

## Trace formulae of $p$ -hyponormal operators II

(Dedicated to Professors Atsushi Inoue and Takahiko Nakazi on their sixtieth birthdays)

Muneo CHŌ and Tadasi HURUYA

(Received July 20, 2004)

**Abstract.** Let  $T$  be a semi-hyponormal operator on a complex separable Hilbert space. In this paper, we give Helton-Howe type trace formulae of smooth functions associated with the polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$  and improve Theorem 10 of [5] by this result.

*Key words:* Hilbert space, operator, trace, principal function.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex separable Hilbert space and  $\{e_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For a bounded positive semi-definite operator  $A$ ,  $\text{Tr}(A)$  denotes its trace, that is,  $\text{Tr}(A) = \sum_j (Ae_j, e_j)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denote the trace class. For operators  $A, B$ , their commutator is denoted by  $[A, B]$  ( $= AB - BA$ ). Let  $T = X + iY$  be the Cartesian decomposition of a hyponormal operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with trace class self-commutator  $[T^*, T]$  ( $= 2i[X, Y]$ ). For a polynomial  $p(x, y) = \sum a_{ij}x^i y^j$  of two variables, an operator  $p(X, Y)$  is defined by  $p(X, Y) = \sum a_{ij}X^i Y^j$ . For polynomials  $p(x, y), q(x, y)$ , it is well-known that Helton-Howe type trace formula holds: There exists a summable function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  such that, for polynomials  $p(x, y), q(x, y)$ ,

$$\text{Tr}([p(X, Y), q(X, Y)]) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint J(p, q)(x, y)g(x, y) dx dy,$$

where  $J(p, q)(x, y)$  be the Jacobian of  $p, q$ . The function  $g$  is called the principal function of  $T$ . This function  $g$  gives much information about the structure of  $T$  (see, for example, [2], [9], [10], [11], [12]). In particular,  $g$  satisfies that  $g(x, y) = 0$  for  $x + iy \notin \sigma(T)$ . Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is called  $p$ -hyponormal if  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$  ([1], [3]). If  $p = 1$  and  $1/2$ , then  $T$  is called hyponormal and semi-hyponormal, respec-

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 47B20, Secondary 47A10.

This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research No.17540176.

tively. By Löwner-Heinz inequality, if  $0 < q \leq p \leq 1$  and  $T$  is  $p$ -hyponormal, then  $T$  is  $q$ -hyponormal. Under the assumption  $|T| - U|T|U^* \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , in [2], [5] and [12] Helton-Howe type trace formulae associated with the polar decomposition were studied for operators  $\phi(|T|, U)$  and  $\psi(|T|, U)$ . In [5], for polynomials  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $q(\cdot, \cdot)$  we gave Helton-Howe type trace formula of  $p(|T|, U)$  and  $q(|T|, U)$  under the assumption that  $U$  is unitary. In this paper, using elementary polynomial approximation, we extend this result to Helton-Howe type trace formulae for smooth functions and generalize Theorem 10 of [5] by it.

For  $T \in \mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $\|T\|_1$  denotes the trace norm of  $T$ . Throughout this paper let  $T = U|T| \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . Hence it satisfies  $\ker(U) = \ker(T)$ .

## 2. Trace formula for smooth functions

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the linear space of all Laurent polynomials  $\mathcal{P}(r, z)$  with polynomial coefficients such that  $\mathcal{P}(r, z) = \sum_{k=-N}^N p_k(r)z^k$ , where  $N$  is a non-negative integer and every  $p_k(r)$  is a polynomial of one variable. For  $T = U|T|$  with unitary  $U$ , put  $\mathcal{P}(|T|, U) = \sum_{k=-N}^N p_k(|T|)U^k$ .

We denote by  $J(\phi, \psi)$  the Jacobian of functions  $\phi(r, z)$  and  $\psi(r, z)$  defined on  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}$ , that is,

$$J(\phi, \psi)(r, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}(r, e^{i\theta}) \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}(r, e^{i\theta}) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}(r, e^{i\theta}) \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}(r, e^{i\theta}).$$

Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{e^{i\theta} | 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ ,  $\Sigma$  be the set of all Borel sets in  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $m$  be a measure on the measure space  $(\mathbf{T}, \Sigma)$  such that  $dm(\theta) = (1/2\pi)d\theta$ . Then we have

**Theorem A** ([12, Chapter 7, Theorem 3.3],[5, Theorem 9]) *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be semi-hyponormal and  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . Assume that  $U$  is unitary and  $[U, |T|] \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then there exists a summable function  $g_T$  such that, for  $\mathcal{P}(r, z), \mathcal{Q}(r, z) \in \mathcal{A}$ , it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([\mathcal{P}(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}(|T|, U)]) \\ = \iint J(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(r, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_T(e^{i\theta}, r) dr dm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

The function  $g_T$  in Theorem A is called the principal function associated with the polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$ .

We denote by  $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  the set of all smooth functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  and by  $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  the set of all functions in  $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  with compact support. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the linear space of all Laurent polynomials  $\phi(r, z)$  such that  $\phi(r, z) = \sum_{k=-N}^N f_k(r)z^k$ , where every  $f_k \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . For  $T = U|T|$  with unitary  $U$ , put  $\phi(|T|, U) = \sum_{k=-N}^N f_k(|T|)U^k$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ .

In [2], Carey and Pincus proved a more general version of Theorem A. It requires complicate calculations. Using polynomial approximation, we improve Theorem A in the following form.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be semi-hyponormal and  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . Assume that  $U$  is unitary and  $[U, |T|] \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then, for  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}$ , it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}([\phi(|T|, U), \psi(|T|, U)]) \\ = \iint J(\phi, \psi)(r, e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}g_T(e^{i\theta}, r)drdm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need some results. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the Schwarz space of rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  at infinity. For  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , put

$$\hat{f}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ist} f(s) ds.$$

The function  $\hat{f}$  is called the Fourier transform of  $f$ . It is known that  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f(x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int e^{ixt} \hat{f}(t) dt$ . Let  $H$  be a self-adjoint operator. Let  $\{E_x\}$  be the spectral resolution of  $H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(H) &= \int f(x) dE_x = \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{ixt} \hat{f}(t) dt \right) dE_x \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \left( \int e^{ixt} dE_x \right) \hat{f}(t) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{itH} \hat{f}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

First, we prepare the following proposition (see [9, p. 158 (3.3)]).

**Proposition 2** *Let  $A, \{B_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$  be operators such that  $[A, B_j] \in \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\|B_j\| \leq r$  for all  $j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then*

$$\|[A, B_1 B_2 \cdots B_n]\|_1 \leq nr^{n-1} \max_j \|[A, B_j]\|_1.$$

Let  $T = U|T| \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . Assume that

$U$  is unitary and  $[U, |T|] \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then we have

$$[U, e^{it|T|}] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} [U, |T|^n].$$

By Proposition 2,

$$\|[U, e^{it|T|}]\|_1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^n n \|T\|^{n-1}}{n!} \|[U, |T|]\|_1 \leq |t| \cdot \|[U, |T|]\|_1 e^{|t| \|T\|}.$$

**Definition 1** Under the assumption above, we define a constant  $c_T$  of an operator  $T = U|T|$  satisfying  $[U, |T|] \in \mathcal{C}_1$  by

$$c_T = \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|[U, e^{it|T|}]\|_1.$$

Proof of the next proposition is based on an idea of the proof of [9, Lemma 3.2].

**Proposition 3** Let  $T = U|T| \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . Assume that  $U$  is unitary and  $[U, |T|] \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and an integer  $n$ , it holds

$$\|[U^n, f(|T|)]\|_1 \leq |n| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T (|t| + 1) |\hat{f}(t)| dt,$$

where  $c_T$  is the constant of Definition 1.

*Proof.* The proposition is clear for  $n = 0$ . Let  $n > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then by Proposition 2 we have

$$\|[U^n, e^{it|T|}]\|_1 \leq n \cdot \|[U, e^{it|T|}]\|_1.$$

Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $m-1 < |t| \leq m$  and put  $V = e^{i(t/m)|T|}$ . Then we have

$$\|[U, e^{it|T|}]\|_1 = \|[U, V^m]\|_1 \leq m \cdot \|[U, V]\|_1 \leq m \cdot c_T \leq c_T (|t| + 1).$$

Let  $n < 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|[U^n, e^{it|T|}]\|_1 &= \|[e^{-it|T|}, U^{*n}]\|_1 \\ &= \|[U^{-n}, e^{i(-t)|T|}]\|_1 \leq (-n) \cdot c_T (|t| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|[U^n, e^{it|T|}]\|_1 \leq |n| c_T (|t| + 1).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\| [U^n, f(|T|)] \|_1 &= \left\| [U^n, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it|T|} \hat{f}(t) dt] \right\|_1 \\
&= \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [U^n, e^{it|T|}] \hat{f}(t) dt \right\|_1 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| [U^n, e^{it|T|}] \|_1 |\hat{f}(t)| dt \\
&\leq |n| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T (|t| + 1) |\hat{f}(t)| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 4** *Let  $F$  be a compact set of  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . Then there exist a function  $f_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ , a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials and a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= f_1(x), \quad p_n(x) = \gamma_n(x) \quad \text{for } x \in F, \\
\sup_{y \in F} |f_1(y) - \gamma_n(y)| &\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \\
\sup_{y \in F} |f_1^{(1)}(y) - \gamma_n^{(1)}(y)| &\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \\
\sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| &\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |t|^3 \cdot |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

*Proof.* Let  $F \subset [a, b]$  and we choose  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in F$  and real numbers  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  such that  $[a_1, b_1]$  contains the support of  $\phi$ . Put  $c = a_1 - 1$ ,  $d = b_1 + 1$ ,  $f_0 = f\phi$  and  $f_1 = f_0\phi$ . Then we have  $f_0(c) = f_0^{(1)}(c) = f_0^{(2)}(c) = f_0^{(3)}(c) = 0$ . For a continuous function  $h$  on  $[c, d]$ , we define  $|||h|||$  by

$$|||h||| = \sup_{c \leq x \leq d} |h(x)|.$$

There exists a sequence  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of polynomials such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |||p_n - f_0^{(3)}||| = 0.$$

We define polynomials  $p_{n2}$ ,  $p_{n1}$  and  $p_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) as follows:

$$p_{n2}(x) = \int_c^x p_{n3}(t)dt, \quad p_{n1}(x) = \int_c^x p_{n2}(t)dt$$

$$\text{and } p_n(x) = \int_c^x p_{n1}(t)dt.$$

Then it is easy to check

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_n - f_0\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_{nj} - f_0^{(j)}\| = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_n^{(j)} - f_0^{(j)}\| = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, 3).$$

Put  $\gamma_n = p_n \phi$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and it is easy to see that

$$f(x) = f_0(x) = f_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad p_n(x) = \gamma_n(x) \quad (x \in F).$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove two equalities for Fourier transforms. For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} (f_0(x) - p_n(x)) \phi(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_c^d e^{-itx} (f_0(x) - p_n(x)) \phi(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|f_0 - p_n\| \cdot \|\phi\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(it)^3 (\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} ((f_0(x) - p_n(x)) \phi(x))^{(3)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \left( (f_0(x) - p_n(x)) \phi^{(3)}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3(f_0^{(1)}(x) - p_{n1}(x)) \phi^{(2)}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3(f_0^{(2)}(x) - p_{n2}(x)) \phi^{(1)}(x) + (f_0^{(3)}(x) - p_{n3}(x)) \phi(x) \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_c^d e^{-itx} \left( (f_0(x) - p_n(x)) \phi^{(3)}(x) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 3(f_0^{(1)}(x) - p_n^{(1)}(x))\phi^{(2)}(x) \\
& + 3(f_0^{(2)}(x) - p_n^{(2)}(x))\phi^{(1)}(x) + (f_0^{(3)}(x) - p_n^{(3)}(x))\phi(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |t^3(\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t))| \\
& \leq \frac{d-c}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\|f_0 - p_n\| \cdot \|\phi^{(3)}\| + 3\|f_0^{(1)} - p_{n1}\| \cdot \|\phi^{(2)}\| \\
& \quad + 3\|f_0^{(2)} - p_{n2}\| \cdot \|\phi^{(1)}\| + \|f_0^{(3)} - p_{n3}\| \cdot \|\phi\|).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5** *Let  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition. Assume that  $U$  is unitary and  $[U, |T|] \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then, for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$  and integers  $m, n$ , it holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|[f(|T|)U^n, g(|T|)U^m]\|_1 \\
& \leq |n| \cdot \|f\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1)|\hat{g}(t)|dt \\
& \quad + |m| \cdot \|g\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1)|\hat{f}(t)|dt
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_T$  is the constant of Definition 1 and  $\|h\| = \sup_{x \in \sigma(|T|)} |h(x)|$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(|T|)U^n g(|T|)U^m - f(|T|)g(|T|)U^{m+n}\|_1 \\
& = \|f(|T|)[U^n, g(|T|)]U^m\|_1 \\
& \leq \|f(|T|)\| \cdot \|[U^n, g(|T|)]\|_1 \|U^m\| \\
& \leq |n| \cdot \|f\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1)|\hat{g}(t)|dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|g(|T|)U^m f(|T|)U^n - g(|T|)f(|T|)U^{m+n}\|_1 \\
& = \|g(|T|)[U^m, f(|T|)]U^n\|_1 \\
& \leq \|g(|T|)\| \cdot \|[U^m, f(|T|)]\|_1 \|U^n\| \\
& \leq |m| \cdot \|g\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1)|\hat{f}(t)|dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [U^n f(|T|), U^m g(|T|)] \|_1 \\ & \leq |n| \cdot \|f\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{g}(t)| dt \right\| \\ & \quad + |m| \cdot \|g\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{f}(t)| dt \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It is sufficient to prove the theorem for functions  $\phi(r, z) = f(r)z^k$  and  $\psi(r, z) = g(r)z^m$  of  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  and integers  $k, m$ . Let a compact set  $F$  of Proposition 4 be  $\sigma(|T|)$ . For  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) and  $\sigma(|T|)$ , we choose  $f_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  (resp.  $g_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ ), a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  (resp.  $\{q_n\}$ ) of polynomials and a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  (resp.  $\{\eta_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ ) just as Proposition 4. We denote  $\sup_{x \in \sigma(|T|)} |h(x)|$  by  $\|h\|$ . Let  $c_T$  be the constant of Definition 1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [f_1(|T|)U^k, g_1(|T|)U^m] - [\gamma_n(|T|)U^k, \eta_n(|T|)U^m] \|_1 \\ & = \| [(f_1(|T|) - \gamma_n(|T|))U^k, g_1(|T|)U^m] \\ & \quad + [\gamma_n(|T|)U^k, (g_1(|T|) - \eta_n(|T|))U^m] \|_1 \\ & \leq |k| \cdot \|f_1 - \gamma_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{g}_1(t)| dt \right\| \\ & \quad + |m| \cdot \|g_1\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| dt \right\| \\ & \quad + |k| \cdot \|\gamma_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{g}_1(t) - \hat{\eta}_n(t)| dt \right\| \\ & \quad + |m| \cdot \|g_1 - \eta_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{\gamma}_n(t)| dt \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \|f_1 - \gamma_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{g}_1(t)| dt \right\|, \\ B_n &= \|g_1\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| dt \right\|, \\ C_n &= \|\gamma_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t|+1) |\hat{g}_1(t) - \hat{\eta}_n(t)| dt \right\| \end{aligned}$$



and

$$D_n = \|g_1 - \eta_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t| + 1) |\hat{\gamma}_n(t)| dt \right\|.$$

By Proposition 4, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = 0$ . In fact, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0.$$

For any  $R > 0$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} B_n \leq \|g_1\| \left\| \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| \leq R} c_T(|t| + 1) |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| dt \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| > R} c_T \frac{|t| + 1}{|t|^3} \cdot |t|^3 |\hat{f}_1(t) - \hat{\gamma}_n(t)| dt \right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 0.$$

Finally, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} D_n \leq \|g_1 - \eta_n\| \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t| + 1) (|\hat{\gamma}_n(t) - f_1(t)| + |f_1(t)|) dt \right\| \\ \leq \|g_1 - \eta_n\| \left\| \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| \leq R} c_T(|t| + 1) |\hat{\gamma}_n(t) - f_1(t)| dt \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|t| > R} c_T \frac{|t| + 1}{|t|^3} \cdot |t|^3 |\hat{\gamma}_n(t) - f_1(t)| dt \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_T(|t| + 1) |f_1(t)| dt \right) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = 0.$$

Therefore, it holds

$$\|[f_1(|T|)U^k, g_1(|T|)U^m] - [\hat{\gamma}_n(|T|)U^k, \eta_n(|T|)U^m]\|_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Since  $|\operatorname{Tr}(A)| \leq \|A\|_1$  for  $A \in \mathcal{C}_1$  ([8, Theorem III.8.5]) and  $[f_1(|T|)U^k, g_1(|T|)U^m] \in \mathcal{C}_1$  by Proposition 5, we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}([f_1(|T|)U^k, g_1(|T|)U^m]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}([\gamma_n(|T|)U^k, \eta_n(|T|)U^m]).$$

Then for every  $n$ , it holds  $p_n(x) = \gamma_n(x)$  and  $q_n(x) = \eta_n(x)$  for  $x \in \sigma(|T|)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n(x, y) = p_n(x)y^k$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_n(x, y) = q_n(x)y^m$ . Then it holds

$$\operatorname{Tr}([\gamma_n(|T|)U^k, \eta_n(|T|)U^m]) = \operatorname{Tr}([\mathcal{P}_n(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}_n(|T|, U)]).$$

Since  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are polynomials, by Theorem A we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}([\mathcal{P}_n(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}_n(|T|, U)]) \\ = \iint J(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{Q}_n)(r, e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}g_T(e^{i\theta}, r)drdm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(x) = f_1(x)$  and  $g(x) = g_1(x)$  for  $x \in \sigma(|T|)$ ,  $f(|T|) = f_1(|T|)$  and  $g(|T|) = g_1(|T|)$ . By Proposition 4, we have uniformly  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x) = f(x)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(x) = g(x)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p'_n(x) = f'(x)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q'_n(x) = g'(x)$  for  $x \in \sigma(|T|)$ . Then we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_n(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x, y) = \psi(x, y)$  for  $x \in \sigma(|T|)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr}([f(|T|)U^k, g(|T|)U^m]) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}([f_1(|T|)U^k, g_1(|T|)U^m]) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}([\mathcal{P}_n(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}_n(|T|, U)]) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint J(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{Q}_n)(r, e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}g_T(e^{i\theta}, r)drdm(\theta) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left( \int_{\sigma(|T|)} J(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{Q}_n)(r, e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}g_T(e^{i\theta}, r)dr \right) dm(\theta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left( \int_{\sigma(|T|)} J(\phi, \psi)(r, e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}g_T(e^{i\theta}, r)dr \right) dm(\theta) \\ &= \iint J(\phi, \psi)(r, e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}g_T(e^{i\theta}, r)drdm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we apply this result to  $p$ -hyponormal operators ( $0 < p < 1/2$ ).

**Definition 2** Let  $T = U|T|$  be  $p$ -hyponormal with unitary  $U$ . Put  $S = U|T|^{2p}$ . Then  $S$  is semi-hyponormal with unitary  $U$ . Hence there exists the Pincus principal function  $g_S$  of  $S$  and we define the principal function  $g_T$  of  $T$  by

$$g_T(e^{i\theta}, r) = g_S(e^{i\theta}, r^{1/(2p)})$$

(see [5, Definition 3]).

We finally give a generalization of Theorem 10 of [5].

**Theorem 6** Let  $T = U|T|$  be an invertible  $p$ -hyponormal operator. If  $|T|^{2p} - U|T|^{2p}U^* \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , then for  $\mathcal{P}(r, z), \mathcal{Q}(r, z) \in \mathcal{A}$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}([\mathcal{P}(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}(|T|, U)]) \\ &= \iint J(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(r, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_T(e^{i\theta}, r) dr dm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is invertible,  $U$  is unitary. Define  $S = U|T|^{2p}$ . Then  $S$  is semi-hyponormal with unitary  $U$  and it holds  $\sigma(S) = \{r^{2p}e^{i\theta} : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T)\}$  ([6, Theorem 3]). Since  $0 \notin \sigma(|T|)$ , we have  $0 \notin \sigma(|S|)$  and hence  $f(r) = r^{1/(2p)}$  is smooth on  $\sigma(|S|)$ . Then we choose a function  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\eta(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in \sigma(|S|)$ . Put  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(r, z) = \mathcal{P}(\eta(r), z)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(r, z) = \mathcal{Q}(\eta(r), z)$ . Hence we have  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \in \mathcal{B}$ . By Theorem 1, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}([\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(|S|, U), \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(|S|, U)]) \\ &= \iint J(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})(s, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_S(e^{i\theta}, s) ds dm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g_S(e^{i\theta}, s) = 0$  for  $se^{i\theta} \notin \sigma(S)$  (see, for example, [5, Theorem 1, Definition 3]),

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint J(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})(s, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_S(e^{i\theta}, s) ds dm(\theta) \\ &= \iint_{\sigma(S)} J(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})(s, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_S(e^{i\theta}, s) ds dm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|S| = |T|^{2p}$ , we have

$$\text{Tr}([\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(|S|, U), \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(|S|, U)]) = \text{Tr}([\mathcal{P}(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}(|T|, U)]).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}([\mathcal{P}(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}(|T|, U)]) \\ &= \iint_{\sigma(S)} J(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})(s, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_S(e^{i\theta}, s) ds dm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

For  $se^{i\theta} \in \sigma(S)$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} J(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})(s, e^{i\theta}) &= J(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(s^{1/(2p)}, e^{i\theta}) \frac{1}{2p} s^{1/(2p)-1} \quad \text{and} \\ g_T(e^{i\theta}, r) &= g_S(e^{i\theta}, r^{1/(2p)}). \end{aligned}$$

From the translation  $s = r^{2p}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}([\mathcal{P}(|T|, U), \mathcal{Q}(|T|, U)]) \\ &= \iint_{\sigma(S)} J(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})(s, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_S(e^{i\theta}, s) ds dm(\theta) \\ &= \iint_{\sigma(S)} J(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(s^{1/(2p)}, e^{i\theta}) \frac{1}{2p} s^{1/(2p)-1} e^{i\theta} g_T(e^{i\theta}, s^{1/(2p)}) ds dm(\theta) \\ &= \iint_{\sigma(T)} J(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(r, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_T(e^{i\theta}, r) dr dm(\theta) \\ &= \iint J(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(r, e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} g_T(e^{i\theta}, r) dr dm(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [1] Aluthge A., *On  $p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < 1$* . Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [2] Carey R.W. and Pincus J.D., *Mosaics, principal functions, and mean motion in von-Neumann algebras*. Acta Math. **138** (1977), 153–218.
- [3] Chō M. and Huruya T.,  *$p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < 1/2$* . Comment. Mathematicae **33** (1993), 23–29.
- [4] Chō M. and Huruya T., *Mosaic and trace formulae of log-hyponormal operators*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **55** (2003), 255–268.
- [5] Chō M. and Huruya T., *Trace formulae of  $p$ -hyponormal operators*. Studia Math. **161** (2004), 1–18.
- [6] Chō M. and Itoh M., *Putnam's inequality for  $p$ -hyponormal operators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 2435–2440.
- [7] Clancey K.F., *Seminormal operators*. Springer Verlag Lecture Notes No. 742, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1979.

- [ 8 ] Gorberg I.C. and Krein M.G., *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*. Translation Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence., R.I., 1969.
- [ 9 ] Helton J.W. and Howe R., *Integral operators, commutator traces, index and homology*. Proceedings of a conference on operator theory, Springer Verlag Lecture Notes No. 345, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [10] Martin M. and Putinar M., *Lectures on hyponormal operators*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1989.
- [11] Pincus J.D. and Xia D., *Mosaic and principal function of hyponormal and semi-hyponormal operators*. Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **4** (1981), 134–150.
- [12] Xia D., *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.

M. Chō  
Department of Mathematics  
Kanagawa University  
Yokohama 221-8686, Japan  
E-mail: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

T. Huruya  
Faculty of Education and Human Sciences  
Niigata University  
Niigata 950-2181, Japan  
E-mail: huruya@ed.niigata-u.ac.jp