



Ann. Funct. Anal. 4 (2013), no. 1, 61–63

ANNALS OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic)

URL: [www.emis.de/journals/AFA/](http://www.emis.de/journals/AFA/)

## A CHARACTERISATION OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS THROUGH POSITIVITY OF FUNCTIONALS

MARCEL DE JEU<sup>1\*</sup> AND JUN TOMIYAMA<sup>2</sup>

Communicated by T. Loring

**ABSTRACT.** We show that a unital involutive Banach algebra, with identity of norm one and continuous involution, is a  $C^*$ -algebra, with the given involution and norm, if every continuous linear functional attaining its norm at the identity is positive.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an involutive Banach algebra, then a linear map  $\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called positive if  $\omega(a^*a) \geq 0$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If the involution is isometric, and  $\mathcal{A}$  has an identity 1 of norm one, then  $\omega$  is automatically continuous, and  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ , see [4, Lemma I.9.9]. More generally, cf. [3, Theorem 11.31], even if the involution is not continuous, a positive linear functional is always continuous; if the involution is continuous, and  $\|a^*\| \leq \beta\|a\|$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\|\omega\| \leq \sqrt{\beta}\omega(1)$ . For a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a converse: if  $\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, and  $\omega(1) = \|\omega\|$ , then  $\omega$  is positive (cf. [4, Lemma III.3.2]). Thus the positive continuous linear functionals on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra are precisely the continuous linear functionals attaining their norm at the identity. Consequently, any Hahn–Banach extension of a positive linear functional, defined on a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra, is automatically positive again. As is well known, this is a basic characteristic of  $C^*$ -algebras that makes the theory of states on such algebras a success.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital involutive Banach algebra with identity of norm one, but not a  $C^*$ -algebra, then this converse, as valid for unital  $C^*$ -algebras, need not hold: even when the involution is isometric, there can exist continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{A}$  that attain their norm at the identity, but which fail to be positive. For example, for  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , the algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on the open unit disk, supplied with the supremum norm and involution  $f^*(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  ( $z \in$

---

*Date:* Received: 5 September 2012; Accepted: 23 September 2012.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46K05; Secondary 46H05.

*Key words and phrases.* Involutive Banach algebra,  $C^*$ -algebra, positive functional.

$\mathbb{D}$ ,  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ), all point evaluations attain their norm at the identity, but only the evaluation in points in  $(-1, 1)$  are positive. As another example, consider  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , the group algebra of the integers. Then its dual can be identified with  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ , and the continuous linear functionals attaining their norm at the identity are then the bounded maps  $\omega : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\omega(0) = \|\omega\|_\infty$ . Not all such continuous linear functionals are positive (of course, Bochner's theorem describes the ones that *are* positive). For example, if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ , then  $\omega_\lambda \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ , defined by  $\omega(0) = 1$ ,  $\omega(1) = \lambda$ , and  $\omega(n) = 0$  if  $n \neq 0, 1$ , attains its norm at the identity of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . However, if we define  $\ell_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\ell_0(0) = 1$ ,  $\ell_0(1) = 1$ , and  $\ell_0(n) = 0$  if  $n \neq 0, 1$ , then  $\ell_0 \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , but  $\omega_0(\ell_0^* \ell_0) = 2 + \lambda$  need not even be real.

It is the aim of this note to show that the existence of examples as above is no coincidence: there necessarily exist continuous linear functionals that attain their norm at the identity, yet are not positive, *because* the algebra in question has a continuous involution, but is not a  $C^*$ -algebra. This is the main content of the result below which, with a rather elementary proof, follows from the far less elementary Vidav–Palmer theorem [1, Theorem 38.14]. We formulate the latter first for convenience.

**Theorem** (Vidav–Palmer). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra with identity of norm one. Let  $\mathcal{A}_S$  be the real linear subspace of all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\omega(a)$  is real, for every continuous linear functional  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ . If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_S + i\mathcal{A}_S$ , then this is automatically a direct sum of real linear subspaces, and the well defined map  $(a_1 + ia_2) \mapsto (a_1 - ia_2)$  ( $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_S$ ) is an involution on  $\mathcal{A}$  which, together with the given norm, makes  $\mathcal{A}$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra.*

As further preparation let us note that, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital involutive Banach algebra with identity of norm one and a continuous involution, and if  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is self-adjoint with spectral radius less than 1, then there exists a self-adjoint element  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $1 - a = b^2$ . Indeed, using the continuity of the involution, the proof as usually given for a unital Banach algebra with isometric involution, cf. [4, Lemma I.9.8], which is based on the fact that the coefficients of the power series around 0 of the principal branch of  $\sqrt{1 - z}$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  are all real, goes through unchanged.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital involutive Banach algebra with identity 1 of norm one. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The involution is continuous, and, if  $\omega$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ , then  $\omega$  is positive;*
- (2) *The involution is continuous, and, if  $\omega$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ , and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is self-adjoint, then  $\omega(a^2)$  is real;*
- (3)  *$\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with the given norm and involution.*

*Proof.* We need only prove that (2) implies (3). Suppose that  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is self-adjoint and that  $\|a\| < 1$ . Then, as remarked preceding the theorem, there exists a self-adjoint  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $1 - a = b^2$ . If  $\omega$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ , then the assumption in (2) implies that  $\|\omega\| - \omega(a) = \omega(1 - a) = \omega(b^2)$  is real. Hence  $\omega(a)$  is real. This implies that  $\omega(a)$  is real, for all self-adjoint  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and for all continuous linear functionals  $\omega$  on

$\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ . Since certainly every element of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be written as  $a_1 + ia_2$ , for self-adjoint  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , this shows that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_S + i\mathcal{A}_S$ . Then the Vidav–Palmer theorem yields that the involution in that theorem, which agrees with the given one, together with the given norm, makes  $\mathcal{A}$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra.  $\square$

In [2, Theorem 11.2.5], a number of equivalent criteria are given for a unital involutive Banach algebra—with a possibly discontinuous involution—to be a  $C^*$ -algebra, but positivity of certain continuous linear functionals is not among them. The proof above of such a criterion is made possible by the extra condition of the continuity of the involution. Although, given the Vidav–Palmer theorem, the proof is quite straightforward, we are not aware of a reference for this characterisation of  $C^*$ -algebras through positivity of linear functionals. Since the result seems to have a certain appeal, we thought it worthwhile to make it explicit.

## REFERENCES

1. F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1973.
2. T.W. Palmer, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of \*-Algebras. Vol. II. \*-algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
3. W.R. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
4. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras. I*, Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.

<sup>1</sup>MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, LEIDEN UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 9512, 2300 RA LEIDEN, THE NETHERLANDS.

*E-mail address:* [mdejeu@math.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:mdejeu@math.leidenuniv.nl)

<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OSAWA, HACHIOJI CITY, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* [juntomi@med.email.ne.jp](mailto:juntomi@med.email.ne.jp)