

IPA-deformations of functions on affine space

David B. MASSEY

(Received July 1, 2016; Revised February 13, 2017)

Abstract. We investigate deformations of functions on affine space, deformations in which the changes specialize to a distinguished point in the zero-locus of the original function. Such deformations – deformations with isolated polar activity – enable us to obtain nice results on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of the original function.

Key words: hypersurface, deformations, isolated polar activity, relative polar curve, relative conormal, hypercohomology.

1. Introduction

It is standard to investigate singularities of maps and spaces by looking at deformations. We began doing this in our 1988 paper [10], and then, in our 1996 paper [9], we defined *prepolar deformations*, but we did very little with the notion.

In this paper, we will define a notion – *deformations with isolated polar activity or IPA-deformations* – which is a more general notion than that of our old prepolar deformations. An IPA-deformation is roughly analogous to an unfolding of a map-germ such that the map-germ has an isolated instability with respect to the given unfolding.

We will use many of our results from [7], in which we used the derived category, nearby cycles, vanishing cycles, and a generalized notion of the relative polar curve. We did this with respect to an arbitrary bounded, constructible complex of \mathbb{Z} -modules on an arbitrary complex analytic space (and the results hold for more general base rings which are commutative, regular, Noetherian, with finite Krull dimension). However, the extreme generality of [7] makes the results there almost incomprehensible.

Even in the well-studied case of functions on affine space, the results we obtain are non-trivial, but hard to decipher from [7]. Some of the results that we obtain are familiar, but with weaker hypotheses, as in Theorem 3.1, while the main result for IPA-deformations, Theorem 4.3, is new, and unavoidably involves hypercohomology with coefficients in the sheaf of vanishing cycles.

The basic essence of our results will certainly not be surprising for experts; the results say that if one has a complex analytic function germ at the origin in affine space and one deforms the function in such a way that the origin is the “only place where the deformation changes the function”, then the Milnor fiber of the original function at the origin has cohomology which “changes only in the top possible degree” (that is, in middle dimension). Of course, making this precise is not simple.

An outline of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we give a conormal definition of the relative polar set $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$ of a function f with respect to a non-zero linear form t ; when this is 1-dimensional, we define the relative polar curve $\Gamma_{f,t}^1$ as a cycle. This is a variant of the classical relative polar curve of Hamm, Lê, and Teissier (see, for instance, [2], [15], [4], [5]). Our motivation for this seemingly convoluted definition is that it turns out that the genericity that we need for our results can be given simply by requiring $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$. We prove a number of important properties about our polar set/curve.

In Section 3, we recover three classical results on Milnor fibers and complex links, but we use our weaker hypothesis that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$; on the other hand, our results are also weaker, as they are on the level of cohomology, not homotopy-type.

In Section 4, we define an IPA-deformation of a function f_0 as a function f and a non-zero linear form t such that $f_0 = f|_{V(t)}$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$ (or, equivalently, $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$). Then, the main theorem, Theorem 4.3 is:

Theorem *Suppose that f is an IPA-deformation of f_0 . Then, there are isomorphisms:*

For $k \neq n - 1$,

$$\tilde{H}^k(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(F_{t, \mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z} \bullet_u),$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\gamma \oplus \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(F_{t, \mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z} \bullet_u),$$

where $\gamma := (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}}$.

In particular, $\text{rank } \tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \geq \gamma$.

This theorem gives the reduced cohomology of the Milnor fiber $F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}$ in terms of a single intersection number and the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t, \mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)$, where $F_{t, \mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f$ is the Milnor fiber of the deformation parameter t restricted to the critical locus Σf and $\phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet$ is the complex of vanishing cycles of the constant sheaf along f .

We also give two examples, where f_0 has a 0- and 1-dimensional critical locus, for which we can explicitly calculate $\tilde{H}(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z})$ via Theorem 4.3.

2. The Relative Conormal Space and Generalized Polar Curve

Let \mathcal{U} be a connected open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and let $f : (\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a complex analytic function which is not identically zero. We let t be a non-zero linear form on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , restricted to \mathcal{U} . We write Σf (respectively, $\Sigma(f, t)$) for the critical locus of f (respectively, of (f, t)). We assume that \mathcal{U} is chosen small enough so that $\Sigma f \subseteq V(f) := f^{-1}(0)$.

Suppose that M is a complex submanifold of \mathcal{U} . For $x \in M$, let $T_x M$ denote the tangent space of M at x . Let $T^* \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ denote the cotangent space of \mathcal{U} and let $\pi : T^* \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the projection.

Below, we will recall the now-classic notions of the conormal and relative conormal spaces (see, for instance, [16]). We should mention that our definition of the relative conormal space of f restricted to M deviates from the standard definition, in that we do not eliminate critical points of $f|_M$. In Remark 2.2, we will discuss our reasons for this, and point out why, in the case of $M = \mathcal{U}$, it yields the usual closure.

Definition 2.1 The **conormal space** $T_M^* \mathcal{U}$ is defined by

$$T_M^* \mathcal{U} := \{(x, \eta) \in T^* \mathcal{U} \cap \pi^{-1}(M) \mid \eta(T_x M) = 0\}.$$

The **relative conormal space** $T_{f|_M}^* \mathcal{U}$ is defined by

$$T_{f|_M}^* \mathcal{U} := \{(x, \eta) \in T^* \mathcal{U} \cap \pi^{-1}(M) \mid \eta(T_x M \cap \ker d_x f) = 0\}.$$

Remark 2.2 Definition 2.1 agrees with the definition we have used in several other of our papers, other papers in which we needed to consider the case where f is constant on M . In this degenerate case, we want the relative conormal space of $f|_M$ in \mathcal{U} to be equal to the conormal space of M in \mathcal{U} ; this requires our modified definition. However, since \mathcal{U} is connected and we

are assuming that f is not constant on \mathcal{U} , once we take closures in $T^*\mathcal{U}$, the original notion and our modified notion yield the same space for $f = f|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

To see this, note that the fiber, $(T_f^*\mathcal{U})_x$, of $T_f^*\mathcal{U}$ over a point $x \in \mathcal{U}$ is given by:

$$(T_f^*\mathcal{U})_x = \begin{cases} \{\lambda d_x f\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}}, & \text{if } x \notin \Sigma f; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \Sigma f. \end{cases}$$

Thus, there is an equality of the closures in $T^*\mathcal{U}$ given by

$$\overline{T_{f|_{\mathcal{U}-\Sigma f}}^* \mathcal{U}} = \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}},$$

and this analytic set is irreducible of dimension $n + 2$.

We shall need the following proposition later.

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose that Y is an irreducible component of Σf . Then, $\overline{T_{Y_{\text{reg}}}^* \mathcal{U}} \subseteq \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$.*

In fact, for an open dense (in the analytic Zariski topology) subset $Q \subseteq Y_{\text{reg}}$, there is an equality

$$T_Q^* \mathcal{U} = \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(Q).$$

Proof. An a_f stratification of $V(f)$ (which exists by [2] or [3]) yields (by considering the strata contained in Σf) a complex analytic stratification \mathfrak{S} of Σf with connected strata such that, for all $S \in \mathfrak{S}$,

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(S) \subseteq T_S^* \mathcal{U}. \tag{\dagger}$$

In particular,

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\Sigma f) \subseteq \bigcup_{S \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}}. \tag{\ddagger}$$

Note that, for each irreducible component Y of Σf , there must exist a unique stratum $S^Y \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $\overline{S^Y} = Y$. We claim that S^Y can be used for the Q referred to in the statement of the theorem.

It is well-known and easy to see that the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}) \subseteq \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{P}^n$ over the critical locus Σf is isomorphic to the exceptional divisor of

the blow-up of \mathcal{U} via the Jacobian ideal. Hence, each irreducible component has dimension n . By un-projectivizing, this tells us that $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\Sigma f)$ is purely $(n + 1)$ -dimensional.

Thus, (‡) implies that $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\Sigma f)$ is a union of **some** of the $\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}}$ for $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ (in micro-local terminology, this means that $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\Sigma f)$ is *Langrangian*). Let us write \mathfrak{S}' for the subset of \mathfrak{S} such that

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\Sigma f) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathfrak{S}'} \overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}}.$$

In addition, since this maps surjectively maps onto Σf , for each irreducible component Y of Σf , $S^Y \in \mathfrak{S}'$.

Therefore, for each irreducible component Y of Σf ,

$$\overline{T_{Y_{\text{reg}}}^* \mathcal{U}} = \overline{T_{S^Y}^* \mathcal{U}} \subseteq \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}},$$

which proves the first statement of the theorem.

The second statement follows from the first statement, since (†) tells us that

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(S^Y) \subseteq T_{S^Y}^* \mathcal{U}. \quad \square$$

Below, we consider the intersection product, $(-\cdot-)$; as we will always be dealing with proper intersections inside a smooth manifold, this will yield a well-defined intersection cycle (**not** just a cycle class modulo rational equivalence). See [1, Section 8.2 and Example 11.4.4].

Recall that t is a non-zero linear form on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , restricted to \mathcal{U} . We will also consider $\text{im } dt$, the image of the differential of t . To be clear, this means that

$$\text{im } dt := \{(x, d_x t) \in T^* \mathcal{U} \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\};$$

Note that $\text{im } dt$ has dimension $n + 1$, so that $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$ properly intersects $\text{im } dt$ in $T^* \mathcal{U}$ if and only if

$$\dim(\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt) = (n + 2) + (n + 1) - 2(n + 1) = 1.$$

Observe that the restriction, $\hat{\pi}$, of π to $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt$ yields an analytic iso-

morphism to its image $\pi(\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt)$, with inverse $\hat{\pi}^{-1} : \pi(\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt) \rightarrow \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt$ given by $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(x) = (x, d_x t)$.

We can now define our mild generalization of the relative polar curve, introduced in [7]:

Definition 2.4 The **relative polar set** is

$$|\Gamma_{f,t}| = \pi(\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt).$$

If C is a 1-dimensional irreducible component of $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$, then $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$ and $\text{im } dt$ must intersect properly over C (i.e., along $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(C)$), and we define the **multiplicity m_C of C in $\Gamma_{f,t}$** to be the intersection multiplicity of the cycles $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$ and $\text{im } dt$ over C .

If $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$ is purely 1-dimensional, then we define the **relative polar curve** (cycle) to be the proper push-forward of the intersection product of the cycles $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$ and $\text{im } dt$:

$$\Gamma_{f,t}^1 := \pi_* \left(\left[\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \right] \cdot [\text{im } dt] \right) = \sum_C m_C [C],$$

where the sum is over the irreducible components C of $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$.

Remark 2.5 If t is generic enough (see the next proposition) so that $\dim_0 |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$, then, near the origin,

$$|\Gamma_{f,t}| = \overline{\Sigma(f, t) - \Sigma f},$$

and so $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$ is the classical relative polar curve of Hamm, Lê, and Teissier.

However, frequently in these classic works, t was also required to be generic enough so that the cycle $\Gamma_{f,t}^1$ was reduced, i.e., each component occurs with multiplicity 1 in the cycle. This is equivalent to requiring that $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$ transversely intersects $\text{im } dt$ in $T^* \mathcal{U}$. Our results do not require t to be so generic.

In fact, with our definition, there is no need for t to be a linear form; t could be replaced by an arbitrary complex analytic function $g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which may have critical points. Then, the “genericity” that we need is still essentially the same; we require that $\dim_0 V(g) \cap |\Gamma_{f,g}| \leq 0$.

We now prove many properties possessed by the relative polar set and curve.

Proposition 2.6 *Let (t, z_1, \dots, z_n) be coordinates on \mathcal{U} . The relative polar set and curve have the following properties:*

- (1) *The dimension of every component of $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$ must be at least 1 (which includes vacuously the case of the empty set, which has no irreducible components), i.e., there are no isolated points in $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $p \notin \Sigma f$. Then, near p ,*

$$|\Gamma_{f,t}| = V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) = \Sigma(f, t).$$

Thus,

$$\Sigma(f, t) = \Sigma f \cup |\Gamma_{f,t}|.$$

- (3) *Furthermore, if C is a 1-dimensional component of $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$, and $C \not\subseteq \Sigma f$, then the multiplicity, m_C , of C in the cycle $\Gamma_{f,t}$ is precisely the geometric multiplicity of the scheme*

$$V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right)$$

in an open neighborhood of a generic point p on C , which equals the Milnor number $\mu_p(f|_{V(t-t(p))} - f(p))$.

- (4) *There exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of the origin such that*

$$\mathcal{W} \cap |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) = \mathcal{W} \cap |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f).$$

In particular, $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$ (where the strict inequalities means that $\mathbf{0} \notin |\Gamma_{f,t}|$, i.e., $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$ is empty at $\mathbf{0}$).

- (5) *For generic t , $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$. Specifically, if \mathfrak{S} is an a_f stratification of $V(f)$ and t is such that there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of the origin such that, in $\mathcal{W} - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $V(t)$ transversely intersects all $S \in \mathfrak{S}$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$. In particular, if $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma(f|_{V(t)}) = 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$, which*

implies that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$.

Proof. While all of these properties can be concluded from results in [7], the proofs and statements there are far more abstract than we need here. Hence, we will give more basic proofs which apply to our current setting.

Item (1): This is trivial. The dimension of every component of $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt$ is at least

$$\dim \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} + \dim(\text{im } dt) - \dim T^* \mathcal{U} = (n + 2) + (n + 1) - 2(n + 1) = 1,$$

and π induces an isomorphism from this intersection to $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$.

Items (2) and (3): We use (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) for our cotangent coordinates and it is notationally convenient to let $z_0 := t$, so that we have

$$(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

for coordinates on $T^* \mathcal{U}$. Note that, in these coordinates $\text{im } dt = \text{im } dz_0$ is equal to

$$V(w_0 - 1, w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

Suppose that $p \notin \Sigma f$. Then, in a neighborhood of $(p, d_p t)$, $w_0 \neq 0$ and $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} = T_f^* \mathcal{U}$ is given, as a scheme, by

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} = V\left(w_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} - w_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} = V\left(w_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} - w_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$$

Therefore, in a neighborhood of p ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt) &= \pi\left(V\left(w_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} - w_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \cap V(w_0 - 1, w_1, \dots, w_n)\right) \\ &\quad - \pi\left(V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}, w_0 - 1, w_1, \dots, w_n\right)\right) \\ &= V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2).

Now, if C' is a 1-dimensional component of $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt$, then, at generic points of C' ,

$$\left\{ w_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} - w_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0} \right\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}, w_0 - 1, w_1, \dots, w_n$$

is a regular sequence, and so the multiplicity of C' in $\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cdot \text{im } dt$ is the multiplicity of the scheme

$$V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}, w_0 - 1, w_1, \dots, w_n\right)$$

along C' . The conclusion of (3) follows immediately.

Item (4):

Suppose this is false. Then either $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{|\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) - V(f)}$ or $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{|\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) - V(t)}$.

First, we fix an a_f stratification \mathfrak{S} of $V(f)$ with connected strata; such a stratification exists by [3]. As we used earlier in Proposition 2.3, the conormal characterization of an a_f stratification is that, for all $S \in \mathfrak{S}$,

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(S) \subseteq T_S^* \mathcal{U}.$$

Now, suppose that $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{|\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) - V(f)}$. Let $\alpha(u)$ be a complex analytic curve such that $\alpha(0) = \mathbf{0}$ and, for $u \neq 0$, $\alpha(u) \in |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) - V(f)$. For $0 < |u| \ll 1$, $\alpha(u) \notin V(f)$ and so $\alpha(u) \notin \Sigma f$. By Item (2), for all u ,

$$\alpha(u) \in V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right).$$

Thus, by the Chain Rule, and using that $\alpha(u) \in V(t)$,

$$(f(\alpha(u)))' = (t|_{\alpha(u)})' \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \Big|_{\alpha(u)} \equiv 0.$$

Hence, $f(\alpha(u))$ is a constant, and that constant must be 0; this contradicts that $\alpha(u) \notin V(f)$ for $u \neq 0$.

Suppose instead that $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{|\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) - V(t)}$. Let $\alpha(u)$ be a complex

analytic curve such that $\alpha(0) = \mathbf{0}$ and, for $u \neq 0$, $\alpha(u) \in |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) - V(t)$. Then, there exists a unique stratum S in our a_f stratification such that, for $0 < |u| \ll 1$, $\alpha(u) \in S$. Since $\alpha(u) \in |\Gamma_{f,t}|$,

$$(\alpha(u), d_{\alpha(u)}t) \in \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(S) \subseteq T_S^* \mathcal{U}.$$

As $\alpha'(u) \in T_{\alpha(u)}S$, we conclude that $d_{\alpha(u)}t(\alpha'(u)) = (t|_{\alpha(u)})' \equiv 0$. Thus, $t|_{\alpha(u)}$ must be a constant, and that constant must be 0; this contradicts that $\alpha(u) \notin V(t)$ for $u \neq 0$.

Item (5): Finally, we will show that, for a generic linear form t , $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$. By generic, we mean that its projective class is in an open dense subset of the projectivized dual of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . The argument that we give is due to Hamm and Lê in [2].

Recall that we fixed an a_f stratification \mathfrak{S} for $V(f)$ in Item (4). For each stratum $S \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}}$ is irreducible and conic of dimension $n + 1$. Writing $\mathbb{P}(\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}})$ for its projectivization in the cotangent directions, we have that $\mathbb{P}(\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}})$ is an irreducible n -dimensional subvariety

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(T^* \mathcal{U}) \cong \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{P}^n.$$

Therefore, if $S \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then the fiber over the origin $\mathbb{P}(\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}})_{\mathbf{0}}$ is a subvariety of $\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{P}^n \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension at most $n - 1$. Consider the open dense subset Ω of \mathbb{P}^n given by

$$\Omega := \mathbb{P}^n - \bigcup_{S \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}} \mathbb{P}(\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}})_{\mathbf{0}}.$$

Let t be such that its projective class $[d_{\mathbf{0}}t]$ is in Ω , i.e., t is such that

$$d_{\mathbf{0}}t \notin \bigcup_{S \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}} (\overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}));$$

this is equivalent to selecting t so that there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{W} such that $V(t)$ transversely intersects all $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ inside $\mathcal{W} - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ (where we use that stratified critical points of t near $\mathbf{0}$ must occur inside $V(t)$).

Thus,

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \cap \text{im } dt \cap \bigcup_{S \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}} \overline{T_S^* \mathcal{U}} = \emptyset.$$

Now, using that \mathfrak{S} is an a_f stratification, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \cap \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap (f \circ \pi)^{-1}(0) \cap \text{im } dt \\ &= \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \cap \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \pi^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{S \in \mathfrak{S}} S\right) \cap \text{im } dt \\ &\subseteq \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \cap \left(\bigcup_{S \in \mathfrak{S}} T_S^* \mathcal{U}\right) \cap \text{im } dt = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \cap T_{\{\mathbf{0}\}}^* \mathcal{U} \cap \text{im } dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{W} \cap |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \subseteq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, i.e., $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$.

The last statement of this item follows from the a_f statement, but is trivial to prove independently.

$$\Sigma(f|_{V(t)}) = V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}, t\right),$$

which, by Item (2), is equal to

$$(\Sigma f \cup |\Gamma_{f,t}|) \cap V(t)$$

If the dimension of this at the origin is at most 0, then certainly $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$. □

Remark 2.7 Given the previous proposition, the definition of the relative polar curve given in Definition 2.4 may seem needlessly convoluted. Why not just define $\Gamma_{f,t}$ to be $\overline{\Sigma(f,t) - \Sigma f}$ and, if this is 1-dimensional, give it the cycle structure in which the coefficient of a component C is the Milnor number of $f - f(p)$, restricted to a transverse hyperplane slice to C at a generic point $p \in C$?

In fact, Item 2 of Proposition 2.6 does not prohibit the possibility that a component of $\Gamma_{f,t}$ is contained in Σf ; this is what makes our definition of the relative polar curve more general than the traditional one. However, the condition that we will always require on t is that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$, which means that we need for this theoretical possibility to not occur.

In conormal terms, the condition that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$ is equiva-

lent to requiring that $(\mathbf{0}, d_0t)$ be an isolated point of

$$\overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}} \cap (f \circ \pi)^{-1}(0) \cap \text{im } dt.$$

This is the property that we needed for many of the results in [7], which we shall use later in this paper.

We wish to give a classic example of the relative polar curve and some of the properties given in Proposition 2.6.

Example 2.8 Consider the function given by $f(t, x, y) = y^2 - x^3 - tx^2$. Considered as a family in t , the zero-locus of f , $V(f)$, is a family of nodes, degenerating to a cusp. Up to an analytic change of coordinates, this is the complex Whitney umbrella.

One easily verifies that critical locus of f is $\Sigma f = V(x, y) = t$ -axis. Furthermore,

$$\Sigma(f, t) = V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) = V(-3x^2 - 2tx, 2y) = V(x, y) \cup V(3x + 2t, y).$$

Note that $\Sigma(f, t) \cap V(t) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, which, by Item 2 of Proposition 2.6, implies that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$$

and, equivalently, that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$. Thus, Σf does **not** contain a component of $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$, and so

$$|\Gamma_{f,t}| = \overline{\Sigma(f, t) - \Sigma f} = V(3x + 2t, y).$$

As the geometric multiplicity of $V(3x + 2t, y)$ is 1, we have an equality of cycles

$$\Gamma_{f,t}^1 = [V(3x + 2t, y)].$$

3. Generalizations of Classical results

The only theorem in this section contains, on the level of cohomology, generalizations of Lê's main result in [4], a result of Siersma in [14], and a

result of Lê and Perron in [6]. These are generalizations in the sense that the hypothesis needed is significantly weaker than those used in the earlier theorems.

We let $f_0 := f|_{V(t)}$, and we let $F_{f,\mathbf{0}}$ and $F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}$ denote, respectively, the Milnor fibers of f and f_0 at the origin. Also let $B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0})$ denote the open ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$, centered at $\mathbf{0}$.

Recall that, from Remark 2.5, $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$ (or, equivalently, $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$).*

Then, for $0 < |v| \ll |c| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,

(1) *For all k , there are isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} & H^k(B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap f^{-1}(v), B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap t^{-1}(c) \cap f^{-1}(v); \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \cong H^k(B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap f^{-1}(v), B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap V(t) \cap f^{-1}(v); \mathbb{Z}) \\ & = H^k(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}, F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^\tau, & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq n, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau := (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(f))_{\mathbf{0}}$.

(2) *The complex link of $V(f)$ at the origin (with respect to t),*

$$\mathbb{L}_{V(f),\mathbf{0}} := B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap V(f) \cap t^{-1}(c),$$

has reduced cohomology given by

$$\tilde{H}^k(\mathbb{L}_{V(f),\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^\gamma, & \text{if } k = n - 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq n - 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma := (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}}$.

Proof. In the statement of the theorem, we have suppressed any use of the derived category, vanishing cycles, and nearby cycles. However, we will necessarily need them to translate the results [7] into the forms that appear in Theorem 3.1.

First,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H^k(B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap f^{-1}(v), B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap t^{-1}(c) \cap f^{-1}(v); \mathbb{Z}) \\
 &\cong H^{k-n}(\phi_g[-1]\psi_f[-1]\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{U}[n+1])_{\mathbf{0}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, Theorem 3.14 of [7] implies that

$$H^k(B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap f^{-1}(v), B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap t^{-1}(c) \cap f^{-1}(v); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^\tau, & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq n. \end{cases}$$

That this is also isomorphic to $H^k(F_{f,\mathbf{0}}, F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z})$ is immediate from Item 1 of Corollary 4.6 of [7].

Item 2 of Corollary 4.6 of [7] tells us that

$$H^{n+k}(F_{t,\mathbf{0}}, F_{t|_{V(f)},\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is non-zero only if $k = 0$ and, if $k = 0$, is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^γ . As $F_{t,\mathbf{0}}$ is contractible, Item 2 of Theorem 3.1 follows from the long exact sequence of the pair $(F_{t,\mathbf{0}}, F_{t|_{V(f)},\mathbf{0}})$. □

Remark 3.2 It is important to note that we have said that Theorem 3.1 generalizes earlier works **on the level of cohomology**. In fact, these earlier works have stronger conclusions.

The first isomorphism of Item 1 of Theorem 3.1 is the cohomological version of an isotopy result proved in Lê and Perron in [6] (their ambient space is \mathbb{C}^3 , but that is irrelevant to their proof). In [4], Lê actually proves that $F_{f,\mathbf{0}}$ is obtained, up to homotopy, from $F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}$ by attaching τ n -cells. In [14], Siersma proves that $\mathbb{L}_{V(f),\mathbf{0}}$ has the homotopy-type of a bouquet of γ $(n - 1)$ -spheres.

It is important to note that the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H^k(B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap f^{-1}(v), B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap t^{-1}(c) \cap f^{-1}(v); \mathbb{Z}) \\
 &\cong H^k(B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap f^{-1}(v), B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap V(t) \cap f^{-1}(v); \mathbb{Z})
 \end{aligned}$$

is not natural. In particular, the Milnor monodromy of f – the monodromy as the value of v travels in a circle around the origin – acts differently on these two modules.

4. IPA-deformations

In Section 3, we avoided referring to hypercohomology and vanishing cycles in our statements of results. In this section, that is not possible. However, we shall give some examples which will, hopefully, make the results more accessible.

Definition 4.1 Let \mathcal{W} be an open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^n , and suppose we have a complex analytic function $f_0 : (\mathcal{W}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Then, a **deformation of f_0 with isolated polar activity at $\mathbf{0}$, or an IPA-deformation of f_0 , with parameter t** , is a complex analytic $f : \mathbb{D}^\circ \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where \mathbb{D}° is an open disk around the origin in \mathbb{C} , such that, if t denotes the projection onto \mathbb{D}° and we identify \mathcal{W} with $\{0\} \times \mathcal{W}$, then $f_0 = f|_{V(t)}$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$ (or, equivalently, $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(f) \leq 0$).

A **null IPA-deformation** is an IPA-deformation for which $\mathbf{0} \notin |\Gamma_{f,t}|$.

Throughout the remainder of this paper, we assume that f is an IPA-deformation of $f_0 : (\mathcal{W}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ at $\mathbf{0}$, where \mathcal{W} is an open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^n .

The following proposition tells us that the critical locus is well-behaved in IPA-deformations.

Proposition 4.2 *The following cases can occur:*

- If $\mathbf{0} \notin \Sigma f$, then, in a neighborhood of the origin, $\Sigma(f_0) = |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t)$, and so either $\mathbf{0} \notin \Sigma f_0$ or $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma(f_0) = 0$.
- If $\mathbf{0} \in \Sigma f$, then in a neighborhood of the origin, $\Sigma(f_0) = \Sigma f \cap V(t)$ and, if $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f \geq 1$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f \cap V(t) = \dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f - 1$.

Proof. We use (t, z_1, \dots, z_n) for coordinates on \mathcal{U} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(f_0) &= V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}, t\right) \\ &= (\Sigma f \cup |\Gamma_{f,t}|) \cap V(t) = (\Sigma f \cap V(t)) \cup (|\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t)). \end{aligned}$$

As we are assuming that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t) \leq 0$, all of the conclusions follow, with the exception of the final dimension claim.

The only way that we can have $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f \cap V(t) \neq \dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f - 1$ is if $V(t)$ contains an irreducible component Y of Σf which contains the origin.

However, Proposition 2.3 tells us that $\overline{T_{Y_{\text{reg}}}^* \mathcal{U}} \subseteq \overline{T_f^* \mathcal{U}}$. Furthermore, $Y \subseteq V(t)$ implies that $\pi(\overline{T_{Y_{\text{reg}}}^* \mathcal{U}} \cap \text{im } dt) = Y$. But this implies that $Y \subseteq |\Gamma_{f,t}| \cap V(t)$. This would contradict that the dimension of Y is at least 1 and that f is an IPA-deformation. \square

Below, we will use the Milnor fiber, $F_{t,\mathbf{0}}$, of t at the origin, and consider the space

$$F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f = B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap \Sigma f \cap t^{-1}(a),$$

where $0 < |a| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$. This should be thought of as the “complex link of Σf at $\mathbf{0}$ ” (with respect to t).

The vanishing cycles along f are denoted by ϕ_f , and \mathbb{H} denotes hypercohomology with respect to a complex of sheaves.

Theorem 4.3 *There are isomorphisms:*

For $k \neq n - 1$,

$$\tilde{H}^k(F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet),$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\gamma \oplus \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet),$$

where $\gamma := (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}}$.

In particular, $\text{rank } \tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \geq \gamma$.

Proof. This is precisely Item 3 of Corollary 4.6 of [7], with $\mathbf{F}^\bullet = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet[n + 1]$, noting that

$$\psi_t[-1] \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet[n + 1] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|V(t)}^\bullet[n],$$

since $\phi_t[-1] \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet[n + 1] = 0$ as t has no critical points. \square

As an immediate corollary, we have:

Corollary 4.4 *Suppose that $H^{n-1}(F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then f is a null IPA-deformation.*

We wish now to give two examples.

Example 4.5 Suppose $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma(f_0) = 0$.

If $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f \leq 0$, then $F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet) = 0$ for all k .

If $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f = 1$, then

- $F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f$ consists of a finite number of points $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$,
- $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet) = 0$ for $k \neq n - 1$, and
- $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet) = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}^{\mu_{p_i}(f|_{V(t-t(p_i))})}$.

Thus, we arrive at the well-known, easy result that

$$\mu_{\mathbf{0}}(f_0) = (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}} + \sum_{p \in F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f} \mu_p(f|_{V(t-t(p))}).$$

Example 4.6 Suppose $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma(f_0) = 1$. Then, Proposition 4.2 tells us that $\dim_{\mathbf{0}} \Sigma f = 2$ and, hence, $F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f$ is 1-dimensional. Calculating $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)$ in this case is generally highly non-trivial. But, in this example, we will look at a special case.

First, we need to discuss the cohomology of the Milnor fiber at the origin of

$$g(x, y, s) := y^2 - x^b - sx^a,$$

where $b > a \geq 2$. This does not use IPA-deformations.

By the Sebastiani-Thom result [12], the Milnor fiber $F_{g,\mathbf{0}}$ is, up to homotopy, the suspension of the Milnor fiber of $h(x, s) := -x^b - sx^a = -x^a(x^{b-a} + s)$. After an analytic change of coordinates at the origin, letting $\hat{s} := x^{b-a} + s$, we find that h becomes $\hat{h}(x, \hat{s}) = -x^a \hat{s}$. This is a homogeneous polynomial, and so, by Lemma 9.4 of [11], $F_{\hat{h},\mathbf{0}} \cong \hat{h}^{-1}(1)$. But this is simply the graph of the function $k : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by $k(x) = -1/x^a$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* . Thus, we find that, regardless of the values of a and b , $F_{g,\mathbf{0}}$ has the homotopy-type of S^2 and so, for $k \neq 2$, $\tilde{H}^k(F_{g,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\tilde{H}^2(F_{g,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Now consider $f_0(x, y, s) := y^2 - x^b - s^m x^a$, where $b > a \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Again, this is a suspension, but we can no longer perform an analytic change of coordinates on $-x^a(x^{b-a} + s^m)$ to immediately determine the homotopy-type or cohomology of $F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}$. It may be true that we could analyze this by other techniques, but, instead we will use IPA-deformations.

We claim that $f(x, y, s, t) := y^2 - x^b - s^m x^a + tx^a$ is an IPA-deformation of f_0 and that Theorem 4.3 allows us to calculate $H^*(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z})$.

We first want to produce an a_f stratification of $V(f)$. It is trivial to verify that $\Sigma f = V(x, y)$. Now, consider the 2-parameter family of isolated critical points given by

$$f_{s,t}(x, y) := y^2 - x^b - s^m x^a + tx^a.$$

The partial derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial f_{s,t}}{\partial x} = -bx^{b-1} - as^m x^{a-1} + atx^{a-1} = -x^{a-1}(bx^{b-a} + a(s^m - t)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{s,t}}{\partial y} = 2y,$$

and we find that the Milnor numbers of $f_{s,t}$ at $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ are given by

$$\mu_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{s,t}) = \begin{cases} a - 1, & \text{if } s^m - t \neq 0, \\ b - 1, & \text{if } s^m - t = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 6.8 of [8], this implies that

$$\mathfrak{S} := \{V(f) - V(x, y), V(x, y) - V(s^m - t), V(x, y, s^m - t)\}$$

is an a_f stratification of $V(f)$. Furthermore, $V(t)$ clearly transversely intersects $V(f) - V(x, y)$ and $V(x, y) - V(s^m - t)$ and also, vacuously, transversely intersects $V(x, y, s^m - t)$ in $\mathbb{C}^4 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Therefore, by Item (5) of Proposition 2.6, f is an IPA-deformation of f_0 .

Thus, Theorem 4.3 tells us that:
for $k \neq 2$,

$$\tilde{H}^k(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(F_{t, \mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\bullet}),$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^2(F_{f_0, \mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma} \oplus \mathbb{H}^2(F_{t, \mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\bullet}),$$

where $\gamma := (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}}$.

Items (2) and (3) of Proposition 2.6 tell us how to calculate $\Gamma_{f,t}^1$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma f \cup |\Gamma_{f,t}| &= V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right) \\ &= V(-x^{a-1}(bx^{b-a} + a(s^m - t)), 2y, -ms^{m-1}x^a) \\ &= V(x, y) \cup V(bx^{b-a} + a(s^m - t), y, s^{m-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and we see that

$$|\Gamma_{f,t}| = V(bx^{b-a} + a(s^m - t), y, s^{m-1}).$$

However, above, we were careful to preserve the cycle structure on $|\Gamma_{f,t}|$ in our calculation. Thus, we find

$$\Gamma_{f,t}^1 = [V(bx^{b-a} + a(s^m - t), y, s^{m-1})] = (m - 1)V(bx^{b-a} - at, y, s)$$

and

$$(\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}} = ((m - 1)V(bx^{b-a} - at, y, s) \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}} = (m - 1)(b - a).$$

It remains for us to calculate $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)$.

For $0 < |c| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f = B_\epsilon^\circ(\mathbf{0}) \cap \Sigma f \cap V(t - c)$$

is an open disk D containing the origin in the copy of the s -plane where $x = 0$, $y = 0$, and $t = c$. By our earlier calculation of $\mu_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{s,t})$, we know that the restriction to D of $\phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet$ is locally constant, with stalk cohomology which is non-zero only in degree 1, on $D - \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, where the p_i 's are the m distinct m -th roots of c ; the stalk cohomology of this local system in degree 1 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{a-1} . In addition, our earlier calculation of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of $g(x, y, s) := y^2 - x^b - sx^a$ at $\mathbf{0}$ tells us that, at each p_i , the stalk cohomology at p_i of the restriction to D of $\phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet$ is zero in all degrees other than degree 2, where the cohomology is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

Now, an easy induction on m , using the Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence for hypercohomology, tells us that, for $k \neq 2$, $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet) =$

0 and $\mathbb{H}^2(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)a+1}$. Therefore, we conclude that, for $k \neq 2$, $\tilde{H}^k(F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and

$$\tilde{H}^2(F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)(b-a)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)a+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)b+1}.$$

Note that, when $m = 1$, we obtain our previous result for $F_{g,\mathbf{0}}$. Also note that, when $a = 2$, one obtains from [13], and the calculation of the Euler characteristic via [4], that $F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}$ has the homotopy-type of a bouquet of $(m - 1)b + 1$ two-spheres.

5. Comments and Future Directions

Comment 1: The reduced cohomology of the Milnor fiber $F_{f_0,\mathbf{0}}$ is the cohomology of a chain complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\lambda_{f_0,\mathbf{z}}^s(\mathbf{0})} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\lambda_{f_0,\mathbf{z}}^{s-1}(\mathbf{0})} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\lambda_{f_0,\mathbf{z}}^0(\mathbf{0})} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\lambda_{f_0,\mathbf{z}}^i(\mathbf{0})$ is the i -th **Lê number** of f_0 at the origin with respect to the coordinates $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. See [8].

The isomorphisms in Theorem 4.3 may be thought of as refinements of the formulas, given in Proposition 1.21 of [8], for the Lê numbers of a function restricted to a hyperplane slice:

For all $k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{f|_{V(t)},\mathbf{z}}^k(\mathbf{0}) = \lambda_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^{k+1}(\mathbf{0}).$$

and

$$\lambda_{f|_{V(t)},\mathbf{z}}^0(\mathbf{0}) = (\Gamma_{f,t}^1 \cdot V(t))_{\mathbf{0}} + \lambda_{f,(t,\mathbf{z})}^1(\mathbf{0}).$$

Comment 2: Example 4.6 is very special. In general, it is unclear precisely when one can explicitly calculate $\mathbb{H}^k(F_{t,\mathbf{0}} \cap \Sigma f; \phi_f \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)$ or even obtain better general bounds than are currently known.

Comment 3: Perhaps the next “easiest” case where one can specialize the results of [7] is the case where X is a local complete intersection (LCI) and we want to deform $f_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where \tilde{X} is again an LCI. The point is that \tilde{X} being a purely d -dimensional LCI implies $\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{X}}^\bullet[d]$ is a

perverse sheaf, which simplifies the results of [7].

However, the LCI case is still much more complicated than the affine case. First, because the vanishing cycles $\phi_t \mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{X}}^\bullet[d]$ need not be zero and, second, because the data that we would need about \tilde{X} – before considering f – is the characteristic cycle $CC(\tilde{X})$. This is highly non-trivial data, which is not easy to calculate given the defining functions for \tilde{X} .

References

- [1] Fulton W., *Intersection Theory*. Vol. 2, Ergeb. Math., Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [2] Hamm H. and Lê D. T., *Un théorème de Zariski du type de Lefschetz*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **6** (1973), 317–366.
- [3] Hironaka H., *Stratification and flatness*. In: Real and Complex Singularities, Oslo 1976. Ed. by P. Holm. Nordic Summer School/NAVF, 1977, pp. 199–265.
- [4] Lê D. T., *Calcul du Nombre de Cycles Évanouissants d'une Hypersurface Complexe*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble. **23** (1973), 261–270.
- [5] Lê D. T., *Topological Use of Polar Curves*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **29** (1975), 507–512.
- [6] Lê D. T. and Perron B., *Sur la fibre de Milnor d'une singularité isolée en dimension complexe trois*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **289** (1979), 115–118.
- [7] Massey D., *Enriched Relative Polar Curves and Discriminants*. Contemp. Math. **474** (2008), 107–144.
- [8] Massey D., *Lê Cycles and Hypersurface Singularities*, Vol. 1615, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [9] Massey D., *Prepolar Deformations and a new Lê-Iomdine Formula*. Pacific J. Math. **174** (1996), 459–469.
- [10] Massey D., *The Lê-Ramanujam Problem for Hypersurfaces with One-dimensional Singular Sets*. Math. Ann. **282** (1988), 33–49.
- [11] Milnor J., *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*. Vol. 77", Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, 1968.
- [12] Sebastiani M. and Thom R., *Un résultat sur la monodromie*. Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 90–96.
- [13] Siersma D., *Isolated line singularities*. In: Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 35, part 2, Arcata Singularities Conf. (1983), pp. 485–496.
- [14] Siersma D., *Vanishing cycles and special fibres*. In: Singularity theory and its applications, Part I (Coventry, 1988/1989), Lecture Notes in Math.

vol. 1462. Ed. by Mond D. and Montaldi J., Springer Berlin, 1991, pp. 292–301.

- [15] Teissier B., *Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions de Whitney*. Astérisque **7–8** (1973), 285–362.
- [16] Teissier B., *Variétés polaires II: Multiplicités polaires, sections planes, et conditions de Whitney, Proc. of the Conf. on Algebraic Geometry, La Rabida 1981*. Springer Lect. Notes **961** (1982), 314–491.

David B. MASSEY
Department of Mathematics
Northeastern University
Boston, Massachusetts 02115
E-mail: d.massey@neu.edu