

## A remark on a theorem of Y. Kurata

Christian LOMP

(Received May 29, 2000)

**Abstract.** In [K] Y. Kurata proved that the Goldie torsion theory splits centrally for dual rings. Here we extend his result to semilocal rings with left essential socle such that  $\text{Soc}({}_R R)^2 \subseteq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$ . An example will demonstrate that our observation extends Kurata's result.

*Key words:* Goldie torsion theory, central splitting, semilocal rings, essential socle.

All rings are associative rings with unit, all left (or right)  $R$ -modules are unital and all torsion theories are considered to be hereditary. The singular submodule of a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is denoted by  $Z({}_R M)$ . We abbreviate  $S := \text{Soc}({}_R R)$  and  $J := \text{Jac}(R)$  for the left socle resp. the Jacobson radical. We denote the left Goldie torsion theory, that is the torsion theory whose torsion free modules are exactly the nonsingular left  $R$ -modules, by  $\tau_G$  (see [G, 1.14] or [AD]) and we denote the torsion submodule of a module  $M$  by  $\tau_G(M)$ . A torsion theory  $\tau$  is called *jansian* (or TTF) if the class of  $\tau$ -torsion modules is closed under taking products. Moreover a jansian torsion theory  $\tau$  is called *centrally splitting* if  $\tau(R)$  is a direct summand of  $R$  and  $\tau$ -torsion free modules are closed under homomorphic images. (see [Be, Theorem 1]). A classical result of Alin and Dickson [AD, Theorem 3.1] states that  $\tau_G$  is centrally splitting for a ring  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is a direct product of a semisimple ring and a ring with essential left singular ideal. (Alin and Dickson use the term *global dimension zero* instead of *centrally splitting*, meaning that all torsionfree modules are injective. We have that  $\tau_G$  is centrally splitting if and only if all nonsingular left  $R$ -modules are injective. The sufficiency is clear (see also [G, 5.10]). The necessity follows since if nonsingular modules are closed under homomorphic images and  $\tau_G$ -torsion submodules split off, then each nonsingular module must equal its injective hull. By the remark on page 201 in [AD]  $\tau_G$  is also jansian.)

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification : 16S90.

I would like to thank Patrick Smith for having pointed out the above example while I was visiting Glasgow and Carl Faith for all his valuable comments and his interest.

Let us start with an easy Lemma. Note that (1) also follows from a more general statement in [Ba, Proposition 1.10 (d)].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $R$  be a ring with essential left socle  $S$ . Then*

- (1)  $S = S^2 \oplus (S \cap Z({}_R R))$ , where  $S^2$  is projective and  $R/S^2$  is  $\tau_G$ -torsion.
- (2)  $J$  is  $\tau_G$ -torsion if and only if  $S^2 J = 0$ .

*Proof.* The socle can be decomposed as  $S = S_0 \oplus S_1$  where  $S_1 := S \cap Z({}_R R)$  and  $S_0$  is a projective left  $R$ -module.  $S^2 \subseteq S_0$ , because for  $x, y \in S$  with  $y = y_0 + y_1$  where  $y_0 \in S_0$  and  $y_1 \in S_1$ . The product  $xy = xy_0 \in S_0$  as  $xy_1 \in SZ({}_R R) = 0$ . Thus  $S^2 \subseteq S_0$  holds and there exists a left module  $\tilde{S}$  such that  $S_0 = S^2 \oplus \tilde{S}$ . We have  $S\tilde{S} \subseteq S^2 \cap \tilde{S} = 0$ . If  ${}_R S$  is essential in  ${}_R R$ , then  $\tilde{S}$  becomes singular (as it is annihilated by  $S$ ) and must be zero as it is also projective. Thus  $S_0 = S^2$ . Also  $R/S^2$  becomes  $\tau_G$ -torsion as  $S_1 \simeq S/S^2$  and  $R/S$  are singular. This proves (1). Assume  $S^2 J = 0$ , then  $J$  is an  $R/S^2$ -module and hence  $\tau_G$ -torsion by (1). On the contrary, if  $J$  is  $\tau_G$ -torsion, then  $\text{Hom}_R(S^2, J) = 0$  and therefore  $S^2 J = 0$ .  $\square$

For a semilocal ring  $R$  we have  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) = l.\text{ann}(\text{Jac}(R))$ , therefore the condition  $S^2 J = 0$  is equivalent to  $\text{Soc}({}_R R)^2 \subseteq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$ .

Every semilocal ring  $R$  has a decomposition  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1$  of left  $R$ -modules  $R_0$  and  $R_1$ , where  $R_0$  is semisimple artinian and  $J$  is essential in  $R_1$  (see [L, Theorem 3.5]).

**Lemma 2** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring. Then  $\tau_G$  is centrally splitting if and only if  $J$  contains an essential singular left  $R$ -submodule. In this case  $\text{Soc}({}_R R)^2 \subseteq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$  holds.*

*Proof.* “ $\Rightarrow$ ” By Alin and Dickson’s theorem  $R = S \times T$  where  $S$  is semisimple artinian and  $T$  has essential left singular ideal. Thus  $J = \text{Jac}(T) \subseteq T$ . Obviously  $T$  is also semilocal and has a decomposition  $T = T_0 \oplus T_1$  with  $J$  essential in  $T_1$ . Since  $Z({}_T T)$  is essential in  $T$  we get that  $T_0 = 0$ , hence  $Z({}_T T) \cap J$  is an essential submodule of  $J$ . “ $\Leftarrow$ ” Recall the above decomposition of semilocal rings  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1$ . As  $J$  is essential in  $R_1$ ,  $R_1/J$  is singular. By hypothesis  $J$  is  $\tau_G$ -torsion and so is  $R_1$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(R_0, R_1) = 0 = \text{Hom}_R(R_1, R_0)$  as  $R_0$  is semisimple projective. Hence  $R = R_0 \times R_1$  is a direct product of a semisimple ring and a ring with essential singular ideal. By [AD, Theorem 3.1]  $\tau_G$  is centrally splitting.

As  $R_1$  is  $\tau_G$ -torsion it does not contain any projective simple submodule.

Hence  $S^2 = R_0$  and we have  $S^2J = 0$  as  $\text{Hom}_R(R_0, R_1) = 0$ .  $\square$

As a special case we get the following criterion for the splitting of  $\tau_G$  for semilocal rings with essential left socle that extends Kurata's result for dual rings.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring with essential left socle. Then  $\tau_G$  is centrally splitting if and only if  $\text{Soc}({}_R R)^2 \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity is clear by Lemma 2. Assume that  $R$  is semilocal with essential left socle and  $S^2J = 0$ , then by Lemma 1 (2)  $J$  is  $\tau_G$ -torsion and by Lemma 2 the result follows.  $\square$

In order to verify that our result extends Kurata's result, we give an example of a commutative semilocal ring with essential simple socle that is not semiperfect and hence not a dual ring. I am very grateful to Patrick F. Smith for the following example.

**Example** (P.F. Smith) *For any number  $n$ , there exists a commutative semilocal subdirectly irreducible non-local (and hence not semiperfect) ring with exactly  $n$  maximal ideals. Take  $n$  different prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . Then  $R := \{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p_i \nmid b \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a semilocal integral domain with  $n$  maximal ideals, which is not local. Let  $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^\infty}$  be the  $p_1$ -Prüfer group then  $M$  is a faithful  $R$ -module with essential simple socle isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z}$ . Form the trivial extension*

$$S := R \times M := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in R, m \in M \right\}.$$

Then  $S$  is a commutative semilocal subdirectly irreducible non-local ring with exactly  $n$  maximal ideals.

Patrick Smith's results follows from the following lemma:

**Lemma 4** *Let  $R$  be a commutative semilocal ring, which is not local and assume there is a faithful subdirectly irreducible (SDI)  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $S := R \times M$  is a commutative semilocal SDI ring which is not local.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be faithful with essential simple submodule  $N$ . Take an element  $s = a \times m \in S$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $(a \times m) \cdot (0 \times M) = 0 \times aM \neq 0$  since  $M$  is faithful. As  $N \subseteq aM$  as  $R$ -modules we get  $(0 \times N) \subseteq (0 \times aM) \subseteq sS$ . If  $a = 0$  and  $m \neq 0$ , then  $sS = (0 \times m)S = (0 \times mR) \supseteq (0 \times$

$N$ ) as  $mR \supseteq N$ . Thus  $S$  has an essential simple  $S$ -submodule  $0 \propto N$ . As  $\text{Jac}(S) = \text{Jac}(R) \propto M$ ,  $S$  is semilocal, but not local as  $R$  is not local and  $S$  is indecomposable.  $\square$

### References

- [AD] Alin J.S. and Dickson S.E., *Goldie's torsion theory and its derived functor*. Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 195–203.
- [Ba] Baccella G., *On  $C$ -semisimple rings, A study of the socle of a ring*. Comm. Algebra **8** (19) (1980), 889–909.
- [Be] Bernhardt R.L., *Splitting hereditary torsion theories over semiperfect rings*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 681–687.
- [G] Golan J.S., *Torsion Theories*. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **29** (1986).
- [K] Kurata Y., *Dual-bimodules and torsion theories*. Hokkaido Math. J. **28** No.1, (1999), 87–95.
- [L] Lomp C., *On Semilocal modules and rings*. Comm. Algebra **27** (4) (1999), 1921–1935.

Centro de Matemática  
Faculdade de Ciências  
Universidade do Porto  
4099-002 Porto, Portugal  
E-mail: clomp@fc.up.pt