

Sharing three values with small weights

Indrajit LAHIRI

(Received September 2, 2005)

Abstract. We prove a uniqueness theorem for meromorphic functions sharing three values with small weights which improves some known results. We also exhibit some applications of the main result.

Key words: weighted sharing, uniqueness, meromorphic functions.

1. Introduction, Definitions and Results

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . For $b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we say that f and g share the value b CM (counting multiplicities) if f and g have the same b -points with the same multiplicities. If we do not take multiplicities into account, we say that f and g share the value b IM (ignoring multiplicities). For standard definitions and notations of the value distribution theory we refer [1].

H. Ueda [9] proved the following result

Theorem A ([9]) *Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant entire functions sharing $0, 1$ CM and let $a (\neq 0, 1)$ be a finite complex number. If a is lacunary for f then $1 - a$ is lacunary for g and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.*

Improving *Theorem A* H.X. Yi [11] proved the following theorem.

Theorem B ([11]) *Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant entire functions sharing $0, 1$ CM and let $a (\neq 0, 1)$ be a finite complex number. If $\delta(a; f) > 1/3$ then a and $1 - a$ are Picard exceptional values of f and g respectively and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.*

Extending *Theorem B* to meromorphic functions S.Z. Ye [10] proved the following results.

Theorem C ([10]) *Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM. Let $a (\neq 0, 1)$ be a finite complex number. If $\delta(a; f) + \delta(\infty; f) > 4/3$ then a and $1 - a$ are Picard*

exceptional values of f and g respectively and also ∞ is so and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.

Theorem D ([10]) *Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $0, 1, \infty$ CM. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p be p (≥ 1) distinct finite complex numbers and $a_j \neq 0, 1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$. If $\sum_{j=1}^p \delta(a_j; f) + \delta(\infty; f) > 2(p+1)/(p+2)$ then there exist one and only one a_k in a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p such that a_k and $1 - a_k$ are Picard exceptional values of f and g respectively and also ∞ is so and $(f - a_k)(g + a_k - 1) \equiv a_k(1 - a_k)$.*

Improving above results H.X. Yi [12] proved the following theorem.

Theorem E ([12]) *Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM. Let a ($\neq 0, 1$) be a finite complex number. If $N(r, a; f) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ and $N(r, f) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ then a and $1 - a$ are Picard exceptional values of f and g respectively and also ∞ is so and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.*

Definition 1 Let p be a positive integer and $b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then by $N(r, b; f | \leq p)$ we denote the counting function of those b -points of f (counted with proper multiplicities) whose multiplicities are not greater than p . By $\overline{N}(r, b; f | \leq p)$ we denote the corresponding reduced counting function.

In an analogous manner we define $N(r, b; f | \geq p)$ and $\overline{N}(r, b; f | \geq p)$.

Also we put

$$\delta_p(a; f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a; f | \leq p)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Hua and Fang [2] proved that if two nonconstant distinct meromorphic functions f and g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM then $N(r, a; f | \geq 3) = S(r, f)$ for any complex number a ($\neq 0, 1, \infty$).

Also Yi [12] proved that if two nonconstant distinct meromorphic functions f and g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM then $N(r, \infty; f | \geq 2) = S(r, f)$.

Therefore *Theorem E* of Yi can easily be improved to the following result.

Theorem F ([5]) *Let f and g be distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $0, 1, \infty$ CM. If a ($\neq 0, 1$) is a finite complex number such that $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ and $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ then a and $1 - a$ are Picard exceptional values of f and g respectively and*

also ∞ is so and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.

Following examples show that *Theorem F* is sharp.

Example 1 ([5]) Let $f = (e^z - 1)/(e^z + 1)$, $g = (1 - e^z)/(1 + e^z)$, $a_1 = -1$ and $a_2 = 2$. Then f, g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM. Also $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, $N(r, a_1; f | \leq 2) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ and $N(r, a_2; f | \leq 2) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$. Clearly $(f - a_i)(g + a_i - 1) \neq a_i(1 - a_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Example 2 ([5]) Let $f = e^z$, $g = e^{-z}$ and $a = 2$. Then f, g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM. Also $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$. Clearly $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \neq a(1 - a)$.

It is shown in [5] by the following example that the condition $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ of *Theorem F* cannot be replaced by any one of $N(r, a; f | \leq 1) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq 2) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$.

Example 3 ([5]) Let $f = e^z(1 - e^z)$, $g = e^{-z}(1 - e^{-z})$ and $a = 1/4$. Then f, g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM. Also $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$. Since $f - a = -(e^z - 2a)^2$, we see the following

- (i) $N(r, a; f | \leq 1) \equiv 0$,
- (ii) $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq 2) = N(r, 2a; e^z) = (1/2)T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ and
- (iii) $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) = 2N(r, 2a; e^z) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$.

Also clearly $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \neq a(1 - a)$.

Following two examples show that in the above theorems the sharing of 0 and 1 can not be relaxed from CM to IM.

Example 4 ([5]) Let $f = e^z - 1$, $g = (e^z - 1)^2$ and $a = -1$. Then f, g share 0 IM and $1, \infty$ CM. Also $N(r, \infty; f) \equiv 0$ and $N(r, a; f) \equiv 0$ but $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \neq a(1 - a)$.

Example 5 ([5]) Let $f = 2 - e^z$, $g = e^z(2 - e^z)$ and $a = 2$. Then f, g share 1 IM and $0, \infty$ CM. Also $N(r, \infty; f) \equiv 0$ and $N(r, a; f) \equiv 0$ but $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \neq a(1 - a)$.

In [5] following question is asked: Is it really impossible to relax in any way the nature of sharing of any one of 0 and 1 in the above theorems?

The notion of weighted sharing of values is used in [5] to deal this problem. We now explain the notion in the following definition which measures how close a shared value is to being shared CM or to being shared IM.

Definition 2 ([3, 4]) Let k be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k then z_o is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m (\leq k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $m (\leq k)$ and z_o is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m (> k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $n (> k)$ where m is not necessarily equal to n .

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for all integer p , $0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

Improving *Theorem C* in [5] following result is proved.

Theorem G ([5]) Let f and g be two distinct meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(1, \infty)$ and (∞, ∞) . If $a (\neq 0, 1)$ is a finite complex number such that $3\delta_2(a; f) + 2\delta_1(\infty; f) > 3$ then a and $1 - a$ are Picard exceptional values of f and g and also ∞ is so and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.

In [5] we were unable to relax the nature of sharing of values in *Theorem F*. We now take up this problem and prove the following result which improve *Theorem F* and so all previous results.

Theorem 1 Let f and g be two distinct meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(1, m)$ and (∞, k) , where $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. If $a (\neq 0, 1)$ is a finite complex number such that $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ and $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$ then a and $1 - a$ are Picard exceptional values of f and g respectively and also ∞ is so and $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$.

We note that the condition $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$ is equivalent to $(m - 1)(k - 1) > 4$ and so is symmetric in m and k . We also note that *Theorem 1* holds for the following pairs of least values of m and k : (i) $m = 3, k = 4$; (ii) $m = 4, k = 3$; (iii) $m = 2, k = 6$; (iv) $m = 6, k = 2$.

Definition 3 Let f and g share a value a IM. Let z be an a -point of f and g with multiplicities $p_f(z)$ and $p_g(z)$ respectively.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nu}_f(z) &= 1 && \text{if } p_f(z) > p_g(z) \\ &= 0 && \text{if } p_f(z) \leq p_g(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_f(z) &= 1 && \text{if } p_f(z) < p_g(z) \\ &= 0 && \text{if } p_f(z) \geq p_g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{n}(r, a; f > g) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \bar{\nu}_f(z)$ and $\bar{n}(r, a; f < g) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \bar{\mu}_f(z)$. We now denote by $\bar{N}(r, a; f > g)$ and $\bar{N}(r, a; f < g)$ the integrated counting functions obtained from $\bar{n}(r, a; f > g)$ and $\bar{n}(r, a; f < g)$ respectively.

Finally we put $\bar{N}_*(r, a; f, g) = \bar{N}(r, a; f > g) + \bar{N}(r, a; f < g)$.

Definition 4 Let f and g share a value a IM. Let z be an a -point of f and g with multiplicities $p_f(z)$ and $p_g(z)$ respectively.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_f(z) &= p_f(z) && \text{if } p_f(z) > p_g(z) \\ &= 0 && \text{if } p_f(z) \leq p_g(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f(z) &= p_f(z) && \text{if } p_f(z) < p_g(z) \\ &= 0 && \text{if } p_f(z) \geq p_g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n(r, a; f > g) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \nu_f(z)$ and $n(r, a; f < g) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \mu_f(z)$. We now denote by $N(r, a; f > g)$ and $N(r, a; f < g)$ the integrated counting functions obtained from $n(r, a; f > g)$ and $n(r, a; f < g)$ respectively.

Throughout the paper we denote by f and g two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in \mathbb{C} .

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which are needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1 ([3]) *If f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$ then (i) $T(r, f) \leq 3T(r, g) + S(r, f)$, (ii) $T(r, g) \leq 3T(r, f) + S(r, g)$.*

This shows that $S(r, f) = S(r, g)$ and we denote them by $S(r)$.

Lemma 2 ([6]) *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ and $f \not\equiv g$, where $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. Then $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2) = S(r)$ and $\bar{N}(r, a; g | \geq$*

2) = $S(r)$ for $a = 0, 1, \infty$.

Following lemma can be proved in the line of statements (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 2.3 of [7].

Lemma 3 *Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$ and $f \not\equiv g$. If $\alpha = (f - 1)/(g - 1)$ and $h = g/f$ then*

- (i) $\overline{N}(r, 0; \alpha) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f < g) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f > g)$,
- (ii) $\overline{N}(r, \infty; \alpha) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f > g) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f < g)$,
- (iii) $\overline{N}(r, 0; h) = \overline{N}(r, 0; f < g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f > g)$,
- (iv) $\overline{N}(r, \infty; h) = \overline{N}(r, 0; f > g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f < g)$.

Lemma 4 *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ and $f \not\equiv g$, where $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. If α and h are defined as in Lemma 3 then $\overline{N}(r, a; \alpha) = S(r)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; h) = S(r)$ for $a = 0, \infty$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 because $\overline{N}_*(r, a; f, g) \leq \overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2)$ for $a = 0, 1, \infty$. \square

Lemma 5 ([8]) *Let f and g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$. If f is a bilinear transformation of g then f and g satisfy exactly one of the following: (i) $f \equiv g$, (ii) $f + g \equiv 1$, (iii) $(f - 1)(g - 1) \equiv 1$, (iv) $fg \equiv 1$, (v) $f \equiv Ag + 1 - A$, (vi) $f \equiv Ag$, (vii) $f(g + A - 1) \equiv Ag$, where $A (\neq 0, 1)$ is a constant.*

Following lemma is of independent interest.

Lemma 6 *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ and $f \not\equiv g$, where $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. If f is not a bilinear transformation of g then each of the following holds:*

- (i) $T(r, f) + T(r, g)$
 $= N(r, 0; g | \leq 1) + N(r, 1; g | \leq 1) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r)$,
- (ii) $T(r, f) + T(r, g)$
 $= N(r, 0; f | \leq 1) + N(r, 1; f | \leq 1) + N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r)$,
- (iii) $T(r, f) = N(r, 0; g' | \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r)$,
- (iv) $T(r, g) = N(r, 0; f' | \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r)$,
- (v) $N_1(r) = S(r)$,
- (vi) $N_0(r, 0; g' | \geq 2) = S(r)$,
- (vii) $N_0(r, 0; f' | \geq 2) = S(r)$,
- (viii) $\overline{N}(r, 0; g' | \geq 2) = S(r)$,
- (ix) $\overline{N}(r, 0; f' | \geq 2) = S(r)$,

where $N_0(r)(N_1(r))$ denotes the counting function of those simple (multiple) zeros of $f-g$ which are not the zeros of $g(g-1)$, $1/g$ and so are not the zeros of $f(f-1)$, $1/f$, also $N_0(r, 0; g' \geq 2)$ ($N_0(r, 0; f' \geq 2)$) is the counting function of those multiple zeros of $g'(f')$ which are not the zeros of $g(g-1)$ and so not of $f(f-1)$.

Proof. We see that $f = (1-\alpha)/(1-\alpha h)$ and $g = (1-\alpha)h/(1-\alpha h)$, where α and h are defined as in Lemma 3. Since f is not a bilinear transformation of g , α , h and αh are nonconstant. Let $b = \alpha'h/(\alpha h' + \alpha'h)$. Then

$$f - b = \frac{(1-\alpha) - b(1-\alpha h)}{(1-\alpha h)}.$$

Let $F = (f-b)(1-\alpha h) = (1-\alpha) - b(1-\alpha h)$. Also $(f-g)(1-\alpha h) = (1-\alpha)(1-h)$ and $(g-1)(1-\alpha h) = h-1$ so that $f-g = (g-1)(\alpha-1)$. Again

$$\frac{g'}{g} = \frac{h'(1-\alpha h) + (h-1)(\alpha'h + \alpha h')}{h(1-\alpha)(1-\alpha h)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g'(g-f)}{g(g-1)} &= \frac{h'(1-\alpha h) + (h-1)(\alpha'h + \alpha h')}{h(1-\alpha h)} \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)(\alpha h' + \alpha'h) - \alpha'h(1-\alpha h)}{\alpha h(1-\alpha h)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} (f-b)(1-\alpha h) &= (1-\alpha) - b(1-\alpha h) \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)(\alpha h' + \alpha'h) - \alpha'h(1-\alpha h)}{\alpha h' + \alpha'h} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(f-b) \frac{\alpha h' + \alpha'h}{\alpha h} = \frac{(1-\alpha)(\alpha h' + \alpha'h) - \alpha'h(1-\alpha h)}{\alpha h(1-\alpha h)}. \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2) we get

$$\frac{g'(g-f)}{g(g-1)} = (f-b) \left(\frac{h'}{h} + \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \right). \tag{3}$$

Since $F' = -\alpha' - b'(1-\alpha h) + b(\alpha'h + \alpha h') = -\alpha' - b'(1-\alpha h) + \alpha'h$, we get

$$\frac{F'}{F} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} = \frac{-\alpha' - b'(1-\alpha h) + \alpha'h - (\alpha'/\alpha)F}{F}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(1 - \alpha h)(-\alpha' - b'\alpha + b\alpha')}{\alpha(f - b)(1 - \alpha h)} \\ &= \frac{1}{f - b} \left[\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}(b - 1) - b' \right] \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{f - b} = \frac{F'/F - \alpha'/\alpha}{(\alpha'/\alpha)(b - 1) - b'}. \quad (4)$$

Since $T(r, \alpha) \leq T(r, f) + T(r, g) + O(1)$ and $T(r, h) \leq T(r, f) + T(r, g) + O(1)$, in view of Lemmas 1 and 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) &= m\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; \alpha) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; \alpha) + S(r, \alpha) = S(r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(r, \frac{h'}{h}\right) &= m\left(r, \frac{h'}{h}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{h'}{h}\right) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; h) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; h) + S(r, h) = S(r). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/b = 1 + \alpha h'/\alpha' h$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, b) &= T\left(r, \frac{1}{b}\right) + O(1) \leq T\left(r, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}\right) + T\left(r, \frac{h'}{h}\right) + O(1) \\ &= T\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) + S(r) = S(r). \end{aligned}$$

From (4) we now obtain

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) + S(r) = S(r, f) + S(r) = S(r). \quad (5)$$

Since F'/F and α'/α have no multiple pole and $T(r, b') \leq 2T(r, b) + S(r, b)$, it follows from the above and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0; f - b | \geq 2) &\leq 2N\left(r, 0; \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}(b - 1) - b'\right) + S(r) \\ &\leq 2T\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}(b - 1) - b'\right) + S(r) \\ &\leq 2T\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) + 2T(r, b - 1) + 2T(r, b') + S(r) \\ &\leq 2T\left(r, \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) + 6T(r, b) + S(r) = S(r). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Since f, g share $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ and $b = f - (f - b)$, we see that if z_0 is a zero, pole or 1-point of g which is also a simple zero of $f - b$, then z_0 is a zero, pole or 1-point of b and so the counting function of such simple zeros of $f - b$ is $S(r)$. So we get from (3) and (6)

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0; f - b) &= N(r, 0; f - b \mid \leq 1) + N(r, 0; f - b \mid \geq 2) \\ &= N(r, 0; f - b \mid \leq 1) + S(r) \\ &= N(r, 0; g' \mid \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (5) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= T(r, f - b) + S(r) \\ &= m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b}\right) + S(r) \\ &= N(r, 0; g' \mid \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which is (iii).

Similarly we get

$$T(r, g) = N(r, 0; f' \mid \leq 1) + N_0(r) + S(r), \tag{9}$$

which is (iv).

Again from (3) and (6) we obtain $N_1(r) \leq N(r, 0; f - b \mid \geq 2) + S(r) = S(r)$ and $N_0(r, 0; g' \mid \geq 2) \leq N(r, 0; f - b \mid \geq 2) + S(r) = S(r)$, which are respectively (v) and (vi). Similarly we can prove (vii).

Since $\overline{N}(r, 0; g' \mid \geq 2) \leq N_0(r, 0; g' \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 2)$ and $\overline{N}(r, 0; f' \mid \geq 2) \leq N_0(r, 0; f' \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 2)$, (viii) and (ix) follow from (vi), (vii) and Lemma 2.

By the second fundamental theorem, Lemma 2 and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq T(r, f) + N(r, 0; g \mid \leq 1) + N(r, 1; g \mid \leq 1) \\ &\quad + N(r, \infty; g \mid \leq 1) - \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r) \\ &= N(r, 0; g \mid \leq 1) + N(r, 1; g \mid \leq 1) + N(r, \infty; g \mid \leq 1) \\ &\quad + N(r, 0; g' \mid \leq 1) + N_0(r) - \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; g')$ denotes the reduced counting function of those zeros of g' which are not the zeros of $g(g - 1)$.

By Lemma 2 we see that

$$N(r, 0; g' \mid \leq 1) = N_0(r, 0; g' \mid \leq 1) + S(r), \tag{11}$$

where $N_0(r, 0; g' | \leq 1)$ is the counting function of those simple zeros of g' which are not the zeros of $g(g-1)$.

Similarly

$$N(r, 0; f' | \leq 1) = N_0(r, 0; f' | \leq 1) + S(r). \quad (12)$$

From (10) and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq N(r, 0; g | \leq 1) + N(r, 1; g | \leq 1) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) \\ &\quad + N_0(r, 0; g' | \leq 1) + N_0(r) - \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r) \\ &\leq N(r, 0; g | \leq 1) + N(r, 1; g | \leq 1) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) \\ &\quad + N_0(r) + S(r) \\ &\leq N(r, 0; f - g) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) + S(r) \\ &\leq T(r, f - g) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) + S(r) \\ &\leq m(r, f) + m(r, g) \\ &\quad + N(r, f - g) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) + S(r) \\ &\leq m(r, f) + m(f, g) + N(r, f) \\ &\quad + N(r, \infty; g > f) + N(r, \infty; g | \leq 1) + S(r) \\ &\leq m(r, f) + N(r, f) + m(r, g) + N(r, g) + S(r) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + T(r, g) + S(r), \end{aligned}$$

from which (i) follows.

Now (ii) follows from (i) because $N(r, a; f | \leq 1) = N(r, a; g | \leq 1)$ for $a = 0, 1, \infty$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 7 ([6]) *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ and $f \not\equiv g$, where $(m-1)(mk-1) > (1+m)^2$. Then for any complex number $a (\neq 0, 1, \infty)$, $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq 3) = S(r)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; g | \geq 3) = S(r)$.*

3. Proof of the main result

Proof of Theorem 1. If possible, let f be not a bilinear transformation of g . Then by Lemma 6(vii), Lemma 2, Lemma 7 and the second fundamental theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2T(r, f) &\leq N(r, 0; f | \leq 1) + N(r, 1; f | \leq 1) + N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq 2) - N_1(r, 0; f' | \leq 1) + S(r), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $N_1(r, 0; f' | \leq 1)$ is the counting function of those simple zeros of f' which are not the zeros of $f(f-1)(f-a)$.

Since a double a -point of f is a simple zero of f' , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq 2) - N_1(r, 0; f' | \leq 1) \\ = N(r, a; f | \leq 2) - N_0(r, 0; f' | \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

So from (13) we get by (12) and Lemma 6 (ii) and (iv)

$$\begin{aligned} 2T(r, f) &\leq T(r, f) + T(r, g) - N_0(r) \\ &\quad + N(r, a; f | \leq 2) - N_0(r, 0; f' | \leq 1) + S(r) \\ &= T(r, f) + N(r, a; f | \leq 2) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence f is a bilinear transformation of g . So any one of the possibilities of (ii)-(vii) of Lemma 5 will occur. We now examine each of these possibilities one by one.

Let $f + g \equiv 1$ Since f, g share $(0, 1), (1, m)$, it follows that 0 and 1 are Picard exceptional values (evP) of f and so by the second fundamental theorem and Lemma 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq 2) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N(r, a; f | \leq 2) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Let $(f-1)(g-1) \equiv 1$. Since f, g share $(1, m), (\infty, k)$, it follows that 1 and ∞ are evP of f and so as above we get $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, a contradiction.

If $fg \equiv 1$. Since f, g share $(0, 1), (\infty, k)$, it follows that 0 and ∞ are evP of f and so $N(r, a; f | \leq 2) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, a contradiction.

Let $f \equiv Ag + 1 - A$, where $A (\neq 0, 1)$ is a constant. Since f, g share $(0, 1)$, it follows that 0, $1 - A$ are evP of f and so by the second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2 we get $T(r, f) \leq N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, a contradiction.

Let $f \equiv Ag$, where $A (\neq 0, 1)$ is a constant. Since f, g share $(1, m)$, it follows that 1, A are evP of f and so $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) = T(r, f) + S(r, f)$,

a contradiction.

Let $f(g + A - 1) \equiv Ag$, where $A (\neq 0, 1)$ is a constant. Since f, g share (∞, k) , it follows that ∞ is an evP of f and so of g .

If $A \neq a$, by the second fundamental theorem and Lemma 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq 2) + \overline{N}(r, a; f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N(r, a; f | \leq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) \\ &= N(r, a; f | \leq 2) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Therefore $A = a$ and so $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 1 If in Theorem 1 we remove the condition $N(r, \infty; f | \leq 1) \neq T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, in a like manner we can prove that one of the following possibilities occurs, which improves Theorem 4 [12]:

- (i) $(f - a)(g + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$. This occurs only when ∞ is an evP of f . In this case $a, 1 - a$ are evP of f and g respectively and ∞ is an evP of g .
- (ii) $f + (a - 1)g \equiv a$. This occurs only when 0 is an evP of f . In this case a is an evP of f and $0, a/(a - 1)$ are evP of g .
- (iii) $f \equiv ag$. This occurs only when 1 is an evP of f . In this case a is an evP of f and $1, 1/a$ are evP of g .

4. Applications

In this section we discuss two applications of Theorem 1.

Definition 5 ([3]) For $S \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we define $E_f(S, k)$ as $E_f(S, k) = \cup_{a \in S} E_k(a; f)$, where k is a nonnegative integer or infinity.

H.X. Yi [12] proved the following result.

Theorem H ([12]) Let $S_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be two pairs of distinct elements with $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$ but $a_1 a_2 \neq b_1 b_2$ and let $S_3 = \{\infty\}$. If $E_f(S_i, \infty) = E_g(S_i, \infty)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\delta(c/2; f) > 0$ for $c = a_1 + a_2$ then one of the following holds: (i) $f \equiv g$, (ii) $f + g \equiv a_1 + a_2$, (iii) $(f - c/2)(g - c/2) \equiv \pm(a_1 - a_2)^2/4$, which occurs only for $(a_1 - a_2)^2 + (b_1 - b_2)^2 = 0$.

H.X. Yi [12] considered the following example to establish the necessity of the condition $\delta(c/2; f) > 0$ for *Theorem H*.

Example 6 ([12]) Let $f = 1 - 4e^z$, $g = 1 - e^{-z}$, $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = 1$, $b_1 = -i\sqrt{3}$, $b_2 = i\sqrt{3}$, $S_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$, $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$ and $S_3 = \{\infty\}$. Then clearly $(f - a_1)(f - a_2) = -8e^{2z}(g - a_1)(g - a_2)$ and $(f - b_1)(f - b_2) = 4e^z(g - b_1)(g - b_2)$ so that $E_f(S_i, \infty) = E_g(S_i, \infty)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Also we see that $c = a_1 + a_2 = 0$, $\delta(c/2; f) = 0$ and $f \neq g$, $f + g \neq a_1 + a_2$, $(f - c/2)(g - c/2) \neq \pm(a_1 - a_2)^2/4$.

In the following theorem we improve *Theorem H* and show that the condition $\delta(c/2; f) > 0$ can further be relaxed.

Theorem 2 Let $S_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be two pairs of distinct elements with $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$ but $a_1a_2 \neq b_1b_2$ and let $S_3 = \{\infty\}$. Suppose that $E_f(S_1, 1) = E_g(S_1, 1)$, $E_f(S_2, m) = E_g(S_2, m)$, $E_f(S_3, k) = E_g(S_3, k)$ and $\delta_1(c/2; f) > 0$, where $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$ and $c = a_1 + a_2$. Then the conclusion of *Theorem H* holds.

Proof. Let $A = (b_1 - b_2)^2/4 - (a_1 - a_2)^2/4$ and

$$F = \frac{1}{A} \left[\left(f - \frac{c}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{(a_1 - a_2)^2}{4} \right], \quad G = \frac{1}{A} \left[\left(g - \frac{c}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{(a_1 - a_2)^2}{4} \right].$$

If $F \equiv G$ then clearly either $f \equiv g$ or $f + g \equiv a_1 + a_2$. So we suppose that $F \not\equiv G$. Also let $(a_1 - a_2)^2 + (b_1 - b_2)^2 = 0$ and $a = 1/2$. Then we see that $A(F - a) = (f - c/2)^2$ and so $N(r, \infty; F | \leq 1) \equiv 0$ and $N(r, a; F | \leq 2) = 2N(r, c/2; f | \leq 1) \neq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f) = T(r, F) + S(r, F)$. Since F, G share $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$, by *Theorem 1* we get $(F - a)(G + a - 1) \equiv a(1 - a)$ and so $(f - c/2)(g - c/2) \equiv \pm(a_1 - a_2)^2/4$. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 2 Example 6 shows that the condition $\delta_1(c/2; f) > 0$ is essential.

In [5] following result is proved.

Theorem I ([5]) Let a and b ($\neq 0, 1$) be two finite complex numbers and $S_1 = \{a + \alpha: \alpha^n + b = 0\}$, $S_2 = \{a + \beta: \beta^n + b = 1\}$, $S_3 = \{\infty\}$ where n (≥ 3) be a positive integer. If $E_f(S_1, 1) = E_g(S_1, 1)$, $E_f(S_2, \infty) = E_g(S_2, \infty)$, $E_f(S_3, \infty) = E_g(S_3, \infty)$ then one of the following holds: (i) $f - a \equiv t(g - a)$, where $t^n = 1$ and (ii) $(f - a)(g - a) \equiv s$, where $4s^n = 1$.

In the next theorem we improve *Theorem I*.

Theorem 3 *Theorem I holds if $E_f(S_1, 1) = E_g(S_1, 1)$, $E_f(S_2, m) = E_g(S_2, m)$ and $E_f(S_3, k) = E_g(S_3, k)$, where $(m - 1)(mk - 1) > (1 + m)^2$.*

We omit the proof as it can be done in the line of Theorem I using Theorem 1.

References

- [1] Hayman W.K., *Meromorphic Functions*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [2] Hua X. and Fang M., *Meromorphic functions sharing four small functions*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. vol. 28, 1997, pp. 797–811.
- [3] Lahiri I., *Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*. Nagoya Math. J. **161** (2001), pp. 193–206.
- [4] Lahiri I., *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*. Complex Variables Theory Appl. (3) **46** (2001), 241–253.
- [5] Lahiri I., *Weighted sharing of three values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*. Kodai Math. J. **24** (2001), 421–435.
- [6] Lahiri I., *On a result of Ozawa concerning uniqueness of meromorphic functions II*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (1) **283** (2003), 66–76.
- [7] Lahiri I., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and sharing of three values with some weight*. New Zealand J. Math. (2) **32** (2003), 161–171.
- [8] Lahiri I. and Sarkar A., *On a uniqueness theorem of Tohge*. Arch. Math. (Basel), (5) **84** (2005), 461–469.
- [9] Ueda H., *Unicity theorems for meromorphic or entire functions*. Kodai Math. J. **3** (1980), 457–471.
- [10] Ye S.Z., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share three values*. Kodai Math. J. **15** (1992), 236–243.
- [11] Yi H.X., *Meromorphic functions that share three values*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A **9** (1988), 434–439.
- [12] Yi H.X., *Unicity theorems for meromorphic functions that share three values*. Kodai Math. J. **18** (1995), 300–314.

Department of Mathematics
University of Kalyani
West Bengal 741235, India
E-mail: indr9431@dataone.in