

Remarks on the formula for the curvature

Masatake KURANISHI

To Professor Noboru Tanaka on his sixtieth birthday

(Received November 22, 1990)

Let $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n$ be a base of 1-forms on a manifold M . Then a Riemann metric, say g , on M has an expression

$$(1) \quad g = g_{jk} \omega^j \omega^k.$$

Where $g = (g_{jk})$ is a $n \times n$ matrix valued function on M . We denote by $\langle X, Y \rangle_g$ the inner product of tangent vectors X, Y with a common source. We set

$$(2) \quad \xi = \omega(X), \quad \eta = \omega(Y)$$

where ω denotes the \mathbf{R}^n -valued 1-form $(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n)$. We set $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_g = g_{jk} \xi^j \eta^k$ so that

$$(3) \quad \langle X, Y \rangle_g = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_g.$$

Write

$$(4) \quad d\omega^j = \frac{1}{2} \beta_{kl}^j \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \quad \beta_{kl}^j + \beta_{lk}^j = 0.$$

Define a linear map $\beta : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ by

$$(5) \quad (\beta(\xi)\eta)^j = \beta_{kl}^j \xi^k \eta^l.$$

Actually β should be regarded as a map of M into $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n))$. Then the formula (4) can be rewritten as

$$(6) \quad (d\omega)(X, Y) = \beta(\xi)\eta.$$

We wrote down in [3] the formula for the sectional curvature of g which is expressed by means of g_{jk} and β . In this paper we write down the formula for the curvature tensor. We then write down the O'Neill's formula [4] for the submersion using only g and β .

When we set

$$(7) \quad R(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]},$$

the curvature tensor is given by

$$(8) \quad K(X, Y, U, V) = \langle R(X, Y)V, U \rangle_g.$$

The sectional curvature of the plane generated by X, Y is

$$(9) \quad (\|X\|_g^2 \|Y\|_g^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle_g^2)^{-1} \langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle_g.$$

Now $K(X, Y)_g = \langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle_g$ is expressed by g, β as follows:

$$(10) \quad K(X, Y)_g = K_0(\xi, \eta)_g + K_1(\xi, \eta)_g + K_2(\xi, \eta)_g,$$

where

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} K_0(\xi, \eta)_g &= \frac{1}{4} \|\beta^*(\xi)\eta + \beta^*(\eta)\xi\|_g^2 - \langle \beta^*(\xi)\xi, \beta^*(\eta)\eta \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4} \|\beta(\xi)\eta\|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^*(\eta)\xi - \beta^*(\xi)\eta, \beta(\xi)\eta \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

Where $\beta^*(\xi)$ denotes the adjoint of $\beta(\xi)$ with respect to the metric g of \mathbf{R}^n .

To write down K_1 we define for each x in M and ξ a linear map $L_g(\xi) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ by

$$(12) \quad \langle L_g(\xi)\eta, \gamma \rangle_{g(x)} = \xi^j (E_j g_{kl}(x)) \eta^k \gamma^l.$$

Where E_1, \dots, E_n denote the base of tangent vectors dual to $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n$. We also set for a linear map $L : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$

$$(13) \quad \widehat{L}(\xi)\eta = L(\eta)\xi,$$

and define

$$(14) \quad 2C(\xi) = L_g(\xi) + \widehat{L}_g(\xi) - (\widehat{L}_g(\xi))^*.$$

Then

$$K_1(\xi, \eta)_g = K_1^1 + K_1^2 + K_1^3,$$

where

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1^1 &= \frac{3}{2} \langle L_g(\eta)\xi - L_g(\xi)\eta, \beta(\xi)\eta \rangle_g, \\ K_1^2 &= \langle \beta^*(\xi)\eta + \beta^*(\eta)\xi, C(\xi)\eta \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \langle \beta^*(\xi)\xi, C(\eta)\eta \rangle_g - \langle \beta^*(\eta)\eta, C(\xi)\xi \rangle_g, \\ K_1^3 &= \langle [X, \beta](\eta)\xi, \eta \rangle_g + \langle [Y, \beta](\xi)\eta, \xi \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

To write down K_2 we set

$$(16) \quad \Delta(\eta, \eta) = \xi^j \eta^k E_k E_j.$$

The above is regarded as a second order differential operator. Then

$$K_2(\xi, \eta)_g = K_2^1 + K_2^2,$$

where

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} K_2^1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle (\Delta((\xi, \eta)g + \Delta(\eta, \xi)g)\xi, \eta \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle (\Delta(\xi, \xi)g)\eta, \eta \rangle - \langle (\Delta(\eta, \eta)g)\xi, \xi \rangle), \\ K_2^2 &= \|C(\xi)\eta\|_g^2 - \langle C(\xi)\xi, C(\eta)\eta \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

It is of some interest to note that the formula has a pattern. Namely, for a pair of bilinear forms $P(\xi, \eta), Q(\xi, \eta)$ valued in a finite dimensional vector space, say E , with a metric g , we set

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} K(P, Q; \xi, \eta) &= \langle P(\xi, \eta), Q(\xi, \eta) \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle P(\xi, \xi), Q(\eta, \eta) \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle P(\eta, \eta), Q(\xi, \xi) \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K(\xi, \eta)_g$ is a sum of terms of the form $K(P, Q; \xi, \eta)$. To see this let E be \mathbf{R}^n with the metric g and set

$$(19) \quad P_0(\xi, \eta) = Q_0(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} (\beta^*(\xi)\eta + \beta^*(\eta)\xi),$$

$$(20) \quad P_1(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{3}{4} Q_1(\xi, \eta) = \beta(\xi)\eta,$$

$$(21) \quad P_2(\xi, \eta) = \beta^*(\eta)\xi - \beta^*(\xi)\eta, \quad Q_2(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \beta(\xi)\eta,$$

considered as E valued. Then we see clearly

$$(22) \quad K_0(\xi, \eta)_g = \sum_{j=0}^2 K(P_j, Q_j; \xi, \eta).$$

Similarly, we consider E -valued bilinear forms

$$(23) \quad P_3(\xi, \eta) = L_g(\eta)\xi - L_g(\xi)\eta, \quad Q_3(\xi, \eta) = \frac{3}{2} \beta(\xi)\eta,$$

$$(24) \quad P_4(\xi, \eta) = \beta^*(\xi)\eta + \beta^*(\eta)\xi, \quad Q_4(\xi, \eta) = C(\xi)\eta,$$

$$(25) \quad P_5(\xi, \eta) = [E_j, \beta](\eta)\xi, \quad Q_5(\xi, \eta) = \xi^j\eta - \eta^j\xi.$$

Noting that $P_5(\xi, \eta)$ is a skew-symmetric form we find that

$$(26) \quad K_1(\xi, \eta)_g = \sum_{j=3}^5 K(P_j, Q_j; \xi, \eta).$$

To write down K_2 we consider E -valued forms

$$(27) \quad P_6(\xi, \eta) = Q_6(\xi, \eta) = C(\xi)\eta.$$

We denote by E_1 the vector space of symmetric $n \times n$ matrixes with the standard metric, and consider E_1 valued form

$$(28) \quad P_7(\xi, \eta) = \Delta(\xi, \eta)_g + \Delta(\eta, \xi)_g, \quad Q_7(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{4}(\xi \otimes \eta + \eta \otimes \xi).$$

Then

$$(29) \quad K_2(\xi, \eta)_g = \sum_{j=6}^7 K(P_j, Q_j; \xi, \eta).$$

Therefore we have the formula :

$$(30) \quad K(\xi, \eta)_g = \sum_{j=0}^7 K(P_j, Q_j; \xi, \eta).$$

We set for $X_j (j=1, 2, 3, 4)$

$$(31) \quad K(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)_g = K(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4)_g.$$

Then the multi-linear form $K(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4)_g$ is characterized by the following 4 conditions (cf. Kobayashi-Nomizu [2], p. 198-199)

$$(32.1) \quad K(X, Y)_g = K(\xi, \eta, \xi, \eta)_g,$$

$$(32.2) \quad \text{skew-symmetric in } (\theta_1, \theta_2) \text{ as well as in } (\theta_3, \theta_4),$$

$$(32.3) \quad K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g + K(\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_2)_g + K(\theta_1, \theta_4, \theta_2, \theta_3)_g = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$(32.4) \quad K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g = K(\theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_1, \theta_2)_g.$$

The proof of the uniqueness given in the above book actually tells us how to construct $K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g$ out of $K(\xi, \eta)_g$, where

$$(33) \quad K(X, Y)_g = K(\xi, \eta)_g.$$

In fact, applying the distribution law to $K(\theta_1, \theta_2 + \theta_4, \theta_1, \theta_2 + \theta_4)_g$, we find by (32.4) that

$$(34) \quad 2K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_1, \theta_4)_g = K(\theta_1, \theta_2 + \theta_4)_g - K(\theta_1, \theta_2)_g - K(\theta_1, \theta_4)_g.$$

Using $K(\theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_4)$ similarly, we find that

$$(35)^{34} \quad \begin{aligned} & K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g + K(\theta_1, \theta_4, \theta_3, \theta_2)_g \\ & = K(\theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_4)_g - K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_1, \theta_4)_g - K(\theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g. \end{aligned}$$

Write down (35)₃₄ – (35)₄₃. We then find by the Jacobi’s identity (32.3) that

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} 3K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g & = K(\theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_4)_g - K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_1, \theta_4)_g \\ & \quad - K(\theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g - K(\theta_1 + \theta_4, \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_4, \theta_3)_g \\ & \quad + K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_1, \theta_3) + K(\theta_4, \theta_2, \theta_4, \theta_3)_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) & = \frac{1}{6} (K(\theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_2 + \theta_4) - K(\theta_1 + \theta_4, \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ & \quad + K(\theta_1, \theta_2 + \theta_3) - K(\theta_1, \theta_2 + \theta_4) - K(\theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_3) \\ & \quad + K(\theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_4) + K(\theta_3, \theta_1 + \theta_4) - K(\theta_3, \theta_2 + \theta_4) \\ & \quad - K(\theta_4, \theta_1 + \theta_3) + K(\theta_4, \theta_2 + \theta_3) - K(\theta_1, \theta_3) \\ & \quad + K(\theta_1, \theta_4) + K(\theta_2, \theta_3) - K(\theta_2, \theta_4)). \end{aligned}$$

The referee informed us that the formula (37) is in [1] p. 16.

When $K(X, Y)_g$ is expressed as the sum of $K(P_j, Q_j; \xi, \eta)$ as in (30), $K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g$ is also expressed as the sum of $K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)$ defined as in (34), (36) in terms of $K(P, Q; \xi, \eta)$ in stead of $K(\xi, \eta)$. By calculation we find by (34) and (18) that

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} 2K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_1, \theta_4) & = \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_2), Q(\theta_1, \theta_4) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_4), Q(\theta_1, \theta_2) \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_1), Q(\theta_2, \theta_4) + Q(\theta_4, \theta_2) \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle P(\theta_2, \theta_4) + P(\theta_4, \theta_2), Q(\theta_1, \theta_1) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate $K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)$ when $P=Q$ and skew-symmetric in (ξ, η) . By the above we find that

$$(39) \quad K(P, P; \theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_4) = \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_2), P(\theta_1, \theta_4) \rangle,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & K(P, P; \theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_3, \theta_4) - K(P, P; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_1, \theta_4) \\ & \quad - K(P, P; \theta_3, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) \\ & = \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_2), P(\theta_3, \theta_4) \rangle - \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_4), P(\theta_2, \theta_3) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we find by (36)

(40) PROPOSITION. When $P(\xi, \eta)$ is skew-symmetric in ξ, η ,

$$K(P, P; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = \frac{2}{3} \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_2), P(\theta_3, \theta_4) \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{3} \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_3), P(\theta_2, \theta_4) \rangle - \frac{1}{3} \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_4), P(\theta_2, \theta_3) \rangle.$$

Since $K(P, Q; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_4)$ is symmetric and bi-linear in P, Q , it follows by the above that

(41) PROPOSITION. *When $P(\xi, \eta)$ and $Q(\xi, \eta)$ are skew-symmetric in ξ, η ,*

$$K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) \\ = \frac{1}{3} (\langle P(\theta_1, \theta_2), Q(\theta_3, \theta_4) \rangle + \langle Q(\theta_1, \theta_2), P(\theta_3, \theta_4) \rangle) \\ + \frac{1}{6} (\langle P(\theta_1, \theta_3), Q(\theta_2, \theta_4) \rangle + \langle Q(\theta_1, \theta_3), P(\theta_2, \theta_4) \rangle) \\ - \frac{1}{6} (\langle P(\theta_1, \theta_4), Q(\theta_2, \theta_3) \rangle + \langle Q(\theta_1, \theta_4), P(\theta_2, \theta_3) \rangle).$$

We next work out the case when P and Q are symmetric. We set first

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} L(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2; \theta_3, \theta_4) &= K(P, Q; \theta_1 + \theta_2, \theta_3 + \theta_4) \\ &- K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_3 + \theta_4) - K(P, Q; \theta_2, \theta_3 + \theta_4) - K(P, Q; \theta_1 + \theta_2, \theta_3) \\ &- K(P, Q; \theta_1 + \theta_2, \theta_4) + K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_3) + K(P, Q; \theta_2, \theta_3) \\ &+ K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_4) + K(P, Q; \theta_2, \theta_4). \end{aligned}$$

We then find by direct calculation using (18) that, when $P(\xi, \eta)$ and $Q(\xi, \eta)$ are symmetric in ξ, η ,

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} L(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2; \theta_3, \theta_4) &= \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_3), Q(\theta_2, \theta_4) \rangle \\ &+ \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_4), Q(\theta_2, \theta_3) \rangle \\ &+ \langle P(\theta_2, \theta_3), Q(\theta_1, \theta_4) \rangle + \langle P(\theta_2, \theta_4), Q(\theta_1, \theta_3) \rangle \\ &- 2 \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_2), Q(\theta_3, \theta_4) \rangle - 2 \langle P(\theta_3, \theta_4), Q(\theta_1, \theta_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note by (37) that

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} 6K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) &= L(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_3; \theta_2, \theta_4) \\ &- L(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_4; \theta_2, \theta_3). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows by calculation the following:

(45) PROPOSITION. *When $P(\xi, \eta)$ and $Q(\xi, \eta)$ are symmetric in ξ, η ,*

$$K(P, Q; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = \frac{1}{2} (\langle P(\theta_1, \theta_4), Q(\theta_2, \theta_3) \rangle \\ + \langle P(\theta_2, \theta_3), Q(\theta_1, \theta_4) \rangle - \langle P(\theta_1, \theta_3), Q(\theta_2, \theta_4) \rangle \\ - \langle P(\theta_2, \theta_4), Q(\theta_1, \theta_3) \rangle).$$

Therefore we have

(46) PROPOSITION.

$$K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g = \sum_{j=0}^7 K(P_j, Q_j; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g,$$

where, when P_j and Q_j are skew symmetric, $K(P_j, Q_j; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g$ is defined by (41) and, when P_j and Q_j are symmetric, $K(P_j, Q_j; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)_g$ is defined by (45).

We now consider a submersion of a Riemann manifold M with a metric g to a Riemann manifold N with a metric g_N . Let X', Y' be tangent vectors to N at a point. To calculate $K(X', Y')_{g_N}$, pick horizontal lifts X, Y of X', Y' . Then by the O'Neill's formula $K(X'Y')_{g_N}$ is the sum of $K(X, Y)_g$ and $\frac{3}{4} \|p_v[X, Y]\|_g^2$, where we extend X, Y to vector fields and p_v denotes the projection to the vertical part.

We see easily

$$(47) \quad [X, Y] = (X\eta^j - Y\xi^j)E_j + \xi^j\eta^k[E_j, E_k].$$

Note that

$$(48) \quad \omega(\xi^j\eta^k[E_j, E_k]) = -\beta(\xi)\eta,$$

because $d\omega(X, Y) = X\omega(Y) - Y\omega(X) - \omega([X, Y])$. Therefore

$$(49) \quad \omega([X, Y]) = (X\eta - Y\xi) - \beta(\xi)\eta.$$

Let V be a vertical vector field with $\mu = \omega(V)$. Then we see by the above

$$(50) \quad \langle [X, Y], V \rangle_g = \langle g(X\eta - Y\xi), \mu \rangle - \langle \beta(\xi)\eta, \mu \rangle_g.$$

When we set

$$\tilde{\xi} = g\xi,$$

we find that

$$\langle g(X\eta - Y\xi), \mu \rangle = \langle X\tilde{\eta} - Y\tilde{\xi}, \mu \rangle + \langle (Yg)\xi - (Xg)\eta, \mu \rangle.$$

Since X, Y are horizontal, $\langle \tilde{\eta}, \mu \rangle = \langle \tilde{\xi}, \mu \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle [X, Y], V \rangle_g &= \langle L_g(\eta)\xi - L_g(\xi)\eta - \beta(\xi)\eta, \mu \rangle_g \\ &\quad + \langle \tilde{\xi}, Y\mu \rangle - \langle \tilde{\eta}, X\mu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We then find easily an expression of the O'Neill's formula by the above formula. The term is also of the form $K(P, Q; \xi, \eta)$.

Reference

- [1] J. CHEEGER and D. G. EBIN, Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry, North-Holland, 1975.
- [2] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. 1, Interscience, 1963.
- [3] M. KURANISHI, On some metrics on $S^2 \times S^2$, To be published.
- [4] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, Mich. Math. J., 13 (1966) 451-469.

Department of Mathematics
Columbia University
New York, N. Y. 10027
U. S. A.