

Aluthge transformations and invariant subspaces of p -hyponormal operators

Muneo CHŌ* and Tadasi HURUYA

(Received March 4, 2002; Revised April 18, 2002)

Abstract. It is unknown at present whether every hyponormal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Many authors presented conditions for a hyponormal operator to have nontrivial invariant subspaces. In this paper, we give a p -hyponormal version of Nakamura's result [7] by using the principal functions.

Key words: hyponormal operator, p -hyponormal operator, invariant subspace.

1. Introduction

An (bounded linear) operator T on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be p -hyponormal, if $(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p$ for a positive number p . If $p = 1$, then T is said to be hyponormal, and if $p = \frac{1}{2}$, then T is said to be semi-hyponormal. We assume that $0 < p \leq \frac{1}{2}$. An operator T is called pure if it has no nontrivial reducing subspace on which it is normal.

It is unknown at present whether every hyponormal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Putnam [8] and Apostol and Clancey [2] presented some conditions for a hyponormal operator to have invariant subspaces. Nakamura [7] improved these results. In this paper, we give a p -hyponormal version of Nakamura's result.

Let $T = X + iY$ be a pure hyponormal operator, where X and Y are self-adjoint. Then it is known that X and Y are absolutely continuous (see [4, Chap. 2, Th. 3.2]). For a self-adjoint operator Z , let $Z = \int t dG(t)$ be the spectral resolution of Z . Then the absolutely continuous support E_Z of Z is defined as a Borel subset of the real line (determined uniquely up to a null set) having the least Lebesgue measure and satisfying $G(E_Z) = I$. Then Nakamura's results are as follows.

Theorem A ([7], Theorem 1) *Let T be a pure hyponormal operator and $T = X + iY$ be the Cartesian decomposition of T . Suppose that there exists*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 47A15, 47B20.

*This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research (No. 14540190).

a real μ_0 such that the spectrum of T has non-empty intersection with each of the open half-planes $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < \mu_0\}$ and $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > \mu_0\}$, and

$$\int_{E_X} \frac{F(x)}{(x - \mu_0)^2} dx < \infty$$

where $F(x)$ is the linear measure of the vertical cross $\sigma(T) \cap \{z : \operatorname{Re} z = x\}$. Then T has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

Theorem B ([7], Theorem 2) *In Theorem 1, the existence of a nontrivial invariant subspace is also guaranteed if the integrability condition is replaced by*

$$\int_{E_X} \frac{1}{|x - \mu_0|} dx < \infty.$$

Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T . Put $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $\tilde{T} = V|\tilde{T}|$ denote the polar decomposition of \tilde{T} . Put $\hat{T} = |\tilde{T}|^{\frac{1}{2}}V|\tilde{T}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then \tilde{T} and \hat{T} are called the Aluthge transformation and the second Aluthge transformation of T , respectively. It is well known that if T is p -hyponormal, then \hat{T} is hyponormal by [1]. Also it is well known that $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\tilde{T}) = \sigma(\hat{T})$.

The main results in this paper are the following:

Theorem 1 *Let T be a pure p -hyponormal operator with dense range. For the second Aluthge transformation \hat{T} of T , let $\hat{T} = X_2 + iY_2$ denote the Cartesian decomposition of \hat{T} . Suppose that there exists a real μ_0 such that the spectrum of T has non-empty intersection with each of the open half-planes $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < \mu_0\}$ and $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > \mu_0\}$, and*

$$\int_{E_{X_2}} \frac{F(x)}{(x - \mu_0)^2} dx < \infty$$

where $F(x)$ is the linear measure of the vertical cross $\sigma(T) \cap \{z : \operatorname{Re} z = x\}$. Then T has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

Theorem 2 *In Theorem 1, the existence of a nontrivial invariant subspace is also guaranteed if the integrability condition is replaced by*

$$\int_{E_{X_2}} \frac{1}{|x - \mu_0|} dx < \infty.$$

2. Aluthge transformation

Lemma 3 *Let $T = U|T|$ be an operator with $\ker |T| = \{0\}$. If T has a cyclic vector, then the Aluthge transformation \tilde{T} has also a cyclic vector and satisfies $\ker |\tilde{T}| = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let x be a cyclic vector for T . For any positive integer n ,

$$(\tilde{T})^n |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}) |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} T^n.$$

Let y be a vector such that $((\tilde{T})^n |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} x, y) = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$(T^n x, |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} y) = 0.$$

Since x is a cyclic vector for T , $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} y = 0$, so that $|T|y = 0$. Hence by the assumption we have $y = 0$. This implies that $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} x$ is a cyclic vector for \tilde{T} .

Next we show $\ker |\tilde{T}| = \{0\}$. Since $\ker |T| = \{0\}$, we may assume that U is isometry. Let $\tilde{T}w = 0$, so that $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} w = 0$. Since $\ker |T| = \{0\}$ and U is isometry, we have $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} w = 0$, that is, $w = 0$. Therefore, $\ker \tilde{T} = \{0\}$. Since $\ker \tilde{T} = \ker |\tilde{T}|$, we have $\ker |\tilde{T}| = \{0\}$. \square

The following lemma improves [3, Lemma 2].

Lemma 4 *Let $T = U|T|$ be a pure p -hyponormal operator with dense range. Then the Aluthge transformation \tilde{T} is pure $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal.*

Proof. It is well known that \tilde{T} is $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal. Hence we may only prove that \tilde{T} is pure. Since T is p -hyponormal and has a dense range, $\ker T = \ker |T| = \ker T^* = \{0\}$. Hence U is unitary. Let \mathcal{X} be a reducing subspace of \tilde{T} such that \tilde{T} is normal on \mathcal{X} . Then for $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\tilde{T}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}, (\tilde{T})^*(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T}x = \tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*x. \tag{1}$$

If $((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T})^n x = (\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*)^n x$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$, then by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T})^{n+1} x &= ((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T})^n ((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T}x) = (\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*)^n ((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T}x) \\ &= (\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*)^n (\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*x) = (\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*)^{n+1} x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T})^n x = (\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*)^n x$ for every non-negative integer n and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Since it holds that $f((\tilde{T})^* \tilde{T})x = f(\tilde{T}(\tilde{T})^*)x$ for every polynomial f , we have

$$(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* |T| U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p+\frac{1}{2}} x = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T| U^* |T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p+\frac{1}{2}} x. \tag{2}$$

By Aluthge’s result [1], we have

$$(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*|T|U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \geq |T|^{2(p+\frac{1}{2})} \geq (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|U^*|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Put $A = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*|T|U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$, $B = |T|^{2(p+\frac{1}{2})}$ and $C = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|U^*|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$.

Then for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, by (2) we have

$$Ax = Bx = Cx. \tag{3}$$

We assume that $A^ny = B^ny = C^ny$ for each $y \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $Bx \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{n+1}x &= A^nAx = B^nAx = B^nBx (= B^{n+1}x) \\ &= C^nBx = C^nCx = C^{n+1}x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (3) we have $A^nx = B^nx = C^nx$ for every non-negative integer n and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. From the above, since we have $f(A)x = f(B)x = f(C)x$ for every polynomial f and $x \in \mathcal{X}$, similarly we obtain

$$|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*|T|U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}x = |T|^2x = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|U^*|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}x \in \mathcal{X}. \tag{4}$$

From (4) we have

$$\ker \tilde{T} \cap \mathcal{X} = \ker(\tilde{T})^* \cap \mathcal{X} = \ker |T| \cap \mathcal{X} = \{0\}.$$

Since \mathcal{X} is a reducing subspace of \tilde{T} and $|T|$,

$$\overline{\tilde{T}(\mathcal{X})} = \overline{(\tilde{T})^*(\mathcal{X})} = \mathcal{X} = \overline{|T|(\mathcal{X})} = \overline{|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{X})}. \tag{5}$$

Since $\ker |T| = \{0\}$, from (4), we have

$$U^*|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{T}x = |T|^{\frac{3}{2}}x = U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{T})^*x. \tag{6}$$

From (5) and (6), it holds that

$$U^*|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \quad \text{and} \quad U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X},$$

so that $U^*(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and $U(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Hence \mathcal{X} is a reducing subspace of $|T|$ and U . From (5), we have

$$|T||T|^{\frac{1}{2}}x = U|T|U^*|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}x.$$

By (5), we have $|T|y = U|T|U^*y$ for $y \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore, \mathcal{X} is a reducing subspace of T such that T is normal on \mathcal{X} . This completes the proof. \square

3. Proofs of theorems

In order to give proofs of the main results, we need the following theorem.

Theorem C ([6, Theorem 1.15]) *For an operator $T = U|T|$, T has a nontrivial invariant subspace if and only if so does \tilde{T} .*

Proof of Theorem 1. If T has no cyclic vectors, then T has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Hence we may assume that T has a cyclic vector and is pure. By Lemma 3, all T , \tilde{T} and \hat{T} have dense ranges.

By Aluthge's result, \tilde{T} is a semi-hyponormal operator. It follows from Lemma 4 that \hat{T} is a pure hyponormal operator. Since $\sigma(\hat{T}) = \sigma(T)$, \hat{T} is a hyponormal operator satisfying Theorem A. Hence \hat{T} has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Therefore by Theorem C, T has a nontrivial invariant subspace. \square

A similar argument implies Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Aluthge A., *On p -hyponormal operator for $0 < p < 1$* . Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [2] Apostol C. and Clancey K., *Local resolvents of operators with one-dimensional self-commutator*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1976), 158–162.
- [3] Chō M., Huruya T. and Yamazaki T., *Mosaic and principal functions of log-hyponormal operators*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **55** (2003), 255–268.
- [4] Clancey K., *Seminormal operators*. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 742, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [5] Duggal B.P., *p -hyponormal operators and invariant subspaces*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **64** (1998), 249–257.
- [6] Jung I.B., Ko E. and Pearcy C., *Aluthge transforms of operators*. Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **37** (2000), 437–448.
- [7] Nakamura Y., *Principal functions and invariant subspaces of hyponormal operators*. Hokkaido Math. J. **12** (1983), 1–9.
- [8] Putnam C.R., *Invariant subspaces of operators having nearly disconnected spectra*. Operator theory and functional analysis, Res. Notes in Math. **38**, Pitman, 1979.
- [9] Xia D., *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1983).

Muneo Chō
Department of Mathematics
Kanagawa University
Yokohama 221-8686
Japan
E-mail: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Tadasi Huruya
Faculty of Education and Human Sciences
Niigata University
Niigata 950-2181
Japan
E-mail: huruya@ed.niigata-u.ac.jp