## On some sublattices of the Leech lattice

Koichiro HARADA and M. L. LANG (Received April 13, 1989)

## § 0. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of Harada-Lang [5] and M. L. Lang [8] to investigate the behaviour of the conjugacy classes of the automorphism group of the Leech lattice. In [8], the second author showed that fifteen conjugacy classes behave differently from other classes in connection with Conway-Norton's "Monstrous Moonshine" [2]. In [5], the nonzero-genus property of these fifteen conjugacy classes was studied and five elliptic curves defined over integers were produced.

In this paper, the invariance sublattices, their automorphism groups and realization of them in O will be investigated. More precisely, let  $g \in G = O$ , the automorphism group of the Leech lattice  $\Lambda$ , and  $\Lambda_{g} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda | g(\lambda) = \lambda\}$ . For each  $g \in G$ , the structure of  $\Lambda_{g}$  will be explicitly determined. The results, including the ranks, the Gram matrices, the automorphism groups will be listed in Table 1 at the end of this paper. The identification of some of the well known lattices, such as  $E_{6}$ ,  $E_{8}$ ,  $D_{12}^{2}$ , Coxeter-Todd, and Barnes-Wall lattices, is also given.

The Leech lattice  $\Lambda$  and its automorphism group G=.O are well known for their unique properties, a dense packing of the 24 dimensional Euclidean space, for example. The question discussed in this paper is: how complete is the Leech lattice in connection with the conjugacy classes of G=.O? More precisely, is  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  induced from the normalizer  $N_G(\langle g \rangle)$  of  $\langle g \rangle$  in G for all  $g \in G$ ? It has turned out that it is true for all but 9 conjugacy classes of G. The exceptional classes are  $-2_A$ ,  $2_C$ ,  $3_D$ ,  $-4_A$ ,  $4_F$ ,  $6_I$ ,  $9_C$ ,  $12_I$ , and  $-20_C$ .

### § 1. Invariance sublattices

The Leech lattice  $\Lambda$  may be defined in many equivalent ways (see Conway-Sloane [3], Kondo-Tasaka [6]). The following is one of the typical ones. Let

- (1)  $\Omega = \{\infty, 0, 1, \dots, 22\},$
- (2)  $G = the Golay code viewed as a subset of the power set <math>P(\Omega)$  and

as a vector space over GF(2),

- (3)  $\{e_{\infty}, e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{22}\} = the \ canonical \ basis \ of \ the Euclidean \ space \ \mathbf{R}^{24}$  with inner product  $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$ ,
  - (4)  $\Lambda_{\delta} = \{X = (x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{24} | \Sigma \ x_i \equiv \delta \pmod{2} \} \text{ for } \delta = 0 \text{ or } 1; \text{ and}$
  - (5)  $e_X = \sum_{i \in X} e_i \text{ for } X \in P(\Omega) \text{ (i. e. } X \subset \Omega).$

Under the notation above, the Leech lattice  $\Lambda$  is defined to be the union of

$$\{\frac{1}{2}e_X + \Lambda_0 | X \in G\} \text{ and}$$
$$\{\frac{1}{4}e_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2}e_X + \Lambda_1 | X \in G\}.$$

 $\Lambda$  is a positive definite even integral unimodular lattice inherited from the inner product < , > of  $R^{24}$  defined above. The minimal vectors of  $\Lambda$  have square length 4.

Define  $\Lambda_g = \{\lambda \in \Lambda | g(\lambda) = \lambda\}$  for  $g \in G$ .  $\Lambda_g$  is also a positive definite even integral lattice but not unimodular in general. It is not in general easy to determine  $\Lambda_g$  explicitly. The Mathieu group  $M_{24}$  and its extension  $2^{12}M_{24}$  are naturally embedded in G. If  $g \in M_{24}$  then

$$\Lambda_g = \bigcup_{X \in G_g} \{ \{ \frac{1}{2} e_X + (\Lambda_0)_g \}, \{ \frac{1}{4} e_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} e_X + (\Lambda_1)_g \} \}$$

where  $G_g$ ,  $(\Lambda_0)_g$ ,  $(\Lambda_1)_g$  are the subsets consisting of the elements fixed by g. Using this result, Kondo-Tasaka [6,7] treated the case  $g \in 2^{12} M_{24}$  also. All necessary information for us can be deduced from these two papers [6] and [7] if  $g \in 2^{12} M_{24}$ . We will give below an example.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $g=11_A=1^211^2=(\infty)(0)(1,2,4,8,16,9,18,13,3,6,12)$  (5, 10, 20, 17, 11, 22, 21, 19, 15, 7, 14)  $\in M_{24} \subset G = Aut(\Lambda)$ . Then

$$\theta_{11_A}(z) = \theta(z, A)$$

Where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

PROOF. It suffices to find a suitable basis for  $\Lambda_{11_A}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an element in  $\Lambda_{11_A}$ , then  $\lambda$  is of the form

$$ae_{\infty} + be_0 + ce_{X_1} + de_{X_2}$$

where

$$X_1 = (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 9, 18, 13, 3, 6, 12)$$
  
 $X_2 = (5, 10, 20, 17, 11, 22, 21, 19, 15, 7, 14)$   
 $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{Z}$ 

It is immediate that  $\lambda$  can be generated by  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ , and  $f_4$ , where

$$f_{1} = \frac{1}{2}e_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2}e_{X_{2}}$$

$$f_{2} = -e_{\infty} + \frac{1}{4}e_{\Omega}$$

$$f_{3} = e_{\infty} + \frac{1}{4}e_{\Omega}$$

$$f_{4} = -e_{0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{\Omega}$$

Let  $v_1=f_1-f_4$ ,  $v_2=f_2$ ,  $v_3=f_3-f_4$ , and  $v_4=f_4$ , we have Gram matrix of  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}=A$ .

The harder cases are when  $g \notin 2^{12}M_{24}$ . In [8], the second auther found  $\Lambda_g$  explicitly and determined its theta series for all such g.

# § 2. Isometries of $\Lambda_g$

In this section, we will discuss the group  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  of the invariance sublattice  $\Lambda_g$ ,  $g \in G = .O = Aut(\Lambda)$ . The normalizer  $N_G(\langle g \rangle)$  acts naturally on  $\Lambda_g$  and so induces a subgroup of  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$ . It is not hard to determine the kernel of the action of  $N_G(\langle g \rangle)$  on  $\Lambda_g$ . This will give us a lower bound of  $|Aut(\Lambda_g)|$ . As expected,  $N_G(\langle g \rangle)$  does induce the full  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  for the majority of the conjugacy classes of G.

If the rank of  $\Lambda_g$  is small, say 2 or 4, then a direct computation by hand is in general sufficient. An example is given below.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $g=11_A \in M_{24} \subset G$ . Then  $Aut(\Lambda_g) \cong D_{24}$ , a dihedral group of order 24.

PROOF. Let  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  be basis with Gram matrix

$$A_g = \left[ egin{array}{ccccc} 4 & 0 & 2 & -1 \ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 \ 2 & -1 & 4 & -1 \ -1 & 2 & -1 & 4 \end{array} 
ight]$$

The minimal vectors of  $\Lambda_g$  are of square length 4 and if  $v_5 = v_1 - v_3$ ,  $v_6 = v_2 - v_4$ , then

$$X_g = \{\pm v_1, \pm v_2, \pm v_3, \pm v_4, \pm v_5, \pm v_6\}$$

is the set of all minimal vectors of  $\Lambda_g$ . The Gram matrix of the set  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$  is

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 4 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next we will show that  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  contains a subgroup  $\langle \sigma \rho, \tau \rangle \cong D_{24}$  which is transitive on  $X_g$ . Define

$$\sigma: v_1 \rightarrow v_2, v_2 \rightarrow -v_1, v_3 \rightarrow v_6, v_4 \rightarrow -v_5$$

$$\rho: v_1 \rightarrow -v_3, v_2 \rightarrow -v_6, v_3 \rightarrow v_5, v_4 \rightarrow -v_2$$

$$\tau: v_1 \rightarrow v_2, v_2 \rightarrow v_1, v_3 \rightarrow v_4, v_4 \rightarrow v_3$$

Then  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\tau \in Aut(\Lambda_g)$ ,  $o(\sigma\rho)=12$ ,  $o(\tau)=2$  and  $(\rho\sigma)^{\tau}=(\sigma\rho)^{-1}$ . Hence  $<\sigma\rho$ ,  $\tau>\cong D_{24}$ . It can readily be checked that  $<\sigma\rho$ ,  $\tau>$  is transitive on  $X_g$ .

To complete the proof, it suffices to show  $|Aut(\Lambda_g)_{v_1}|=2$ . Let  $\gamma \in Aut(\Lambda_g)$  such that  $\gamma(v_1)=v_1$ . The matrix B implies  $\gamma(v_2)=\varepsilon v_2$  with  $\varepsilon=\pm 1$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon=1$ : i.e.  $\gamma(v_1)=v_1$ ,  $\gamma(v_2)=v_2$ . Since  $2=\langle v_1, v_3\rangle=\langle v_1, \gamma(v_3)\rangle$ , we get  $\gamma(v_3)=v_3$  or  $v_5$  by inspecting the first row of B. But an inspection of the second row forces  $\gamma(v_3)=v_3$ . Likewise,  $\gamma(v_4)=v_4$ . Thus  $\gamma=1$ .

Suppose  $\varepsilon = -1$ . Again  $\gamma(v_3) = v_3$  or  $v_5$ . But by inspecting the second row of B, we must conclude  $\gamma(v_3) = v_5$  and  $\gamma(v_5) = v_3$ . Likewise,  $\gamma(v_4) = -v_6$  and  $\gamma(v_6) = -v_4$ . Thus  $\gamma$  is uniquely determined and  $\gamma^2 = 1$ , as desired.

If the rank of  $\Lambda_g$  is 6 or more, the method described in EXAMPLE 2 is sometimes tedious. In general, we do the following procedure:

STEP 1. Find a subset  $X_g \subset \Lambda_g$  on which  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  acts faithfully. A typical choice of such an  $X_g$ . is

$$X_g = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_g | < \lambda, \lambda > = < v, v > \text{ for some } v \in B_g\}$$

where

 $B_g$  is a basis for  $\Lambda_g$ .

$3c \ 3^{9}/1^{3}$ $3_{D} \ 3^{8}$ $-4_{A} \ 1^{8}4^{8}/2^{8}$ $4_{B} \ 4^{8}/2^{4}$ $4_{C} \ 1^{4}2^{2}4^{4}$	$3E_6^{-1}$ $3E_8$ $2E_8$ $2D_4$ $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1-2 & 0 & 1-1-1 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1-1-1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0-1-1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$W(E_6)$ $W(E_8)$ $W(E_8)$ $W(D_4)$ $[2^{14}3^25]$	W (E <sub>6</sub> )  *  W (D <sub>4</sub> ) [2 <sup>14</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5]
$-4_{c} \ 2^{6}4^{4}/1^{4}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$2^6S_6$	$2^6S_6$
$4_D \ 2^4 4^4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2D_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2D_4 \end{bmatrix}$	$W(D_4)\setminus Z_2$	$W(D_4)\backslash Z_2$
$4_F$ $4^6$	$41_6$	$2^6S_6$	
5 <sub>B</sub> 1 <sup>4</sup> 5 <sup>4</sup>	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$	[2 <sup>7</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 <sup>2</sup> ]	[2 <sup>7</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 <sup>2</sup> ]
$5c \ 5^5/1$	$A_4^{-1}$	$W(A_4)$	$W(A_4)$
$6c \ 1^4 2.6^5 / 3^4$	$2E_{6}$	$W(E_6)$	$W(E_6)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$W(E_6)$ $W(E_6)$ $[2^83^3]$	W (E <sub>6</sub> ) W (E <sub>6</sub> ) [2 <sup>8</sup> 3 <sup>3</sup> ]
$-6_E 2^46^4/1^23^2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$D_{12} ackslash Z_2$	$D_{12}ackslash Z_2$

$6_F \ 3^3 6^3 / 1.2$ $-6_F \ 1.6^6 / 2^2 3^3$	$3D_4$	$(W(D_4) \ D_{12}$	$W(D_4)$ $D_{12}$
$-6_{F} \cdot 1.0^{\circ} / 2^{\circ}3^{\circ}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$D_{12}$	D <sub>12</sub>
$6c 2^36^3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 4 & 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 6 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$	[2 <sup>7</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> ]	[2 <sup>7</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> ]
6, 6 <sup>4</sup> 7 <sub>B</sub> 1 <sup>3</sup> 7 <sup>3</sup>		2 <sup>4</sup> S <sub>4</sub> [2 <sup>5</sup> 3.7]	* [2 <sup>5</sup> 3.7]
$8_B \ 2^4 8^4 / 4^4$	$4I_4$	$2^{4}S_{4}$	$2^{4}S_{4}$
$-8_c \ 1^4 8^4 / 2^2 4^2$	$2D_4$	$W(D_4)$	$W(D_4)$
$8_D \ 8^4/4^2$	$4I_2$	$D_8$ [2 <sup>7</sup> 3]	$D_8$ [2 <sup>7</sup> 3]
$8_E 1^2 2.4.8^2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	[2 0]	
$-8_{E} 2^{3}4.8^{2}/1^{2}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$	[253]	[253]
$8_F 4^2 8^2$	$4D_4$	$W(D_4)$	$W(D_4)$
$9_B \ 9^3/3$	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$D_{12}$	$D_{12}$
$9_c \ 1^3 9^3 / 3^3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	[2432]	*
$10_D \ 1^2 2.10^3 / 5^2$	$2A_4$	$W(A_4)$	$W(A_4)$
$-10_D \ 2^3 5^2 10/1^2$	$10A_4^{-1}$	$W(A_4)$ $W(A_4)$	$W(A_4)$ $W(A_4)$
$-10_E 1^3 5.10^2 / 2^2$ $10_F 2^2 10^2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$	W (A <sub>4</sub> ) 2 <sup>4</sup> .2	w (A <sub>4</sub> ) 2 <sup>4</sup> .2
$11_A 1^2 11^2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$D_{24}$	$D_{24}$

If  $B_{\sigma}$  consists of minimal vectors of  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  only, then  $X_{\sigma}$  is the set of all minimal vectors of  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ , which was the case for  $g=11_A$ . We will get a (crude) upper bound  $|Aut(\Lambda_{\sigma})| \leq |X_{\sigma}|!$ .

STEP 2. Express  $X_g$  as a union of  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  invariant subsets. A typical expression is

$$X_g = \bigcup S_g(\mu_1, \mu_2)$$

where  $S_g(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \{x \in X_g | \text{ the number of } y \in X_g \text{ such that } \langle x, y \rangle = \mu_1 \text{ is } \mu_2 \}$ . We will obtain an upper bound

$$|Aut(\Lambda_g)| \leq \prod (|S_g(\mu_1, \mu_2)|!)$$

If  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  acts transitively on  $X_g$  then  $X_g = S_g(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  whenever  $S_g(\mu_1, \mu_2) \neq \phi$  and so this step will yield no information. Knowing that  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  is transitive, however, is a useful information.

STEP 3. Suppose  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  is transitive on  $X_g$ . Pick  $x \in X_g$  and investigate the action of the stabilizer  $Aut(\Lambda_g)_x$  on  $X_g \setminus \{x\}$  expressing  $X_g \setminus \{x\}$  as a union of  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$  invariant subsets of some kind.

The following is one of the harder cases.

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $g=6_E=1^22^23^26^2 \in M_{24} \subset G$ . Then  $|Aut(\Lambda_{6_E})|=6912=2^83^3$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$  be basis with Gram matrix

The minimal vectors of  $\Lambda_g$  are of square length 4 and the set of all minimal vectors  $X_g$  is of size 72. Let  $X_g = \{\pm v_1, \pm v_2, \dots, \pm v_{36}\}$ . The Gram matrix of  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{36}\} = B$  is listed in TABLE 2. By the method we described above we conclude that

$$|Aut(\Lambda_{6_E})| \leq 6912$$

Next, we will show that the lower bound of  $|Aut(\Lambda_{6_E})|$  is also 6912. By Wilson [9], the normalizer  $N_G(<6_E>)$  has order 82944. Let  $\sigma \in$ 

 $N_G(<6_E>)$  act trivally on  $\Lambda_{6_E}$ . Inspecting the matrix  $A_g$ ,  $\sigma$  fixes two vectors  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  with  $< w_1$ ,  $w_1>=< w_2$ ,  $w_2>=6$ , and  $< w_1$ ,  $w_2>=0$ . This implies that  $\sigma \in \cdot 633 \cong M_{12}$  (see Conway [1] for notation). Since  $N_{M_{12}}(<6_E>)$  has order 12, we conclude that  $\overline{N_G(6_E)}$  has order  $\ge 82944/12=6912$ .

We summarize our results in the table below.

- (0). The last column is the group of isometries induced by  $N_G(\langle g \rangle)$ .
- (1). \* means that  $N_G(\langle g \rangle)$  does not induces  $Aut(\Lambda_g)$ .
- (2). W() denotes the Weyl group.
- (3). [n] denotes an arbitrary group of order n.
- (4).  $\Lambda_{2A}$  is the Barnes-Wall lattice (see Conway-Sloane [3]).
- (5).  $\Lambda_{2c}$  is the  $D_{12}^2$  lattice (see Coxeter-Todd [4]).
- (6).  $\Lambda_{3B}$  is the Coxeter-Todd lattice (see Conway-Sloane [3]).

## TABLE 1.

	I ADLL 1.		
Frame shape	Gram matrix	Isometry	$N_G(g)$
$1_A 1^{24}$	Leech lattice	.O	.O
$2_A 1^8 2^8$	Barnes-Wall [3]	$2^{1+8}O_8^+(2)$	$2^{1+8}O_8^+(2)$
$-2_A \ 2^{16}/1^8$	$2E_{ m e}$	$W(E_8)$	*
$2c 2^{12}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$2^{11}S_{12}$	*
	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		
	0 - 2  4 - 2  0  0  0  0  0  0  0		
	0  0 - 2  4 - 2  0  0  0  0  0  0		
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 - 2 & 4 - 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
	$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$3_B 1^6 3^6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1$	$6U_4(3)2$	$6U_4(3)2$
38 1 3	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1$	004(3)2	004(0)2
	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1$		
	· •		
	1		
	2 2 2 1 1 4 2 2 2 2 2 -1 -1		
	2 2 1 2 1 2 4 2 2 2 -1 1		
	2 1 2 2 1 2 2 4 2 2 -1 1		
	1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 4 2 -1 1		
	2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 4 -1 1		
	1 1 1 1 2 -1 -1 -1 -1 4 2		
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$		

$-18_c$ 2 <sup>2</sup> 9.18/1.6	$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 6 \\ 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	$D_{12}$	$D_{12}$
20 <sub>B</sub> 4.20	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 20 \end{array}\right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$20_c$ 1.2.10.20/4.5	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 \end{array}\right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$-20_c$ $2^25.20/1.4$	$10I_2$	$D_8$	*
21 <sub>c</sub> 3.21	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 12 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$22_A \ 2.22$	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 10 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$
23 <sub>A</sub> 1.23	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{array}\right]$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$
$24_E$ 2.6.8.24//4.12	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$24_F$ 1.4.6.24/3.8	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$-24_{F}$ 2.3.4.24/1.8	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$-28_{A} 1.4.7.28/2.14$	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 8 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$-30_D$ 2.3.5.30/1.15	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 16 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$30_D \ 1.6.10.15/3.5$	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 8 & 2 \\ 2 & 8 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$
$-30_{E}$ 2.3.5.30/6.10	$\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Z_2 \times Z_2$

### TABLE 2

#### References

- [1] CONWAY, J. H., Three lectures on exceptional groups, in "Finite Simple Groups" (M. B. Powell and G. Higman, Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [2] CONWAY, J, P. and NORTON, S. P., Monstrous Moonshine, Bull. London Math Soc. 11 (1979), 308-339.
- [3] CONWAY, J. P. and SLOANE, N. J. F., Sphere Packing, Lattices and Groups. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [4] COXETER, H. S. M. and TODD, J. A., An Extreme Duodenary Form, Canad. J. Math 5 (1953), 384-392.
- [5] HARADA, K. and LANG, M. L., Some Elliptic Curves Arising From The Leech Lattice, J. of Algebra, 125 (1989), 298-310.
- [6] KONDO, T. and TASAKA, T., The Theta Functions of Sublattices of The Leech Lattice, Nagoya Math. J., 101 (1986), 151-179.
- [7] KONDO, T. and TASAKA, T., The Theta Functions of Sublattices of The Leech Lattice II, J. of Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA Vol. 34, No. 3, (1987), 545-572.
- [8] LANG, M. L., On A Question Raised by Conway-Norton, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 41 (1989), 263-284.
- [9] WILSON, R. A., The Maximal Subgroups of Conway Group Co<sub>1</sub>, J. of Algebra 85 (1983), 144-165.

Department of Mathematics The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio 43210 U. S. A.

Department of Mathematics National Central University Taiwan 32054 R. O. C.