

Variation of harmonic mapping caused by a deformation of Riemannian metric

By Norihito KOISO

(Received October 11, 1978; Revised March 8, 1979)

1. Introduction

Most of the studies of geodesics or harmonic mappings are concerned with the numbers of them. In contrast, we study in this paper a local variation of harmonic mapping caused by a deformation of riemannian metric.

Let (M, g) be a compact n -dimensional riemannian manifold and \bar{M} another compact manifold. Let \bar{g}_0 be a riemannian metric on \bar{M} and let $\phi_0: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be a harmonic imbedding. We prove the following results: *If there is essentially no non-zero Jacobi field, then a deformation of \bar{g}_0 causes a simple variation of ϕ_0 .* (Corollary 4.3). *But, if there exist essentially non-zero Jacobi fields, then for some deformation of \bar{g}_0 , harmonic mapping vanishes or branches off* (Theorem 4.7).

This paper is organized as follows: after preliminaries in 2, we show in 3 that the space $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$ of harmonic imbeddings becomes a Hilbert manifold (Theorem 3.4). Though the isometry group I of (M, g) ($n \neq 2$) acts on $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$, we construct another manifold $\mathcal{V}^{r,s}$ instead of $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}/I$ (Proposition 3.10) and explain their relations between $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}/I$ and $\mathcal{V}^{r,s}$ (Lemma 3.14, Lemma 3.16). Combining these informations we get the main results in 4 stated above.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give notations, fundamental definitions and fundamental lemmas. Let (M, g) be a compact n -dimensional C^∞ -riemannian manifold and \bar{M} a compact \bar{n} -dimensional C^∞ -manifold, where $\bar{n} \geq n$. We denote by $H^s(F)$ the set of all H^s -cross sections of a fiber bundle F over a compact C^∞ -manifold, where H^s means an object which has derivatives defined almost everywhere up to order s and such that each partial derivative is square integrable. $H^s(F)$ becomes a Hilbert manifold, in particular, a Hilbert space if F is a vector bundle. (See Palais [6, § 4, § 9, § 10, § 11].)

We denote by $S^2\bar{M}$ the vector bundle of symmetric bilinear forms on \bar{M} . Then, if $r > \bar{n}/2$, the set \mathcal{M}^r of all H^r -metrics on \bar{M} is an open set of

$H^r(S^2\bar{M})$, and so becomes a Hilbert manifold. Similarly, we see that the set \mathcal{P}^s of H^s -imbedding of M into \bar{M} becomes an open manifold of $H^s(\bar{M}\times M)$ if $s > n/2 + 1$, where $\bar{M}\times M$ is a trivial fiber bundle over M .

Now, we fix $\bar{g}\in\mathcal{M}^r$ and $\phi\in\mathcal{P}^s$. We denote by $T_\phi\bar{M}$ the vector bundle over M induced by ϕ . That is, the fibre of $T_\phi\bar{M}$ at $x\in M$ is the tangent space $T_{\phi(x)}\bar{M}$ of \bar{M} at $\phi(x)$. Then, there is a canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H^s(T_\phi\bar{M})$;

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \int_M \bar{g}(\cdot, \cdot) v_g,$$

where v_g is the volume element of (M, g) . Moreover, there is the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}$ along ϕ on the vector bundle $T_\phi\bar{M}$. Let $\{x^i\}$, $\{y^i\}$, and $\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ be a local coordinate of M , a local coordinate of \bar{M} and the Cristoffel's symbol of \bar{g} , respectively. If a vector field ξ along ϕ and a vector field X on M are given by

$$\xi(x) = \xi^i(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \Big|_{\phi(x)}$$

and

$$X(x) = X^a(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \Big|_x,$$

respectively then we define the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}_X\xi$ along ϕ as

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X\xi)(x) &= \left(X^a \cdot \frac{\partial \xi^i}{\partial x^a} \right) (x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \Big|_{\phi(x)} \\ &+ \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}(\phi(x)) \cdot \xi^j(x) \cdot \left(X^a \cdot \frac{\partial \phi^k}{\partial x^a} \right) (x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \Big|_{\phi(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.1. The fundamental form α of ϕ with respect to \bar{g} is a cross section of the tensor bundle $T_\phi\bar{M}\otimes S^2M$ over M which is given by the following equation. Let X and Y be tangent vectors of M at x , and denote a local extension of Y by the same letter Y . Then,

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X(\phi^*Y) - \phi^*(\nabla_X Y),$$

where ∇ is the riemannian connection of (M, g) . We see easily that $\alpha(X, Y)$ does not depend on extensions of the vector Y and that $\alpha(Y, X) = \alpha(X, Y)$.

DEFINITION 2.2. The tension field τ of ϕ with respect to \bar{g} is the trace of the fundamental form α with respect to g . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^i(x) &= g^{ab}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} (x) - g^{ab}(x) \cdot \Gamma^c_{ab}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial x^c} (x) \\ &+ g^{ab}(x) \cdot \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}(\phi(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi^j}{\partial x^a} (x) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi^k}{\partial x^b} (x), \end{aligned}$$

where Γ^c_{ab} is the Cristoffel's symbol of g . (See Eells and Sampson [3, p. 116 (5)].)

DEFINITION 2.3. If the tension field τ of ϕ with respect to \bar{g} vanishes, then ϕ is said to be *harmonic with respect to \bar{g}* .

We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{r,s}$ the subset of $\mathcal{M}^r \times \mathcal{P}^s$ of all pairs (\bar{g}, ϕ) such that ϕ is harmonic with respect to \bar{g} . We denote by \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{H} the subset of \mathcal{M}^r , \mathcal{P}^s and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{r,s}$ of all C^∞ -objects, respectively.

Finally, we show some fundamental propositions concerning the differentiability of the composition of H^s -mappings. Owing to Palais [6, § 4], $H^s(F)$ has a system of coordinate neighbourhoods such that each neighbourhood is diffeomorphic to an open set of a closed subspace of $\Sigma H^s(D^p, \mathbf{R})$, where p is the dimension of the base manifold of F and $H^s(D^p, \mathbf{R})$ is the vector space of all \mathbf{R} -valued H^s -functions on a closed p -dimensional disc D^p . Therefore the differentiability is reduced to that following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.4 (Palais [6, 11.3 Theorem]). Assume that $s > q/2 + 1$. If $\xi \in C^\infty(D^p, \mathbf{R})$, $\eta \in H^s(D^q, D^p)$ and $\eta(D^q) \subset \text{int}(D^p)$ then $\xi \circ \eta \in H^s(D^q, \mathbf{R})$. Moreover, there is a neighbourhood W of η in $H^s(D^q, D^p)$ such that the composition: $W \rightarrow H^s(D^q, \mathbf{R})$ is C^∞ .

LEMMA 2.5. Assume that $s > q/2 + 1$ and $r > s + p/2$. If $\xi \in H^r(D^p, \mathbf{R})$, $\eta \in H^s(D^q, D^p)$ and $\eta(D^q) \subset \text{int}(D^p)$ then $\xi \circ \eta \in H^s(D^q, \mathbf{R})$. Moreover there is a neighbourhood W of (ξ, η) in $H^r(D^p, \mathbf{R}) \times H^s(D^q, D^p)$ such that the composition: $W \rightarrow H^s(D^q, \mathbf{R})$ is $C^{r-s-\lfloor p/2 \rfloor - 1}$.

PROOF. Immediate from Omori [5, 1.4 Corollary] and Sobolev's lemma.
Q. E. D.

3. A Manifold structure of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{r,s}$

First we give some propositions to see that there is an open subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{r,s}$ which becomes a Hilbert manifold. We fix an element (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and a sufficiently small neighbourhood in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{r,s}$. Assume that $s > n/2 + 3$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. Note that the Cristoffel's symbol $\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ of \bar{g} is represented as a rational function of \bar{g}_{ij} and $\partial \bar{g}_{ij} / \partial y^k$. Therefore, by the formula (2.4), τ^i is represented as a rational function of \bar{g}_{ij} , $\partial \bar{g}_{ij} / \partial y^k$, ϕ^i , $\partial \phi^i / \partial x^a$, $\partial^2 \phi^i / \partial x^a \partial x^b$ and their compositions. Hence Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 imply that the map: $(\bar{g}, \phi) \rightarrow \tau$ is $C^{r-s-\lfloor \bar{n}/2 \rfloor}$ (in the sense of local expression) as a map from H^r -metric and H^s -imbedding to H^{s-2} -vector field.

Let x be a point in M and let z be a point in \bar{M} which is sufficiently near to $\phi_0(x)$. For a vector $\xi \in T_z \bar{M}$, we obtain a vector at $\phi_0(x)$ by the parallel transport along the minimal geodesic connecting z and $\phi_0(x)$ with

respect to \bar{g}_0 . We denote this vector at $\phi_0(x)$ by $p(x, \xi)$ or simply $p\xi$. Note that the map: $(x, \xi) \rightarrow p(x, \xi)$ is C^∞ . Thus Lemma 2.4 implies

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $s > n/2 + 3$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. Then there is a neighbourhood W of (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) in $\mathcal{M}^r \times \mathcal{P}^s$ such that the map: $(\bar{g}, \phi) \rightarrow p\tau$ is $C^{r-s-\lfloor \bar{n}/2 \rfloor}$ as a map: $W \rightarrow H^{s-2}(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})$.*

We shall give the derivation of this map. Let $\bar{g}(t)$ be a deformation of \bar{g}_0 , i.e., a 1-parameter family of riemannian metrics on \bar{M} such that $\bar{g}(0) = \bar{g}_0$. Set $\bar{g}'(0) = h$. Then by Lichnerowicz [4, (17.2)], we see

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{g}_0([\alpha(X, Y)], \xi) &= \bar{g}_0(\bar{\nabla}_X'(\phi_{0*} Y), \xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi_{0*} X} h)(\phi_{0*} Y, \xi) + (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi_{0*} Y} h)(\phi_{0*} X, \xi) - (\bar{\nabla}_\xi h)(\phi_{0*} X, \phi_{0*} Y) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{X_a\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of (M, g) . Then

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{g}_0([p\tau]', \xi) &= \bar{g}_0(\tau', \xi) \\ &= \sum_a \left\{ (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi_{0*} X_a} h)(\phi_{0*} X_a, \xi) - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nabla}_\xi h)(\phi_{0*} X_a, \phi_{0*} X_a) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\gamma(h)$ the right hand side of this equation. Next, we consider a variation of ϕ_0 , i.e., a 1-parameter family $\phi(t)$ of imbeddings such that $\phi(0) = \phi_0$. Set $\phi'(0) = V$. In the following equation, $\bar{\nabla}$ means the covariant derivative along $\Phi: M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \bar{M}$, where Φ is defined by $\Phi(x, t) = \phi(t)(x)$. We omit ϕ_* and Φ_* and set $\Phi_*(d/dt) = V$. Let X be a vector field on M , then

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}_V X - \bar{\nabla}_X V = [V, X] = 0.$$

Denote by \bar{R}_0 the curvature tensor of the metric \bar{g}_0 . Now, we compute $V[p\tau]$.

$$\begin{aligned} V[p\tau] &= \bar{\nabla}_V \tau = \text{tr}(\bar{\nabla}_V \alpha), \\ \bar{\nabla}_V(\alpha(X, Y)) &= \bar{\nabla}_V(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y) \\ &= \bar{R}(V, X) Y + \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_V Y - \bar{\nabla}_V \nabla_X X \\ &= \bar{R}(V, X) Y + \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_V Y - \bar{\nabla}_V \nabla_X Y \\ &= \bar{R}(V, X) Y + (\bar{\nabla} \bar{\nabla} V)(X, Y) + \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha(X, Y)} V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have, at $t=0$,

$$(3.4) \quad V[p\tau] = \sum_a \bar{R}_0(V, X_a) X_a + \sum_a (\bar{\nabla} \bar{\nabla} V)(X_a, X_a).$$

We denote by $\beta(V)$ the right side of this equation. Combining these formulae we get

LEMMA 3.2. The derivative of the map $(\bar{g}, \phi) \rightarrow p\tau$ at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) is given by

$$(3.5) \quad (h, V) \rightarrow \gamma(h) + \beta(V),$$

where γ is the first order differential operator defined by (3.2) and β the elliptic, self adjoint second order differential operator defined by (3.4).

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that β is self adjoint.

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{g}_0(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y V - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} V, W) \\ &= X[\bar{g}_0(\bar{\nabla}_Y V, W)] - \bar{g}_0(\bar{\nabla}_Y V, \bar{\nabla}_X W) - (\nabla_X Y)[\bar{g}_0(V, W)] \\ & \quad + \bar{g}_0(V, \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} W) \\ &= X[Y[\bar{g}_0(V, W)]] - X[\bar{g}_0(V, \bar{\nabla}_Y W)] - \bar{g}_0(\bar{\nabla}_Y V, \bar{\nabla}_X W) \\ & \quad - (\nabla_X Y)[\bar{g}_0(V, W)] + \bar{g}_0(V, \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} W) \\ &= \{ \nabla \nabla (\bar{g}_0(V, W)) \} (X, Y) - \{ \nabla_X (\bar{g}_0(V, \bar{\nabla} W)) \} (Y) - \bar{g}_0(\bar{\nabla}_Y V, \bar{\nabla}_X W). \end{aligned}$$

Set $X=Y=X_a$ and take summation over a .

Q. E. D.

Denote by K the vector space of all Killing vector fields on (M, g) if $n \neq 2$, or the vector space of all conformal vector fields if $n=2$. That is, $Z \in K$ if and only if

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_a Z_b + \nabla_b Z_a - \nabla^c Z_c \cdot g_{ab} = 0.$$

LEMMA 3.3. Let ϕ be an imbedding of M into \bar{M} and τ the tension field of ϕ . Then,

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \tau, K \rangle = 0.$$

PROOF. Set $\phi^* \bar{g} = \tilde{g}$. For $Z \in K$ we see

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\alpha(X, X), Z) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X X - \nabla_X X, Z) \\ &= X[\bar{g}(X, Z)] - \bar{g}(X, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) - \bar{g}(\nabla_X X, Z) \\ &= X[\tilde{g}(X, Z)] - \tilde{g}(X, \bar{\nabla}_Z X) + \tilde{g}(X, [Z, X]) - \tilde{g}(\nabla_X X, Z) \\ &= (\nabla_X \tilde{g})(X, Z) + \tilde{g}(X, \nabla_X Z) - \frac{1}{2} Z[\tilde{g}(X, X)] \\ & \quad + \tilde{g}(X, \nabla_Z X) - \tilde{g}(X, \nabla_X Z) \\ &= (\nabla_X \tilde{g})(X, Z) + \tilde{g}(X, \nabla_Z Z) - \frac{1}{2} Z[\tilde{g}(X, X)] \\ &= (\nabla_X \tilde{g})(X, Z) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_Z \tilde{g})(X, X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau, Z \rangle &= \int_M \left(\nabla_a \tilde{g}^a_b \cdot Z^b - \frac{1}{2} Z^b \nabla_b \tilde{g}^a_a \right) v_g \\ &= - \int_M \left(\nabla^a Z^b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^c Z_c \cdot g^{ab} \right) \tilde{g}_{ab} \cdot v_g \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

THEOREM 3.4. Assume that $s > n/2 + 3$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{r,s}$ is closed in $\mathcal{M}^r \times \mathcal{P}^s$ and there is a $C^{r-s-\lceil \bar{n}/2 \rceil}$ -Hilbert submanifold of $\mathcal{M}^r \times \mathcal{P}^s$ which is open in $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{r,s}$ and contains the set \mathcal{A} . We denote the manifold by $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$. Then the tangent space of $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$ at $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of $H^r(S^2 \bar{M}) \times H^s(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})$ of all pairs (h, V) such that $\gamma(h) + \beta(V) = 0$.

REMARK 3.5. This theorem shows that \mathcal{A} becomes an ILH-submanifold of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{P}$. (For the term ‘‘ILH’’, see Omori [5, pp. 168-169].)

PROOF. Since the map: $(\bar{g}, \phi) \rightarrow \tau$ is continuous, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{r,s}$ is closed. Let $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ and take W given in Lemma 3.1. Denote by $(p\tau)^{NK}$ the orthogonal part of $p\tau$ to K . We shall apply the implicit function theorem to the map: $(\bar{g}, \phi) \rightarrow (p\tau)^{NK}$ defined on W . Owing to Lemma 3.1, this map is $C^{r-s-\lceil \bar{n}/2 \rceil}$ as a map: $W \rightarrow [H^{s-2}(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})]^{NK}$.

First, we show that if $(\bar{g}, \phi) \in W$ is sufficiently near to (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) and $(p\tau)^{NK} = 0$ then $\tau = 0$. We define a symmetric 2-form on M depending on (\bar{g}, ϕ) by

$$(3.8) \quad 2S(X, Y) = \bar{g}(p^{-1}\phi_{0*} X, \phi_* Y) + \bar{g}(p^{-1}\phi_{0*} Y, \phi_* X).$$

Since S is positive definite for $\phi = \phi_0$, S is positive definite if ϕ is sufficiently near to ϕ_0 with respect to C^1 -topology which is weaker than $H^{n/2+3}$ -topology. Assume that $(p\tau)^{NK} = 0$. Then, if we set $p\tau = X, X \in K$ and

$$S(X, X) = \bar{g}(p^{-1}\phi_{0*} X, \phi_* X) = \bar{g}(\tau, \phi_* X).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, we see

$$\int_M S(X, X) v_g = \langle \tau, X \rangle = 0,$$

which implies that $X = 0$ and so $\tau = 0$.

Next, we show that the derivative of the map: $(\bar{g}, \phi) \rightarrow (p\tau)^{NK}$ at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) is surjective, which completes the proof. By Lemma 3.2, we see that $\text{Im } \beta$ is closed and has finite codimension. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im } \gamma$ coincides with K . In fact, then, the image is closed and dense owing to Palais [7, Chapter VII Theorem 7]. Let η be any H^{r-1} -1-form along ϕ_0 which is orthogonal to M at each point of M . Since ϕ_0 is an imbedding, there is an H^r -function f on \bar{M} such that $-1/2 \cdot \text{tr}(\phi_{0*} \bar{g}_0) \cdot df = \eta$. If we note that f is constant on $\phi_0 M$, then we see

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_0(\gamma(f \cdot \bar{g}_0) \cdot \xi) &= \sum_a \left\{ (X_a f) \cdot \bar{g}_0(X_a, \xi) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_0(X_a, X_a) \xi f \right\} \\ &= \bar{g}_0(\eta, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{X_a\}$ is an orthonormal basis of (M, g) . Therefore $\text{Im } \gamma$ contains such η . Hence, if Z is orthogonal to $\text{Im } \gamma$ then Z is tangent to M at each point of M . Let h be a symmetric bilinear form on \bar{M} and set $\phi_0^* h = \tilde{h}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(X, Z) - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\nabla}_Z h)(X, X) \\ &= X[\tilde{h}(X, Z)] - h(\bar{\nabla}_X X, Z) - h(X, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} Z[\tilde{h}(X, X)] + h(\bar{\nabla}_Z X, X) \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \tilde{h})(X, Z) + \tilde{h}(\nabla_X X, Z) + \tilde{h}(X, \nabla_X Z) - h(\bar{\nabla}_X X, Z) \\ &\quad + h([Z, X], X) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Z \tilde{h})(X, X) - \tilde{h}(\nabla_Z X, X) \\ &= (\nabla_X \tilde{h})(X, Z) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Z \tilde{h})(X, X) - h(\alpha(X, X), Z). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \gamma(h), Z \rangle = \int_M \left(\nabla^a \tilde{h}_{ab} \cdot Z^b - \frac{1}{2} Z^b \nabla_b \tilde{h}^a_a \right) v_g \\ &= - \int_M \tilde{h}^{ab} \left(\nabla_a Z_b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^c Z_c \cdot g_{ab} \right) v_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since this equation holds for all \tilde{h} , the 2-tensor $\nabla_a Z_b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^c Z_c \cdot g_{ab}$ is skew-symmetric, i.e.,

$$(\nabla_a Z_b + \nabla_b Z_a) - \nabla^c Z_c \cdot g_{ab} = 0. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Set $\ker \beta = J$. The above proof implies

COROLLARY 3.6.

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Im } \beta = [H^{s-2}(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})]^{NJ},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Im } \gamma = [H^{r-1}(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})]^{NK},$$

where $[]^{NJ}$ and $[]^{NK}$ mean the orthogonal compliments of J and K , respectively.

PROOF. To show the formula (3.10), it is sufficient that the vector space of all elements of $\text{Im } \gamma$ which is tangent to M contains a closed and

finite codimensional subspace of $H^{r-1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})$. (See the proof of Theorem 3.4) Let ξ be an H^{r+1} -vector field on M and denote by the same letter ξ an extension of $\phi_{0*}\xi$ to M . Set $h_{ij}=\bar{V}_i\xi_j+\bar{V}_j\xi_i$. For a vector field Y on M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_0(\gamma(h), Y) &= \sum_a (\bar{V}_{X_a} h)(X_a, Y) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\bar{V}_Y h)(X_a, X_a) \\ &= \sum_a \{X_a[h(X_a, Y)] - h(\bar{V}_{X_a} X_a, Y) - h(X_a, \bar{V}_{X_a} Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \{Y[h(X_a, X_a)] - 2h(\bar{V}_Y X_a, X_a)\} \\ &= \sum_a \{X_a[\bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_{X_a} \xi, Y) + \bar{g}_0(X_a, \bar{V}_Y \xi)] - [\bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_{\bar{V}_{X_a} X_a} \xi, Y) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_{X_a} X_a, \bar{V}_Y \xi)] - [\bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_{X_a} \xi, \bar{V}_{X_a} Y) + \bar{g}_0(X_a, \bar{V}_{\bar{V}_{X_a} Y} \xi)] \\ &\quad - Y[\bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_{X_a} \xi, X_a)] + [\bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_{\bar{V}_Y X_a} \xi, X_a) + \bar{g}_0(\bar{V}_Y X_a, \bar{V}_{X_a} \xi)]\} \\ &= \bar{g}_0\left(\sum_a (\bar{V}\bar{V}\xi)(X_a, X_a), Y\right) + \sum_a \bar{g}_0(X_a, \bar{R}_0(X_a, Y)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This equation shows that the differential operator $\xi \rightarrow \gamma(h)$ is elliptic. Particularly, the image of this map is closed and has finite codimension in $H^{r-1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})$. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 3.7. *The image of the projection: $T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{A}^{r,s} \rightarrow T_{\bar{g}_0} \mathcal{M}^r$ coincides with $\gamma^{-1}[r^{-1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})]^{NJ}$. The image of the projection: $T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{A}^{r,s} \rightarrow T_{\phi_0} \mathcal{P}^s$ coincides with $H^{r+1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})$.*

PROOF. The first half is reduced to Corollary 3.6. It is trivial that if $\gamma(h) + \beta(V) = 0$ and $h \in H^r(S^2\bar{M})$ then $V \in H^{r+1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})$. Conversely, if $V \in H^{r+1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})$ then $\beta(V) \in [H^{r-1}(T_{\phi_0}\bar{M})]^{NJ}$ owing to the formula (3.9). On the other hand, K is contained in J by the following Corollary 3.9. Therefore the formula (3.10) implies that there is $h \in H^r(S^2\bar{M})$ such that $\gamma(h) + \beta(V) = 0$. Q. E. D.

To state Corollary 3.9, we show

LEMMA 3.8. *Let I denote the isometry group of (M, g) if $n \neq 2$, or the conformal transformation group if $n = 2$. Then I preserves $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$, i. e., if $(\bar{g}, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}^{r,s}$ and $\gamma \in I$ then $(\bar{g}, \phi \circ \gamma) \in \mathcal{A}^{r,s}$.*

PROOF. Denote by α and τ_ϕ the fundamental form and tension field of ϕ with respect to \bar{g} , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\phi \circ \gamma}(X, Y) &= \bar{V}_X(\phi_* \gamma_* Y) - \phi_* \gamma_* \nabla_X Y \\ &= \{\bar{V}_X(\phi_*(\gamma_* Y)) - \phi_* \nabla_X(\gamma_* Y)\} + \{\phi_* \nabla_X(\gamma_* Y) - \phi_* \gamma_* \nabla_X Y\} \\ &= \alpha_\phi(\gamma_* X, \gamma_* Y) + \phi_* \alpha_\gamma(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma^*g = \exp f \cdot g$, then

$$\tau_{\phi \circ \gamma} = \exp f \cdot \tau_\phi \circ \gamma + \phi_* \tau_\gamma.$$

Assume that $\tau_\phi = 0$. By easy computation we see

$$g(\tau_\gamma, X) = \frac{1}{2}(2-n)Xf.$$

Therefore, if $n=2$ or f is constant then $\tau_{\phi \circ \gamma} = 0$. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 3.9. $\beta(K) = 0$.

PROOF. If $X \in K$ then $\exp tX \in I$. Therefore, if we define a variation $\phi(t)$ of ϕ_0 by $\phi(t) = \phi_0 \circ \exp tX$, then $\tau_{\phi(t)} = 0$, and so $\tau' = 0$. Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.8 shows that we have to consider the coset space $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}/I$. But the action of I on $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$ is not differentiable, hence $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}/I$ does not become a Hilbert manifold. Here, we consider a submanifold of $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$ instead of $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}/I$. Let $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ and W be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) in $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{r,s}$ is defined by

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{r,s} = \left\{ (\bar{g}, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}^{r,s} \cap W; \phi = \exp_{\bar{g}_0} \xi \circ \phi_0, \xi \in H^s(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M}), \langle \xi, K \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.10. Assume that $s > n/2 + 3$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. If W is sufficiently small then $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{r,s}$ becomes a $C^{r-s-\lceil \bar{n}/2 \rceil}$ -Hilbert submanifold of $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}$. We denote this manifold by $\mathcal{V}^{r,s}$.

PROOF. We define the map: $(\bar{g}, \exp_{\bar{g}_0} \xi \circ \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}^{r,s} \rightarrow \xi^K$, where ξ^K is the K -component of ξ . This map is $C^{r-s-\lceil \bar{n}/2 \rceil}$ and the derivative at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) is surjective owing to Lemma 3.7. Apply the implicit function theorem on this map. Q. E. D.

We denote by \mathcal{D}^s the H^s -diffeomorphism group of M and \mathcal{D} the C^∞ -diffeomorphism group of M . (See Omori [5, 1.8 Lemma].) Let \mathfrak{h} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra of C^∞ -vector fields on M . Then, by Palais [8, Chapter IV Theorem III], there is a Lie transformation group H whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{h} .

LEMMA 3.11. Assume $s > n/2$. If \mathfrak{h} and H are as above then the inclusion: $H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s$ is an imbedding.

PROOF. There is a neighbourhood W of id in H such that $\exp^{-1}|_W: W \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open set of \mathfrak{h} . Owing to Omori [5, 1.15 Theorem], for each positive integer k there is a sufficiently large integer t such that $\exp: H^t(TM) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s$ is C^k . Therefore the inclusion: $W \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s$, which coincides with $\exp \circ (\exp^{-1}|_W)$, is C^∞ . We easily see that the derivative of the inclusion at $id \in H$ is injective. Since the right multiplication of $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ for \mathcal{D}^s is C^∞ (see Ebin [1, Proposition 3.4; 2, 4.18 Théorème

fondamental]), the above information implies that the inclusion: $H \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s$ is an immersion.

To show that the inclusion is a homeomorphism onto its image, we use Palais [8, Chapter IV Theorem VI], that is the topology of H coincides with the compact-open topology. Since $s > n/2$, the topology of \mathcal{D}^s is stronger than the compact-open topology. Q. E. D.

REMARK 3.12. For the case that H is the isometry group, see Ebin [1, Corollary 5.4; 2, 7.6 Théorème].

COROLLARY 3.13. Denote by I the isometry group of (M, g) if $n \neq 2$, or conformal transformation group if $n = 2$. Then I becomes a submanifold of \mathcal{D}^s for all $s > n/2$.

Now, we give two lemmas which make clear the meaning that we may consider $\mathcal{N}^{r,s}$ instead of $\mathcal{A}^{r,s}/I$.

LEMMA 3.14. Assume that $t > n/2 + 3$, $s > t + n/2$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. Then the composition $c: \mathcal{N}^{r,s} \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{r,t}$ is $C^{s-t-[n/2]-1}$.

PROOF. $\mathcal{N}^{r,s}$, $\mathcal{A}^{r,t}$ and I are submanifolds of $\mathcal{M}^r \times \mathcal{P}^s$, $\mathcal{M}^r \times \mathcal{P}^t$ and \mathcal{D}^t respectively. Therefore the proof reduces to the differentiability of the composition: $\mathcal{P}^s \times \mathcal{D}^t \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^t$. But it is easy to check owing to Lemma 2.5. Q. E. D.

REMARK 3.15. The composition $c: \mathcal{N}^{r,s} \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{r,s}$ is continuous owing to Ebin [1, Lemma 3.1; 2, 4.3 Proposition].

LEMMA 3.16. Assume that $t > n/2 + 3$, $s > t + n/2$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. Then there is a local $C^{s-t-[n/2]-1}$ -map $d: \mathcal{A}^{r,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{r,t} \times I$ such that $c \circ d$ is the identity map.

PROOF. Let $\{K_p\}$ be basis of K . Define a function on \mathcal{P}^s by

$$G_{pq}(\psi) = \int_M \bar{g}_0(\psi_* K_p, \psi_* K_q) v_{g_0}.$$

We see that G_{pq} are C^∞ owing to Lemma 2.4. The map which corresponds $\gamma \in I$ and $\xi \in H^s(T_{\phi_0 \circ \gamma} \bar{M})$ to $\xi^K \in H^s(T_{\phi_0 \circ \gamma} \bar{M})$ is given by

$$\xi^K = \phi_{0*} \gamma_* \sum_{p,q} G^{pq}(\phi_0 \circ \gamma) \cdot \langle \xi, \phi_{0*} \gamma_* K_p \rangle \cdot K_q,$$

where (G_{pq}) is the inverse matrix of (G_{pq}) . Since G_{pq} are C^∞ owing to Lemma 2.4, this map is a C^∞ -submersion of the vector bundle $T\mathcal{P}^s|_{\phi_0 \circ I}$ over $\phi_0 \circ I$ to the subbundle $\bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \phi_{0*} \gamma_* K$ of the tangent vector bundle of $\phi_0 \circ I$. Hence the kernel bundle ν is a C^∞ -bundle over $\phi_0 \circ I$. The fiber of ν at ϕ_0 is $[H^s(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})]^{NK}$ and the derivative of $\text{Exp}_{\bar{g}_0}|_\nu$ at ϕ_0 is the identity and surjective onto $T_{\phi_0} \mathcal{P}^s$. Therefore $\text{Exp}_{\bar{g}_0}|_\nu$ is a local diffeomorphism.

Define a map $\pi : \mathcal{P}^s \rightarrow I$ by

$$\phi = \left(\text{Exp}_{\bar{g}_0} \circ (\text{Exp}_{\bar{g}_0} | \nu)^{-1}(\phi) \right) \circ \phi_0 \circ \pi(\phi).$$

Since the map $\gamma \rightarrow \phi_0 \circ \gamma$ is injective immersion, π is C^∞ . Then the decomposition $\phi \rightarrow (\phi \circ (\pi(\phi))^{-1}, \pi(\phi)) \in \mathcal{P}^t \times I$ is $C^{s-t-\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}$ near ϕ_0 , owing to Lemma 3.14. We define the map d by

$$d(\bar{g}, \phi) = \left((\bar{g}, \phi \circ (\pi(\phi))^{-1}), \pi(\phi) \right). \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

4. Variations of harmonic mappings caused by deformations of riemannian metrics

In this section we assume that $s > n/2 + 3$, $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$ and so Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.10 hold. First we consider the case that $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ has the property that $J=K$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Assume that $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ has the property that $J=K$. Then the differential of the projection $\pi : \mathcal{V}^{r,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^r$ at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) is bijective.*

PROOF. Owing to Lemma 3.7 and the formula (3.10), the projection $T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{A}^{r,s} \rightarrow T_{\bar{g}_0} \mathcal{M}^r$ is surjective. Therefore, for each $h \in H^r(S^2 \bar{M})$ there is $V \in T_{\phi_0} \mathcal{P}^s$ such that $(h, V) \in T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{A}^{r,s}$, and so $(h, V^{NK}) \in T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{V}^{r,s}$, where V^{NK} means the $[H^s(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})]^{NK}$ -component of V . On the other hand, if $(0, V) \in T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{V}^{r,s}$ then $V \in J$. But here V is orthogonal to J , and so $V=0$.

Q. E. D.

THEOREM 4.2. *Assume that $s > n/2 + 3$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 - 1$. If $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $J=K$, then the projection $\pi : \mathcal{V}^{r,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^r$ is a local $C^{r-s-\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ -diffeomorphism around (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) .*

PROOF. Apply the inverse function theorem to Lemma 4.1.

Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Under the above assumption, let $\bar{g}(t)$ be a deformation of \bar{g}_0 , i. e., a C^∞ -curve in \mathcal{M}^r such that $\bar{g}(0) = \bar{g}_0$. Then for sufficiently small t , there exists unique $\phi(t) \in \mathcal{P}^s$ such that $(\bar{g}(t), \phi(t)) \in \mathcal{V}^{r,s}$. Moreover $\phi(t)$ is a $C^{r-s-\lfloor \bar{n}/2 \rfloor}$ -curve in \mathcal{P}^s , and if we set $\bar{g}'(0) = h$ and $\phi'(0) = V$ then*

$$(4.1) \quad \gamma(h) + \beta(V) = 0, \quad V \in [H^s(T_{\phi_0} \bar{M})]^{NK}$$

holds.

Next we consider the case that $(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ has the property that $J \not\supseteq K$. Let S be a finite dimensional C^∞ -submanifold of \mathcal{M}^r containing \bar{g}_0 . Assume that $j \circ \gamma | T_{\bar{g}_0} S : T_{\bar{g}_0} S \rightarrow J^{NK}$ is an isomorphism, where j is the projection map to the J -part.

LEMMA 4.4. Let π be the projection: $\mathcal{V}^{r,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^r$. Then there is a neighbourhood W of (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) in $\mathcal{V}^{r,s}$ such that $\pi^{-1}(S) \cap W$ is a finite dimensional $C^{r-s-\lceil \bar{n}/2 \rceil}$ -submanifold of $\mathcal{Z}^{r,s}$. The tangent space of $\pi^{-1}(S) \cap W$ at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) is $(0, J^{NK})$.

PROOF. Set $(T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \pi)(T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{V}^{r,s}) = E$. Owing to Lemma 3.7 and the formula (3.10), $H^r(S^2 \bar{M})$ is the direct sum of E and $T_{\bar{g}_0} S$. Therefore there is a local diffeomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{M}^r \rightarrow H^r(S^2 \bar{M})$ around \bar{g}_0 such that $\phi(S)$ is contained in $T_{\bar{g}_0} S$ and $T_{\bar{g}_0} \phi$ is the identity. Then, if we denote by $p: H^r(S^2 \bar{M}) \rightarrow E$ the projection with respect to the decomposition $H^r(S^2 \bar{M}) = T_{\bar{g}_0} S \oplus E$, $\pi^{-1}(S)$ coincides with $(p \circ \phi \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$. Since the differential of $p \circ \phi \circ \pi$ at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) is surjective, there is a neighbourhood W of (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) such that $\pi^{-1}(S) \cap W$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{Z}^{r,s}$. Let $(h, V) \in T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \mathcal{V}^{r,s}$. If $T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)}(p \circ \phi \circ \pi)(h, V) = 0$, then $h \in T_{\bar{g}_0}(S)$. But here Theorem 3.4 and the formula (3.9) implies that $[\gamma(h)]^J = 0$. Therefore $h = 0$, which implies that the tangent space of $\pi^{-1}(S) \cap W$ is $(0, J^{NK})$. Q. E. D.

Set $\pi^{-1}(S) \cap W = S'$ and $(\pi|_{S'}) - \bar{g}_0 = \bar{\pi}$. $\bar{\pi}(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0) = 0$ and $T_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)} \bar{\pi} = 0$.

LEMMA 4.5. Let $(\bar{g}(t), \phi(t))$ be a curve in S' such that $(\bar{g}(0), \phi(0)) = (\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)$. If we set $\bar{g}'(0) = h$ and $\phi'(0) = V$ then

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_a \left\{ 4\bar{R}_0(V, X_a) \bar{\nabla}_{X_a} V + (\bar{\nabla}_{X_a} \bar{R}_0)(V, X_a) V + (\bar{\nabla}_V \bar{R}_0)(V, X_a) X_a \right\} + \gamma(h) = 0,$$

where \bar{R}_0 is the curvature tensor of \bar{g}_0 and $\{X_a\}$ is an orthonormal frame of M .

PROOF. If we set $\bar{g}'(t) = \bar{h}(t)$ and $\phi'(t) = V(t)$ then, by Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\gamma_{(\bar{g}(t), \phi(t))}(\bar{h}(t)) + \beta_{(\bar{g}(t), \phi(t))}(V(t)) = 0.$$

We give the differential of this equation at (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) . The differential for the direction to \mathcal{M}^r is given by $\gamma(\bar{h}'(0))$ and the differential for the direction to \mathcal{S}^s is given as $\bar{\nabla}_V[\beta_{(\bar{g}_0, \phi_0)}(V(t))]$. We compute this form. Omit t in the following computation. Recal the definition (3.4).

$$(\bar{\nabla} \bar{\nabla} V)(X, X) = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_X V - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_X X} V,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_V(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_X V) &= \bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_V \bar{\nabla}_X V \\ &= \bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + \bar{\nabla}_X(\bar{R}(V, X) V + \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_V V) \\ &= \bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{R})(V, X) V + \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_X V, X) V + \bar{R}(V, \bar{\nabla}_X X) V \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_V V. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_V(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_X X} V) &= \bar{R}(V, \bar{\nabla}_X X) V + \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_X X} \bar{\nabla}_V V, \\ \bar{\nabla}_V(\bar{R}(V, X) X) &= (\bar{\nabla}_V \bar{R})(V, X) X + \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_V V, X) X + \bar{R}(V, \bar{\nabla}_V X) X \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_V X \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_V \bar{R})(V, X) X + \bar{R}(V, \bar{\nabla}_X V) X + \bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_V V, X) X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\nabla}_V[(\bar{\nabla} \bar{\nabla} V)(X, X) + \bar{R}(V, X) X] \\ &= 4\bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{R})(V, X) V + (\bar{\nabla}_V \bar{R})(V, X) X + \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_X(\bar{\nabla}_V V) \\ &\quad - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_X X}(\bar{\nabla}_V V) + \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_V V, X) X \\ &= 4\bar{R}(V, X) \bar{\nabla}_X V + (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{R})(V, X) V + (\bar{\nabla}_V \bar{R})(V, X) X + \beta(\bar{\nabla}_V V). \end{aligned}$$

Set $X = X_a$ and take summation over a .

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 4.6. Let $\phi: \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ be a C^r -map ($r \geq 2$) such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $d\phi(0) = 0$. Set $2\tilde{\phi}(v) = (\text{Hess } \phi)(v, v)$, where $\text{Hess } \phi$ is the Hessian of ϕ at the origin, i. e., $(\text{Hess } \phi)(v, v') = v[v'(\phi)]$. Assume that $\text{Im } \tilde{\phi}$ contains an open set of \mathbf{R}^p . Let w be an element of \mathbf{R}^p such that $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(w) = \{\pm v_a\}_{1 \leq a \leq q}$ for some q and that the linear map: $v \rightarrow (\text{Hess } \phi)(v_a, v)$ is non-degenerate for each a . If $w(t)$ is a C^r -curve in \mathbf{R}^p such that $w(0) = 0$ and $w'(0) = w$, then there are a neighbourhood W of $0 \in \mathbf{R}^p$ and C^{r-1} -curves $v_a(t)$ in \mathbf{R}^p such that $v_a(0) = 0$, $v_a'(0) = v_a$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \phi^{-1}(w(t^2)) \cap W = \{v_a(\pm t)\}_{1 \leq a \leq q}$$

holds for sufficiently small $t > 0$.

PROOF. We may assume that $w(t^2) = t^2 w$, by changing coordinate system of \mathbf{R}^p if necessary. Let $\{x^i\}$ and $\{y^i\}$ be the coordinates of the domain and the image, respectively. By the condition of ϕ we can assume that ϕ has the form as $\phi^k(x) = \phi^k_{ij} x^i x^j$, where ϕ^k_{ij} are C^{r-2} -function. Moreover we see easily that the equation $\phi^k_{ij}(x) z^i z^j = w^k$ for (z^i) has $2p$ solutions depending C^{r-2} -ly on x , which coincides with $\{\pm v_a\}$ at $x = 0$. Let $\lambda_a(x)$ be a solution such that $\lambda_a(0) = v_a$. We may assume that $\lambda_a^i(x) \neq 0$ for all i if x is sufficiently small. In fact this is satisfied by changing coordinate system of $\{y^j\}$ if necessary. Set $t_a^i(x) = x^i / \lambda_a^i(x)$. Then t_a^i are C^{r-2} -functions and the transformation matrix $(\partial t_a^j / \partial x^i)$ at 0 is non-degenerate. Therefore there are curves $\zeta_a(t)$ in \mathbf{R}^p such that $t_a^i(\zeta_a(t)) = t$. $\zeta_a(t)$ satisfies

$$\zeta_a^i(t) = t \cdot \lambda_a^i(\zeta_a(t)) \quad \text{for any } a$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^k(\zeta_a(t)) &= \phi^k_{ij}(\zeta_a(t)) \cdot \zeta_a^i(t) \cdot \zeta_a^j(t) \\ &= \phi^k_{ij}(\zeta_a(t)) \cdot t \cdot \lambda_a^i(\zeta_a(t)) \cdot t \cdot \lambda_a^j(\zeta_a(t)) \\ &= t^2 \cdot \omega^k. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

THEOREM 4.7. Assume that $s > n/2 + 3$ and $r > s + \bar{n}/2 + 1$. Let (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) be an element of \mathcal{A} such that $J \supseteq K$. Denote by $\Phi(V, W)$ a J^{NK} -valued symmetric 2-form on J^{NK} associated with (4.2), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad \Phi(V, W) &= - \sum_a \left\{ 4\bar{R}_0(V, X_a) \bar{V}_{X_a} W + (\bar{V}_{X_a} \bar{R}_0)(V, X_a) W \right. \\ &\quad + (\bar{V}_V \bar{R}_0)(W, X_a) X_a + 4\bar{R}_0(W, X_a) \bar{V}_{X_a} V \\ &\quad \left. + (\bar{V}_{X_a} \bar{R}_0)(W, X_a) V + (\bar{V}_W \bar{R}_0)(V, X_a) X_a \right\}^{J^{NK}}, \end{aligned}$$

and set $\tilde{\phi}(V) = (1/2) \Phi(V, V)$. Let $h \in C^\infty(S^2 \bar{M})$. Assume that $\text{Im } \tilde{\phi}$ contains an open set of J . If $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}([\gamma(h)]^J) = \{\pm V_a\}_{1 \leq a \leq q}$ has the property that the linear map: $V \rightarrow \Phi(V, V_a)$ is non-degenerate for each a , then, for a C^∞ -curve $\bar{g}(t)$ in \mathcal{M}^r such that $\bar{g}(0) = \bar{g}_0$ and $\bar{g}'(0) = h$ there are a neighbourhood W of (\bar{g}_0, ϕ_0) in $\mathcal{V}^{r,s}$ and $C^{r-s-\lceil \bar{n}/2 \rceil - 1}$ -curves $\phi_a(t)$ in W such that $\phi_a(0) = \phi_0$, $\phi_a'(0) = V_a$ and

$$(4.5) \quad \pi^{-1}(\bar{g}(t^2)) \cap W = \left\{ (\bar{g}(t^2), \phi_a(\pm t)) \right\}_{1 \leq a \leq q}$$

for sufficiently small $t > 0$, where π is the projection: $\mathcal{V}^{r,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^r$.

PROOF. Since the condition implies that $[\gamma(h)]^J \neq 0$, we can construct the set S introduced above Lemma 4.5, so as to include $\{\bar{g}(t)\}$. Then, by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, the proof reduces to Lemma 4.6.

Q. E. D.

REMARK 4.8. When the variational completeness is satisfied, this theorem cannot be applied. In fact, $\text{Hess } \pi$ vanishes in this case.

ADDED IN PROOF. After this paper was written, the auther received a preprint of J. Eells and L. Lemaire: Deformations of metrics and associated harmonic maps (to appear in the Patodi memorial volume). Some of the results have overlap with this paper.

References

- [1] D. G. EBIN: The manifold of riemannian metrics, *Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 15 (1968))*, 11-40, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [2] D. G. EBIN: Espace des metriques riemanniennes et mouvement des fluides via les varietes d'applications, Centre de Mathematiques de l'Ecole Polytechnique et Université Paris VII, 1972.
- [3] J. EELLS Jr. and J. H. SAMPSON: Harmonic mappings of riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.*, **86** (1964), 109-160.
- [4] LICHNEROWICZ: Propagateurs et commutateurs en relativité generale, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **10** (1961), 293-344.
- [5] H. OMORI: On the group of diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold, *Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 15 (1968))*, 167-183, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [6] R. S. PALAIS: *Foundations of global non-linear analysis*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [7] R. S. PALAIS: Seminar on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, **57**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1965.
- [8] R. S. PALAIS: A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. Num.*, **22**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1957.

Norihito KOISO
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka, 560 Japan