

## Notes on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with convex exhaustion functions

By Koichi SHIGA

(Received February 16, 1981)

### § 0. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a connected, complete and noncompact Riemannian manifold without boundary, and let  $K_\sigma$  be the sectional curvature of  $M$  determined by a plane section  $\sigma$ . Every geodesic on  $M$  is parametrized by arc length. Let  $C_p$  (resp.  $Q_p$ ) be the tangent cut locus (resp. the tangent first conjugate locus) with respect to a point  $p \in M$ , and let  $C(p) = \exp_p C_p$ , where  $\exp_p : M_p \rightarrow M$  is the exponential map. The injectivity radius function of the exponential map is a continuous function  $i : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  determined by  $i(p) = \inf \{d(p, q) ; q \in C(p)\}$ , where  $d$  is the distance function of  $M$  induced from the Riemannian metric of  $M$ . And the injectivity radius  $i(M)$  of  $M$  is defined as the infimum of  $i(p)$ ,  $p \in M$ .

Toponogov ([11]) and Maeda ([8], [9]) have shown the following theorem which relates the injectivity radius with the curvature of  $M$ ;

**THEOREM A** ([8], [9], [11]) *If the sectional curvature  $K_\sigma$  of  $M$  satisfies  $0 < K_\sigma \leq \lambda$  for all  $\sigma$ , then we have  $i(p) \geq \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$  for all  $p$  of  $M$ .*

Recently, Sharafutdinov ([10]) has extended the above result as follows ;

**THEOREM B** ([10]) *If  $M$  is homeomorphic to a Euclidean space and if  $0 \leq K_\sigma \leq \lambda$  for all  $\sigma$ , then we have  $i(p) \geq \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$  for all  $p$  of  $M$ .*

The proof of this estimate given in [8] and [9] is based on the fact that there is a continuous filtration of compact totally convex sets  $\{C_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  if  $K_\sigma > 0$  holds for all  $\sigma$  (see [2]).

Now if  $K_\sigma \geq 0$  holds for all  $\sigma$ , then every Busemann function  $f_\gamma$  with respect to a ray  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$  is convex ([1]). Moreover if  $K_\sigma \geq 0$  for all  $\sigma$ , then  $F = \sup \{f_\gamma : \gamma(0) = p\}$  is a convex exhaustion function and  $\{F^{-1}((-\infty, t])\}_{t \geq 0}$  gives a filtration by compact totally convex sets, where sup is taken over all rays emanating from a fixed point  $p$  of  $M$ . And it has been proved by Greene and Wu ([3]) that if  $K_\sigma > 0$  then the above  $F$  can be replaced by a strongly convex exhaustion function  $g$ . Namely,  $g$  satisfies ; for every compact set  $A$  in  $M$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that the second difference

quotient along every geodesic at any point on  $A$  is bounded below by  $\delta$ .

First, we shall give generalizations of Theorem A and B from a convex functional view point.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  admits a convex exhaustion function  $\varphi$ ;  $M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $K_\sigma \leq \lambda$  for any  $\sigma$ . Then either  $i(M) \geq \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$  or  $i(M)$  is attained at a point belonging to the minimum set of  $\varphi$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *If  $M$  admits a strictly convex exhaustion function and  $K_\sigma \leq \lambda$  for any  $\sigma$ , then we have  $i(M) \geq \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$ .*

Concerning the problem on the position of cut locus and conjugate locus mentioned by Weinstein ([12]), Gromoll and Meyer have proved in [6] that if the sectional curvature of  $M$  satisfies  $K_\sigma > 0$  for any  $\sigma$ , then there exists a point  $p$  of  $M$  such that  $C_p \cap Q_p \neq \emptyset$ . If a strongly convex exhaustion function on  $M$  is replaced by a (weaker) strictly convex exhaustion function (for definition see below), then we have a generalization of the above result as follows;

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that  $M$  admits a strictly convex exhaustion function. If there is a point  $q$  of  $M$  at which  $C_q \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a point  $p$  of  $M$  such that  $C_p \cap Q_p \neq \emptyset$ .*

As is seen later, in the above Theorems 1, 2 and Corollary, the assumptions are optimal in the following sense; If in Theorem 1 the exhaustion condition is removed, and if in Corollary one of the three conditions, strict convexity, exhaustion and  $K_\sigma \leq \lambda$  is removed, then there is a counter example which violates the conclusion. If in Theorem 2, one of the three conditions, strict convexity, exhaustion and  $C_q \neq \emptyset$  is removed, then there is a counter example which violates the conclusion.

Definitions and some auxiliary results are given in the section 1, the proof of Theorem 1 and remarks are given in the section 2 and the proof of Theorem 2 and some other related results are given in the section 3.

The author would like to express his thanks to Professor K. Shiohama for his valuable suggestions.

## § 1. Preliminaries

Hereafter let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold without boundary. First of all, we shall define the concept of convexity and exhaustion for a real valued function on  $M$ . A function  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to be convex if for every geodesic  $\gamma: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$  and every  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi \circ \gamma$  satisfies

$$\varphi \circ \gamma((1-\lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2) \leq (1-\lambda)\varphi \circ \gamma(t_1) + \lambda\varphi \circ \gamma(t_2).$$

If the above inequality is strict for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\varphi$  is called to be strictly convex. A convex function is locally Lipschitz continuous and hence it is differentiable at almost all points in  $M$ . And a strongly convex function is a strictly convex function but the converse is not generally true. A function  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to be exhaustion if  $\varphi^{-1}((-\infty, a])$  is compact for any  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ . We denote the sublevel set  $\varphi^{-1}((-\infty, a])$  by  $M^a(\varphi)$  or simply  $M^a$ . Furthermore, a subset  $A$  in  $M$  is by definition totally convex if every geodesic segment from  $p$  to  $q$  is contained in  $A$  for any points  $p$  and  $q$  of  $A$ . Any sublevel set  $M^a$  of a convex function is closed totally convex. Hence convex exhaustion functions take their minima and thus if  $\varphi$  is a strictly convex exhaustion function, then the minimum set is a single point.

Hereafter let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a convex function and let  $m$  be the infimum of  $\varphi(p)$ ,  $p \in M$ , unless otherwise mentioned. The following notions are used by Sharafutdinov ([10]) to prove Theorem C and D. For  $v \in M_p$ , we denote by  $\varphi'(p, v)$  the value of the right derivative of  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(\exp_p tv)$  at  $t=0$ . Then  $\varphi'(p, \cdot): M_p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is Lipschitz continuous, convex and positive homogeneous, *i. e.*,  $\varphi'(p, tv) = t\varphi'(p, v)$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Hence it turns out that  $\varphi'(p, \cdot)$  restricted to the set  $S_p M$  of unit tangent vectors to  $M$  at  $p$  attains its negative minimum at a unique vector in  $S_p M$  if  $\varphi(p) > m$ . So we can define the vector  $X(p) = \varphi'(p, v)v$ , where  $v$  is the unit tangent vector such that  $\varphi'(p, v) = \min \{\varphi'(p, w); w \in S_p M\}$ .

Next let  $c: [a, b] \rightarrow M$  be a continuous curve and  $v$  be a tangent vector at  $c(t)$ ,  $t \in (a, b]$ . Then  $v$  is said to be the left tangent vector to the curve  $c$  at  $t$  if for every smooth function  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , the left derivative of  $f \circ c$  at  $t$  is equal to  $vf$ . And we write as  $v = \dot{c}_-(t)$ . Under these notations, we define the following;

DEFINITION. Let the point  $p$  of  $M$  and the number  $T$  be such that  $\varphi(p) = t_0 > T \geq m$ . The continuous curve  $c_p: [T, t_0] \rightarrow M$  is called to be an integral curve for the field  $X$  emanating from  $p$  if it satisfies the following three conditions;

- (1)  $c_p(t_0) = p$ ,
- (2)  $c_p$  is locally Lipschitz continuous on  $(T, t_0]$ ,
- (3) For any  $t \in (T, t_0]$ ,  $\varphi(c_p(t)) > m$  and

$$\dot{c}_{p-}(t) = X(c_p(t)) / |X(c_p(t))|^2.$$

If moreover  $T = m$ , then  $c_p$  is called a maximal integral curve for the field  $X$  emanating from  $p$ .

The following facts were proved by Sharafutdinov in [10] and see [10] for details.

**THEOREM C ([10]).** *Let  $\varphi$  be a convex exhaustion function on  $M$  and let  $M^a$  be an arbitrarily given sublevel set of  $\varphi$ . Then for every point  $p$  of  $M^a$  such that  $\varphi(p) > m$ , there exists a unique maximal integral curve for the field  $X$  emanating from  $p$ .*

**THEOREM D ([10]).** *Let  $\varphi$  be a convex exhaustion function. For every  $t$  with  $m \leq t \leq a$ , define a map  $\phi_t: M^a \rightarrow M^t$  by  $\phi_t(p) = p$  if  $\varphi(p) \leq t$  and  $\phi_t(p) = c_p(t)$  if  $\varphi(p) = t_0 > t$ , where  $c_p: [m, t_0] \rightarrow M^a$  is a maximal integral curve for  $X$  emanating from  $p$ . Then we have  $L(\gamma) \geq L(\phi_t \circ \gamma)$  for any rectifiable curve  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M^a$ , where  $L(\gamma)$  denotes the length of the curve  $\gamma$ .*

## § 2. Proof of Theorem 1 and Remarks

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Assume that  $i(M) < \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$  and let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence of points in  $M$  such that  $i(p_n) \rightarrow i(M)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix an  $a_n \in \mathbf{R}$  so that  $\varphi(p_n) \leq a_n$ . Since  $\varphi$  is exhaustion,  $M^{a_n}$  is compact and the injectivity radius function restricted to  $M^{a_n}$  takes minimum at some point  $q_n$  of  $M^{a_n}$ . Let  $q'_n$  in  $C(q_n)$  be a point such that  $d(q_n, q'_n) = d(q_n, C(q_n))$ . Then from the theorem of Morse-Schoenberg and Lemma 2 [5; p 226], there exists a geodesic loop  $\gamma := \gamma^{(n)}: [0, 2i(q_n)] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(2i(q_n)) = q_n$ . Since  $M^{a_n}$  is totally convex,  $\gamma([0, 2i(q_n)])$  is contained in  $M^{a_n}$ . If  $\dot{\gamma}(0) \neq \dot{\gamma}(2i(q_n))$  then  $d(q'_n, C(q'_n)) < d(q'_n, q_n)$ . Hence  $i(q'_n) < i(q_n)$ . This contradicts the choice of  $q_n$ . So  $\gamma$  is a closed geodesic.

Let  $\varphi \circ \gamma([0, 2i(q_n)]) = b_n$ . If  $b_n = m$  holds for all  $n$ , then  $i(M)$  is attained at a point on  $M^m$ . Assume that  $b_n > m$ , and reparametrize  $\gamma$  by  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ . For any  $t \in [m, b_n]$ , define a curve  $\tilde{\gamma}_t := \tilde{\gamma}_t^{(n)}: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  by putting  $\tilde{\gamma}_t = \phi_t \circ \gamma$ , where  $\phi_t: M^{b_n} \rightarrow M^t$  is the map defined in Theorem D. Then by Theorem D,  $\tilde{\gamma}_t$  is a closed curve with  $L(\tilde{\gamma}_t) \leq L(\gamma) < 2\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$ . Let  $t_0 := t^{(n)}$  be the infimum of the number  $t \in [m, b_n]$  such that  $L(\phi_t \circ \gamma) = L(\gamma)$  and such that  $\phi_t \circ \gamma$  is a closed geodesic. If  $t_0 > m$ , then take a sequence  $t_k \in (m, t_0)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , tending to  $t_0$ . If  $L(\tilde{\gamma}_{t_k}) = L(\tilde{\gamma}_{t_0})$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_k}$  is not a closed geodesic by the definition of  $t_0$ . Therefore we can get a closed curve  $\gamma_{t_k} := \gamma_{t_k}^{(n)}: [0, 1] \rightarrow M^{t_k}$  such that  $L(\gamma_{t_k}) < L(\tilde{\gamma}_{t_k})$  and such that  $\sup \{d(\gamma_{t_k}(s), \tilde{\gamma}_{t_k}(s)) : 0 \leq s \leq 1\} < 1/k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , by exchanging a subarc of  $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_k}$  for a minimal geodesic segment. Then the sequence  $\{\gamma_{t_k}\}$  converges uniformly to  $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_0}$ . Namely there exists a family of closed curves  $\gamma_{t_k}$ , converging towards  $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_0}$ , each of which is shorter than  $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_0}$  with  $L(\tilde{\gamma}_{t_0}) < 2\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$ . Now we are led to the contradiction by the same argument as Klingenberg's ([7]). Hence  $t_0 = m$ , that is, we

may suppose that  $\gamma = \gamma^{(n)} \ni q_n$  belongs to the minimum set  $M^m$  of  $\varphi$  for any  $n$ . Then by the compactness of  $M^m$ ,  $i(M)$  is attained at a point of  $M^m$ .

REMARK 1. In order to derive the conclusion of Theorem 1 and Corollary, we can not omit the exhaustion condition on the assumption of  $\varphi$ . We can construct a smooth non-exhaustion strongly convex function on a surface of revolution in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  such that Gaussian curvature has a positive maximum  $\lambda$  and  $i(p_n)$  tends to zero for a certain sequence of points  $\{p_n\}$  in the surface. Let  $h: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a smooth non-decreasing function such that  $h(t) = 0$  if  $t \leq a$ ,  $0 < h(t) < 1$  if  $a < t < b$  and  $h(t) = 1$  if  $t \geq b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants such that  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f(t) = e^t + h(t)$ , satisfies  $f''(t_0) < 0$  for some  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ . For this function  $f$ , let  $M$  be the surface of revolution in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  with parametrization

$$\chi(u, v) = (f(v) \cos u, f(v) \sin u, v),$$

where  $0 < u < 2\pi$  and  $-\infty < v < +\infty$ . Note that Gaussian curvature  $G$  is bounded above by a positive constant since  $G(p) > 0$  at  $p$  with  $v(p) = t_0$  and  $G(q) < 0$  at every  $q$  with  $v(q) \in (a, b)$ . And note also that  $i(p) \rightarrow 0$  as  $v(p) \rightarrow -\infty$ . Hence we have only to show the existence of a non-exhaustion strongly convex function on  $M$ . Consider the function  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  with  $\varphi(u, v) = f^n(v)$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. We assert that  $\varphi$  is strongly convex for sufficiently large  $n$ . Using differential equation of the geodesic  $\gamma: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \varphi \circ \gamma(s) &= n f^n (f')^2 (\dot{u})^2 / \{(f')^2 + 1\} \\ &+ n f^{n-2} \{ (n-1) (f')^4 + (n-1) (f')^2 + f f'' \} (\dot{v})^2 / \{(f')^2 + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \varphi \circ \gamma(s)$  is positive at  $v < a$  and  $v > b$ , we have only to consider the case;  $a \leq v \leq b$ . Then there are positive constants  $C_0, C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that  $f > C_0, f' > C_1$  and  $|f''| < C_2$ . So we have

$$(n-1) (f')^4 + (n-1) (f')^2 + f f'' > (n-1) C_1^4 + (n-1) C_1^2 - C_0 C_2.$$

Consequently  $\varphi$  is smooth strongly convex for sufficiently large  $n$  and not an exhaustion function by definition.

REMARK 2. In order to derive the conclusion of Corollary, we can not omit the strict convexity condition, also. Let  $M$  be a flat cylinder  $S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$  with induced metric from  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , where  $S^1$  is a unit circle. Then the function  $\varphi: S^1 \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\varphi(x, t) := |t|$ , is not-strictly convex but exhaustion. However the conclusion does not hold.

REMARK 3. The manifold which we consider in Corollary is homeomorphic to a Euclidean space by consequence of Theorem F in Greene and Shiohama ([4]) because the minimum set of every strictly convex exhaustion function is a single point. And this is a natural requirement to derive the conclusion of Corollary. See Remark in [9] for details.

## § 2. Proof of Theorem 2 and Remarks

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Fix an  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  so that  $\varphi(q) < a$ . Since  $M^a$  is compact, the injectivity radius function restricted to  $M^a$  takes the minimum at a point  $p$  of  $M^a$ . Then  $i(p)$  is finite since  $i(q)$  is finite by assumption. If  $C_p \cap Q_p = \emptyset$ , then there is a geodesic loop  $\gamma: [0, 2i(p)] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(2i(p)) = p$ . And  $\gamma$  is shown to be a closed geodesic by the same discussion as that of the proof of Theorem 1. Therefore this contradicts the strict convexity of  $\varphi$ .

REMARK 4. In order to derive the conclusion of Theorem 2, we can not omit any one of the three conditions, exhaustion, strict convexity and the existence of a point  $q$  such that  $C_q \neq \emptyset$ . Simple counter examples are given as follows; Let  $M$  be the surface of revolution in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  with parametrization

$$\chi(u, v) = (e^v \cos u, e^v \sin u, v),$$

where  $0 < u < 2\pi$  and  $-\infty < v < +\infty$ . Then let  $\varphi_1: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function defined by  $\varphi_1(u, v) = e^v$ .  $\varphi_1$  is strongly convex but not exhaustion. Secondly, let  $\varphi_2: S^1 \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function defined by  $\varphi_2(x, t) = |t|$ , where  $S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$  is a flat cylinder with induced metric from  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . And  $\mathbf{R}^n$  furnishes the trivial counter example for which  $C_q \neq \emptyset$  can not be omitted.

Moreover, we can get an information about the point at which  $\varphi$  takes its minimum.

PROPOSITION. *Let  $M$  admits a strictly convex exhaustion function  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and let  $p$  be the point at which  $\varphi$  attains the minimum. Then we have either  $C_p = \emptyset$  or  $C_p \cap Q_p \neq \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $C_p \neq \emptyset$ . If  $C_p \cap Q_p = \emptyset$ , then there is a geodesic loop  $\gamma: [0, 2i(p)] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(2i(p)) = p$ . Since  $M^{\min \varphi} = \{p\}$  is a totally convex set,  $\gamma([0, 2i(p)])$  is contained in  $\{p\}$ . Hence  $i(p) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

## References

- [1] J. CHEEGER and D. GROMOLL: The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature, J. Differential geometry 6 (1971), 119-128.

- [2] J. CHEEGER and D. GROMOLL: On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature, *Ann. of Math.* 96 (1972), 413–443.
- [3] R. E. GREENE and H. WU: Integrals of subharmonic functions on manifolds of nonnegative curvature, *Inventiones Math.* 27 (1974), 265–298.
- [4] R. E. GREENE and K. SHIOHAMA: Convex functions on complete noncompact manifolds; Topological structure, *Inventiones Math.*, 63 (1981), 129–157.
- [5] D. GROMOLL, W. KLINGENBERG and W. MEYER: *Riemannsche Geometrie im Grossen*, Springer-Verlag, 1968.
- [6] D. GROMOLL and W. MEYER: On complete manifolds of positive curvature, *Ann. of Math.* 90 (1969), 75–90.
- [7] W. KLINGENBERG: Contributions to differential geometry in the large, *Ann. of Math.* 69 (1959), 654–666.
- [8] M. MAEDA: On the injective radius of noncompact Riemannian manifolds, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 50 (1974), 148–151.
- [9] M. MAEDA: The injective radius of noncompact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* vol. 27 no. 3 (1975), 405–412.
- [10] V. A. SHARAFUTDINOV: The Pogorelov-Klingenberg Theorem for manifolds homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , *Siberian Math. J.*, vol. 18 no. 2 (1977), 649–657.
- [11] V. A. TOPONOGOV: Theorems on shortest arcs in noncompact Riemannian spaces of positive curvature, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 11 (1970), 412–414.
- [12] A. D. WEINSTEIN: The cut locus and conjugate locus of a Riemannian manifold, *Ann. of Math.* 87 (1968), 29–41.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba