

# MODULARED SEQUENCE SPACES

By

Sadayuki YAMAMURO

A collection  $R$  of sequences of real numbers is called a *modulared sequence spaces*, if a *modular* is defined on  $R$  so that  $R$  becomes a *modulared semi-ordered linear space*.<sup>1)</sup>

The case, when the modular is of unique spectra, was considered by W. ORLICZ,<sup>2)</sup> H. NAKANO<sup>3)</sup> and I. HALPERIN-H. NAKANO.<sup>4)</sup> The purpose of this paper is to generalize some of their results.

§ 1. A *modulared sequence space* is generated by a sequence of non-decreasing convex functions of a real variable:

$$f_1, f_2, \dots$$

which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $f_\nu(0) = 0$  ;
- (2)  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \alpha-0} f_\nu(\xi) = f_\nu(\alpha)$  ;
- (3)  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} f_\nu(\xi) = +\infty$  ;
- (4) there exists a real number  $\alpha > 0$  (depending on each  $f_\nu$ ) such that  $f_\nu(\alpha) < +\infty$ ,

for every  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ . Namely,  $f_\nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) are modulars on the space of real numbers.

For this sequence:

$$f_1, f_2, \dots,$$

the set of such sequences of real numbers  $(\xi_\nu)$  that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_\nu(\alpha \xi_\nu) < +\infty$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$  is a modulared sequence space, putting its modular

1) H. NAKANO: Modulared semi-ordered linear spaces, Tokyo Mathematical Book Series, Vol. 1 (1950).

2) W. ORLICZ: Ueber konjugierten Exponentenfolgen, *Studia Math.*, III (200-211).

3) H. NAKANO: Modulared sequence spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 27 (1951), 508-512.

4) I. HALPERIN and H. NAKANO: Generalized  $l^p$  spaces and the Schur property, *Journal Math. Soc. Japan*, 5 (1953), 50-58.

$m(x)$  as

$$m(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\xi_{\nu}) \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_{\nu}).$$

This modular is monotone complete. Hence, this space is a BANACH space by the norms induced by the modular. This modulated sequence space is denoted by  $l(f_{\nu})$  in this paper.

When the modular is of unique spectra, namely when

$$f_{\nu}(\xi) = \xi^{p_{\nu}} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots, \xi > 0)$$

for a sequence of real numbers  $p_{\nu} \geq 1$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ), this modulated sequence space was denoted by  $l(p_1, p_2, \dots)$  and considered by the authors mentioned above. In this case it is obvious that

$$l \subset l(p_1, p_2, \dots) \subset m,$$

where  $l$  is a space of summable sequences and  $m$  is of bounded sequences, namely,  $l$  is a modulated sequence space with

$$f_{\nu}(\xi) = \xi \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots, \xi > 0),$$

and  $m$  is also a modulated sequence space with, for instance,

$$f_{\nu}(\xi) = \xi^{\nu} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots, \xi > 0).$$

But, in general cases, this fact is not always true.

(1.1) *If  $l \subset l(f_{\nu})$  and  $l(f_{\nu})$  is finite (that is,  $m(x) < +\infty$  for every  $x \in l(f_{\nu})$ ), then there exists a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} f_{\nu}(\alpha) < +\infty$ .*

*Conversely, if  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} f_{\nu}(\alpha) < +\infty$ , then we have  $l \subset l(f_{\nu})$ .*

If  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} f_{\nu}(\alpha) = +\infty$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ , then we can select a subsequence  $\nu_{\mu}$  ( $\mu=1, 2, \dots$ ) for which we have

$$f_{\nu_{\mu}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mu}}\right) > 1 \quad (\mu=1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, such a sequence  $(\alpha_{\nu})$  that

$$\alpha_{\nu} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{\mu}} & \text{for } \nu = \nu_{\mu}, \\ 0 & \text{for } \nu \neq \nu_{\mu}, \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\alpha_{\nu}) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha_{\nu}) \in l,$$

contradicting the assumption.

Conversely, if there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu(\alpha) < +\infty$ , then we have

$$f_\nu(\alpha \xi_\nu) \leq |\xi_\nu| \cdot f_\nu(\alpha) \quad \text{for almost all } \nu$$

for every  $(\xi_\nu) \in l$ , so that  $(\xi_\nu) \in l(f_\nu)$ .

Similarly, we can prove:

(1.2) If  $l(f_\nu) \subset m$ , then there exists a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\inf_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu(\alpha) > 0$ . Conversely, if  $\inf_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu(\alpha) > 0$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then we have  $l(f_\nu) \subset m$ .

Now, putting

$$f'_\nu(\xi) = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{f_\nu(\xi + \varepsilon) - f_\nu(\xi)}{\varepsilon},$$

we have

$$f_\nu(\xi) = \int_0^\xi f'_\nu(\xi) d\xi,$$

and there exist non-decreasing functions  $g_\nu(\eta)$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ), which satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} g_\nu(\eta - 0) \leq \xi \leq g_\nu(\eta + 0) & \quad \text{if } \eta = f'_\nu(\xi), \\ f'_\nu(\xi - 0) \leq \eta \leq f'_\nu(\xi + 0) & \quad \text{if } \xi = g_\nu(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then the functions:

$$\bar{f}_\nu(\eta) = \int_0^\eta g_\nu(\eta) d\eta \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

are also modulars on the real line and we have

$$\xi \eta \leq f_\nu(\xi) + \bar{f}_\nu(\eta) \quad \text{for every } \xi, \eta > 0,$$

and

$$\alpha \beta = f_\nu(\alpha) + \bar{f}_\nu(\beta)$$

if

$$\begin{aligned} f'_\nu(\alpha - 0) \leq \beta \leq f'_\nu(\alpha + 0), \\ g_\nu(\beta - 0) \leq \alpha \leq g_\nu(\beta + 0). \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we will denote  $g_\nu$  by  $\bar{f}'_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

Next, we will investigate the relation between  $l(f_\nu)$  and  $l(\bar{f}_\nu)$ . The fact is already known by the general theory of modulared semi-

ordered linear spaces. Here, we will use only the calculation of sequences.

(1.3) The modular conjugate space of  $l(f_\nu)$  is  $l(\bar{f}_\nu)$  and  $\bar{m}$  is the conjugate modular of  $m$ , provided that  $\inf_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu(\alpha) > 0$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $f'_\nu, \bar{f}'_\nu$  are continuous.

Lemmas (1.3.1)–(1.3.3) constitute the proof.

(1.3.1) For any element  $y = (\eta_\nu) \in l(\bar{f}_\nu)$ , there exists a number  $\gamma$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu \eta_\nu \leq \gamma$$

for every  $x = (\xi_\nu) \in l(f_\nu)$  satisfying  $m(x) \leq 1$ .

If we can not find such  $\gamma$ , there exists a sequence of sequences:

$$(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

such that the following properties are satisfied:

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \leq 1 \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} \eta_\nu > 2^{\mu} \mu \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This sequence is bounded with respect to  $\mu$ . Because, if there exists a term  $\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}$  such that

$$|\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}| > 1,$$

then we have

$$|\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}| f_\nu(\alpha) \leq f_\nu(\alpha \xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, a sequence:

$$\gamma_\nu = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\mu} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

is obtained, and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu \eta_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \eta_\nu \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\mu} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} \geq \frac{1}{2^\mu} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \eta_\nu \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} \geq \mu.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_\nu(\gamma_\nu) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_\nu\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\mu} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)}\right) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\mu} f_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \leq 1,$$

contradicting the assumption.

(1.3.2) For a sequence  $(\eta_\nu)$ , if we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu \eta_\nu < +\infty$$

for every element  $(\xi_\nu) \in l(f_\nu)$ , then  $(\eta_\nu) \in l(\bar{f}_\nu)$ . (A generalization of LANDAU's theorem.<sup>5)</sup>)

For the number  $\gamma > 0$  in the previous lemma, when we put

$$\eta_\nu = (\gamma + 1)\bar{\eta}_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu) = \xi_\nu \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

we have

$$\xi_\nu \bar{\eta}_\nu = \bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu), \quad \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu) = \bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu).$$

Therefore we have

$$(*) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu) \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We will prove that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu) \leq 1.$$

If, on the contrary, we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu) > 1,$$

then there exists a number  $\mu_0$  such that

$$\rho \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu) > 1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} f_\nu\left(\frac{\xi_\nu}{\rho}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} f_\nu(\xi_\nu) \leq 1,$$

considering the following sequence:

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{\mu_0}, 0, \dots) \in l(f_\nu),$$

we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \xi_\nu \eta_\nu \leq \rho \gamma = \gamma \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu)$$

by the previous lemma, and hence

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \xi_\nu \bar{\eta}_\nu \geq \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu).$$

5) E. LANDAU: Ueber einen Konvergenzensatz, Göttingen Nachr., (1907), 25-27.

On the other hand, as  $\xi_\nu \bar{\eta}_\nu = \bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu)$ , we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu),$$

which contradicts the relation (\*).

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu f'_\nu(\xi_\nu) \leq 1, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu) < +\infty,$$

and, since  $\bar{\eta}_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu) \geq \bar{f}_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu)$ ,  
we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}_\nu(\bar{\eta}_\nu) < +\infty, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}_\nu\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \eta_\nu\right) < +\infty,$$

which means that

$$(\eta_\nu) \in l(\bar{f}_\nu).$$

As this proof is dual about  $l(f_\nu)$  and  $l(\bar{f}_\nu)$ , we proved that the conjugate space of  $l(f_\nu)$  is  $l(\bar{f}_\nu)$  and the converse.

(1.3.3) We have the following relation:

$$\bar{m}(y) = \sup_{x \in l(f_\nu)} \{(y, x) - m(x)\},$$

where

$$(y, x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu \eta_\nu \quad (x = (\xi_\nu), \quad y = (\eta_\nu)).$$

Because, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in l(f_\nu)} \{(y, x) - m(x)\} &= \sup_{x \in l(f_\nu)} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu \eta_\nu - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_\nu(\xi_\nu) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in l(f_\nu)} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\xi_\nu \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi_\nu)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we need only consider such element  $x \in l(f_\nu)$  that  $m(x) < +\infty$ ).  
Now, we will prove that

$$\sup_{x \in l(f_\nu)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\xi_\nu \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi_\nu)) \geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\xi > 0} (\xi \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi)).$$

For any number  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\xi > 0} (\xi \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi)) > \alpha,$$

since  $\sup_{\xi > 0} (\xi \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi)) \geq 0$ , there exists  $\mu_0$  for which,

we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} \sup_{\xi > 0} (\xi \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi)) > \alpha .$$

Hence there exist numbers  $\alpha_\nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots, \mu_0$ ) such that

$$\sup_{\xi > 0} (\xi \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi)) > \alpha_\nu , \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{\mu_0} = \alpha .$$

Therefore, we can find  $\xi_\nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots, \mu_0$ ) such that

$$\xi_\nu \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi_\nu) > \alpha_\nu .$$

Since the sequence :

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{\mu_0}, 0, \dots)$$

belongs to  $l(f_\nu)$ , we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\xi_\nu \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi_\nu)) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu_0} (\xi_\nu \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi_\nu)) > \alpha ,$$

and hence

$$\sup_{x \in K(f_\nu)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\xi_\nu \eta_\nu - f_\nu(\xi_\nu)) > \alpha ,$$

so that the above inequality is obtained.

Since the converse relation :

$$\bar{m}(y) \geq (y, x) - m(x)$$

is obvious, the relation :

$$\bar{m}(y) = \sup_{x \in K(f_\nu)} \{(y, x) - m(x)\}$$

is obtained. Similarly, we can prove

$$m(x) = \sup_{y \in I(f_\nu)} \{(x, y) - \bar{m}(y)\} ,$$

so that this lemma is completely proved.

§ 2. In this section we consider two modulared sequence spaces  $l(f_\nu)$  and  $l(g_\nu)$ , and suppose that the functions  $g_\nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) are strictly increasing in order that the inverse functions  $g_\nu^{-1}$  are uniquely determined.

(2.1) *If the functions  $f_\nu g_\nu^{-1}(\xi)$  are convex with respect to  $\xi$  and  $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu g_\nu^{-1}(\alpha) < +\infty$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then we have  $l(f_\nu) \supset l(g_\nu)$ .*

Let  $x = (\xi_\nu) \in l(g_\nu)$ . Then there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} g_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty .$$

Since  $l \subset l(f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1})^{6)}$ , there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}(\gamma g_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu})) < +\infty .$$

As we may suppose that  $\gamma \leq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\beta \gamma \xi_{\nu}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1} g_{\nu}(\beta \gamma \xi_{\nu}) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}(\gamma g_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu})) < +\infty ,$$

that is,  $x \in l(f_{\nu})$ .

(2.2) *If the functions  $f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}$  are convex and  $l(f_{\nu})$  is finite, in order that*

$$l(f_{\nu}) \subset l(g_{\nu}) ,$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \overline{f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}}(\alpha) < +\infty$$

*for some  $\alpha > 0$ .*

*Sufficiency.* Let  $x = (\xi_{\nu}) \in l(f_{\nu})$ . Then there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty .$$

Since we have

$$\alpha g_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu}) \leq f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1} g_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu} + \overline{f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}}(\alpha)) ,$$

by the assumption we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} g_{\nu}(\beta \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty , \text{ namely, } x \in l(g_{\nu}) .$$

*Necessity.* 1) *In order that  $l(f_{\nu}) \subset l$  it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \overline{f_{\nu}}(\alpha) < +\infty$$

*for some  $\alpha > 0$ .*

Taking a sequence  $(1, 1, \dots)$ , for any sequence  $(\xi_{\nu})$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\xi_{\nu}) < +\infty ,$$

6) The symbol  $l(f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1})$  is used here for convenience sake. In fact, it not always becomes a modularized sequence space, but the proposition (1.1) is valid for it.



we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\nu}| < +\infty.$$

Therefore, from (1.3) we obtain

$$(1, 1, \dots) \in l(\bar{f}_{\nu}),$$

namely, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}_{\nu}(\alpha) < +\infty.$$

2) If  $l(f_{\nu}g_{\nu}^{-1}) \subset l$ , then  $l(f_{\nu}) \subset l(g_{\nu})$ .

Let  $x = (\xi_{\nu}) \in l(f_{\nu})$ , then there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\alpha \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty.$$

Hence, since

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1} g_{\nu}(\alpha \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty,$$

by the assumption we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} g_{\nu}(\alpha \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty, \text{ namely, } x \in l(g_{\nu}).$$

3) If  $l(f_{\nu}) \subset l(g_{\nu})$ , then  $l(f_{\nu}g_{\nu}^{-1}) \subset l$ .

Let  $x = (\xi_{\nu}) \in l(f_{\nu}g_{\nu}^{-1})$ , then there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}(\alpha \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty,$$

so that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} g_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{-1}(\alpha \xi_{\nu}) < +\infty, \text{ namely, } x \in l.$$

4) If  $l(f_{\nu}) \subset l(g_{\nu})$ , from 3) we have

$$l(f_{\nu}g_{\nu}^{-1}) \subset l.$$

Hence there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \overline{f_{\nu}g_{\nu}^{-1}}(\alpha) < +\infty.$$

§ 3. SCHUR's lemma<sup>7)</sup> in sequence spaces  $l$  was generalized by

7) J. SCHUR: Ueber lineare Transformation in der Theorie der unendlichen Reihen, Jour. für reine und angew. Math., 151 (1921), 79-111.

H. NAKANO<sup>8)</sup> and I. HALPERIN-H. NAKANO<sup>4)</sup> to the modularized sequence spaces  $l(p_1, p_2, \dots)$ ,  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} p_\nu = 1$ . In this section, we will establish the generalization in the general modularized sequence spaces. For this purpose, we use the notion of *the exponents of modulars* considered in the previous paper.<sup>8)</sup>

The upper (lower) exponent of modular  $f_\nu$  is the greatest lower (least upper) bound of such number  $p \geq 1$  such that the function of  $\xi > 0$ :

$$f_\nu(\xi)/\xi^p$$

is non-increasing (non-decreasing) and denoted by  $\chi^{f_\nu}$  ( $\chi_{f_\nu}$ ).

Then the following relations are obtained:

$$\chi_{f_\nu} \cdot f_\nu(\xi) \leq \xi f'_\nu(\xi) \leq \chi^{f_\nu} \cdot f_\nu(\xi) \quad (\xi > 0),$$

$$\frac{1}{\chi^{f_\nu}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{f_\nu}} = \frac{1}{\chi_{f_\nu}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{f_\nu}} = 1.$$

(3.1) If, in the modularized sequence space  $l(f_\nu)$ ,  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \chi^{f_\nu} = 1$  and every  $f'_\nu$  is strictly increasing, then the weak convergence and strong convergence coincide in  $l(f_\nu)$ .

Let a sequence of elements:

$$x_\mu = (\xi_1^{(\mu)}, \xi_2^{(\mu)}, \dots) \in l(f_\nu) \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

be weak convergent to 0, then we have

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} = 0 \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\sup_{\mu \geq 1} \|x_\mu\| < +\infty.$$

Hence, we can suppose that

$$\|x_\mu\| \leq 1, \text{ namely, } m(x_\mu) \leq 1.$$

If there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which

$$m(x_\mu) > \varepsilon,$$

then we can find a partial sequence  $\nu_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_\mu+1} f_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) > \varepsilon,$$

$$\chi^{f_\nu} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2^\mu}, \text{ that is, } \chi_{f_\nu} \leq 1 + 2^\mu (\nu \geq \nu_\mu).$$

8) S. YAMAMURO: Exponents of the modularized semi-ordered linear spaces, Jour. Fac. Science, Hokkaidô University, XII (1953), 211-253.

Putting

$$\eta_\nu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \nu < \nu_1$$

and

$$\eta_\nu = f'_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \quad \text{for} \quad \nu_\mu \leq \nu < \nu_{\mu+1},$$

we have

$$\eta_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\eta_\nu) = \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} f'_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \quad (\nu_\mu \leq \nu < \nu_{\mu+1}),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \bar{f}'_\nu(\eta_\nu) &\leq \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \frac{1}{2^{\mu+1}} \eta_\nu \bar{f}'_\nu(\eta_\nu) = \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \frac{1}{2^{\mu+1}} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} f'_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \frac{1}{2^\mu} \cdot \frac{2^\mu}{2^{\mu+1}} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} f'_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \leq \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \frac{1}{2^\mu} f_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \leq \frac{1}{2^\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{f}'_\nu(\eta_\nu) \leq 1.$$

On the other hand we obtain

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} \eta_\nu \geq \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} \eta_\nu = \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} \xi_\nu^{(\mu)} f'_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) \geq \sum_{\nu=\nu_\mu}^{\nu_{\mu+1}-1} f_\nu(\xi_\nu^{(\mu)}) > \varepsilon,$$

which is impossible, because  $x_\mu (\mu=1, 2, \dots)$  is weakly convergent to 0.

(3.2) If a modulared sequence space  $l(f_\nu)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) The weak convergence and the strong convergence coincide;
- 2)  $0 < \inf_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu(1) \leq \sup_{\nu \geq 1} f_\nu(1) < +\infty$ ;
- 3)  $f'_\nu, \bar{f}'_\nu$  are strictly increasing,

then, for any number  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\chi_{f_\nu} < 1 + \varepsilon$$

for almost all  $\nu$ .

Suppose that

$$\chi_{f_\nu} > 1 + \varepsilon \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, it is obvious that

$$\chi_{\bar{f}_\nu} < \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for the modular conjugate space  $l(\bar{f}_\nu)$ . (See (1.3)).

Now, take such elements  $e_\mu = (\eta_\nu^{(\mu)}) (\mu=1, 2, \dots)$  that

$$\eta_{\nu}^{(\mu)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \nu = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{for } \nu \neq \mu, \end{cases}$$

then, for any  $x = (\xi_{\nu}) \in l(\bar{f}_{\nu})$ , we have

$$(x, e_{\mu}) = \xi_{\mu} \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since the sequence is bounded, putting  $\xi_0 = \sup_{\nu \geq 1} |\xi_{\nu}|$ , we obtain

$$\bar{f}_{\mu} \left( \frac{\xi_{\mu}}{\xi_0} \right) \geq \left( \frac{\xi_{\mu}}{\xi_0} \right)^{\chi_{\bar{f}_{\nu}}} \cdot \bar{f}_{\mu}(1) \geq \left( \frac{\xi_{\mu}}{\xi_0} \right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \bar{f}_{\mu}(1),$$

and

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}_{\mu} \left( \frac{\xi_{\mu}}{\xi_0} \right) = 0.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{\mu} = 0,$$

so that the sequence of elements  $e_{\mu} (\mu = 1, 2, \dots)$  is convergent weakly to 0.

On the other hand, we see easily that

$$m(e_{\mu}) = f_{\mu}(1) \geq \inf_{\nu \geq 1} f_{\nu}(1) > 0$$

for every  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$ , which contradicts the assumption.