

On H-separable extensions of primitive rings II

Dedicated to Professor Kazuhiko Hirata on his 60th birthday

Kozo SUGANO

(Received July 15, 1988)

Introduction. Throughout this paper every ring is assumed to have the identity, and all subrings of a ring will contain the identity of the ring, unless otherwise stated. Let B be a strongly primitive ring and A an H-separable extension of B , and suppose A is left B -finitely generated projective. In [13] it is shown that in this case A is also strongly primitive if and only if $A\mathfrak{z}A \cap B = \mathfrak{z}$, where \mathfrak{z} is the socle of B . The aim of this paper is to detail the structure of A and B which satisfy the above condition. Let furthermore I and \mathfrak{m} be faithful minimal left ideals of A and B , respectively, and denote the double centralizers of ${}_A I$, ${}_B I$ and ${}_B \mathfrak{m}$ by A^* , \tilde{B} and B^* , respectively. Then there exists a ring isomorphism Φ of B^* to $\tilde{B} (\subseteq A^*)$ such that $\Phi(b) = b$ for each $b \in B$, and A^* is an H-separable extension of $\tilde{B} (\cong B^*)$ (Theorem 3.3), that is, the right full linear ring A^* is an inner Galois extension of the right full linear ring B^* (See Theorem 4 [11]). We will also treat the inner Galois theory of full linear rings in §4. Let A be a right full linear ring with its center C , D a simple C -subalgebra of A with $[D : C] < \infty$ and $B = V_A(D)$. Denote the class of right full linear subrings R of A such that R contains B and the simple left ideal of A is a finite direct sum of faithful simple left R -modules by \mathcal{L} , and the class of simple C -subalgebras of $V_A(B)$ by \mathcal{D} . We already know that there exists a duality between \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{D} . We will show that a right full linear subring R of A containing B is in \mathcal{L} if and only if A is left or right B -projective (Theorem 4.1). §1 is the preparation for §2, and in §2 we will introduce some fundamental properties of strongly primitive rings. Let R be a ring and M a flat left R -module, and denote the Gabriel topology of R consisting of right ideals \mathfrak{a} of R such that $\mathfrak{a}M = M$ by \mathfrak{F} . As K. Morita showed in [5], there is a ring isomorphism θ of $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$, the ring of quotients of R with respect to \mathfrak{F} , to a subring of $R^* = \text{Bic}({}_R M)$. In [3] the author gave a simpler proof of this theorem. Here we will determine $\text{Im } \theta$ completely, and show that $\text{Im } \theta$ consists of elements r^* of R^* such that $\mathfrak{a}r^* \subseteq \tilde{R}$ for some \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{F} , where \tilde{R} is the image of the canonical map of R to R^* (Theorem 1.1). By applying this theorem to

the strongly primitive ring, we can obtain a generalization of the last part of Theorem 3 [1], that is, if R is a strongly primitive ring with its socle \mathfrak{z} and a faithful minimal left ideal \mathfrak{m} , the above map θ induces the isomorphism of $\text{End}(\mathfrak{z}_R)$ to $R^* = \text{Bic}({}_R M)$. This means that, regarding R as a subring of R^* by the canonical map, \mathfrak{z} becomes a left ideal of R^* , and the map σ of R^* to $\text{End}(\mathfrak{z}_R)$ such that $\sigma(r^*)(a) = r^*a$, for each $r^* \in R^*$ and $a \in \mathfrak{z}$, is an isomorphism (Theorem 2.1).

1. Let R be a ring and M a left R -module. Assume that M is R -flat, and let \mathfrak{F} be the set of right ideals α of R such that $\alpha M = M$. Then \mathfrak{F} is a Gabriel topology on R , and as is shown in [6] we can construct the rings $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}} \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, R_R)$ and $R_{\mathfrak{F}} = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}} \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, R/t(R)_R)$, where $t(R)$ is the \mathfrak{F} -torsion submodule of R , namely, $t(R) = \{x \in R \mid x\alpha = 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathfrak{F}\}$. For any $m \in M$ and $x \in R_{\mathfrak{F}}$, if x is represented by $\xi: \alpha_R \rightarrow R/t(R)_R$ with $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$, then we have $m = \sum a_i m_i$ with $a_i \in \alpha$ and $m_i \in M$, since $m \in M = \alpha M$. Then we can define $xm = \sum \xi(a_i) m_i$, and by this definition we can make M a left $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module such that $R_{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes_R M \cong M$, via $x \otimes m \rightarrow xm$, for $x \in R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $m \in M$, and $\text{Hom}(R_{\mathfrak{F}} M, R_{\mathfrak{F}} N) = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R N)$ for any $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module N . (See [11]). Let $S = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R M)$ and $R^* = \text{Bic}({}_R M) = \text{Hom}(M_S, M_S)$. There exists a ring homomorphism θ of $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ to R^* such that $\theta(x)(m) = xm$, for $x \in R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $m \in M$, since $S = \text{Hom}(R_{\mathfrak{F}} M, R_{\mathfrak{F}} M)$. θ is an injection, since $t(R) = \text{Ann}({}_R M)$. Denote the canonical ring homomorphisms of R to R^* and R to $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ by ι and ψ , respectively. Then $\iota = \theta\psi$. Now we have the completion of theorems 1.4 and 1.6 [5] as follows (See also Theorem 1 [11]).

THEOREM 1.1. *With the same notation as above, $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is isomorphic to the subring of R^* consisting of all elements r^* of R^* such that $r^* \alpha \subset \text{Im } \iota$ for some $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$, namely, $\text{Im } \theta = \pi^{-1}(t(R^*/\text{Im } \iota))$, where π is the canonical map of R^* to $R^*/\text{Im } \iota$.*

PROOF. Since $\text{Cok } \psi$ is \mathfrak{F} -torsion and $\theta\psi = \iota$, $\text{Im } \theta / \text{Im } \iota$ is also \mathfrak{F} -torsion. Thus $\text{Im } \theta \subset \pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cok } \iota))$. Let $r^* \in \pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cok } \iota))$. This means that there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $r^* \alpha \subset \text{Im } \iota$. But we have $\text{Im } \iota = R/t(R)$, since $\text{Ker } \iota = \text{Ann}({}_R M) = t(R)$. Therefore, for each $a \in \alpha$ there exists an $\bar{r} \in R/t(R)$ such that $\bar{r}m = (r^*a)(m) = r^*(am)$ for each $m \in M$, that is, $r^*a = \bar{r} \in R/t(R)$. Thus we have an R -homomorphism ξ of α to $R/t(R)$ such that $\xi(a) = r^*a \in R/t(R)$. Let x be the element of $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ represented by ξ , and let $m = \sum a_i m_i$ with $a_i \in \alpha$ and $m_i \in M$. Then $xm = \sum \xi(a_i) m_i = \sum (r^* a_i) m_i = r^*(\sum a_i m_i) = r^*(m)$, for each $m \in M$. This means $r^* = x \in \text{Im } \theta$. Thus we have $\pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cok } \iota)) \subset \text{Im } \theta$, and consequently, $\text{Im } \theta =$

$\pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cokl}))$.

COROLLARY 1.1. (Proposition 8.5 XI [6]). *If M is R -finitely generated projective, then θ is an isomorphism, i. e., $R_{\mathfrak{F}} \cong \text{Bic}({}_R M)$.*

PROOF. Since M is R -finitely generated projective, we have $R^* \otimes_R M \cong M$, via $r^* \otimes m \rightarrow r^*(m)$, for any $r^* \in R^*$ and $m \in M$. Thus we have $R^*/\text{Im}\iota \otimes_R M = 0$, which means that $R^*/\text{Im}\iota$ is \mathfrak{F} -torsion. Then we have that $\text{Im}\theta = R^*$ by Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let M be a faithful finitely generated projective R -module, and α the trace ideal of M in R . Then we have an isomorphism ρ of $\text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$ to R^* such that $\rho(\xi)(m) = \sum \xi(a_i)m_i$ for each $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$ and $m \in M$, where $m = \sum a_i m_i$ with $a_i \in \alpha$ and $m_i \in M$. Moreover, α is a left ideal of R^* , regarding R as a subring of R^* by the usual way, and the inverse map σ of ρ is given by $\sigma(r^*)(a) = r^*a$, for each $r^* \in R^*$ and $a \in \alpha$.*

PROOF. Since M is R -projective, we have $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $\alpha M = M$. α is contained in every right ideal belonging to \mathfrak{F} . Hence we have $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$. But $t(R) = \text{Ann}({}_R M) = 0$, since M is R -faithful. Therefore we have $R^* \cong R_{\mathfrak{F}} = R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$. Next, since $R^*/R (= R^*/\text{Im}\iota)$ is \mathfrak{F} -torsion, we have $r^*\alpha \subset R$ for each $r^* \in R^*$. But $\alpha = \alpha^2$. Hence $r^*\alpha = (r^*\alpha)\alpha \subset R\alpha = \alpha$. Thus α is a left ideal of R^* . Note that $r^*a = b \in \alpha$, for $a \in \alpha$, means that $r^*(am) = bm$ for each $m \in M$. Therefore if we define $\sigma(r^*)(a) = r^*a$ for $r^* \in R^*$ and $a \in \alpha$, we have $(\rho\sigma(r^*))(m) = \sum \sigma(r^*)(a_i)m_i = \sum (r^*a_i)(m_i) = r^*(\sum a_i m_i) = r^*(m)$ for each $r^* \in R^*$ and $m \in M$, where $m = \sum a_i m_i$ with $a_i \in \alpha$ and $m_i \in M$. Thus we have $\rho\sigma = 1_{R^*}$ and $\sigma = \rho^{-1}$.

2. Now we will apply the results of §1 to the theory on strongly primitive rings. For a few moments we do not assume that all rings have the identities. A ring R is said to be strongly primitive if R has a faithful minimal left ideal. In this case R has also a faithful minimal right ideal, and the left socle of R coincides with the right socle and is the smallest non zero ideal of R . It is shown in Lemma 2 [1] that the typical examples of strongly primitive rings are subrings of a left (or right) full linear ring which contain the socle of it. Here we will give a generalization of it with a simpler proof.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let R be a strongly primitive ring with the socle \mathfrak{z} . Then every subring of R which contains \mathfrak{z} is also a strongly primitive ring.*

PROOF. Let ι be a faithful minimal left ideal of R . ι is a left ideal

of \mathfrak{z} . Let \mathfrak{n} be a non zero left ideal of \mathfrak{z} contained in \mathfrak{l} . \mathfrak{z} is faithful as right R -module. Hence $\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n}$ is a non zero left ideal of R with $\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Then we have $\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{l}$. Thus \mathfrak{l} is a minimal left ideal of \mathfrak{z} . Then \mathfrak{l} is a faithful minimal left ideal of every subring of R containing \mathfrak{z} . (See §2.4[4]).

The next theorem is a generalization of the last part of Theorem 3 [1].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let R be a strongly primitive ring with the socle \mathfrak{z} and \mathfrak{l} a faithful minimal left ideal of R . Denote the double centralizer of ${}_R\mathfrak{l}$ by R^* . Then \mathfrak{z} is a left ideal of R^* , and the map σ of R^* to $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}_R, \mathfrak{z}_R)$ defined by $\sigma(r^*)(x) = r^*x$, for $r^* \in R^*$ and $x \in \mathfrak{z}$, is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1 [1] we have $\mathfrak{l} = Re$ for some primitive idempotent e of R . $\text{Hom}({}_RRe, {}_RRe) = eRe$ and $R \subset R^* = \text{Hom}(Re_{eRe}, Re_{eRe})$. Of course, Re is R^* -faithful. Let R' be the subring of R^* generated by R and the identity of R^* . Then we have $R'R = RR' = R$, and consequently, $R'e = Re$, and see that Re is faithful minimal left ideal of R' . Thus R' is also strongly primitive. Next, let $R'f$ be any minimal left ideal of R' with $f^2 = f \in R'$. Since $R'e \cong R'f$, there exist $x, y \in R'$ such that $f = fyeexf$ and $e = exffye$. Then $f \in R'RR' = R$, and $R'f = Rf \subset \mathfrak{z}$. This means that the socle of R' coincides with \mathfrak{z} . Moreover since $Re = R'e$, we have $eRe = eR'e$, and see that the double centralizer of ${}_R R'e$ coincides with R^* , while $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}_R, \mathfrak{z}_R) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}_{R'}, \mathfrak{z}_{R'})$. Therefore we can assume that R has the identity. Then $\mathfrak{l} = Re$ is R -faithful finitely generated projective, and \mathfrak{z} coincides with the trace ideal of ${}_R\mathfrak{l}$ in R , since every two minimal left ideals are isomorphic. Now we can apply Corollary 1.2.

COROLLARY 2.1. *With the same notation as Theorem 2.1, we have that $\mathfrak{z}R^*$ coincides with the socle of R^* .*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{z}^* be the socle of R^* . Since $\mathfrak{z}R^*$ is an ideal of R^* by Theorem 2.1, we have $\mathfrak{z}R^* \supset \mathfrak{z}^*$. Let f be any primitive idempotent of R . Then $Re \cong Rf$ and $R^*f \cong R^* \otimes_R Rf \cong R^* \otimes_R Re \cong Re$ as R^* -module. Thus R^*f is a minimal left ideal of R^* , and we have $f \in \mathfrak{z}^*$. This means that $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}^*$ and $\mathfrak{z}R^* \subset \mathfrak{z}^*$. Now we have $\mathfrak{z}R^* = \mathfrak{z}^*$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let R be a left primitive ring and M a faithful simple left R -module. Then, for each non zero idempotent e of R , eM is a faithful simple left eRe -module. Thus eRe is also left primitive.*

PROOF. It is obvious that eM is eRe -faithful, since M is R -faithful. Let N be a non zero submodule of ${}_R eM$. Then $0 \neq ReN \subset M$, and we have $M = ReN$, since M is R -simple. Then $eM = eReN = N$, which means

\mathfrak{m} which contains no non zero ideal. Let $\alpha = \text{Tr}(A_B)$, the trace ideal of A_B . Under our hypotheses we have $\alpha \neq 0$. If $A\mathfrak{m} = A$, we have $f(A) = f(A\mathfrak{m}) = f(A)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ for any f in $\text{Hom}(A_B, B_B)$. This means that $0 \neq \alpha \subset \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. Thus we have $A\mathfrak{m} \neq A$, and there exists a maximal left ideal L of A such that $A\mathfrak{m} \subset L$ and $L \cap B = \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that L contains a non zero ideal I of A . Then we have $I = A(I \cap B)$ or $I = (I \cap B)A$ by Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 [8]. Hence we have $0 \neq I \cap B \subset \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. Thus A has a maximal left ideal which contains no proper ideal.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If R is a left (or right) primitive ring, then for any finitely generated projective left R -module M , $\text{End}({}_R M)$ is also a left (resp. right) primitive ring.*

PROOF. This is clear by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, since $M_n(R)$ is an H-separable extension of R .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let B be a left (or right) primitive ring and A an H-separable extension of B . Assume that A is left B -finitely generated projective. Then $D(=V_A(B))$ is a semiprime ring without proper central idempotent. In particular if C is a field, D is a simple artinian ring.*

PROOF. By assumption $\text{End}({}_B A)$ is a left (resp. right) primitive ring. Therefore it has neither non zero nilpotent ideal nor proper central idempotent. But there exists a ring isomorphism η of $D \otimes_C A^\circ$ to $\text{End}({}_B A)$ such that $\eta(d \otimes a^\circ)(x) = dxa$ for any $a, x \in A$ and $d \in D$, since A is H-separable over B . Then if α is a nilpotent ideal of D , $\alpha \otimes A^\circ$ must be zero in $D \otimes_C A^\circ$. Therefore, for each $a \in \alpha$, $\eta(a \otimes 1^\circ)(A) = aA = 0$. This implies $\alpha = 0$. For the same reason we have that, if e is a central idempotent of D , $e = \eta(e \otimes 1^\circ)(1) = 0$. The rest of the proof is obvious, since D is finitely generated as C -module.

The next lemma is a paraphrase of Proposition 4 [13].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let A and B be strongly primitive rings with their socles S and \mathfrak{z} , respectively. Suppose that A is left (or right) B -projective. Then we have either $B \cap S = 0$ or $B \cap S = \mathfrak{z}$ and $S = A\mathfrak{z}A$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $B \cap S \neq 0$. Since S and \mathfrak{z} are the smallest non zero ideal of A and B , respectively, we have $S \subset A\mathfrak{z}A$ and $\mathfrak{z} \subset B \cap S$. On the other hand we have $B \cap S \subset \mathfrak{z}$ by Proposition 4 [13]. Hence we have $\mathfrak{z} = B \cap S \subset S$, and $A\mathfrak{z}A \subset S$. Then we have $S = A\mathfrak{z}A$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let A , B , S and \mathfrak{z} be as in Lemma 3.1. Assume furthermore that A is an H-separable extension of B . Then we have $\mathfrak{z} =$*

that eM is eRe -simple. (See Proposition 3.7.1 [4]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let R be a strongly primitive ring with the socle \mathfrak{z} and e a non zero idempotent of R . Then eRe is also a strongly primitive ring with the socle $e\mathfrak{z}e$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1 [1], Re contains a faithful minimal left ideal l of R . Then by the above lemma $el=ele$ is a minimal faithful left ideal of eRe . Thus eRe is strongly primitive. Let $\alpha(=e\alpha e)$ be any non zero ideal of eRe . Then $Re\alpha eR$ contains \mathfrak{z} . Hence we have $e\mathfrak{z}e \subset eRe\alpha eRe = \alpha$. Thus $e\mathfrak{z}e$ is the smallest non zero ideal of eRe . Then $e\mathfrak{z}e$ coincides with the socle of eRe .

Hereafter we assume again that all rings have the identities.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let R be a strongly primitive ring and M a finitely generated projective left R -module. Then $End({}_R M)$ is also a strongly primitive ring.*

PROOF. $M_n(R)$, the $n \times n$ -full matrix ring over R , is an H-separable extension of R and R -free of rank n^2 . Moreover, $M_n(\mathfrak{z})$ is the smallest ideal of $M_n(R)$ with $M_n(\mathfrak{z}) \cap R = \mathfrak{z}$, where \mathfrak{z} is the socle of R . Therefore $M_n(R)$ is a strongly primitive ring by Theorem 1 [13]. By assumption M is a direct summand of a free R -module of rank n for some n , and there exists an idempotent e of $M_n(R)$ such that $End({}_R M) = eM_n(R)e$. Then $End({}_R M)$ is also a strongly primitive ring by Proposition 2.2.

3. In this section we will deal with H-separable extensions of strongly primitive rings. We will use the same notation as the author's previous papers. In particular for an R - R -module M we denote $M^r = \{m \in M \mid rm = mr \text{ for any } r \in R\}$, and for any subring S of R $V_R(S) = R^S$, regarding R as an S - S -module. Throughout this section A will be a ring with the center C , B a subring of A and $D = V_A(B)$, the centralizer of B in A . A is an H-separable extension of B if and only if D is C -finitely generated projective and the map η of $A \otimes_B A$ to $\text{Hom}({}_C D, {}_C A)$ defined by $\eta(a \otimes b)(d) = adb$, for $a, b \in A$ and $d \in D$, is an isomorphism.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let B be a left primitive ring and A an H-separable extension of B . If furthermore A is right B -finitely generated projective, or B is a right B -direct summand of A , then A is also a left primitive ring.*

PROOF. A ring is left primitive if and only if it has a maximal left ideal which contains no non zero ideal. Thus B has a maximal left ideal

$S \cap B$ and $S = A \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \text{Soc}({}_B A)$.

PROOF. Since A is H-separable over B and left B -finitely generated projective, we have $S = (S \cap B)A$ by Theorem 3.1 [8]. Hence $S \cap B \neq 0$, and we have $\mathfrak{z} = S \cap B$, $S = \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = A \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A}$ by Lemma 3.1. That $\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \text{Soc}({}_B A)$ follows from the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let R be a strongly primitive ring with the socle \mathfrak{z} and M a projective left R -module. Then we have $\text{Soc}({}_R M) = \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{M}$. Every R -submodule of M is faithful.*

PROOF. By assumption there exist $f_i \in \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R)$ and $m_i \in M$, for some index set $i \in \Lambda$, such that for each $m \in M$ $f_i(m) = 0$ for almost all $i \in \Lambda$ and $m = \sum f_i(m)m_i$. Let N be any non zero R -submodule of M , and suppose $\text{Ann}({}_R N) \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{z} \subset \text{Ann}({}_R N)$ and $\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{N} = 0$. There exists at least one i such that $f_i(N) \neq 0$. Then $f_i(N)$ is a faithful left ideal of R . But we have $\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{f_i(N)} = f_i(\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{N}) = f_i(0) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus every non zero R -submodule of M is faithful. Then if N is a simple R -submodule of M , we have $0 \neq \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{N} = N$. Hence $N \subset \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{M}$, and $\text{Soc}({}_R M) \subset \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{M} \subset \text{Soc}({}_R M)$.

In [13] it is shown that, in the case where A is an H-separable extension of a strongly primitive ring B and is left B -finitely generated projective, A is also strongly primitive if and only if $B \cap A \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \mathfrak{z}$ holds (Theorem 1 [13]). In this situation we will detail the structure of A and B .

THEOREM 3.3. *Let B be a strongly primitive ring and A an H-separable extension of A . Assume that A is also strongly primitive and left B -finitely generated projective. Let I and \mathfrak{m} be faithful minimal left ideals of A and B , respectively, and denote the double centralizers of ${}_A I$ and ${}_B \mathfrak{m}$ by A^* and B^* , respectively. Still more let \tilde{B} be the double centralizer of ${}_B I$. Then we have*

(1) $I \cong \bigoplus^r \mathfrak{m}$ for some positive integer r , and $\text{End}({}_B I)$ is a simple artinian ring.

(2) There exists a ring isomorphism Φ of B^* to \tilde{B} such that $\Phi(b) = b$ for any $b \in B$.

(3) $D \otimes_c C^*$ is a simple artinian ring and isomorphic to $V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$, where C^* is the center of A^* .

(4) A^* is an H-separable extension of $\tilde{B} (\cong B^*)$.

PROOF. (1). I is B -finitely generated, since A is left B -finitely generated, while we have $I \subset \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A}$ by Theorem 3.2, where \mathfrak{z} is the socle of B . Hence we have (1). (2). This is immediate from (1), since there exists a canonical ring isomorphism of $\text{Bic}({}_B \mathfrak{m})$ to $\text{Bic}({}_B \bigoplus \mathfrak{m})$. (3). Put Δ

$=\text{End}({}_A I)$, $\Gamma=\text{End}({}_B I)$ and $\Lambda=\text{End}(I)$. A and B are subrings of Λ , and we have $\Lambda^A=V_\Lambda(A)=\Delta$ and $\Lambda^B=V_\Lambda(B)=\Gamma$. It is obvious that the center of Δ coincides with C^* , the center of $\text{End}(I_\Delta)(=A^*)$. Since A is H-separable over B , we have a ring isomorphism g of $D\otimes_C\Lambda^A$ to Λ^B such that $g(d\otimes\lambda)=d\lambda$ for each $d\in D$ and $\lambda\in\Lambda^A$. This means that $\Gamma=D\otimes_C\Delta=(D\otimes_C C^*)\otimes_{C^*}\Delta$. Then since Γ is simple artinian and Δ is a division ring with its center C^* , we have that $D\otimes_C C^*$ is simple artinian by well known Noether-Krosch Theorem. Next, since $\tilde{B}=V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(B))$, we have $V_\Lambda(\tilde{B})=V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(B)))=V_\Lambda(B)=\Gamma$. Then, $V_{A^*}(B)=\text{Hom}({}_B I_\Delta, {}_B I_\Delta)=\text{End}(I_\Delta)\cap\text{End}({}_B I)=A^*\cap\Gamma=A^*\cap V_\Lambda(\tilde{B})=V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$, while $C^*=V_\Delta(\Delta)=\text{End}({}_A I_\Delta)=V_{A^*}(A)$. On the other hand since A is an H-separable extension of B , we have a ring isomorphism $D\otimes_C V_{A^*}(A)\cong V_{A^*}(B)$ defined by the same way as the above map g . Then we have $D\otimes_C C^*\cong V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$. (4). Since $\tilde{B}=V_\Lambda(\Gamma)=V_\Lambda(D\Delta)=V_\Lambda(D)\cap V_\Lambda(\Delta)=V_\Lambda(D)\cap A^*=V_{A^*}(D)$, we have $V_{A^*}(A^*(\tilde{B}))=\tilde{B}$. Furthermore, $V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$ is a simple C^* -algebra with $[V_{A^*}(\tilde{B}):C^*]=[D\otimes_C C^*:C^*]<\infty$ by (3). Of course A^* and $\tilde{B}(\cong B^*)$ are right full linear rings. Then by Theorem 4 [11], A^* is an H-separable extension of \tilde{B} .

REMARK. With the same notation as Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, let $I=\bigoplus_{i=1}^r m_i$ with $m_i\cong m$ as left B -module and f_i the B -isomorphism of m_i to m for each i . The isomorphism Φ of B^* to \tilde{B} in Theorem 3.3 (2) is given by $\Phi(b^*)(\sum m_i)=\sum(b^*(m_i f_i))f_i^{-1}$, for each $b^*\in B^*$ and $m_i\in m_i$. On the other hand there is a ring isomorphism $\bar{\Psi}$ of $\text{End}({}_3 B)$ to a subring of $\text{End}({}_3\otimes_B A_A)$ such that $\bar{\Psi}(f)(a\otimes x)=f(a)\otimes x$ for $f\in\text{End}({}_3 B)$, $a\in {}_3$ and $x\in A$. But we have ${}_3\otimes_B A\cong {}_3 A=S$, since A is right B -flat. Then we obtain by $\bar{\Psi}$ a ring isomorphism Ψ of $\text{End}({}_3 B)$ to a subring of $\text{End}(S_A)$ such that $\Psi(f)(\sum a_i x_i)=\sum f(a_i)x_i$ for each $f\in\text{End}({}_3 B)$, $a_i\in {}_3$ and $x_i\in A$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 there exist ring isomorphisms σ and σ' of A^* to $\text{End}(S_A)$ and B^* to $\text{End}({}_3 B)$, respectively. For each $x\in I$ let $x=\sum m_i$ with $m_i\in m_i$, and $m_i=\sum a_{ij}m_{ij}$ with $a_{ij}\in {}_3$ and $m_{ij}\in m_i (={}_3 m_i)$. Then by direct computations we have $\Phi(\sigma'^{-1}(\xi))(x)=\sum_{i,j}\xi(a_{ij})m_{ij}=(\sigma^{-1}\Psi(\xi))(x)$ for each $\xi\in\text{End}({}_3 B)$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^* & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & A^* \\ \sigma' \downarrow & \Phi & \downarrow \sigma \\ \text{End}({}_3 B) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{End}(S_A) \\ & \Psi & \end{array}$$

4. In this short section we will deal with H-separable extensions of right full linear rings, which have closed relations with inner galois theory

of full linear rings (See [1]).

Let B be a right full linear ring and A an H -separable extension of B . Then, A is also a right full linear ring, D is a simple C -algebra with $[D : C] < \infty$ and $B = V_A(D)$ (See Theorem 4 [13]). Let I be a faithful simple left ideal of A . Denote the class of right full linear subrings R of A such that R contains B and I is a finite direct sum of faithful simple left R -modules by \mathcal{L} , and the class of simple C -subalgebras of D by \mathcal{D} . Then by Theorems 36.2 and 36.4 [2], we obtain mutually inverse 1-1-correspondences between \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{D} , namely, if $R \in \mathcal{L}$, then $V_A(R) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $R = V_A(V_A(R))$, and conversely if $E \in \mathcal{D}$, then $V_A(E) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $E = V_A(V_A(E))$. Concerning with this inner Galois theory we have.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A, B, \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{D} be as above. Then for any right full linear subring R of A which contains B , the following three conditions are equivalent ;*

- (a) A is left R -finitely generated projective.
- (b) A is right R -finitely generated projective.
- (c) $R \in \mathcal{L}$

PROOF. Firstly note that A is both left and right B -finitely generated free (See Theorem 4 [11]). Let S, \mathfrak{z} and \mathfrak{z}' be the socles of A, B and R , respectively. By Theorem 2 [13] we have $S = \mathfrak{z}A$ and $\mathfrak{z} = S \cap B \subset S \cap R \neq 0$. Now suppose (a) or (b). Then in either case $S \cap R = \mathfrak{z}'$ by Lemma 3.1. Then, $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}' \subset S$, and $S = \mathfrak{z}A \subset \mathfrak{z}'A \subset S$. Thus we have $S = \mathfrak{z}'A$, which implies $R \in \mathcal{L}$. That (c) implies (a) is due to Theorem 36.2 [2], while that (c) implies (b) is shown in Theorem 4 [11]. Now we have proved the theorem.

References

- [1] G. AZUMAYA and T. NAKAYAMA : On irreducible rings, Ann. Math., 48 (1946), 949-965.
- [2] G. AZUMAYA and T. NAKAYAMA : Algebra II (in Japanese), Iwanami, 1954.
- [3] K. HIRATA : Some types of separable extensions of rings, Nagoya Math. J., 33 (1968), 107-115.
- [4] N. JACOBSON : Structure of Rings, A. M. S Colloquium Publications 37.
- [5] K. MORITA : Flat modules, injective modules and quotient rings, Math. Z., 120 (1971), 25-40.
- [6] B. STENSTRÖM : Rings of Quotients, Springer, 1975.
- [7] K. SUGANO : Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions, Osaka J. Math., 4 (1967), 265-270.
- [8] K. SUGANO : On Projective H -separable extensions, Hokkaido Math. J., 5 (1976), 44-54.
- [9] K. SUGANO : On H -separable extensions of two sided simple rings, Hokkaido Math. J., 11 (1982), 246-252.

- [10] K. SUGANO: H-separable extensions of simple rings, Proc. 16th Sympo. on Ring Theory, Okayama, 1983, 13-20.
- [11] K. SUGANO: On flat H-separable extensions and Gabriel topology, Hokkaido Math. J., 15 (1986), 149-155.
- [12] K. SUGANO: On H-separable extensions of two sided simple rings II, Hokkaido Math. J., 16 (1987), 71-74.
- [13] K. SUGANO: On H-separable extensions of primitive rings, Hokkaido Math. J., 16 (1987), 207-211.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060, Japan