

Ann. Funct. Anal. 6 (2015), no. 2, 224-233

http://doi.org/10.15352/afa/06-2-19

ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic) http://projecteuclid.org/afa

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF HERZ-TYPE HARDY SPACES WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT

HONGBIN WANG 1* AND ZONGGUANG LIU 2

Communicated by J. Soria

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the authors establish some real-variable characterizations of Herz-type Hardy spaces with variable exponent.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and a measurable function $p(\cdot): \Omega \to [1, \infty)$, $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of measurable functions f on Ω such that for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

This set becomes a Banach function space when equipped with the Luxemburg–Nakano norm

$$||f||_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

These spaces are referred to as variable Lebesgue spaces or, more simply, as variable L^p spaces, since they generalized the standard L^p spaces: if p(x) = p is constant, then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^p(\Omega)$. The L^p spaces with variable exponent are a special case of Musielak–Orlicz spaces.

For all compact subsets $E \subset \Omega$, the space $L^{p(\cdot)}_{loc}(\Omega)$ is defined by $L^{p(\cdot)}_{loc}(\Omega) := \{f: f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(E)\}$. Define $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ to be the set of $p(\cdot): \Omega \to [1, \infty)$ such that

$$p^- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}\{p(x) : x \in \Omega\} > 1, \quad p^+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{p(x) : x \in \Omega\} < \infty.$$

Date: Received: Jun. 2, 2014; Accepted: Sep. 16, 2014.

^{*} Corresponding author.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30; Secondary 42B30, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Herz-type Hardy space, variable exponent, real-variable characterization.

Denote p'(x) = p(x)/(p(x)-1). Let $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ be the set of $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

In variable L^p spaces there are some important lemmas as follows.

Lemma 1.1. ([4]) Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. If $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, then fg is integrable on Ω and

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)g(x)| dx \le r_p ||f||_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} ||g||_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)},$$

where

$$r_p = 1 + 1/p^- - 1/p^+$$
.

This inequality is named the generalized Hölder inequality with respect to the variable L^p spaces.

Lemma 1.2. ([1]) Given a set Ω with finite measure, and exponent functions $p(\cdot), q(\cdot): \Omega \to [1, \infty)$ such that $p(x) \leq q(x)$,

$$||f||_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \le C(1+|\Omega|)||f||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 1.3. ([3]) Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n and all measurable subsets $S \subset B$,

$$\frac{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \le C\frac{|B|}{|S|},$$

$$\frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \le C \left(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\right)^{\delta_1}, \frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \le C \left(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\right)^{\delta_2},$$

where $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$ are constants.

Throughout this paper δ_1 and δ_2 are the same as in Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 1.4. ([3]) Suppose $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C.$$

Firstly we give the definition of the Herz spaces with variable exponent. Let $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 2^k\}$ and $A_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote \mathbb{Z}_+ and \mathbb{N} as the sets of all positive and non-negative integers, $\chi_k = \chi_{A_k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\chi}_k = \chi_k$ if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\tilde{\chi}_0 = \chi_{B_0}$, where χ_{A_k} is the characteristic function of A_k .

Definition 1.5. ([3]) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The homogeneous Herz space $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^{q(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \|f\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$||f||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k\alpha p} ||f\chi_k||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

The non-homogeneous Herz space $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) : ||f||_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \},$$

where

$$||f||_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} ||f\tilde{\chi}_k||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

In [6], we establish the following boundedness theorem on the Herz spaces with variable exponent for a class of sublinear operators.

Lemma 1.6. ([6]) Let $0 < \alpha < n\delta_2, 0 < p < \infty$ and $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If a sublinear operator T satisfies

$$|Tf(x)| \le C||f||_1/|x|^n$$
, if $\operatorname{dist}(x, \operatorname{supp} f) > |x|/2$, (1.1)

for any integrable function f with a compact support and T is bounded on $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T is bounded on $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $K^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively.

In [7], we gave the definition of Herz-type Hardy space with variable exponent $H\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of Schwartz functions, and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $G_N f(x)$ be the grand maximal function of f(x) defined by

$$G_N f(x) = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_N} |\phi_{\nabla}^*(f)(x)|,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_N = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq N} |x^{\alpha} D^{\beta} \phi(x)| \leq 1\}$ and N > n+1, ϕ_{∇}^* is the nontangential maximal operator defined by

$$\phi_{\nabla}^*(f)(x) = \sup_{|y-x| < t} |\phi_t * f(y)|$$

with $\phi_t(x) = t^{-n}\phi(x/t)$.

Definition 1.7. ([7]) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 and <math>N > n + 1$. (i) The homogeneous Herz-type Hardy space $H\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$H\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : G_N f(x) \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

and we define $||f||_{H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||G_N f||_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

(ii) The non-homogeneous Herz-type Hardy space $HK_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$HK_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : G_N f(x) \in K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

and we define $||f||_{HK_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||G_N f||_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Let us explain the outline of this paper. In Section 2 we will prove some properties for $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will give our main result in Section 3, that is some real-variable characterizations of the Herz-type Hardy space with variable exponent.

2. Some properties for Herz spaces with variable exponent We first give the following properties for $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have

- (1) if $p_1 \leq p_2$, then $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (2) if $0 < \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$, then $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (3) if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\Omega| < \infty$ and $q_1(\cdot), q_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that $q_2(\cdot) \leq q_1(\cdot)$, then

$$\dot{K}_{q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)\subset \dot{K}_{q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega),\ K_{q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)\subset K_{q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega).$$

Proof. We first consider (1). It suffices to prove the property for the homogeneous case. The non-homogeneous case can be proved in the same way. Note that $p_1 \leq p_2$ and

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|\right)^r \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^r, \quad 0 < r \le 1. \tag{2.1}$$

So we have

$$||f||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p_{1} \cdot \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}} ||f\chi_{k}||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p_{1} \cdot \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_{1}} \cdot \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p_{1}} ||f\chi_{k}||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p_{1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}$$

$$= ||f||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

That is $\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now we see (2). Note that $0 < \alpha_2 \le \alpha_1$, so by the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_{2},p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_{2}p} \|f\tilde{\chi}_{k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} + \frac{\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)} \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ C\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{k\alpha_{2}p} \|f\tilde{\chi}_{k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f\tilde{\chi}_{k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p\frac{\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)'}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)'}}\right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ C\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_{1}p} \|f\tilde{\chi}_{k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f\tilde{\chi}_{k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}} \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_{1},p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}. \end{split}$$

That is $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next we estimate (3). It suffices to prove the property for the homogeneous case. By Lemma 1.2 we have

$$||f||_{\dot{K}_{q_{2}(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} ||f\chi_{k}||_{L^{q_{2}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C(1+|\Omega|) \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} ||f\chi_{k}||_{L^{q_{1}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C||f||_{\dot{K}_{q_{1}(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)}.$$

That is $\dot{K}_{q_1(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega) \subset \dot{K}_{q_2(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and for $f \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$||f||_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le ||f||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||f||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. If $f \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$||f||_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||f||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(|x| \le 1)}^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} ||f\chi_k||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p$$
$$\le ||f||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p + ||f||_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3. Some real-variable characterizations for Herz-type Hardy SPACES WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT

By Theorem 2.2 and the $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness $(q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of the grand maximal operator G_N , it is easy to deduce the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.1. Let
$$0 < \alpha < \infty$$
, $0 and $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then
$$HK_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset H\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$$

To give some real-variable characterizations for $H\dot{K}_{g(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $HK_{g(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we first introduce some maximal operator.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with integral 1. For t>0, set $\phi_t(x)=t^{-n}\phi(x/t)$. For $f\in$ $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the maximal operator ϕ_+^* by

$$\phi_+^*(f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} |(f * \phi_t)(x)|.$$

Also, we define the maximal operator $\phi_{\nabla,N}^*$ (with N>1) and ϕ_M^{**} (with $M\in\mathbb{Z}_+$) by

$$\phi_{\nabla,N}^*(f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{|x-y|< Nt} |(f*\phi_t)(y)|$$

and

$$\phi_M^{**}(f)(x) = \sup_{(y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |(f * \phi_t)(y)| \left(\frac{t}{|x-y|+t}\right)^M.$$

About the relation of these operators, we first have

Lemma 3.2. ([2]) If $N \ge M + n + 1$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$G_N(f)(x) \le C\phi_M^{**}(f)(x).$$

Next we give the following characterization theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $f \in H\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $HK_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$).
- (ii) For some N > 1, $\phi_{\nabla,N}^*(f) \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$).
- (iii) $\phi_{\nabla}^*(f) \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$).
- (iv) $\phi_+^*(f) \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

Proof. We only prove the homogeneous case. The non-homogeneous case is similar. Note that

$$\phi_{\nabla,N}^*(f)(x) \ge \phi_{\nabla}^*(f)(x) \ge \phi_+^*(f)(x)$$

and that for any N > n + 1,

$$\phi_{\nabla}^*(f)(x) \le CG_N(f)(x).$$

It is obvious that $(ii)\Rightarrow(iii)\Rightarrow(iv)$ and $(i)\Rightarrow(iii)$. Thus, it suffices to prove that $(iv)\Rightarrow(ii)$ and $(iv)\Rightarrow(i)$.

We first prove (iv) \Rightarrow (ii). For $l, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define

$$u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x) = \sup_{|x-y| < Nt < 1/\varepsilon} |(f * \phi_t)(y)| \left(\frac{Nt}{Nt + \varepsilon}\right)^l (1 + \varepsilon N|y|)^{-l}.$$

By the Fatou lemma of series and integration, we need only to show that for any $r \in (0,1)$,

$$||u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le CN^{n/r} ||\phi_+^*(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let

$$U_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x) = \sup_{|x-y| < Nt < 1/\varepsilon} t |\nabla_y (f * \phi_t)(y)| \left(\frac{Nt}{Nt + \varepsilon}\right)^l (1 + \varepsilon N|y|)^{-l}.$$

As in [5], if l is large enough, then for any $p_1 \in (0,1)$ we have

$$U_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x) \le C \left(M[(u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*)^{p_1}](x)\right)^{1/p_1},$$

where M is the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, and C is independent of ε, N and f. Set $E_{\varepsilon} = \{x : U_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x) \leq C_0 u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x)\}$ and $E_{\varepsilon}^c = \mathbb{R}^n \backslash E_{\varepsilon}$, where

 C_0 is a positive constant which will be chosen later. Take $p_1 \in (0,1)$ such that $0 < p_1 \alpha < n\delta_2$, then by Lemma 1.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^* \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}^c}\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_0^{-1} \|U_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C C_0^{-1} \|M[(u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*)^{p_1}]\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)/p_1}^{\alpha p_1,p/p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/p_1} \\ &\leq C C_0^{-1} \|(u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*)^{p_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)/p_1}^{\alpha p_1,p/p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/p_1} \\ &= C C_0^{-1} \|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}^c}\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + CC_0^{-1}\|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq 2\|u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*\chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

if we choose C_0 large enough. Thus, the proof that (iv) \Rightarrow (ii) can be reduced to prove that

$$||u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^* \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le CN^{n/r} ||\phi_+^*(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
(3.1)

for any $r \in (0,1)$.

To prove (3.1) we first show that if $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$, then

$$u_{\varepsilon,LN}^*(x) \le CN^{n/r} \left(M[\phi_+^*(f)]^r(x) \right)^{1/r}.$$
 (3.2)

Note that for each fixed $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$, there exists $(y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ such that $|x-y| < Nt < 1/\varepsilon$ and

$$|(f * \phi_t)(x)| \ge |(f * \phi_t)(y)| \left(\frac{Nt}{Nt + \varepsilon}\right)^l (1 + \varepsilon N|y|)^{-l} > u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x)/2.$$

On the other hand, we know by the definition of E_{ε} that if $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$ and |x-z| < Nt, then

$$t|\nabla_z(f*\phi_t)(z)| \le C_0 \left(\frac{Nt}{Nt+\varepsilon}\right)^{-l} (1+\varepsilon l|y|)^l u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x).$$

Therefore, if $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$, |x - y| < Nt and |x - z| < Nt, then $t|\nabla_z(f * \phi_t)(z)| \le C_1|(f*\phi_t)(y)|$. Applying the mean value theorem, we have that for $w \in B(x, Nt) \cap B(y, t/(2C_1))$,

$$|(f * \phi_t)(w) - (f * \phi_t)(y)| \le |\nabla_z (f * \phi_t)(z)||w - y| \le |(f * \phi_t)(y)|/2,$$

where $z = \theta w + (1 - \theta)y$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. This shows that if $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$ and $w \in B(x, Nt) \cap B(y, t/(2C_1))$, then

$$|(f * \phi_t)(w)| \ge |(f * \phi_t)(y)|/2 \ge u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^*(x)/4.$$

Thus, for any $r \in (0,1)$ and $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$M((\phi_{+}^{*}(f))^{r})(x) \geq \frac{1}{|B(x,Nt)|} \int_{B(x,Nt)} (\phi_{+}^{*}(f)(w))^{r} dw$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{|B(x,Nt)|} \int_{B(x,Nt)\cap B(y,t/(2C_{1}))} |f * \phi_{t}(w)|^{r} dw$$

$$\geq CN^{-n} (u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^{*}(x))^{r},$$

and so (3.2) is true. Now choosing r sufficiently small so that $0 < r\alpha < n\delta_2$, then by (3.2) and Lemma 1.6 we have

$$||u_{\varepsilon,l,N}^* \chi_{E_{\varepsilon}}||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq CN^{n/r} ||(M(\phi_+^*(f))^r)^{1/r}||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$= CN^{n/r} ||M(\phi_+^*(f))^r||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)/r}^{\alpha,r,p/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/r}$$

$$\leq CN^{n/r} ||\phi_+^*(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This completes the proof of $(iv) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Moreover,

$$\|\phi_{\nabla,N}^*(f)\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le CN^{n/r} \|\phi_+^*(f)\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we consider (iv) \Rightarrow (i). By a simple computation, we know that

$$\phi_M^{**}(x) \le \phi_{\nabla}^*(f)(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kM} \phi_{\nabla,2^{k+1}}^*(f)(x).$$

This via Lemma 3.2 and (3.3) gives that if N is large enough, then

$$||G_{N}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C||\phi_{\nabla}^{*}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} + C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kM}||\phi_{\nabla,2^{k+1}}^{*}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

$$\leq C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(M-n/r)}||\phi_{+}^{*}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

$$\leq C||\phi_{+}^{*}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$

where M > n/r. Thus (iv) \Rightarrow (i) holds and the proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed.

Remark 3.4. From the proof of Theorem 3.3 we can see that for any N_1 , $N_2 > n + 1$, the set

$$\{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : G_{N_1}(f) \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

coincide with the set

$$\{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : G_{N_2}(f) \in \dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

Moreover

$$||G_{N_1}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx ||G_{N_2}(f)||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The same conclusions are also true for non-homogeneous space.

Now we will give another characterization of spaces $H\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $HK_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given $s \in (0,1) \cup \mathbb{Z}_+$, define \mathcal{T}_s to be the space of C^{∞} functions on \mathbb{R}^n with support contained in B(0,1) such that $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq |x - y|^s$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

П

when $s \in (0,1)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \|\nabla^{j}\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, when $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Let $f_{s}^{*} = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{s}} |\varphi_{t} * f(x)|$, for $s \in (0,1) \cup \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, and set $\mathcal{T}_{1} = \mathcal{T}$ and $f_{1}^{*}(x) = f^{*}(x)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $n\delta_2 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose $s \in (0,1) \cup \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $s > \alpha/\delta_2 - n$. Then $f \in H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $HK^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) if and only if $f_s^* \in \dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $K^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is based on Theorem 3.3 and the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. Let $n\delta_2 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\sigma, s \in (0, 1) \cup \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\alpha/\delta_2 - n < \sigma < s$, then there are constants $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that

$$C_2^{-1} \|f_{\sigma}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|f_s^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_2 \|f_{\sigma}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$C_3^{-1} \| f_{\sigma}^* \|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \| f_s^* \|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_3 \| f_{\sigma}^* \|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all distributions f on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We only prove the homogeneous case. Note that $\sigma > \alpha/\delta_2 - n$. We can choose q_1 to satisfy $\frac{n}{\sigma+n} < q_1 < \frac{n\delta_2}{\alpha}$. Since $n\delta_2 \le \alpha < \infty$, we have $n\delta_2/\alpha \le 1$. So $0 < q_1 < 1$. Setting $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}$, from [5] we know $f_{\sigma}^* \le CM((f_s^*)^{q_1})^{1/q_1}$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.6 we have

$$||f_{\sigma}^{*}||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} ||f_{\sigma}^{*}\chi_{k}||_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} ||M((f_{s}^{*})^{q_{1}})\chi_{k}||_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p/q_{1}} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$= C ||M((f_{s}^{*})^{q_{1}})\chi_{k}||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)/q_{1}}^{\alpha_{1},p/q_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{q_{1}} \leq C ||f_{s}^{*}||_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}}^{\alpha,p}. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the definition of f_s^* that $f_s^*(x) \leq f_\sigma^*(x)$. From this, we deduce the conclusion of Lemma 3.6.

Remark 3.7. Let $p, q(\cdot)$ be as in Lemma 3.6. If $\alpha = n\delta_2, \sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then there are constants $C_4, C_5 > 0$ such that

$$C_4^{-1} \| f_{\sigma}^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \| f_s^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_4 \| f^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_4 \| f_{\sigma}^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$C_5^{-1} \|f_{\sigma}^*\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|f_s^*\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_5 \|f^*\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_5 \|f_{\sigma}^*\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all distributions f on \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $\theta(z)$ be a bump function which satisfies $\theta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, supp $\theta \subset B(0,1)$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x) dx = 1$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $n\delta_2 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $0 and <math>q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, θ is above and $\theta_+^*(f)$ is as in Theorem 3.3. If $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\sigma \in (0,1) \cup \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\alpha/\delta_2 - n < \sigma$, then there are constants $C_6, C_7 > 0$ such that

$$C_6^{-1} \| f_{\sigma}^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \| \theta_+^*(f) \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_6 \| f_s^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$C_7^{-1} \|f_{\sigma}^*\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\theta_+^*(f)\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_7 \|f_s^*\|_{K_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. The method of proof is similar to [5, Lemma 2.2]. Here we omit it. \Box

Remark 3.9. Let $p, q(\cdot), \theta$ and $\theta_+^*(f)$ be as in Lemma 3.3. If $\alpha = n\delta_2, \sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then there are constants $C_8, C_9 > 0$ such that

$$C_8^{-1} \| f_{\sigma}^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \| \theta_+^*(f) \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_8 \| f_s^* \|_{\dot{K}_{q(\cdot)}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$C_9^{-1} \|f_\sigma^*\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\theta_+^*(f)\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_9 \|f_s^*\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to the referees for their valuable comments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11171345 and 11101423).

References

- C. Capone, D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, The fractional maximal operator and fractional integrals on variable L^p spaces, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 23 (2007), 743–770.
- 2. C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta. Math. 129 (1973), 137–193.
- 3. M. Izuki, Boundedness of sublinear operators on Herz spaces with variable exponent and application to wavelet characterization, Anal. Math. 36 (2010), 33–50.
- 4. O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, Czechoslovak Math. J. **116** (1991), 592–618.
- S. Lu and D. Yang, Some characterizations of weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces and their applications, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 13 (1997), 45–58.
- 6. H. Wang, The decomposition for the Herz space with variable exponent and its applications, 1425–1431, Proceedings of Academic Conference on Research Achievements of the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, China Coal Industry Publishing House, 2011.
- H. Wang and Z. Liu, The Herz-type Hardy spaces with variable exponent and its applications, Taiwanese J. Math. 16 (2012), 1363–1389.
- ¹ Department of Mathematical and Physical Science, Zibo Normal College, Zibo 255130, Shandong, P. R. China.

E-mail address: hbwang_2006@163.com

 2 Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology (Beijing), Beijing 100083, P. R. China.

E-mail address: liuzg@cumtb.edu.cn