = 0$ .

### 3. ORTHOGONAL FORMS

In the non-associative setting of JB\*-algebras, a Jordan version of Goldstein's theorem remains unexplored. In this section we shall study the structure of the orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ . In this non-associative setting, the lacking of a Jordan version of Goldstein's theorem makes, a priori, unclear whether a form on  $\mathcal{J}$  which is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$  is orthogonal on the whole of  $\mathcal{J}$ . We shall prove that symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  are in one to one correspondence with the *purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations* from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  (see Theorem 3.6), while anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$  are in one to one correspondence with the *Lie Jordan derivations* from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  (see Theorem 3.13). These results, together with the existence of JB\*-algebras  $\mathcal{J}$  which are not Jordan weakly amenable (i.e., they admit Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  which are not inner), show that a Jordan version of Goldstein's theorem for anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra is a hopeless task (see Remark 3.15).

We introduce next the exact definitions. In a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  we consider the following triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} = (a \circ b^*) \circ c + (c \circ b^*) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b^*.$$

When equipped with this triple product and its norm, every JB\*-algebra becomes an element in the class of JB\*-triples introduced by W. Kaup in [23]. The precise definition of JB\*-triples reads as follows: A *JB\*-triple* is a complex Banach space  $E$  equipped with a continuous triple product  $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\} : E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$  which is linear and symmetric in the outer variables, conjugate linear in the middle one and satisfies the following conditions:

(JB\*-1) (Jordan identity) for  $a, b, x, y, z$  in  $E$ ,

$$\{a, b, \{x, y, z\}\} = \{\{a, b, x\}, y, z\} - \{x, \{b, a, y\}, z\} + \{x, y, \{a, b, z\}\};$$

(JB\*-2)  $L(a, a) : E \rightarrow E$  is an hermitian (linear) operator with non-negative spectrum, where  $L(a, b)(x) = \{a, b, x\}$  with  $a, b, x \in E$ ;

(JB\*-3)  $\|\{x, x, x\}\| = \|x\|^3$  for all  $x \in E$ .

We refer to the monographs [18], [9], and [8] for the basic background on JB\*-algebras and JB\*-triples.

A JBW\*-triple is a JB\*-triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique isometric predual [3]). It is known that the triple product of a JBW\*-triple is separately weak\*-continuous [3]. A result due to S. Dineen establishes that the second dual of a JB\*-triple  $E$  is a JBW\*-triple with a product extending that of  $E$  (compare [9, Corollary 3.3.5]).

An element  $e$  in a JB\*-triple  $E$  is said to be a *tripotent* if  $\{e, e, e\} = e$ . Each tripotent  $e$  in  $E$  gives rise to the so-called *Peirce decomposition* of  $E$  associated to  $e$ , that is,

$$E = E_2(e) \oplus E_1(e) \oplus E_0(e),$$

where for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $E_i(e)$  is the  $\frac{i}{2}$  eigenspace of  $L(e, e)$ . The Peirce decomposition satisfies certain rules known as *Peirce arithmetic*:

$$\{E_i(e), E_j(e), E_k(e)\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(e),$$

if  $i - j + k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and is zero otherwise. In addition,

$$\{E_2(e), E_0(e), E\} = \{E_0(e), E_2(e), E\} = 0.$$

The corresponding *Peirce projections* are denoted by  $P_i(e) : E \rightarrow E_i(e)$ , ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ). The Peirce space  $E_2(e)$  is a JB\*-algebra with product  $x \bullet_e y := \{x, e, y\}$  and involution  $x^{\sharp_e} := \{e, x, e\}$ .

For each element  $x$  in a JB\*-triple  $E$ , we shall denote  $x^{[1]} := x$ ,  $x^{[3]} := \{x, x, x\}$ , and  $x^{[2n+1]} := \{x, x, x^{[2n-1]}\}$ , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The symbol  $E_x$  will stand for the JB\*-subtriple generated by the element  $x$ . It is known that  $E_x$  is JB\*-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to  $C_0(\Omega)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  contained in  $(0, \|x\|]$ , such that  $\Omega \cup \{0\}$  is compact, where  $C_0(\Omega)$  denotes the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at 0. It is also known that we can find a triple isomorphism  $\Psi$  from  $E_x$  onto  $C_0(\Omega)$ , such that  $\Psi(x)(t) = t$  ( $t \in \Omega$ ) (cf. Corollary 1.15 in [23]).

Therefore, for each  $x \in E$ , there exists a unique element  $y \in E_x$  satisfying that  $\{y, y, y\} = x$ . The element  $y$ , denoted by  $x^{[\frac{1}{3}]}$ , is termed the *cubic root* of  $x$ . We can inductively define,  $x^{[\frac{1}{3^n}]} = \left(x^{[\frac{1}{3^{n-1}}]}\right)^{[\frac{1}{3}]}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The sequence  $(x^{[\frac{1}{3^n}]})$  converges in the weak\*-topology of  $E^{**}$  to a tripotent denoted by  $r(x)$  and called the *range tripotent* of  $x$ . The element  $r(x)$  is the smallest tripotent  $e \in E^{**}$  such that  $x$  is positive in the JBW\*-algebra  $E_2^{**}(e)$  (compare [13], Lemma 3.3).

Elements  $a, b$  in a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , or more generally, in a JB\*-triple  $E$ , are said to be *orthogonal* (denoted by  $a \perp b$ ) when  $L(a, b) = 0$ , that is, the triple product  $\{a, b, c\}$  vanishes for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}$  or in  $E$  ([5]). An application of [5, Lemma 1] assures that  $a \perp b$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, a, b\} = 0; & & a \perp r(b); & & r(a) \perp r(b); \\ E_2^{**}(r(a)) \perp E_2^{**}(r(b)); & & r(a) \in E_0^{**}(r(b)); & & a \in E_0^{**}(r(b)); \\ b \in E_0^{**}(r(a)); & & E_a \perp E_b & & \{b, b, a\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The above equivalences imply, in particular, that the relation of being orthogonal is a “local concept”, more precisely,  $a \perp b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  (respectively in  $E$ ) if and only if  $a \perp b$  in a JB\*-subalgebra (respectively, JB\*-subtriple)  $\mathcal{K}$  containing  $a$  and  $b$ .

Suppose  $a \perp b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , applying the above arguments we can always assume that  $\mathcal{J}$  is unital. In this case,  $a \circ b^* = \{a, b, 1\} = 0$  and  $(a \circ a^*) \circ b - (a \circ b) \circ a^* =$

$(a \circ a^*) \circ b + (b \circ a^*) \circ a - (a \circ b) \circ a^* = 0$ , therefore  $a \circ b^* = 0$  and  $(a \circ a^*) \circ b = (a \circ b) \circ a^*$ . Actually the last two identities also imply that  $a \perp b$ . It follows that

$$a \perp b \Leftrightarrow a \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } (a \circ a^*) \circ b = (a \circ b) \circ a^*. \quad (3.2)$$

So, if  $a \perp b$  and  $c$  is another element in  $\mathcal{J}$ , we deduce, via Jordan identity, that

$$\begin{aligned} \{U_a(c), U_a(c), b\} &= \{\{a, c^*, a\}, \{a, c^*, a\}, b\} = -\{c^*, a, \{\{a, c^*, a\}, a, b\}\} \\ &+ \{\{c^*, a, \{a, c^*, a\}\}, a, b\} + \{\{a, c^*, a\}, a, \{c^*, a, b\}\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $U_a(c) \perp b$ .

We shall also make use of the following fact

$$a \perp b \text{ in } \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow (c \circ b^*) \circ a = (a \circ c) \circ b^*, \quad (3.3)$$

for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}$ , this means that  $a$  and  $b^*$  operator commute in  $\mathcal{J}$  (cf. [5, page 225]). For the proof, we observe that, since  $a \perp b$ ,  $a \circ b^* = 0$ , and the involution preserves triple products, we have  $0 = \{a, b, c\} = (a \circ b^*) \circ c + (c \circ b^*) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b^*$ , which proves the desired equality. A direct application of (3.3) and (3.2) shows that

$$a \perp b \text{ in } \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow (a^2) \circ b^* = (a \circ b^*) \circ a = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

When a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is regarded with its structure of  $JB^*$ -algebra, elements  $a, b$  in  $A$  are orthogonal in the associative sense if and only if they are orthogonal in the Jordan sense.

**Definition 3.1.** A form  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be orthogonal when  $V(a, b^*) = 0$  for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $a \perp b$ . If  $V(a, b) = 0$  only for elements  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$  with  $a \perp b$ , we shall say that  $V$  is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ .

**3.1. Purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations and symmetric orthogonal forms.** We begin this subsection by dealing with symmetric orthogonal forms on a  $C^*$ -algebra, a setting in which these forms have been already studied. Let  $V : A \times A \rightarrow X$  be a symmetric, orthogonal form on a  $C^*$ -algebra. By Goldstein's theorem (cf. Theorem [15]), there exists a unique functional  $\phi_V \in A^*$  satisfying that  $V(a, b) = \phi_V(a \circ b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The statement also follows from the studies of orthogonally additive  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials on  $C^*$ -algebras developed in [25].

Given an element  $a$  in the self adjoint part  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$  of a  $JBW^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , there exists a smallest projection  $r(a)$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  with the property that  $r(a) \circ a = a$ . We call  $r(a)$  the range projection of  $a$ , and it is further known that  $r(a)$  belongs  $JBW^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{J}$  generated by  $a$ . It is easy to check that  $r(a)$  coincides with the range tripotent of  $a$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  when the latter is seen as a  $JBW^*$ -triple, so, our notation is consistent with the previous definitions.

We explore now the symmetric orthogonal forms on a  $JB^*$ -algebra.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric form on a  $JB^*$ -algebra which is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ . Then there exists a unique  $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*$  satisfying*

$$V(a, b) = \phi(a \circ b),$$

for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .

*Proof.* We have already commented that the (unique) third Arens transpose  $V^{***} : \mathcal{J}^{**} \times \mathcal{J}^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is separately weak\*-continuous (cf. Subsection 2.1). Let  $a$  be a self-adjoint element in  $\mathcal{J}$ . It is known that the JB\*-subalgebra  $\mathcal{J}_a$  generated by  $a$  is JB\*-isometrically isomorphic to a commutative C\*-algebra (cf. [18, §3]). Since the restricted mapping  $V|_{\mathcal{J}_a \times \mathcal{J}_a} : \mathcal{J}_a \times \mathcal{J}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a symmetric orthogonal form, there exists a functional  $\phi_a \in (\mathcal{J}_a)^*$  satisfying that

$$V(c, d) = \phi_a(c \circ d),$$

for every  $c, d \in \mathcal{J}_a$  (cf. Theorem 1.1). It follows from the weak\*-density of  $\mathcal{J}_a$  in  $(\mathcal{J}_a)^{**}$  together with the separate weak\*-continuity of  $V^{***}$ , and the weak\*-continuity of  $\phi_a$ , that

$$V^{***}(c, d) = \phi_a(c \circ d),$$

for every  $c, d \in (\mathcal{J}_a)^{**}$ . Taking  $c = a$  and  $d = r(a)$  the range projection of  $a$  we get

$$V(a, a) = \phi_a(a \circ a) = \phi_a(a^2 \circ r(a)) = V^{***}(a^2, r(a)) = V^{***}(r(a), a^2), \quad (3.5)$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$ .

We claim that

$$V^{***}(a, r(a)) = V^{***}(r(a), a) = V^{***}(a, 1) = V^{***}(1, a), \quad (3.6)$$

for every positive  $a \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$ . We may assume that  $\|a\| = 1$ . We actually know that there is a set  $L \subset [0, 1]$  with  $L \cup \{0\}$  compact such that  $\mathcal{J}_a$  is isomorphic to the C\*-algebra  $C_0(L)$  of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $L$  vanishing at 0, and under this isometric identification the element  $a$  is identified with the function  $t \mapsto t$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $p_\varepsilon = \chi_{[\varepsilon, 1]}$  denote the projection in  $(\mathcal{J}_a)^{**}$ , which coincides with the characteristic function of the set  $[\varepsilon, 1] \cap L$ . Clearly,  $p_\varepsilon \leq r(a)$  in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . Suppose we have a function  $g \in \mathcal{J}_a \equiv C_0(L)$  satisfying  $p_\varepsilon \circ g = g \geq 0$ , that is, the cozero set of  $g$  is inside the interval  $[\varepsilon, 1]$ .

Take a sequence  $(h_n) \subset C_0(L)$  defined by

$$h_n(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \in L \cap [\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2n}, 1]; \\ \text{affine}, & \text{if } t \in L \cap [\varepsilon - \frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2n}]; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \in L \cap [0, \varepsilon - \frac{1}{n}] \end{cases}$$

for  $n$  large enough ( $n \geq m_0$ ). The sequence  $(h_n)$  converges to  $p_\varepsilon$  in the weak\*-topology of  $(\mathcal{J}_a)^{**}$  and  $1 - h_n \perp p_\varepsilon, g$ . So,  $\mathcal{J} \ni U_{1-h_n}(c) \perp g$  for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $n \geq m_0$ . Since  $1 \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ , we can find, via Goldstine's theorem, a net  $(c_\gamma) \subset \mathcal{J}$  converging to 1 in the weak\* topology of  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ . By hypothesis,  $0 = V(U_{1-h_n}(c_\gamma), g)$ , for every  $\lambda, n \geq m_0$ . Taking weak\* limits in  $\gamma$  and in  $n$ , it follows from the separate weak\* continuity of  $V^{***}$ , that

$$V^{***}(1 - p_\varepsilon, g) = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

for every  $p_\varepsilon$  and  $g$  as above. If we take

$$g_\varepsilon(t) := \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } t \in L \cap [2\varepsilon, 1]; \\ \text{affine}, & \text{if } t \in L \cap [\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon]; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \in L \cap [0, \varepsilon], \end{cases}$$

then  $0 \leq g_\varepsilon \leq p_\eta$ , for every  $\eta \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|g_\varepsilon - a\| = 0$  and  $\text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} p_\eta = r(a)$ . Combining these facts with (3.7) and the separate  $\text{weak}^*$ -continuity of  $V^{***}$ , we get  $V^{***}(1 - r(a), a) = 0$ , which proves (3.6).

The identities in (3.5) and (3.6) show that  $V(a, a) = V^{***}(1, a^2)$ , for every  $a \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$ . Let us define  $\phi = V^{***}(1, \cdot) \in A^*$ . A polarization formula, and  $V$  being symmetric imply that  $V(a, b) = V^{***}(1, a \circ b) = \phi(a \circ b)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$ , and by bilinearity  $V(a, b) = \phi(a \circ b)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

The previous proposition is a generalization of Goldstein's theorem for symmetric orthogonal forms. It can be also regarded as a characterization of orthogonally additive 2-homogeneous polynomials on a  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ . More concretely, according to the notation in [25], a 2-homogeneous polynomial  $P : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is orthogonally additive on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$  (i.e.,  $P(a + b) = P(a) + P(b)$  for every  $a \perp b$  in  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ ) if, and only if, there exists a unique  $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*$  satisfying  $P(a) = \phi(a^2)$ , for every  $a \in \mathcal{J}$ . This characterization constitutes an extension of [25, Theorem 2.8] to the setting of  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebras.

*Remark 3.3.* Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric form on a  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebra. The above Proposition 3.2 implies that  $V$  is orthogonal if and only if it is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ .

Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric orthogonal form on a  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebra, and let  $\phi_V$  be the unique functional in  $\mathcal{J}^*$  given by Proposition 3.2. If we define  $G_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ , the operator given by  $G_V(a) = V(a, \cdot)$ , we can conclude that  $G_V(a) = \phi_V \circ a = G_{\phi_V}(a)$ , and hence  $G_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a generalized Jordan derivation and  $V(a, b) = G_V(a)(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ). Moreover, for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $G_V(a)(b) = V(a, b) = V(b, a) = G_V(b)(a)$ . This fact motivates the following definition:

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebra. A *purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation* from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is a generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  satisfying  $G(a)(b) = G(b)(a)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .

We have already seen that every symmetric orthogonal form  $V$  on a  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  determines a purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation  $G_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ . To explore the reciprocal implication we shall prove that every generalized derivation from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  defines an orthogonal form on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  be a generalized Jordan derivation, where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a  $\text{JB}^*$ -algebra. Then the form  $V_G : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_G(a, b) = G(a)(b)$  is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  be a generalized Jordan derivation. By Proposition 2.1,  $G$  is continuous, and by Proposition 2.2,  $G^{**} : \mathcal{J}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a generalized Jordan derivation too. Let  $\xi$  denote  $G^{**}(1)$ .

Let  $p$  be a projection in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  and let  $b$  be any element in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  such that  $p \perp b$ . Since

$$G^{**}(p) = G^{**}(p \circ p) = 2p \circ G^{**}(p) + U_p(\xi),$$

we deduce that

$$G^{**}(p)(b^*) = 2G^{**}(p)(p \circ b^*) + \xi(U_p(b^*)) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let  $a$  be a symmetric element in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ , and let  $b$  be any element in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  satisfying  $a \perp b$ . By (3.1), the JBW\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}_a^{**}$  generated by  $a$  is orthogonal to  $b$ , that is,  $c \perp b$  for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}_a^{**}$ . It is well known that  $a$  can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{J}_a^{**}$  (cf. [18, Proposition 4.2.3]). It follows from (3.8), the continuity of  $G^{**}$ , and the previous comments that

$$V_{G^{**}}(a, b^*) = G^{**}(a)(b^*) = 0,$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}^{**}$  and every  $b \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  with  $a \perp b$ . □

Our next result follows now as a consequence of Proposition 3.2, Remark 3.3, and Proposition 3.5.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a JB\*-algebra. Let  $\mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J})$  denote the Banach space of all symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$ , and let  $\mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  the Banach space of all purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . For each  $V \in \mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J})$  define  $G_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  in  $\mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  given by  $G_V(a)(b) = V(a, b)$ , and for each  $G \in \mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  we set  $V_G : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_G(a, b) := G(a)(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ). Then the mappings*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*), & \mathcal{PJGDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*) &\rightarrow \mathcal{OF}_s(\mathcal{J}), \\ V &\mapsto G_V, & G &\mapsto V_G, \end{aligned}$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other. □

Actually, Proposition 3.2 gives a bit more:

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a JB\*-algebra. Then, for every purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  there exists a unique  $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , such that  $G = G_\phi$ , that is,  $G(a) = \phi \circ a$  ( $a \in \mathcal{J}$ ).*

**3.2. Derivations and anti-symmetric orthogonal forms.** We focus now our study on the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra. We motivate our study with the case of a C\*-algebra  $A$ . By Goldstein’s theorem every anti-symmetric orthogonal form  $V$  on  $A$  writes in the form  $V(a, b) = \psi([a, b]) = \psi(ab - ba)$  ( $a, b \in A$ ), where  $\psi \in A^*$  (cf. Theorem 1.1). Unfortunately,  $\psi$  is not uniquely determined by  $V$  (see [15, Proposition 2.6 and comments prior to it]). Anyway, the operator  $D_V : A \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $D_V(a)(b) = V(a, b) = [\psi, a](b)$  defines a derivation from  $A$  into  $A^*$  and  $D_V(a)(b) = -D_V(b)(a)$  ( $a, b \in A$ ). On the other hand, if  $D : A \rightarrow A^*$  is a derivation, it follows from the weak amenability of  $A$  (cf. [16, Corollary 4.2]), that there exists  $\psi \in A^*$  satisfying  $D(a) = [a, \psi]$ . Therefore, the form  $V : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_D(a, b) = D(a)(b)$  is orthogonal and anti-symmetric. However, when  $A$  is replaced with a JB\*-algebra, the Lie product doesn’t make any sense. To avoid the gap, we shall consider Jordan derivations.

It seems natural to ask whether the class of anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  is empty or not. Here is an example: let  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathcal{J}$  and



$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \in \mathcal{J}^*$ , and define  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V(a, b) &:= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m [L(\phi_i), L(c_i)](a) \right) (b) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (\phi_i \circ (c_i \circ a) - c_i \circ (\phi_i \circ a)) \right) (b) = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i (b \circ (c_i \circ a) - (c_i \circ b) \circ a), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ . Clearly,  $V$  is an anti-symmetric form on  $\mathcal{J}$ . It follows from (3.3) that  $V(a, b^*) = 0$  for every  $a \perp b$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , that is,  $V$  is an orthogonal form on  $\mathcal{J}$ . Further, the inner Jordan derivation  $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ ,  $D = \sum_{i=1}^m (L(\phi_i)L(a_i) - L(a_i)L(\phi_i))$  satisfies  $V(a, b) = D(a)(b)$  for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .

We shall see now that, like in the case of  $C^*$ -algebras and in the previous example, Jordan derivations from a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  into its dual exhaust all the possibilities to produce an anti-symmetric orthogonal form on  $\mathcal{J}$ . We begin with an strengthened version of Proposition 3.5.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  be a generalized Jordan derivation, where  $\mathcal{J}$  is a  $JB^*$ -algebra. Then the form  $V_G : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_G(a, b) = G(a)(b)$  is orthogonal (on the whole  $\mathcal{J}$ ).*

*Proof.* We already know that every generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is continuous (cf. Proposition 2.1). By Proposition 2.2,  $G^{**} : \mathcal{J}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a generalized Jordan derivation too. Let  $\xi = G^{**}(1)$ .

Let  $e$  be a tripotent in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  and let  $b$  be any element in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  such that  $e \perp b$ . Since  $\{e, e, e\} = 2(e \circ e^*) \circ e - e^2 \circ e^* = e$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{**}(e) &= 2G^{**}((e \circ e^*) \circ e) - G^{**}(e^2 \circ e^*) \\ &= 2G^{**}(e \circ e^*) \circ e + 2(e \circ e^*) \circ G^{**}(e) - 2U_{e \circ e^*, e}(\xi) \\ &\quad - G^{**}(e^2) \circ e^* - e^2 \circ G^{**}(e^*) + U_{e^2, e^*}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{**}(e)(b^*) &= 2G^{**}(e \circ e^*)(b^* \circ e) + 2G^{**}(e)((e \circ e^*) \circ b^*) \\ &\quad - 2\xi((e \circ e^*) \circ (e \circ b^*) + ((e \circ e^*) \circ b^*) \circ e - ((e \circ e^*) \circ e) \circ b^*) \\ &\quad - G^{**}(e^2)(e^* \circ b^*) - G^{**}(e^*)(e^2 \circ b^*) + \xi(e^2 \circ (e^* \circ b^*) + (e^2 \circ b^*) \circ e^* - (e^2 \circ e^*) \circ b^*) \\ &= \text{(by (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4))} = 2G^{**}(e)((e \circ e^*) \circ b^*) - G^{**}(e^2)(e^* \circ b^*) \\ &\quad + \xi(e^2 \circ (e^* \circ b^*) - (e^2 \circ e^*) \circ b^*) \\ &= 2G^{**}(e)((e \circ e^*) \circ b^*) - 2(e \circ G^{**}(e))(e^* \circ b^*) + U_e(\xi)(e^* \circ b^*) \\ &\quad + \xi(e^2 \circ (e^* \circ b^*) - (e^2 \circ e^*) \circ b^*) \\ &= 2G^{**}(e)((e \circ e^*) \circ b^* - (b^* \circ e^*) \circ e) + \xi(2e \circ (e \circ (e^* \circ b^*)) - e^2 \circ (e^* \circ b^*)) \\ &\quad + \xi(e^2 \circ (e^* \circ b^*) - (e^2 \circ e^*) \circ b^*) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\text{by (3.3)}) = \xi\left(2e \circ (e \circ (e^* \circ b^*)) - (e^2 \circ e^*) \circ b^*\right) \\
 &= ((3.3) \text{ applied twice}) = \xi\left(2b^* \circ (e \circ (e^* \circ e)) - b^* \circ (e^2 \circ e^*)\right) \\
 &= \xi\left(b^* \circ \left(2(e \circ (e^* \circ e)) - (e^2 \circ e^*)\right)\right) = \xi\left(b^* \circ \{e, e, e\}\right) = \xi\left(b^* \circ e\right) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we applied (3.2).

Let us take  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ , with  $a \perp b$ . The characterizations given in (3.1) imply that the JBW\*-triple  $\mathcal{J}_a^{**}$  generated by  $a$  is orthogonal to  $b$ , that is,  $c \perp b$  for every  $c \in \mathcal{J}_a^{**}$ . Lemma 3.11 in [21] guarantees that the element  $a$  can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{J}_a^{**}$ . Finally, the fact proved in (3.10), the continuity of  $G^{**}$ , and the previous comments imply that  $V_{G^{**}}(a, b^*) = G^{**}(a)(b^*) = 0$ .  $\square$

We shall prove next that every anti-symmetric orthogonal form is given by a Jordan derivation.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an anti-symmetric form on a JB\*-algebra which is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ . Then the mapping  $D_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$ ,  $D_V(a)(b) = V(a, b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ) is a Jordan derivation.*

Our strategy will follow some of the arguments given by U. Haagerup and N.J. Laustsen in [17, §3], the Jordan setting will require some simple adaptations and particularizations. The proof will be divided into several lemmas. The next lemma was established in [17, Lemma 3.3] for associative Banach algebras, however the proof, which is left to the reader, is also valid for JB\*-algebras.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a form on a JB\*-algebra. Suppose that  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  are infinitely differentiable functions at a point  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the map  $t \mapsto V(f(t), g(t))$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , is infinitely differentiable at  $t_0$  and its  $n$ 'th derivative is given by*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} V(f^{(k)}(t_0), g^{(n-k)}(t_0)).$$

$\square$

The next lemma is also due to Haagerup and Laustsen, who established it for associative Banach algebras in [17, Lemma 3.4]. The proof given in the just quoted paper remains valid in the Jordan setting, the details are included here for completeness reasons.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Jordan Banach algebra, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an additive subgroup of  $\mathcal{J}$  whose linear span coincides with  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an anti-symmetric form satisfying  $V(a^2, a) = 0$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then the bounded linear operator  $D_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  given by  $D_V(a)(b) = V(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$  is a Jordan derivation.*

*Proof.* Let us take  $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows from our hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_V(a^2)(b) - 2\left(a \circ D_V(a)\right)(b) &= D_V(a^2)(b) - 2D_V(a)(a \circ b) \\
 &= V(a^2, b) + 2V(a \circ b, a) = V(a^2, b) - 2V(a, a \circ b)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{V((a+b)^2, a+b) - V((a-b)^2, a-b) - 2V(b^2, b)}{2} = 0.$$

This implies that  $D_V(a^2)(b) = 2(a \circ D_V(a))(b)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows from the bilinearity and continuity of  $V$ , and the norm density of the linear span of  $\mathcal{U}$  that  $D_V(a^2) = 2a \circ D_V(a)$ , for every  $a \in \mathcal{J}$ , witnessing that  $D_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a Jordan derivation.  $\square$

We deal now with the proof of Proposition 3.9.

*Proof of Proposition 3.9.* For each  $a \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$ , let  $B$  denote the JB\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{J}$  generated by  $a$ . It is known that  $B$  is isometrically isomorphic to a commutative C\*-algebra (see [18, Theorem 3.2.2 and 3.2.3]). Clearly,  $V|_{B \times B} : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an anti-symmetric form which is orthogonal on  $B_{sa}$  (and hence orthogonal on  $B$ ). Since  $B$  is a commutative unital C\*-algebra, an application of Goldstein's theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1) shows that  $V(x, y) = 0$ , for every  $x, y \in B$ . In particular,  $V(a^2, a) = 0$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{J}_{sa}$ . Lemma 3.11 guarantees that  $D_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  is a Jordan derivation. Clearly,  $D_V(a)(b) = -D_V(b)(a)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a JB\*-algebra. A Jordan derivation  $D$  from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is said to be a *Lie Jordan derivation* if  $D(a)(b) = -D(b)(a)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Propositions 3.8 and 3.9 give:

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a JB\*-algebra. Let  $\mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J})$  denote the Banach space of all anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$ , and let  $\mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  the Banach space of all Lie Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$ . For each  $V \in \mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J})$  we define  $D_V : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  in  $\mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  given by  $D_V(a)(b) = V(a, b)$ , and for each  $D \in \mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$  we set  $V_D : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_D(a, b) := D(a)(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ). Then the mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*), & \mathcal{LieJDer}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*) &\rightarrow \mathcal{OF}_{as}(\mathcal{J}), \\ V &\mapsto D_V, & D &\mapsto V_D, \end{aligned}$$

define two isometric linear bijections and are inverses of each other.  $\square$

Our final result subsumes the main conclusions of the last subsections.

**Corollary 3.14.** Let  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a form on a JB\*-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $V$  is orthogonal;
- (b)  $V$  is orthogonal on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ ;
- (c) There exist a (unique) purely Jordan generalized Jordan derivation  $G : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  and a (unique) Lie Jordan derivation  $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  such that  $V(a, b) = G(a)(b) + D(a)(b)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ;
- (d) There exist a (unique) functional  $\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*$  and a (unique) Lie Jordan derivation  $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  such that  $V(a, b) = G_\phi(a)(b) + D(a)(b)$ , for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is clear. To see (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d), we recall that every form  $V : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  writes uniquely in the form  $V = V_s + V_{as}$ , where  $V_s, V_{as} : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  are a symmetric and an anti-symmetric form on  $\mathcal{J}$ , respectively. Furthermore,

since  $V_s(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(V(a, b) + V(b, a))$  and  $V_{as}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(V(a, b) - V(b, a))$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ ), we deduce that  $V$  is orthogonal (on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ ) if and only if both  $V_s$  and  $V_{as}$  are orthogonal (on  $\mathcal{J}_{sa}$ ). Therefore, the desired implications follow from Theorems 3.6 and 3.13. The same theorems also prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) and (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).  $\square$

We shall finish this note with an observation which helps us to understand the limitations of Goldstein theorem in the Jordan setting.

*Remark 3.15.* Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra, since the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $A$  and the Lie Jordan derivations from  $A$  into  $A^*$  are mutually determined, we can deduce, via Goldstein's theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1), that every Lie Jordan derivation  $D : A \rightarrow A^*$  is an inner derivation, i.e., a derivation given by a functional  $\psi \in A^*$ , that is,  $D(a) = \text{adj}_\psi(a) = \psi a - a\psi$  ( $a \in A$ ). We shall see that a finite number of functionals in the dual of a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  and a finite collection of elements in  $\mathcal{J}$ , i.e. the inner Jordan derivations, are not enough to determine the Lie Jordan derivations from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}^*$  nor the anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$ . Indeed, as we have commented before, there exist examples of JB\*-algebras which are not Jordan weakly amenable, that is the case of  $L(H)$  and  $K(H)$  when  $H$  is an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space (cf. [20, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]). Actually, let  $B = K(H)$  denote the ideal of all compact operators on  $H$ , and let  $\psi$  be an element in  $B^*$  whose trace is not zero. The proof of [20, Lemmas 4.1] shows that the derivation  $D = \text{adj}_\psi : B \rightarrow B^*$ ,  $a \mapsto \psi a - a\psi$  is not inner in the Jordan sense. Therefore the anti-symmetric form  $V(a, b) = D(a)(b) = (\psi a - a\psi)(b) = \psi[a, b]$  cannot be represented in the form given in (3.9). A similar example holds for  $B = B(H)$  (cf. [20, Lemma 4.3]).

*Remark 3.16.* We have already shown the existence of JBW\*-algebras which are not Jordan weakly amenable (cf. [20, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]). Thus, the problem of determining whether in a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , the inner Jordan derivations on  $\mathcal{J}$  are norm-dense in the set of all Jordan derivations on  $\mathcal{J}$ , takes on a new importance. If the problem has an affirmative answer for a JB\*-algebra  $\mathcal{J}$ , Theorem 3.13 allows us to approximate anti-symmetric orthogonal forms on  $\mathcal{J}$  by a finite collection of functionals in  $\mathcal{J}^*$  and a finite number of elements in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Related to this problem, we note that Pluta and Russo recently proved that if the set of inner triple derivations from a von Neumann algebra  $M$  into its predual is norm dense in the real vector space of all triple derivations, then  $M$  must be finite, and the reciprocal statement holds if  $M$  acts on a separable Hilbert space, or is a factor [27, Theorem 1]. It would be interesting to explore the connections between normal orthogonal forms and normal Jordan weak amenability or norm approximation by normal inner derivations on JBW\*-algebras.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this work through research group no RG-1435-020. Second author also partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, D.G.I. project no. MTM2011-23843. We would like to thank the Referee for his/her useful comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Alaminos, M. Bresar, J. Extremera, and A. Villena, *Maps preserving zero products*, *Studia Math.* **193** (2009), no. 2, 131–159.
2. R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951), 839–848.
3. T.J. Barton and R.M. Timoney, *Weak\*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications*, *Math. Scand.* **59** (1986), 177–191.
4. F. Bombal and I. Villanueva, *Multilinear operators on spaces of continuous functions*, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **26** (1998), 117–126.
5. M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, J. Martínez, and A.M. Peralta, *Orthogonality preservers in  $C^*$ -algebras,  $JB^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348** (2008), 220–233.
6. M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J.J. Garcés, and A.M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Comm. Algebra* **42** (2014), no. 3, 1276–1286.
7. M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, and A.M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, (4) **46** (2014), 709–724.
8. M. Cabrera García and A. Rodríguez Palacios, *Non-Associative Normed Algebras, Volume 1. The Vidav-Palmer and Gelfand-Naimark Theorems*, Part of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press 2014.
9. Ch.-H. Chu, *Jordan Structures in Geometry and Analysis*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 190, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012.
10. Ch.-H. Chu, B. Iochum, and G. Loupias, *Grothendieck's theorem and factorization of operators in Jordan triples*, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), 41–53.
11. A. Connes, *On the cohomology of operator algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **28** (1978), 248–253.
12. H.G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
13. C. M. Edwards and G. T. Rüttimann, *Compact tripotents in bi-dual  $JB^*$ -triples*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120** (1996), no. 1, 155–173.
14. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *Orthogonal forms and orthogonality preservers on real function algebras*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **62** (2014), no. 3, 275–296.
15. S. Goldstein, *Stationarity of operator algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **118** (1993), no. 2, 275–308.
16. U. Haagerup, *All nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras are amenable*, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), no. 2, 305–319.
17. U. Haagerup and N.J. Laustsen, *Weak amenability of  $C^*$ -algebras and a theorem of Goldstein*, in *Banach algebras '97* (Blaubeuren), 223–243, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
18. H. Hanche-Olsen and E. Størmer, *Jordan operator algebras, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics 21*, Pitman, London-Boston-Melbourne 1984.
19. S. Hejazian and A. Niknam, *Modules Annihilators and module derivations of  $JB^*$ -algebras*, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.* **27** (1996), 129–140.
20. T. Ho, A.M. Peralta, and B. Russo, *Ternary weakly amenable  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, *Q. J. Math.* **64** (2013), 1109–1139.
21. G. Horn, *Characterization of the predual and ideal structure of a  $JBW^*$ -triple*, *Math. Scand.* **61** (1987), no. 1, 117–133.
22. B.E. Johnson, *Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120** (1996), no. 3, 455–473.
23. W. Kaup, *A Riemann Mapping Theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces*, *Math. Z.* **183** (1983), 503–529.
24. J. Li and Zh. Pan, *Annihilator-preserving maps, multipliers, and derivations*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **423** (2010), 5–13.
25. C. Palazuelos, A.M. Peralta, and I. Villanueva, *Orthogonally Additive Polynomials on  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford.* (3) **59** (2008), 363–374.

26. A. M. Peralta and B. Russo, *Automatic continuity of triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples*, Journal of Algebra **399** (2014), 960–977.
27. R. Pluta, B. Russo, *Triple derivations on von Neumann algebras*, to appear in Studia Math. arXiv:1309.3526v2.
28. J.R. Ringrose, *Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 432–438.
29. S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, in: Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 60. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg. 1971.
30. H. Upmeyer, *Derivations of Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Scand. **46** (1980), 251–264.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O.BOX 2455-5, RIYADH-11451, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA.

*E-mail address:* [fjamjoom@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:fjamjoom@ksu.edu.sa); [asiddiqui@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:asiddiqui@ksu.edu.sa)

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS 18071, GRANADA, SPAIN.

*Current address:* Visiting Professor at Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O.Box 2455-5, Riyadh-11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

*E-mail address:* [aperalta@ugr.es](mailto:aperalta@ugr.es)