

Hyperinvariant subspaces for contractions of class C_0

By Mitsuru UCHIYAMA

(Received August 2, 1976)

1. Introduction

Let T be a bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . A subspace \mathfrak{L} of \mathfrak{H} is said to be *hyperinvariant* for T if \mathfrak{L} is invariant for every operator that commutes with T . In [2] the hyperinvariant subspaces for a unilateral shift were determined, and those for an isometry in [1]. Recall that T is said to be of class C_0 if T is a contraction (i. e., $\|T\| \leq 1$) and $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ (strongly) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence a unilateral shift is of class C_0 . Let T be of class C_0 . Then it necessarily follows that

$$\delta_* \equiv \dim(1 - TT^*)\mathfrak{H} \geq \dim(1 - T^*T)\mathfrak{H} \equiv \delta$$

(see [6]). In the case of $\delta_* = \delta < \infty$, in an earlier paper [8] we established a canonical isomorphism between the lattice of hyperinvariant subspaces for T and that for the *Jordan model* of T . In this paper we extend this result to the case of $\delta < \delta_* < \infty$. For an operator T of this class we shall present complete description of the hyperinvariant subspaces \mathfrak{N} with the property that every subspace of \mathfrak{N} hyperinvariant for T is hyperinvariant for the restricted operator $T|_{\mathfrak{N}}$. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. T. Ando for his constant encouragement.

2. Preliminaries

Let θ be an $n \times m$ ($\infty > n \geq m$) matrix over H^∞ on the unit circle. Such a matrix θ is called *inner* if $\theta(z)$ is isometry a. e. on the unit circle. For such an inner function θ a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}(\theta)$ and an operator $S(\theta)$ are defined by

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{H}(\theta) = H_n^2 \ominus \theta H_m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad S(\theta)h = P_\theta(Sh) \quad \text{for } h \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}(\theta),$$

where H_n^2 is the Hardy space of n -dimensional (column) vector valued functions, P_θ is the projection from H_n^2 onto $\mathfrak{H}(\theta)$, and S is the simple unilateral shift, that is, $(Sh)(z) = zh(z)$. A contraction T of class C_0 with $\delta_* = n$ and $\delta = m$ is unitarily equivalent to an $S(\theta)$ of this type [7]. Thus in the sequel we may discuss $S(\theta)$ in place of T .

For a completely non unitary contraction T , it is possible to define

$\phi(T)$ for every function ϕ in H^∞ . In particular, for $S(\theta)$ given above $\phi(S(\theta))$ can be equivalently defined by the following:

$$\phi(S(\theta))h = P_\theta \phi h \quad \text{for } h \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}(\theta) \quad (\text{see [5], [7]}).$$

If there is a function ϕ such that $\phi(T)=0$, then T is said to be of class C_0 . T of class C_0 with $\delta \leq \delta_* < \infty$ is of class C_0 if and only if $\delta = \delta_*$ [7].

Suppose T_1 is a bounded operator on \mathfrak{H}_1 and T_2 a bounded operator on \mathfrak{H}_2 . If there exists a *complete injective family* $\{X_\alpha\}$ from \mathfrak{H}_1 to \mathfrak{H}_2 (i. e., for each α , X_α is an one to one bounded operator from \mathfrak{H}_1 to \mathfrak{H}_2 and $\bigvee_\alpha X_\alpha \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_2$) such that for each α $X_\alpha T_1 = T_2 X_\alpha$, then we write $T_1 \overset{ci}{\prec} T_2$. If $T_1 \overset{ci}{\prec} T_2$ and $T_2 \overset{ci}{\prec} T_1$, then T_1 and T_2 are said to be *completely injection-similar*, and denote by $T_1 \overset{ci}{\sim} T_2$ [6].

An $n \times m$ ($n \geq m$) *normal* inner matrix N' over H^∞ is, by definition, of the form:

$$(2) \quad N' = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \phi_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_m \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} \phi_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \end{array}} \right\} n-m$$

where, for each i , ϕ_i is a scalar inner function and a divisor of its successor. Then

$$S(N') = S(\phi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(\phi_m) \oplus \underbrace{S \cdots \oplus S}_{n-m}$$

is called a *Jordan operator*.

Let θ be an $n \times m$ ($\infty > n \geq m$) inner matrix over H^∞ and N a *corresponding* normal matrix, i. e., N is the $n \times m$ normal inner matrix of the form (2), where $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m$ are the “*invariant factors*” of θ , that is,

$$\phi_k = \frac{d_k}{d_{k-1}} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where $d_0=1$ and d_k is the largest common inner divisor of all the minors of order k . In this case, Nordgren [4] has shown that there exist pairs of matrices Δ_i, Λ_i and Δ'_i, Λ'_i ($i=1, 2$) satisfying

$$(3) \quad \Delta_i \theta = N \Lambda_i,$$

$$(3)' \quad \theta \Lambda'_i = \Delta'_i N,$$

$$(4) \quad (\det \Lambda_i) (\det \Lambda'_i) \wedge d_m = 1,$$

$$(5) \quad (\det A_1) (\det A'_1) \wedge (\det A_2) (\det A'_2) = 1,$$

$$(5)' \quad (\det A_1) (\det A'_1) \wedge (\det A_2) (\det A'_2) = 1,$$

where $x \wedge y$ denotes the largest common inner divisor of scalar function x and y in H^∞ . Setting

$$(6) \quad X_i = P_N A_i | H(\theta) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6)' \quad Y_i = P_\theta A'_i | H(N) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

$\{X_1, X_2\}$ and $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ are complete injective families satisfying the following relations :

$$(7) \quad X_i S(\theta) = S(N) X_i \quad \text{and}$$

$$(8) \quad S(\theta) Y_i = Y_i S(N) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

This implies $S(\theta) \stackrel{\text{cl}}{\sim} S(N)$ (cf. [6]).

To every subspace \mathfrak{L} of $\mathfrak{S}(\theta)$, invariant for $S(\theta)$, there corresponds a unique factorization $\theta = \theta_2 \theta_1$ of θ such that θ_1 is an $k \times m$ inner matrix and θ_2 is an $n \times k$ inner matrix ($n \geq k \geq m$) satisfying

$$\mathfrak{L} = \theta_2 \{H_k^2 \ominus \theta_1 H_m^2\} = \theta_2 H_k^2 \ominus \theta H_m^2.$$

In this case $S(\theta)|_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{L}^\perp} S(\theta)|_{\mathfrak{L}^\perp}$ are unitarily equivalent to $S(\theta_1)$ and $S(\theta_2)$, respectively. For this discussion see [7].

Let M be an $m \times m$ normal inner matrix over H^∞ . Then, in [8], we showed that, in order that a factorization $M = M_2 M_1$ corresponds to a subspace hyperinvariant for $S(M)$, it is necessary and sufficient that both M_1 and M_2 are $m \times m$ normal inner matrices.

3. Jordan operator

Let $N = \begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be an $n \times m$ normal inner matrix over H^∞ , that is, M is an $m \times m$ normal inner matrix over H^∞ . Then $S(N)$ on $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ are identified with

$$S(M) \oplus S_{n-m} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathfrak{S}(M) \oplus H_{n-m}^2,$$

where $(S_{n-m} h)(z) = zh(z)$ for h in H_{n-m}^2 .

Let \mathfrak{N} be a hyperinvariant subspace for $S(N)$. Then it is clear that \mathfrak{N} is decomposed to the direct sum,

$$\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{N}_2,$$

where \mathfrak{N}_1 is a subspace of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$, hyperinvariant for $S(M)$, and \mathfrak{N}_2 is a subspace of H_{n-m}^2 , hyperinvariant for S_{n-m} . In this case we have the fol-

lowing lemma.

LEMMA 1. For \mathfrak{R}_1 and \mathfrak{R}_2 which are hyperinvariant for $S(M)$ and S_{n-m} , respectively, in order that the direct sum $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{R}_2$ is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \{0\}$ or there exists an inner function ϕ such that $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \phi H_{n-m}^2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_1 \supseteq \phi(S(M)) \mathfrak{S}(M)$.

PROOF. An operator $X = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ commutes with $S(N)$, if and only if Y_{ij} satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{11}S(M) &= S(M)Y_{11}, & Y_{12}S_{n-m} &= S(M)Y_{12}, \\ Y_{21}S(M) &= S_{n-m}Y_{21} & \text{and} & & Y_{22}S_{n-m} &= S_{n-m}Y_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(M)^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and S_{n-m} is isometry, we have $Y_{21} = 0$. Thus if $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \{0\}$, then it follows that $X\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ for every X commuting $S(N)$. By the lifting theorem (cf. [5], [7]), a bounded operator Y_{12} from H_{n-m}^2 to $H(M)$ intertwines S_{n-m} and $S(M)$, if and only if there is an $m \times (n-m)$ matrix Ω over H^∞ such that $Y_{12} = P_M \Omega$. Thus, if $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \phi H_{n-m}^2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_1 \supseteq \phi(S(M)) \mathfrak{S}(M)$ for some inner function ϕ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} X\mathfrak{R} &= (Y_{11}\mathfrak{R}_1 + Y_{12}\phi H_{n-m}^2) \oplus Y_{22}\phi H_{n-m}^2 \\ &\subseteq (\mathfrak{R}_1 + P_M \Omega \phi H_{n-m}^2) \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2 \\ &\subseteq (\mathfrak{R}_1 + P_M \phi H_m^2) \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2 \\ &= (\mathfrak{R}_1 + \phi(S(M)) \mathfrak{S}(M)) \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2 \\ &\subseteq \mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2 = \mathfrak{R} \end{aligned}$$

for every X commuting with $S(N)$.

Conversely suppose $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{R}_2$ is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$, and $\mathfrak{R}_2 \neq \{0\}$. Then by [2] there exists an inner function ϕ such that $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \phi H_{n-m}^2$. Let Ω_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) be the $m \times (n-m)$ matrix such that the (j, k) -th entry of Ω_i is 1 for $(j, k) = (i, 1)$ and 0 for $(j, k) \neq (i, 1)$. Setting

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i = P_M \Omega_i,$$

each X_i commutes with $S(N)$, hence we have $\mathfrak{R}_1 \supseteq \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i \phi H_{n-m}^2 = P_M \phi H_m^2 = \phi(S(M)) \mathfrak{S}(M)$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 1. In order that a factorization $N = N_2 N_1$ of N into the product of an $n \times k$ inner matrix N_2 and an $k \times m$ inner matrix N_1 ($n \geq k \geq m$) corresponds to a hyperinvariant subspace \mathfrak{R} for $S(N)$, it is necessary and sufficient that N_1 and N_2 are normal matrices satisfying (i) or (ii):

- (i) $k = m$,

$$(ii) \quad k=n \text{ and } N_2 \text{ has the form } \begin{bmatrix} M_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \phi 1_{n-m} \end{bmatrix}$$

PROOF. First, assume that $k=m$, and both N_1 and N_2 are normal inner matrices. Then, setting $N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, it follows that $N_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus N_1 H_m^2\} = M_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus N_1 H_m^2\}$ is hyperinvariant for $S(M)$ (see [8]). Therefore, by Lemma 1, it is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$. Next, assume that N_1 and N_2 are normal matrices satisfying (ii). Set $N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{R} = N_2 \{H_n^2 \ominus N_1 H_m^2\} = M_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus M_1 H_m^2\} \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2.$$

Normality of M_1 and M_2 implies that $M_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus M_1 H_m^2\}$ is hyperinvariant for $S(M)$. On the other hand, normality of N_2 implies $M_2 H_m^2 \supseteq \phi H_m^2$, and hence we have

$$M_2 H_m^2 \ominus M H_m^2 \supseteq \phi (S(M) \mathfrak{S}(M)).$$

Thus from Lemma 1 we deduce that \mathfrak{R} is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$.

Conversely, first, assume that $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \{0\}$ is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$, and $N = N_2 N_1$ is the factorization corresponding to \mathfrak{R} . Since $S(N)|_{\mathfrak{R}} = S(M)|_{\mathfrak{R}_1}$ is of class C_0 , $S(N_1)$ is of class C_0 (cf. 2). This implies that N_1 is an $m \times m$ inner matrix, that is, $k=m$. Setting $N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M_2 \\ \Gamma \end{bmatrix}$, where M_2 is an $m \times m$ matrix and Γ an $(n-m) \times m$ matrix, we have

$$M = M_2 N_1, \quad \mathfrak{R}_1 = M_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus N_1 H_m^2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma H_m^2 = \{0\}.$$

Since $\Gamma=0$ and N_2 is inner, it follows that M_2 is inner. Thus the hyperinvariance of \mathfrak{R}_1 corresponding to $M = M_2 N_1$ implies that M_2 and N_1 are $m \times m$ normal inner matrices. Next assume that $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_1 \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_1 \supseteq \phi (S(M)) \mathfrak{S}(M)$. Clearly we have

$$P_{\mathfrak{R}_1^\perp} S(N)|_{\mathfrak{R}_1^\perp} = P_{\mathfrak{R}_1^\perp} S(M)|_{\mathfrak{R}_1^\perp} \oplus S(\phi 1_{n-m}),$$

where \mathfrak{R}_1^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{R}_1 in $\mathfrak{S}(M)$. Since the right-hand operator is of class C_0 (page 129 of [7]), $S(N_2)$ is of class C_0 . This implies that N_2 is an $n \times n$ matrix; i. e., $k=n$. To the hyperinvariant subspace \mathfrak{R}_1 for $S(M)$ there corresponds a factorization $M = M_2 M_1$, where M_1 and M_2 are $m \times m$ normal inner matrices. Thus setting $N_2' = \begin{bmatrix} M_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi 1_{n-m} \end{bmatrix}$ and $N_1' = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, it is clear that $N = N_2' N_1'$ and $\mathfrak{R} = N_2' \{H_n^2 \ominus N_1' H_m^2\}$. From

the uniqueness of the factorization of N into product of two inner matrices corresponding to (hyper) invariant subspace \mathfrak{N} , only this factorization $N=N'_2N'_1$ corresponds to \mathfrak{N} , that is, $N_2=N'_2$ and $N_1=N'_1$. Since

$$M_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus M_1 H_m^2\} = \mathfrak{L}_1 \supseteq \phi(S(M)) \mathfrak{L}(M) = P_M \phi H_m^2,$$

we have $M_2 H_m^2 \supseteq \phi H_m^2$; this implies that every entry of M_2 is a divisor of ϕ . Therefore N_2 is an $n \times n$ normal inner matrix. Hence N_1 and N_2 are normal inner matrices satisfying (ii).

4. Lattice isomorphism

Let θ be an $n \times m$ inner matrix and N be the corresponding normal inner matrix. Set

$$(9) \quad \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = \bigvee_Z \{Z\mathfrak{L} : ZS(\theta) = S(N)Z\}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \beta(\mathfrak{N}) = \bigvee_W \{W\mathfrak{N} : WS(N) = S(\theta)W\}$$

for each subspace \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{N} hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$ and $S(N)$, respectively, where $\bigvee \mathfrak{L}_i$ denotes the minimum subspace including all \mathfrak{L}_i . Since $S(\theta) \stackrel{cl}{\sim} S(N)$, it is clear that $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$ is the non trivial hyperinvariant subspace for $S(N)$, if \mathfrak{L} is non trivial.

LEMMA 2. *If $\theta = \theta_2 \theta_1$ is the factorization corresponding to a non trivial hyperinvariant subspace \mathfrak{L} for $S(\theta)$, then θ_1 is an $m \times m$ inner matrix, or θ_2 is an $n \times n$ inner matrix.*

PROOF. Let $S(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & * \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S(N) = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & * \\ 0 & S_2 \end{bmatrix}$ be the triangulations corresponding to $\mathfrak{L}(\theta) = \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{L}^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{L}(N) = \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) \oplus \alpha(\mathfrak{L})^\perp$, respectively. Theorem 1 implies that S_1 or S_2 is of class C_0 . First, suppose $u(S_1) = 0$ for some u in H^∞ . For the bounded operator X_1 given by (6) and every f in \mathfrak{L} , in virtue of (3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 u(T_1) f &= X_1 u(S(\theta)) f = P_N \Delta_1 P_\theta u f = P_N \Delta_1 u f, \\ &= P_N u \Delta_1 f = u(S(N)) X_1 f = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since X_1 is an injection, we have $u(T_1) f = 0$, which implies that T_1 is of class C_0 , that is, θ_1 is an $m \times m$ inner matrix. Next suppose S_2 is of class C_0 , hence so is S_2^* . For Y_i given by (6)' and every Z such that $ZS(\theta) = S(N)Z$, in virtue of (8), $Y_i Z$ commutes with $S(\theta)$; this implies $Y_i Z \mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ and hence $Y_i \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. Thus we have $Y_i^* \mathfrak{L}^\perp \subseteq \alpha(\mathfrak{L})^\perp$. From this and (8), for each

h in \mathfrak{L}^\perp , it follows that

$$Y_i^* T_2^* h = S_2^* Y_i^* h \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

From this we can deduce that

$$Y_i^* u(T_2^*) h = u(S_2^*) Y_i^* h \quad \text{for every } u \text{ in } H^\infty,$$

(see [7] chap 3). Since $Y_1 \mathfrak{S}(N) \vee Y_2 \mathfrak{S}(N) = \mathfrak{S}(\theta)$, we have $u(T_2^*) = 0$ for u satisfying $u(S_2^*) = 0$. Therefore θ_2 is an $n \times n$ inner matrix. This completes the proof.

The following theorem implies that the mapping $\alpha: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \alpha(\mathfrak{L})$ is isomorphism from the lattice of hyperinvariant subspaces for $S(\theta)$ onto that for $S(N)$, and its inverse is given by $\beta: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \beta(\mathfrak{R})$.

THEOREM 2. For X_i and Y_i ($i=1, 2$) given by (6) and (6)',

$$(11) \quad \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = X_1 \mathfrak{L} \vee X_2 \mathfrak{L}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \cdot \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{L},$$

$$(12) \quad \beta(\mathfrak{R}) = Y_1 \mathfrak{R} \vee Y_2 \mathfrak{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \cdot \beta(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{R},$$

where \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{R} are arbitrary hyperinvariant subspaces for $S(\theta)$ and $S(N)$, respectively.

PROOF. Let $\theta = \theta_2 \theta_1$ and $N = N_2 N_1$ be the factorizations of θ and N corresponding to \mathfrak{L} and $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$, respectively. Then the proof of Lemma 2 implies that both θ_1 and N_1 are $k \times m$ matrices and both θ_2 and N_2 are $n \times k$ matrices, where $k = n$ or $k = m$. Since $X_i \mathfrak{L} \subseteq \alpha(\mathfrak{L})$ and $Y_i \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$, it clearly follows that

$$\Delta_i \theta_2 H_k^2 \subseteq N_2 H_k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta'_i N_2 H_k^2 \subseteq \theta_2 H_k^2,$$

which guarantee the existence of $k \times k$ matrices A_i and B_i over H^∞ satisfying

$$(13) \quad \Delta_i \theta_2 = N_2 A_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta'_i N_2 = \theta_2 B_i.$$

This and (3) implies that

$$(13)' \quad A_i \theta_1 = N_1 A_i \quad \text{and} \quad B_i N_1 = \theta_1 A'_i.$$

By (13) we have

$$(14) \quad \Delta'_i \Delta_i \theta_2 = \theta_2 B_i A_i,$$

and by (13)'

$$(14)' \quad B_i A_i \theta_1 = \theta_1 A'_i A_i.$$

Thus, if $k = n$, then $\det A_i$ is a divisor of $(\det \Delta_i)$ $(\det \Delta'_i)$, and if $k = m$ then $\det A_i$ is a divisor of $(\det \Delta_i)$ $(\det \Delta'_i)$. To prove the first relation of (11), suppose that

$$f \in \alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \ominus \{X_1\mathfrak{X} \vee X_2\mathfrak{X}\}.$$

Then f is orthogonal to $A_1\theta_2H_k^2 \vee A_2\theta_2H_k^2$. On the other hand $f \in \alpha(\mathfrak{X})$ implies the existence of g belonging to $H_k^2 \ominus N_1H_m^2$ such that $f = N_2g$. Thus for every h in H_k^2 , we have for $i=1, 2$

$$(15) \quad 0 = (f, A_i\theta_2h) = (N_2g, N_2A_ih) = (g, A_ih).$$

If $k=n$, then, by (5) and Beurling's theorem

$$A_iH_n^2 \supseteq (\det A_i) H_m^2 \supseteq (\det A_i) (\det A'_i) H_n^2$$

induce $A_1H_n^2 \vee A_2H_n^2 = H_n^2$ and hence $g=0$. If $k=m$, then it follows that from (13) and (4) $\det N_1$ is a divisor of d_m , and that $A_iH_m^2 \supseteq (\det A_i) (\det A'_i) H_m^2$; this implies, by (4), $N_1H_m^2 \vee A_iH_m^2 = H_m^2$. Consequently we have $g=0$. Thus we showed that if $k=n$, then $\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) = X_1\mathfrak{X} \vee X_2\mathfrak{X}$, and if $k=m$, then $\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) = \overline{X_1\mathfrak{X}} = \overline{X_2\mathfrak{X}}$. The rest is proved in a similar way. Thus we can conclude the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Let θ be an $n \times m$ ($n > m$) inner matrix over H^∞ . Then for any non constant scalar inner function ϕ , $\overline{\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{X}(\theta)}$ is a non trivial hyperinvariant subspace for $S(\theta)$.*

PROOF. Since $\{X_1, X_2\}$ is a complete injective family, it is clear that

$$\overline{\alpha(\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{X}(\theta))} = \overline{\phi(S(N)) \mathfrak{X}(N)}.$$

The following relation :

$$\mathfrak{X}(M) \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2 \supseteq \phi(S(N)) \mathfrak{X}(N) \supseteq \{0\} \oplus \phi H_{n-m}^2$$

implies that $\overline{\phi(S(N)) \mathfrak{X}(N)}$ is trivial and hence so $\overline{\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{X}(\theta)}$ is by Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 2. *$K\phi(S(\theta)) = \{h \in \mathfrak{X}(\theta) : \phi(S(\theta))h = 0\}$ is a non trivial hyperinvariant subspace for $S(\theta)$ if and only if $\phi \wedge d_m \neq 1$.*

PROOF. It is clear that $K\phi(S(\theta))$ is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$ and

$$\alpha(K\phi(S(\theta))) = K\phi(S(N)) = K\phi(S(M)) \oplus \{0\}.$$

Since, by the definition, we have $d_m = \det M$, we must show that

$$K\phi(S(M)) = \{0\} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi \wedge (\det M) = 1.$$

But this results have already been proved in [3].

5. Restricted operators

For an arbitrary subspace \mathfrak{X} of $\mathfrak{X}(\theta)$ we define the subspace $\alpha'(\mathfrak{X})$ of

$\mathfrak{S}(N)$ by

$$(15) \quad \alpha'(\mathfrak{X}) = X_1\mathfrak{X} \vee X_2\mathfrak{X}.$$

Similarly define the subspace $\beta'(\mathfrak{N})$ of $\mathfrak{S}(\theta)$ by

$$(16) \quad \beta'(\mathfrak{N}) = Y_1\mathfrak{N} \vee Y_2\mathfrak{N} \quad \text{for a subspace } \mathfrak{N} \text{ of } \mathfrak{S}(N).$$

Then by Theorem 2 $\alpha'(\mathfrak{X}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{X})$ if \mathfrak{X} is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$.

THEOREM 3. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a hyperinvariant subspace for $S(\theta)$. If \mathfrak{X}' is a subspace of \mathfrak{X} , hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|_{\mathfrak{X}}$, then $\alpha'(\mathfrak{X}')$ is a subspace of $\alpha'(\mathfrak{X})$, hyperinvariant for $S(N)|_{\alpha'(\mathfrak{X})}$ and $\beta'(\alpha'(\mathfrak{X}')) = \mathfrak{X}'$.*

PROOF. Let $\theta = \theta_2\theta_1$ and $N = N_2N_1$ be the factorization of θ and N corresponding to \mathfrak{X} and $\alpha'(\mathfrak{X}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{X})$, respectively.

$$\mathfrak{X} = \theta_2 \{H_k^2 \ominus \theta_1 H_m^2\}$$

implies that $\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)}$ is unitary from $\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)$ onto \mathfrak{X} . Hence, in virtue of

$$(S(\theta)|_{\mathfrak{X}})(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)}) = (\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})(S(\theta_1)),$$

it follows that $(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}'$ is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta_1)$. Now for A_i and B_i given by (13), from (14) or (14)'. $(\det A_i)(\det B_i)$ is a divisor of $(\det A_i)(\det A_i')$ or $(\det A_i)(\det A_i')$. Thus by (5) or (5)' we have

$$(17) \quad (\det A_1)(\det B_1) \wedge (\det A_2)(\det B_2) = 1.$$

It is easy to show that for $X'_i = P_{N_1}A_i|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)}$,

$$X'_1(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \vee X'_2(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}'$$

is hyperinvariant for $S(N_1)$, by making use of (13)', (4) and (17), as we have shown Theorem 2 by making use of (3), (4), (5) and (6). Since $N_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(N_1)}$ is unitary from $\mathfrak{S}(N_1)$ onto $\alpha'(\mathfrak{X}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$(S(N)|_{\alpha(\mathfrak{X})})(N_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(N_1)}) = (N_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(N_1)})(S(N_1))$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & N_2(X'_1(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \vee X'_2(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}') \\ &= N_2(P_{N_1}A_1(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \vee P_{N_1}A_2(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}') \\ &= P_N N_2 A_1(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \vee P_N N_2 A_2(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \\ &= P_N A_1 \theta_2(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \vee P_N A_2 \theta_2(\theta_2|_{\mathfrak{S}(\theta_1)})^{-1}\mathfrak{X}' \\ &= P_N A_1 \mathfrak{X}' \vee P_N A_2 \mathfrak{X}' = X_1 \mathfrak{X}' \vee X_2 \mathfrak{X}' = \alpha'(\mathfrak{X}') \end{aligned}$$

is hyperinvariant for $S(N)|_{\alpha'(\mathfrak{X})}$. $\beta'(\alpha'(\mathfrak{X}')) = \mathfrak{X}'$ is proved by the same way

as Theorem 2. Thus we complete the proof.

The same argument as the proof of Theorem 3 yields.

THEOREM 3'. *Let \mathfrak{N} be a hyperinvariant subspace for $S(N)$. If \mathfrak{N}' is a subspace of \mathfrak{N} , hyperinvariant for $S(N)|\mathfrak{N}$, then $\beta'(\mathfrak{N}')$ is a subspace of $\beta'(\mathfrak{N})$, hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\beta'(\mathfrak{N})$, and $\alpha'(\beta'(\mathfrak{N}')) = \mathfrak{N}'$.*

THEOREM 4. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a subspace hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$. Then \mathfrak{L}' is a subspace of $\mathfrak{L}(\theta)$, hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$, if it is a subspace of \mathfrak{L} , hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$.*

PROOF. Set $\alpha'(\mathfrak{L}') = \mathfrak{N}'$ and $\alpha'(\mathfrak{L}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{N}$. Theorem 3 implies that \mathfrak{N}' is hyperinvariant for $S(N)|\mathfrak{N}$. Let $N = N_2 N_1$ be the factorization of N corresponding to \mathfrak{N} . Then $(N_2 | \mathfrak{L}(N_1))^{-1} \mathfrak{N}'$ is a subspace of $\mathfrak{L}(N_1)$, hyperinvariant for $S(N_1)$. Since N_1 is a $k \times m$ ($k = n$ or $k = m$) normal inner matrix over H^∞ , by Theorem 1 there is an $l \times m$ normal inner matrix N'_1 and an $k \times l$ normal inner matrix N'_2 such that

$$N_1 = N'_2 N'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (N_2 | \mathfrak{L}(N_1))^{-1} \mathfrak{N}' = N'_2 \{H_l^2 \ominus N'_1 H_m^2\},$$

where $n \geq k \geq l \geq m$, and $l = m$ or $l = n$. It is easy to show that $N_2 N'_2$ and N'_1 satisfy the condition (i) or the condition (ii) of Theorem 1; this implies that

$$\mathfrak{N}' = N_2 N'_2 \{H_l^2 \ominus N'_1 H_m^2\}$$

is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$. Thus

$$\beta(\mathfrak{N}') = \beta'(\mathfrak{N}') = \beta'(\alpha'(\mathfrak{L}')) = \mathfrak{L}'$$

is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$. Thus we conclude the proof.

Now, we determine a particular hyperinvariant subspace \mathfrak{L}_* for $S(\theta)$ by the following relation:

$$\mathfrak{L}_* = \{h \in \mathfrak{L}(\theta) : S(\theta)^n h \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \quad ([7] \text{ P. } 73).$$

Then, from $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}_*) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(M)$ and $\beta(\mathfrak{L}(M)) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_*$, it follows that $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}_*) = \mathfrak{L}(M)$.

THEOREM 5. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a subspace hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$. In order that if \mathfrak{L}' is a subspace of \mathfrak{L} , hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$, then \mathfrak{L}' is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$, it is necessary and sufficient that there is a function ϕ in H^∞ such that*

$$\mathfrak{L} = \overline{\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{L}(\theta)} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{L} = \overline{\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{L}(\theta)} \cap \mathfrak{L}_*.$$

PROOF. SUFFICIENCY. Case a : suppose $\mathfrak{L} = \overline{\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{L}(\theta)}$ and hence $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = \overline{\phi(S(N)) \mathfrak{L}(N)}$. Let $N = N_2 N_1$ be the factorization corresponding to $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. Then $N_2 = \text{diag}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m, \phi, \dots, \phi)$, where $\phi_i = \phi \wedge \psi_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Set $\phi = \phi_i u_i$ and $\psi_i = \phi_i v_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then it follows that for $i =$

1, 2, ..., m-1,

$$\phi_{i+1} = \phi \wedge \psi_{i+1} = \phi_i u_i \wedge \phi_i v_i \frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\phi_i} = \phi_i \left(u_i \wedge v_i \frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\phi_i} \right).$$

Since $u_i \wedge v_i = 1$, this implies that

$$(18) \quad \frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\phi_i} \wedge v_i = 1.$$

Let \mathfrak{L}' be a subspace of \mathfrak{L} , hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$. Then there is the factorization $N_1 = N'_2 N_1$, where N'_1 is a $k \times m$ inner matrix and N'_2 is an $n \times k$ inner matrix, such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}') = N_2 N'_2 \{H_k^2 \ominus N'_1 H_m^2\}$ (see [7] P. 291). The hyperinvariance of $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}')$ implies that $N_2 N'_2$ and N'_1 are normal inner matrices satisfying (i) or (ii) of Theorem 1. First, assume (i). Then N'_1 is an $m \times m$ normal inner matrix and hence N'_2 is an $n \times m$ inner matrix. From the normalities of $N_2 N'_2$ and N_2 , we can deduce that N'_2 has the form $\begin{bmatrix} M' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where $M' = \text{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$. Since $\phi_i t_i$ is a divisor of ϕ_i , it follows that t_i is a divisor of v_i and, by (18), $\frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\phi_i} \wedge t_i = 1$. Then normality of $N_2 N'_2$ implies that there is an inner function w_i such that $w_i = \frac{\phi_{i+1} t_{i+1}}{\phi_i t_i}$. From $t_i w_i = \frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\phi_i} t_{i+1}$, it follows that t_i is a divisor of t_{i+1} . Thus N'_2 is normal. Hence $N_2^{-1} \alpha(\mathfrak{L}') = N'_2 \{H_m^2 \ominus N'_1 H_m^2\}$ is hyperinvariant for $S(N_1)$. Therefore $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}')$ is hyperinvariant for $S(N)|\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. Consequently $\beta'(\alpha(\mathfrak{L}')) = \beta(\alpha(\mathfrak{L}')) = \mathfrak{L}'$ is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$. Next assume that $N_2 N'_2$ and N'_1 satisfy (ii). Then we have $N'_2 = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_m, t, \dots, t)$, for inner functions t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m and t . It is proved as above that t_i is a divisor of t_{i+1} for $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Since $\phi_m t_m$ is a divisor of ϕt , t_m is a divisor of $u_m t$. On the other hand since t_m is a divisor of v_m and $v_m \wedge u_m = 1$, t_m is a divisor of t . Thus it follows that N'_2 is normal. Consequently in the same way as above we can deduce that \mathfrak{L}' is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$.

Case *b*: suppose $\mathfrak{L} = \overline{\phi(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{L}(\theta)} \cap \mathfrak{L}_*$. Then by Corollary 1 and $\alpha(\mathfrak{L}_*) = \mathfrak{L}(M)$ we have

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = \overline{\phi(S(N)) \mathfrak{L}(N)} \cap \mathfrak{L}(M) = \overline{\phi(S(M)) \mathfrak{L}(M)},$$

because α is a lattice isomorphism. Let $N = N_2 N_1$ be the factorization corresponding to $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. Then it follows that

$$N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad M_2 = \text{diag}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m),$$

where $\phi_i = \phi \wedge \psi_i$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, m$. Let \mathfrak{L}' be a subspace of \mathfrak{L} , hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$, and $N_1 = N'_2 N'_1$ be the factorization of N_1 such that $N =$

$(N_2N'_2)N'_1$ is the factorization of N corresponding to $\alpha'(\mathfrak{L}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. The hyperinvariance of $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$ for $S(N)$ implies that $N_2N'_2$ and N'_1 are normal inner matrices satisfying (i). In the same way as Case a it follows that N'_2 is an $m \times m$ normal inner matrix. Therefore it is simple to show that \mathfrak{L} is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$.

NECESSITY. Let \mathfrak{L} be the hyperinvariant subspace for $S(\theta)$ such that \mathfrak{L}' is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$, if \mathfrak{L}' is a subspace of \mathfrak{L} , hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)$. Then, for every subspace \mathfrak{N}' of $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$ such that \mathfrak{N}' is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$, it follows from Theorem 3 that $\beta(\mathfrak{N}') = \beta'(\mathfrak{N}')$ is hyperinvariant for $S(\theta)|\mathfrak{L}$. Hence, by Theorem 3, $\mathfrak{N}' = \alpha'(\beta'(\mathfrak{N}'))$ is hyperinvariant for $S(N)|\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. Let $N = N_2N_1$ be the factorization corresponding to $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. Then N_2 and N_1 are normal inner matrices.

Case a' : assume that N_1 and N_2 have the form:

$$N_1 = \text{diag}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \quad \text{and}$$

$$N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad M_2 = \text{diag}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m).$$

Then it follows that η_i and ξ_i satisfy (18), that is $\frac{\eta_{i+1}}{\eta_i}$ and ξ_i are relatively prime. In fact, if it were not true, then we have

$$\omega \equiv \frac{\eta_{i+1}}{\eta_i} \wedge \frac{\xi_j}{\xi_{j-1}} \neq 1 \quad \text{for some } j: 1 \leq j \leq i, \xi_0 = 1.$$

Set

$$M'_2 = \text{diag}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{j-1}, \eta_j\omega, \eta_{j+1}\omega, \dots, \eta_i\omega, \eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_m)$$

$$N'_1 = \text{diag}\left(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, \frac{\xi_j}{\omega}, \frac{\xi_{j+1}}{\omega}, \dots, \frac{\xi_i}{\omega}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_m\right)$$

and $N'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M'_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{N}' \equiv N'_2\{H_m^2 \ominus N'_1H_m^2\}$ is a subspace of $\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$.

Since N'_1 and N'_2 are normal inner matrices, by Lemma 1 \mathfrak{N}' is hyperinvariant for $S(N)$. However,

$$(N_2| \mathfrak{L}(N_1))^{-1} N'_2 \mathfrak{L}(N'_1) = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \omega, \dots, \omega, 1, \dots, 1) \mathfrak{L}(N'_1)$$

implies that \mathfrak{N}' is not hyperinvariant for $S(N)|\alpha(\mathfrak{L})$. Thus we have $\frac{\eta_{i+1}}{\eta_i} < \xi_i = 1$. Since ξ_i is a divisor of ξ_{i+1} , it follows that

$$\eta_m \wedge \phi_i = \eta_m \wedge (\eta_i \xi_i) = \eta_i \left(\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_i} \wedge \xi_i \right) = \eta_i.$$

Thus we have

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{L}) = \overline{\eta_m(S(M)) \mathfrak{L}(M)} = \overline{\eta_m(S(N)) \mathfrak{L}(N) \cap \mathfrak{L}(M)}.$$

Consequently $\mathfrak{L} = \overline{\eta_m(S(\theta)) \mathfrak{L}(\theta)} \cap \mathfrak{L}_*$.

Case \mathcal{B} : assume that N_1 and N_2 are normal inner matrices satisfying (ii). In this case, we can show

$$\mathfrak{L} = \overline{\phi(S(\theta))\mathfrak{H}(\theta)} \quad \text{for some } \phi \text{ in } H^\infty$$

in the same way as Case \mathcal{A} . Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 5.

References

- [1] R. G. DOUGLAS: On the hyperinvariant subspaces for isometries, *Math. Z.*, 107 (1968), 297-300.
- [2] R. G. DOUGLAS and C. PEARCY: On a topology for invariant subspaces, *J. Func. Anal.*, 2 (1968), 323-341.
- [3] P. A. FUHRMANN: On the Corona Theorem and its application to spectral problems in Hilbert space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132 (1968), 55-66.
- [4] E. A. NORDGREN: On quasi-equivalence of matrices over H^∞ , *Acta Sci. Math.*, 34 (1973), 301-310.
- [5] D. SARASON: Generalized interpolation in H^∞ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127 (1967), 179-203.
- [6] B. SZ.-NAGY and C. FOIAŞ: Jordan model for contractions of class C_0 , *Acta Sci. Math.* 36 (1974), 305-322.
- [7] B. SZ.-NAGY and C. FOIAŞ: Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, North-Holland, Akadémiai Kiadó, 1970.
- [8] M. UCHIYAMA: Hyperinvariant subspaces for operators of class $C_0(N)$, *Acta Sci. Math.*, to appear.

M. Uchiyama
 Division of Applied Mathematics
 Research Institute of Applied Electricity
 Hokkaido University
 Sapporo, Japan

M. Uchiyama
 The Department of Education
 Fukuoka University of Education
 Fukuoka, Japan