

## CERTAIN GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES OF $\Lambda$ -SEQUENCE SPACES

ATANU MANNA

Communicated by M. C. Veraar

**ABSTRACT.** The  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and their generalized forms  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  for  $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$ ,  $\hat{p} = (p_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are introduced. The James constants and strong  $n$ -th James constants of  $\Lambda_p$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  are determined. It is proved that the generalized  $\Lambda$ -sequence space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  is a closed subspace of the Nakano sequence space  $l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  of finite dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Hence it follows that sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$  and  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  possess the uniform Opial property,  $(\beta)$ -property of Rolewicz, and weak uniform normal structure. Moreover, it is established that  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  possesses the coordinate wise uniform Kadec–Klee property. Further, necessary and sufficient conditions for element  $x \in S(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$  to be an extreme point of  $B(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$  are derived. Finally, estimation of von Neumann–Jordan and James constants of two dimensional  $\Lambda$ -sequence space  $\Lambda_2^{(2)}$  are carried out. Upper bound for the Hausdorff matrix operator norm on the non-absolute type  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces is also obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are several important geometric constants of a Banach space such as von Neumann–Jordan constant, James constant, Dunkl–Williams constant, Khintchine constant, Zbăganu, Ptolemy constant which are very useful for studying the geometric theory of Banach spaces. The proximity (or remoteness) of the space to a Hilbert space was measured by using von Neumann–Jordan constant and Dunkl–Williams constant. The uniform non-squareness of a unit ball in a

---

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

*Date:* Received: May 15, 2017; Accepted: Nov. 27, 2017.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20; Secondary 26D15, 46A45, 46B45, 40G05.

*Key words and phrases.* Cesàro sequence space, Nakano sequence space, James constant, von Neumann–Jordan constant, extreme point, Kadec–Klee property, Hausdorff method.

real Banach space was measured by James constant (or sometimes called *James non-square constant*). These constants, which have been investigated recently by many researchers, occupy a prominent place in the study of geometrical properties of Banach spaces.

Maligranda et al. [17] obtained the classical James constant and the  $n$ -th James constant for the Cesàro sequence spaces  $ces_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces. Further, Kamińska and Kubiak [14] obtained these constants on Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces using an alternative approach. Let  $l^0$  be the space of all real sequences and  $\mathbb{N}_0$  be the set of all natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  including 0, i.e.,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l^0$ . In order to avoid ambiguity  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  will be replaced by  $x = (x_n)$ . The Cesàro sequence spaces  $ces_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$  were first introduced by Shiue [27] and studied by Leibowitz [15] later. They are defined as follows:

$$ces_p = \left\{ x \in l^0 : \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\} \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty,$$

$$ces_{\infty} = \left\{ x \in l^0 : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k| < \infty \right\}.$$

The Cesàro sequence space  $ces_p$  is generalized to  $ces_{\hat{p}}$  for  $\hat{p} = (p_n)$ ,  $p_n > 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  ([13]) and defined as

$$ces_{\hat{p}} = \left\{ x \in l^0 : \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Several authors ([5], [6], [10], [18], [21], [22], [25], [28]) studied geometric properties such as Opial property, Kadec–Klee property,  $(\beta)$  property of Rolewicz, weak uniform normal structure etc. for the spaces  $ces_p$  and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$ . These constants play very important role in the study of fixed point theory. For example, Opial property has several applications in the Banach fixed point theory, differential equations, integral equations etc. On the other hand, Kadec–Klee property is applied to establish certain results in the ergodic theory (see [23]).

In line with the title of this paper, we report certain geometric structures of  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and their generalizations  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$ , for  $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$ ,  $\hat{p} = (p_n)$ . It may be noted that the spaces  $\Lambda_p$  and  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  are generalized form of the sequence spaces  $ces_p$  and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$ , respectively. For example, our generalization includes the following important results:

- (i)  $ces_p$  and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$  have the uniform Opial property (see [6] and [21], respectively),
- (ii)  $ces_p$  and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$  have the  $(\beta)$  property (see [5] and [25], respectively),
- (iii) Both  $ces_p$  [6] and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$  [25] possess the weak uniform normal structures,
- (iv)  $ces_{\hat{p}}$  possesses the uniform Kadec Klee property [22], and Kadec–Klee property ([28]),
- (v) The James constants are given by  $J(ces_p) = 2$ ,  $J_n^s(ces_p) = n$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and  $J(ces_2^{(2)}) = \sqrt{2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}}$  [17],
- (vi)  $ces_p$  and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$  have extreme points.

Therefore geometric structures of the spaces  $\Lambda_p$  and  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  are unified study of geometric structures for the known sequence spaces. The following subsection is begin with the definition of  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces:

**1.1. Sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .** The  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces stem from the notion of  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence coined by Móricz [19]. Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$ , i.e.,  $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$ ,  $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x = (x_k) \in l^0$ . Further, it is assumed that  $\lambda_{-1} = 0$ .

Define sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$ , for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_p &= \{x = (x_k) \in l^0 : \|x\|_p < \infty\} \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty, \\ \Lambda_\infty &= \{x = (x_k) \in l^0 : \|x\|_\infty < \infty\} \text{ for } p = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\|x\|_\infty$  are defined by

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k|.$$

It is a routine work to establish that the spaces  $(\Lambda_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $(\Lambda_\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  are Banach spaces.

**1.2. Sequence spaces  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$ ,  $\hat{p} = (p_n)$ ,  $p_n > 1$ .** Let  $\hat{p} = (p_n)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers such that  $p_n > 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a sequence of real numbers defined on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and define a convex modular  $\sigma(x)$  as  $\sigma(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda x(n))^{p_n}$ , where  $\Lambda x(n) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Then the following set is defined:

$$\Lambda_{\hat{p}} = \{x = (x_k) \in l^0 : \sigma(rx) < \infty \text{ for some } r > 0\},$$

which is a normed linear space equipped with the Luxemburg norm, defined as follows:

$$\|x\|_{\hat{p}} = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sigma\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Indeed  $(\Lambda_{\hat{p}}, \|x\|_{\hat{p}})$  becomes a Banach space.

*Remark 1.1.* In particular,

(i) substituting  $\lambda_n = n + 1$ , the sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$  and  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  reduce to  $ces_p$  and  $ces_{\hat{p}}$ , respectively ([13], [15] and [27]).

(ii) by choosing  $q = (q_k) = (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})$  and  $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k = \lambda_n$ , the sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$  and  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  reduce to  $ces[p, q]$  and  $ces[\hat{p}, \hat{q}]$ , respectively [13].

2. JAMES CONSTANTS OF  $\Lambda_p$  FOR  $1 < p \leq \infty$ 

The unit ball of a normed linear space is *uniformly non-square* if and only if there is a positive number  $\delta$  such that there does not exist any member  $x$  and  $y$  of the unit ball for which  $\|\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\| > 1 - \delta$  and  $\|\frac{1}{2}(x-y)\| > 1 - \delta$  (see [12]). The *James constant* (or *measure of uniform non-squareness*) of a real Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  with  $\dim(X) \geq 2$  is denoted by  $J(X)$  [8] and is defined as

$$J(X) = \sup\{\min(\|x+y\|, \|x-y\|) : x, y \in X, \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = 1\}.$$

The local versions of uniform non-squareness in Cesàro sequence spaces were studied by Cui and Pluciennik [7]. Now, the determination of James constant for the sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  will be presented next. An approach similar to Maligranda et al. [17] is considered to prove the following results.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The James constants of  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  is 2. Expressing by notation,  $J(\Lambda_p) = 2$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .*

*Proof.* First consider the case  $1 < p < \infty$ . For each  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and denote  $e_m = (e_{mk}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ , where 1 is at the  $m$ -th position. Note that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) e_{mk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < m, \\ \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_n} & \text{if } n \geq m. \end{cases}$$

Let  $x_m = (x_{mk})$  and  $y_m = (y_{mk})$ , where  $x_m = \frac{e_m}{\|e_m\|_p}$  and  $y_m = \frac{e_{m+1}}{\|e_{m+1}\|_p}$  for each  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\|x_m\|_p = 1$  and  $\|y_m\|_p = 1$ .

Now the value of  $\|x_m \pm y_m\|_p$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  is found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_m \pm y_m\|_p^p \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_{mk} \pm y_{mk}| \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left| \frac{e_{mk}}{\|e_m\|_p} \pm \frac{e_{(m+1)k}}{\|e_{m+1}\|_p} \right| \right)^p \\ &= \left( \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_m \|e_m\|_p} \right)^p + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left( \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\|e_m\|_p} + \frac{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m}{\|e_{m+1}\|_p} \right) \right)^p \\ &\geq \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left( \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\|e_m\|_p} + \frac{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m}{\|e_{m+1}\|_p} \right) \right)^p \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m} \cdot \frac{\|e_{m+1}\|_p}{\|e_m\|_p} \right)^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore by removing the power  $p$ , one gets  $\|x_m \pm y_m\|_p \geq 1 + \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m} \cdot \frac{\|e_{m+1}\|_p}{\|e_m\|_p}$ .

We claim that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m} \cdot \frac{\|e_{m+1}\|_p}{\|e_m\|_p} = 1.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m}\right)^p \cdot \frac{\|e_{m+1}\|_p^p}{\|e_m\|_p^p} = \frac{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}}{\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}} = \frac{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}} = \left(1 + \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p}}{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}}\right)^{-1}.$$

By the discrete version of Bernoulli-de l' Hospital rule, it follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p}}{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^p}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^p}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^p}} - 1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_m}\right)^p - 1 = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence, Eqn (2.2) proves the above claim and from inequality (2.1), it is found that  $\|x_m \pm y_m\|_p \rightarrow 2$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\|x_m \pm y_m\|_p \leq 2$  is always true, it implies that  $J(\Lambda_p) = 2$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

For  $p = \infty$ ,  $x_m = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}e_m$  and  $y_m = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m}e_{m+1}$  are chosen. Then it is easy to verify that  $\|x_m\|_{\infty} = 1$ ,  $\|y_m\|_{\infty} = 1$  and  $\|x_m \pm y_m\|_{\infty} = 1 + \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_{m+1}} \rightarrow 2$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $J(\Lambda_{\infty}) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** (i) Choose  $\lambda_n = n + 1$ , then  $J(ces_p) = 2$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  ([17]).

(ii) If  $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k = \lambda_n$ , where  $q = (q_k) = (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})$ , then  $J(ces[p, q]) = 2$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

A Banach space is said to be *uniformly non- $l_n^{(1)}$*  if there is  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$  from the unit ball of  $X$ , we have  $\min_{\epsilon_k = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k x_k \right\| \leq n(1 - \delta)$ .

This definition leads to the notion of *n-th James constant* (or the *measure of uniformly non- $l_n^{(1)}$* )  $J_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  [8] of a Banach space  $X$  is defined as

$$J_n(X) = \sup \left\{ \min_{\epsilon_k = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k x_k \right\| : x_k \in X, \|x_k\| \leq 1, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \right\}.$$

If restricted to unit sphere of a real Banach space  $X$  then the James constants (or *n-th strong James constants*) are denoted by  $J_n^s(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and defined by

$$J_n^s(X) = \sup \left\{ \min_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_j x_j \right\| : \|x_j\| = 1, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \right\}.$$

It is to be noted that  $J_n^s(X) \leq J_n(X) \leq n$  and  $J_2^s(X) = J_2(X) = J(X)$  [17].

**Theorem 2.3.** The strong *n-th James constant*  $J_n^s(\Lambda_p) = n$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $J_n^s(\Lambda_{\infty}) = n$ .

*Proof.* In the previous theorem, the results were established for the case where  $n = 2$ . Now the result for  $n \geq 3$  is deduced hereunder. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq 3$  and put

$$x_{j,m} = \frac{e_{m+j}}{\|e_{m+j}\|_p}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

With this setting, it is clear that  $\|x_{j,m}\|_p = 1$  for each  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $x_{j_1,m}$  and  $x_{j_2,m}$  have disjoint supports for  $j_1 \neq j_2$ , it implies that

$$\min_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j x_{j,m} \right\|_p = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j,m} \right\|_p.$$

Choosing  $b_{m,l} = \sum_{i=m}^{m+l} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}}{\|e_i\|_p}$ ,  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j,m} \right\|_p^p &= \left\| \sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \frac{e_j}{\|e_j\|_p} \right\|_p^p \\ &= \left\| \left( 0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{\|e_m\|_p}, \frac{1}{\|e_{m+1}\|_p}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|e_{m+n-1}\|_p}, 0, 0, \dots \right) \right\|_p^p \\ &= \left( \frac{b_{m,0}}{\lambda_m} \right)^p + \left( \frac{b_{m,1}}{\lambda_{m+1}} \right)^p + \dots + \left( \frac{b_{m,n-1}}{\lambda_{m+n-1}} \right)^p + \left( \frac{b_{m,n-1}}{\lambda_{m+n}} \right)^p + \dots \\ &\geq \sum_{k=m+n-1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{b_{m,n-1}}{\lambda_k} \right)^p \\ &= (b_{m,n-1})^p \sum_{k=m+n-1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right)^p \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=m}^{m+n-1} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}}{\|e_i\|_p} \right)^p \cdot \left( \frac{\|e_{m+n-1}\|_p}{\lambda_{m+n-1} - \lambda_{m+n-2}} \right)^p \\ &\geq n^p \left( \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\|e_m\|_p} \right)^p \cdot \left( \frac{\|e_{m+n-1}\|_p}{\lambda_{m+n-1} - \lambda_{m+n-2}} \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$n \geq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j,m} \right\|_p \geq n \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+n-1} - \lambda_{m+n-2}} \frac{\|e_{m+n-1}\|_p}{\|e_m\|_p}. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+n-1} - \lambda_{m+n-2}} \cdot \frac{\|e_{m+n-1}\|_p}{\|e_m\|_p} = 1$ .

Denote  $a_{m+n-1} := \sum_{j=m+n-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^p}$ . Then, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m+n-1} - \lambda_{m+n-2}} \right)^p \cdot \frac{\|e_{m+n-1}\|_p^p}{\|e_m\|_p^p} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=m+n-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^p}}{\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^p}} \\ &= \frac{a_{m+n-1}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^p} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+n-2}^p} + a_{m+n-1}} \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_m^p a_{m+n-1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^p a_{m+n-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+n-2}^p a_{m+n-1}} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the limits  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+i}^p a_{m+n-1}}$  are found out for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Applying Bernoulli-de l' Hospital rule when  $i = 0$ , one gets

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p}}{\sum_{j=m+n-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^p}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_m^p} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^p}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_{m+n-1}^p}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\lambda_{m+n-1}}{\lambda_m} \right)^p - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\lambda_{m+n-1}}{\lambda_{m+1}} \right)^p = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, Eqn (2.5) proves the aforementioned claim. Similarly,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+i}^p a_{m+n-1}} =$

0 for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Hence by inequality (2.4), we get  $\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j,m} \right\|_p \rightarrow n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j,m} \right\|_p \leq n$ , so by definition  $J_n^s(\Lambda_p) = n$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

For the case  $p = \infty$ , the following is chosen

$$x_{j,m} = \frac{\lambda_{m+j}}{\lambda_{m+j} - \lambda_{m+j-1}} e_{m+j}, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

It is easy to find that  $\|x_{j,m}\|_{\infty} = 1$  and for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j x_{j,m} \right\|_{\infty} &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j,m} \right\|_{\infty} = 1 + \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_{m+n-1}} + \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_{m+n-1}} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{m+n-2}}{\lambda_{m+n-1}} \\ &\rightarrow n \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{m+i}}{\lambda_{m+n-1}} = 1$  for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ ).

Again by definition of  $n$ -th strong James constant,  $J_n^s(\Lambda_\infty) = n$  and thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** (i) Choose  $\lambda_n = n + 1$ , then  $J_n^s(ces_p) = n$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  ([17]).

(ii) If  $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k = \lambda_n$ , where  $q = (q_k) = (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})$ , then  $J_n^s(ces[p, q]) = n$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

### 3. GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space which is a subspace of  $l^0$ . As usual,  $S(X)$  and  $B(X)$  are denoted for the unit sphere and closed unit ball of  $X$ , respectively. A point  $x \in S(X)$  is said to be an extreme point of  $B(X)$  if there does not exist two distinct points  $y, z \in B(X)$  such that  $2x = y + z$ . The concept of extreme point plays an important role in the study of Krein–Milman theorem, Choquet integral representation theorem etc.

Foralewski [9] introduced the notion of *coordinatewise Kadec–Klee property* of a Banach space which is denoted by  $(H_c)$ .  $X$  is said to possess the property  $(H_c)$ , if  $x \in X$  and every sequence  $(x_l) \subset X$  such that

$$\|x_l\| \rightarrow \|x\| \text{ and } x_{il} \rightarrow x_i \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty \text{ for each } i, \text{ then } \|x_l - x\| \rightarrow 0.$$

If for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(x_l) \subset B(X), \text{ sep}(x_l) \geq \varepsilon, \|x_l\| \rightarrow \|x\| \text{ and } x_{li} \rightarrow x_i \text{ for each } i \text{ implies} \\ \|x\| \leq 1 - \delta,$$

where  $\text{sep}(x_l) = \inf\{\|x_l - x_m\| : l \neq m\}$ , then  $X$  is said to have the *coordinatewise uniformly Kadec–Klee property* and is denoted by  $X \in (UKK_c)$  [29]. For any Banach space  $X$ ,  $(UKK_c) \Rightarrow (H_c)$ .

$X$  is said to have the *uniform Opial property* (abbreviated as  $(UOP)$ ) if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$1 + \mu \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_l + x\|$$

for any weakly null sequence  $(x_l)$  in  $S(X)$  and  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \geq \varepsilon$  [23].

A Banach space  $X$  has the property  $(\beta)$  if and only if, there exists  $\delta > 0$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, for each element  $x \in B(X)$  and each sequence  $(x_l) \in B(X)$  with  $\text{sep}(x_l) \geq \epsilon$ , there is an index  $k$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{x + x_k}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta \text{ [5].}$$

The *weakly uniform normal structure* of a Banach space  $X$  (abbreviated as  $WUNS(X)$ ) is determined by the *weakly convergent sequence coefficient* of  $X$  (abbreviated as  $WCS(X)$ ) ([1], [3]) is defined as

$$WCS(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\limsup_k \sup_{n, m \geq k} \|x_n - x_m\|}{\inf_n \{\limsup \sup \|x_n - y\| : y \in \text{Conv}(x_n)\}} \right\},$$

where infimum is taken over all weakly convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  which is not norm convergent. If  $WCS(X) > 1$  then Banach space  $X$  has  $WUNS$ . A Banach space  $X$  has  $WUNS$  if it possesses  $(UOP)$  [16].



Let  $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$  be Banach spaces for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the Nakano sequence spaces  $l_{\hat{p}}(X_n)$  is defined as

$$l_{\hat{p}}(X_n) = \left\{ x : x_n \in X_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \rho(rx) < \infty \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

where convex modular  $\rho$  is defined as  $\rho(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x_n\|_n^{p_n}$ . It is easy to show that the sequence space  $l_{\hat{p}}(X_n)$  is a Banach space equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \rho\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, the Nakano sequence space  $l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , defined as

$$l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \left\{ x : \rho(rx) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|rx_n\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}^{p_n} < \infty \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\}$$

is a Banach space equipped with the norm defined in Eqn. (3.1) and  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is a  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the following norm:

$$\|(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\| = \sum_{i=0}^n |\alpha_i| \text{ for } (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Saejung [25] proved that Cesàro sequence spaces  $ces_p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  are isometrically embedded in the infinite  $l_p$ -sum  $l_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$  of finite dimensional spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Here similar result for the sequence space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  is presented below:

**Lemma 3.1.** *The sequence space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  is a closed subspace in the Nakano sequence space  $l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $x = (x_i) \in \Lambda_{\hat{p}}$ , the following linear isometry  $T : \Lambda_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  is defined by

$$T((x_i)) = \left( x_0, \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1} x_0, \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1} x_1 \right), \dots, \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n} x_0, \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_n} x_1, \dots, \frac{(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})}{\lambda_n} x_n \right), \dots \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T((x_i))\| \\ &= \|T(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots)\| \\ &= \left\| \left( x_0, \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1} x_0, \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1} x_1 \right), \dots, \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n} x_0, \dots, \frac{(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})}{\lambda_n} x_n \right), \dots \right) \right\| \\ &= \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r \lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sigma\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \|(x_i)\|_{\hat{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  is a closed subspace in  $l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

Instead of studying geometric properties of  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  it is enough to study geometric properties of  $l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . If such geometric properties are inherited by subspaces then  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  will have the same properties. Certain geometric structure of  $l_p(X_n)$ ,

$p > 1$  of finite dimensional spaces  $X_n$  has also been investigated by Rolewicz [24]. Saejung in his recent work ([25], Theorem 11 (2), p.535) established the following important result.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose each  $X_n$  is finite dimensional. Then the space  $l_{\hat{p}}(X_n)$  has property  $(\beta)$  and uniform Opial property if and only if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$ .*

Now we proceed for the following new result:

**Theorem 3.3.** *The sequence space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  has property  $(\beta)$  and uniform Opial property if and only if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is finite dimensional, proposition 3.2 implies that the space  $l_{\hat{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  possesses the property  $(\beta)$  and uniform Opial property if and only if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$ . Since the property  $(\beta)$  and uniform Opial property are inherited by subspaces [25], it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the sequence space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  has property  $(\beta)$  and uniform Opial property.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** (i) *The space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  has WUNS if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$ .*

(ii) *If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$ , and  $\lambda_n = n + 1$ , then  $ces_{\hat{p}}^n$  has property  $(\beta)$  and uniform Opial property ([25], Theorem 11 (2)).*

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose  $p^* = \sup_n p_n < \infty$ . Then the sequence space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  possesses coordinate-wise uniform Kadec–Klee property.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and take  $\eta = (\frac{\varepsilon}{4})^{p^*}$ , where  $p^* < \infty$  as  $(p_n)$  is bounded. The  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  is chosen such that  $(1 - \delta)^{p^*} > 1 - \eta$ . Suppose  $(x_l) \subset B(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$ ,  $sep(x_l) \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $\|x_l\|_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow \|x\|_{\hat{p}}$ ,  $x_{il} \rightarrow x_i$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It is shown that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_{\hat{p}} \leq 1 - \delta$ . In a hypothetical situation, suppose  $\|x\|_{\hat{p}} > 1 - \delta$  is true for all  $\delta > 0$ . Then one can select a finite set  $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$  on which  $\|x_{\chi_I}\|_{\hat{p}} > 1 - \delta$ , where  $x_{\chi_I} = x_i$  for  $i \in I$  and  $= 0$  for  $i \notin I$ . Since  $x_{il} \rightarrow x_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , therefore  $x_l \rightarrow x$  uniformly on  $I$ . Since  $\|x_l\|_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow \|x\|_{\hat{p}}$ , there exists  $d_N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|x_l \chi_I\|_{\hat{p}} > 1 - \delta \text{ and } \|(x_l - x_m) \chi_I\|_{\hat{p}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for all } l, m \geq d_N.$$

The first inequality implies that  $\sigma(x_l \chi_I) \geq \|x_l \chi_I\|_{\hat{p}}^{p^*} > (1 - \delta)^{p^*} > 1 - \eta$  for  $l \geq d_N$ . Since  $sep(x_l) \geq \varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $\|x_l - x_m\|_{\hat{p}} \geq \varepsilon$ , the second inequality implies that  $\|(x_l - x_m) \chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}\|_{\hat{p}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for  $l, m \geq d_N, l \neq m$ . Hence, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a  $d_N$  such that  $\|x_{l_N} \chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}\|_{\hat{p}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ . It may be assumed without losing generality that  $\|x_l \chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}\|_{\hat{p}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  for all  $l, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore from the relation between norm and modular, one obtains  $\sigma(x_l \chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}) \geq \|x_l \chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}\|_{\hat{p}}^{p^*} \geq (\frac{\varepsilon}{4})^{p^*} = \eta$ .

The convexity of the function  $f(t) = |t|^{p_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  affords  $f(\gamma u) = f(\gamma u + (1 - \gamma)0) \leq \gamma f(u)$  for any  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, if  $0 \leq u < v < \infty$ , then  $f(u) = f(\frac{u}{v}v) \leq \frac{u}{v}f(v)$ , which means that  $\frac{f(u)}{u} \leq \frac{f(v)}{v}$ . Assuming now that  $0 \leq u, v < \infty, u + v > 0$ , one gets

$$f(u + v) = u \frac{f(u+v)}{u+v} + v \frac{f(u+v)}{u+v} \geq u \frac{f(u)}{u} + v \frac{f(v)}{v} = f(u) + f(v).$$

Since  $x_l = x_l\chi_I + x_l\chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}$ , one gets  $\sigma(x_l\chi_I) + \sigma(x_l\chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}) \leq \sigma(x_l) \leq 1$  by applying the above mentioned fact. It implies that  $\sigma(x_l\chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}) \leq 1 - \sigma(x_l\chi_I) < 1 - (1 - \eta) = \eta$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(x_l\chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}) < \eta$ , which contradicts the inequality  $\sigma_{\Phi}(x_l\chi_{\mathbb{N}-I}) \geq \eta$  and this contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$ . Then a point  $x \in S(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$  is an extreme point of  $B(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$  if and only if  $\sigma(x) = 1$ .*

*Proof. Necessity:* Let  $x \in S(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$  be an extreme point and  $n_0$  be a natural number. Assume that  $\sigma(x) \neq 1$ . Putting  $\varepsilon = 1 - \sigma(x) > 0$  and considering the following two sequences:

$$y = (y_k) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_0}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

$$z = (z_k) = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_0}, 2x_{n_0+1}, 2x_{n_0+2}, \dots).$$

It is clear that  $2x = y + z$  and  $y \neq z$ . But

$$\sigma(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \leq \sigma(x) = 1 - \varepsilon < 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma(z) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k| \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{p_n} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \tag{3.2}$$

Since  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n < \infty$  and  $x \in \Lambda_{\hat{p}}$ , there exists a natural number  $n_0$  and a constant  $M > 0$  such that one has  $2^{p_n} \leq 2^M$  for all  $n > n_0$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k| \right)^{p_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^M}$ . Hence from inequality (3.2), one obtains  $\sigma(z) < \sigma(x) + \varepsilon = 1$ . The relation between norm and modular implies that  $\|y\|_{\hat{p}} < 1$  and  $\|z\|_{\hat{p}} < 1$ , which contradict the assumption that  $x$  is an extreme point. Therefore  $\sigma(x) = 1$  must hold.

*Sufficiency:* Let it be assumed that  $x$  satisfies condition  $\sigma(x) = 1$ . Suppose  $2x = y + z$  for some  $y, z \in B(\Lambda_{\hat{p}})$ . Then convexity of modular  $\sigma$  implies that

$$1 = \sigma(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(y) + \sigma(z)) \leq 1.$$

Therefore  $\sigma(y) = 1$  and  $\sigma(z) = 1$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , one gets

$$\left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left| \frac{y_k + z_k}{2} \right| \right)^{p_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |y_k| \right)^{p_n} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |z_k| \right)^{p_n}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since the functions  $f(t) = |t|^{p_n}$ ,  $p_n > 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are strictly convex so from Eqn. (3.3) it follows that  $\Lambda x(n) = \Lambda y(n) = \Lambda z(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , which in turn, implies that

$$|x_k| = |y_k| = |z_k| \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

If there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $y_{i_0} + z_{i_0} = 0$ , then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \sigma(x) &= \sigma\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left|\frac{y_k + z_k}{2}\right|\right)^{p_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{i_0\}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left|\frac{y_k + z_k}{2}\right|\right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{i_0\}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |y_k|\right)^{p_n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{i_0\}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |z_k|\right)^{p_n} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \sigma(y) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(z) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction. This means  $x_k = y_k = z_k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , i.e.,  $x = y = z$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* The statement of the Theorem 3.6 also says that the space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  is strictly convex, i.e., if  $\|y\|_{\hat{p}} = 1$ ,  $\|z\|_{\hat{p}} = 1$  and  $y \neq z$  implies that  $\left\|\frac{y+z}{2}\right\|_{\hat{p}} < 1$ .

The result is evident from the proof (sufficiency) of the last theorem. Indeed, if  $\sigma(x) = 1$  then we have  $\sigma(y) = 1$  and  $\sigma(z) = 1$  which gives  $\|y\|_{\hat{p}} = 1$  and  $\|z\|_{\hat{p}} = 1$ , respectively. Further, assume that  $\|y+z\|_{\hat{p}} = 2$ . Then proceeds similarly as above, one gets  $|y_k| = |z_k|$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We show that this implies  $y_k = z_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Assume on the contrary that  $y \neq z$ . Then there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $y_{i_0} + z_{i_0} = 0$ . Using the similar steps as above, we arrived at a contradiction. Hence  $y = z$ . Therefore the space  $\Lambda_{\hat{p}}$  is strictly convex.

#### 4. VON NEUMANN–JORDAN CONSTANT OF $\Lambda_2^{(2)}$

For two dimensional sequence space  $\Lambda_p^{(2)}$ , norm  $\|(u, v)\|_p$  is given by

$$\|(u, v)\|_p = \left( |u|^p + \left( \frac{\lambda_0 |u| + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) |v|}{\lambda_1} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The *von Neumann–Jordan constant*  $C_{NJ}(X)$  of a Banach space  $X$  was introduced by Clarkson [4], which is defined as follows:

$$C_{NJ}(X) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2}{2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)} : x, y \in X \text{ and } \|x\| + \|y\| \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Now the following theorem is taken as the starting point:

**Theorem 4.1.** *The von Neumann–Jordan constant is given by:  $C_{NJ}(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) = 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $(a, b), (c, d) \in \Lambda_2^{(2)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (a, b) \pm (c, d) \|^2 \\ &= \| (a \pm c, b \pm d) \|^2 \\ &= \left( |a \pm c|^2 + \left( \frac{\lambda_0 |a \pm c| + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) |b \pm d|}{\lambda_1} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\lambda_1^2} \right) |a \pm c|^2 + \frac{2\lambda_0(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1^2} |a \pm c| |b \pm d| + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_1^2} |b \pm d|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\lambda_0(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1^2} |a \pm c| |b \pm d| &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}} \left\{ 2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}{\lambda_1} |a \pm c| \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1} |b \pm d| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} |a \pm c|^2 + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_1^2} |b \pm d|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (a, b) + (c, d) \|^2 + \| (a, b) - (c, d) \|^2 \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}} \right) \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} (|a + c|^2 + |a - c|^2) + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_1^2} (|b + d|^2 + |b - d|^2) \right\} \\ &= 2 \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}} \right) \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} (|a|^2 + |c|^2) + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_1^2} (|b|^2 + |d|^2) \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}} \right) \left\{ \frac{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} |a|^2 + \frac{2\lambda_0(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1^2} |a||b| + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_1^2} |b|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} |c|^2 + \frac{2\lambda_0(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)}{\lambda_1^2} |c||d| + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_1^2} |d|^2 \right\} \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}} \right) \{ 2(\| (a, b) \|^2 + \| (c, d) \|^2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $C_{NJ}(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}$ .

Consider  $(a, b) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_0, 0)$  and  $(c, d) = (0, \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2})$ . Then by definition, one gets

$$C_{NJ}(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) \geq \frac{\| (a, b) + (c, d) \|^2 + \| (a, b) - (c, d) \|^2}{2(\| (a, b) \|^2 + \| (c, d) \|^2)} = 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}.$$

Combining the last two inequalities, one gets  $C_{NJ}(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) = 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}$ . □

**Corollary 4.2.** *The James constant is given by:  $J(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) = \sqrt{2 + \frac{2\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}}$ .*

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $\frac{1}{2}(J(\Lambda_2^{(2)}))^2 \leq C_{NJ}(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) = 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}$ . Therefore  $J(\Lambda_2^{(2)}) \leq \sqrt{2 + \frac{2\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}}$ . Equality occurs when  $x = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2}}, 0\right)$  and  $y = \left(0, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0}\right)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $\lambda_0 = 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , then  $C_{NJ}(ces_2^{(2)}) = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$  and  $J(ces_2^{(2)}) = \sqrt{2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}}$  (see [17], [25]).*

**Corollary 4.4.** *If  $\lambda_0 = q_0$  and  $\lambda_1 = q_0 + q_1$ , then  $C_{NJ}(ces[2, q]^{(2)}) = 1 + \frac{q_0}{\sqrt{2q_0^2 + 2q_0q_1 + q_1^2}}$  and  $J(ces[2, q]^{(2)}) = \sqrt{2 + \frac{2q_0}{\sqrt{2q_0^2 + 2q_0q_1 + q_1^2}}}$ .*

The following theorem is the counter part of a theorem presented by Saejung ([25], Theorem 15, p.536) for the sequence space  $\Lambda_p^{(2)}$ . We repeat a similar treatment here for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 4.5.** *The von Neumann–Jordan constant  $C_{NJ}(\Lambda_p^{(2)}) = \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi_2(t)}\right)^2$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$  where*

$$\psi(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1^p(1-t)^p}{\lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p} + \left(\frac{\lambda_0(1-t)}{(\lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p)^{1/p}} + t\right)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and } \psi_2(t) = \sqrt{\{(1-t)^2 + t^2\}}.$$

*Proof.* For  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is defined as

$$|(x, y)| = \left\| \left( \frac{\lambda_1 x}{(\lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p)^{1/p}}, \frac{\lambda_1 y}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)} \right) \right\|_{\Lambda_p^{(2)}}.$$

It may be easily verified that  $|(x, y)| = (|x|, |y|)$  and  $|(1, 0)| = 1 = |(0, 1)|$ . In other words,  $|(x, y)|$  defines an absolute and normalized norm. Additionally, a map  $T : (\mathbb{R}^2, |(,)|) \rightarrow \Lambda_p^{(2)}$  is chosen which is defined as

$$T((x, y)) = \left( \frac{\lambda_1 x}{(\lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p)^{1/p}}, \frac{\lambda_1 y}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)} \right).$$

Again, it may be easily shown that  $T$  is an isometric isomorphism, i.e., sequence spaces  $\Lambda_p^{(2)}$  are isometrically isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^2, |(,)|)$ . It suffices to prove that  $\psi \geq \psi_2$  by using derivation by Saito et al. ([26], Theorem 1, p. 521) and deduce that

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_0(1-t)}{(\lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p)^{1/p}} + t\right)^p \geq \frac{\lambda_0^p(1-t)^p}{\lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p} + t^p,$$

which implies that  $\psi(t) \geq \{(1-t)^p + t^p\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \{(1-t)^2 + t^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \psi_2(t)$ . Hence, the result.  $\square$

### 5. UPPER BOUNDS FOR HAUSDORFF MATRIX OPERATORS

As usual,  $l_p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  denotes the  $p$ -summable sequence spaces. Denote  $\tilde{\Lambda}x = (\tilde{\Lambda}x(n))$ , where  $\tilde{\Lambda}x(n) = \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})x_k \right|$ . Then the non-absolute

type  $\Lambda$ -sequence spaces  $\tilde{\Lambda}_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$  are introduced which were studied by Mursaleen and Noman [20] who defined them as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in l^0 : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{\Lambda}x(n))^p < \infty \right\}.$$

This is a Banach space equipped with the norm  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}_p} = \|\tilde{\Lambda}x\|_{l_p}$ . The inclusion relation between  $l_p$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}_p$  is given in the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.** ([20], Corollary 4.11.  $\mathcal{E}$  4.13.) *If  $\frac{1}{\lambda} = (\frac{1}{\lambda_n}) \in l_1$ , then  $\sup_k \left\{ (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right\} < \infty$  and the inclusion  $l_p \subset \tilde{\Lambda}_p$  holds for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .*

In this section, it is contemplated to establish a Hardy’s type formula as an upper bound for  $\|H_\theta\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p}$ , where  $H_\theta : l_p \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_p$ . Firstly, the definition of Hausdorff matrix [2] is recalled for the sake of convenience. Hausdorff matrix is denoted by  $H_\theta = (h_{n,k})$ ,  $n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and is defined by

$$h_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > n, \\ \binom{n}{k} \Delta^{n-k} \mu_k & \text{if } k \leq n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the difference operator defined by  $\Delta \mu_k = \mu_k - \mu_{k+1}$  and  $\mu = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots)$  is a sequence of real numbers with  $\mu_0 = 1$  and

$$\mu_k = \int_0^1 \theta^k d\mu(\theta),$$

where  $d\mu(\theta)$  is a Borel probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Therefore the equivalent form of the matrix  $H_\theta = (h_{n,k})$  is

$$h_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > n, \\ \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k} d\mu(\theta) & \text{if } k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $d\theta$  is chosen as the Lebesgue measure, then the Hausdorff matrix includes four famous classes of matrices as given below:

- (a) By putting  $d\mu(\theta) = \alpha(1 - \theta)^{\alpha-1}d\theta$ ,  $H_\theta$  leads to  $(C, \alpha)$ , the Cesàro matrix of order  $\alpha$ ;
- (b) By putting  $d\mu(\theta) = \frac{|\log \theta|^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}d\theta$ ,  $H_\theta$  reduces to  $(H, \alpha)$ , the Hölder matrix of order  $\alpha$ ;
- (c) By putting  $d\mu(\theta) =$  point evaluation at  $\theta = \alpha$ ,  $H_\theta$  reduces to  $(E, \alpha)$ , the Euler matrices of order  $\alpha$ ;
- (d) By putting  $d\mu(\theta) = \alpha\theta^{\alpha-1}d\theta$ ,  $H_\theta$  becomes  $(\Gamma, \alpha)$ , the Gamma matrices of order  $\alpha$ . The following lemma is required to establish the desired result.

**Lemma 5.2.** ([11], Theorem 216) *Consider two non-negative sequences  $x = (x_k)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_k)$  of real numbers with  $\mu_0 = 1$ . Then for  $1 < p < \infty$*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n h_{n,k} x_k \right)^p < \left( \int_0^1 \theta^{-1/p} d\mu(\theta) \right)^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k^p.$$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \in l_1$  and  $x = (x_k)$  be a non-negative sequence of real numbers. Then for the Hausdorff matrix  $H_\theta : l_p \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_p$ , one gets*

$$\|H_\theta\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p} \leq \left( \sup_k \left\{ (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right\} \right)^{1/p} \int_0^1 \theta^{-1/p} d\mu(\theta).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a non-negative sequence of real numbers in  $l_p$  and  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Applying the Hölder inequality and the Lemma 5.2, the following result is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_\theta x\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}_p}^p &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{j=0}^k h_{k,j} x_j \right)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left( \sum_{j=0}^k h_{k,j} x_j \right)^p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \right)^{p/q} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left( \sum_{j=0}^k h_{k,j} x_j \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \left( \sum_{j=0}^k h_{k,j} x_j \right)^p \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \sup_k \left\{ (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right\} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{j=0}^k h_{k,j} x_j \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \sup_k \left\{ (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right\} \right) \left( \int_0^1 \theta^{-1/p} d\mu(\theta) \right)^p \|x\|_{l_p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|H_\theta\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p} \leq \left( \sup_k \left\{ (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right\} \right)^{1/p} \int_0^1 \theta^{-1/p} d\mu(\theta),$$

and this completes the proof. □

**Corollary 5.4.** *By denoting  $M = \sup_k \left\{ (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right\}$  and imposing similar assumptions as those of Theorem 5.3, the following results are obtained:*

- (a)  $\|(C, \alpha)\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p} \leq M^{1/p} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1/q)}, \alpha > 0;$
- (b)  $\|(H, \alpha)\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p} \leq M^{1/p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \theta^{-1/p} |\log \theta|^{\alpha-1} d\theta, \alpha > 0;$
- (c)  $\|(E, \alpha)\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p} \leq M^{1/p} \alpha^{-1/p}, 0 < \alpha < 1;$
- (d)  $\|(\Gamma, \alpha)\|_{l_p, \tilde{\Lambda}_p} \leq M^{1/p} \frac{\alpha p}{\alpha p - 1}, \alpha p > 1.$



**Acknowledgments.** The author is deeply indebted to the anonymous referee for providing corrections, suggestions and continuous encouragement, which subsequently contributed a lot for substantial improvement of the paper. In particular, the author appreciates the comments from the referee to revise Theorem 3.6 and for the Remark 3.7. The author wishes to record his gratitude to the Editor-in-Chief, and communicating Editor, for the speedy processing of this manuscript and their continuous support. Finally, the author extend his gratitude to Dr. N. N. Das and Mr. S. K. Chakraborty for their support.

## REFERENCES

1. T. D. Benavides, *Weak uniform normal structure in direct-sum spaces*, Studia Math. **103** (1992), no. 3, 283–290.
2. G. Bennett, *Factorizing the classical inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1996), no. 576, 1–130.
3. W. L. Bynum, *Normal structure coefficients for Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **86** (1980), no. 2, 427–436.
4. J. A. Clarkson, *The von Neumann–Jordan constant of Lebesgue spaces*, Ann. Math. **38** (1937), 114–115.
5. Y. Cui, C. Meng, and R. Phuciennik, *Banach-Saks property and property  $(\beta)$  in Cesàro sequence spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **24** (2000), no. 2, 201–210.
6. Y. Cui and H. Hudzik, *Some geometric properties related to fixed point theory in Cesàro spaces*, Collect. Math. **50** (1999), no. 3, 277–288.
7. Y. Cui and R. Phuciennik, *Local uniform non-squareness in Cesàro sequence spaces*, Comment. Math. (Prace Mat.) **37** (1997), 47–58.
8. J. Diestel, A. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
9. P. Foralewski and H. Hudzik, *On some geometrical and topological properties of generalized Calderón -Lozanovskii sequence spaces*, Houston J. Math. **25** (1999), no. 3, 523–542.
10. P. Foralewski, H. Hudzik, and A. Szymaszkiewicz, *Local rotundity structure of Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345** (2008), no. 1, 410–419.
11. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2nd Edition, Oxford University press, Ely House, London, 2000.
12. R. C. James, *Uniformly non-square Banach spaces*, Ann. Math. **80** (1964), no. 3, 542–550.
13. P. D. Johnson Jr. and R. N. Mohapatra, *On inequalities related to sequence spaces  $ces[p, q]$* , General Inequalities 4, (W. Walter Ed.), Vol. 71: International Series of Numerical Mathematics, 191–201, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
14. A. Kamińska and D. Kubiak, *On isometric copies of  $l_\infty$  and James constants in Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **372** (1991), no.2, 574–584.
15. G. M. Leibowitz, *A note on the Cesàro sequence spaces*, Tamkang J. Math. **2** (1971), 151–157.
16. P.-K. Lin, K. K. Tan, and H.-K. Xu, *Demiclosedness principle and asymptotic behavior for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **24** (1995), no. 6, 929–946.
17. L. Maligranda, N. Petrot, and S. Suantai, *On the James constant and B-convexity of Cesàro and Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), no. 1, 312–331.
18. A. Manna and P. D. Srivastava, *Some geometric properties of Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces generated by de la Vallée-Poussin means*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **18** (2015), no. 2, 687–705.
19. F. Móricz, *On  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence of numerical sequence and Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hung. **54** (1989), no. 3-4, 319–327.
20. M. Mursaleen and A. K. Noman, *On some new sequence spaces of non-absolute type related to the spaces  $l_p$  and  $l_\infty$* , Filomat **25** (2011), no. 2, 33–51.

21. N. Petrot and S. Suantai, *Uniform Opial properties in generalized Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **63** (2005), no. 8, 1116–1125.
22. N. Petrot and S. Suantai, *On uniform Kadec–Klee properties and Rotundity in generalized Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2004** (2004), no. 2, 91–97.
23. S. Prus, *Geometrical background of metric fixed point theory*, *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, 93–132, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
24. S. Rolewicz, *On  $\Delta$ -uniform convexity and drop property*, *Studia Math.* **87**, (1987), no. 2, 181–191.
25. S. Saejung, *Another look at Cesàro sequence spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **366** (2010), no. 2, 530–537.
26. K. -S. Saito, M. Kato, and Y. Takahashi, *Von Neumann–Jordan constant of absolute normalized norms on  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **244** (2000), no. 2, 515–532.
27. J. S. Shiue, *Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Tamkang J. Math.* **1** (1970), 19–25.
28. S. Suantai, *On some convexity properties of generalized Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Georgian Math. J.* **10** (2003), no. 1, 193–200.
29. T. Zhang, *The coordinatewise Uniformly Kadec–Klee property in some Banach spaces*, *Siberian Math. J.* **44** (2003), no. 2, 363–365.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF CARPET TECHNOLOGY, CHAURI ROAD, BHADOHI- 221401, UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA.

*E-mail address:* [atanu.manna@iict.ac.in](mailto:atanu.manna@iict.ac.in) or [atanuiitkgp86@gmail.com](mailto:atanuiitkgp86@gmail.com)