

Belyi’s Theorem for Complete Intersections of General Type

A. JAVANPEYKAR

ABSTRACT. We give a Belyi-type characterization of smooth complete intersections of general type over \mathbb{C} that can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Our proof uses the higher-dimensional analogue of the Shafarevich boundedness conjecture for families of canonically polarized varieties, finiteness results for maps to varieties of general type, and rigidity theorems for Lefschetz pencils of complete intersections.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove a Belyi-type characterization of smooth complete intersections of general type over \mathbb{C} that can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. In other words, we generalize Belyi’s theorem for curves to certain higher-dimensional varieties. To motivate this, let us briefly discuss applications of Belyi’s theorem for curves.

Belyi’s theorem for curves was famously used by Grothendieck to show that the action of the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} on the set of Galois dessins is faithful [35, Thm. 4.7.7]. This result started a flurry of activity on dessins d’enfants [32]. Subsequently, the action of the Galois group of \mathbb{Q} on the set of connected components of the coarse moduli space of surfaces of general type was proven to be faithful by Bauer, Catanese, and Grunwald [2]; see also the work of Easton and Vakil [9] and González-Diez and Torres-Teigell [14].

To state our main theorem, let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C} . Let X be a smooth projective connected curve over \mathbb{C} . If X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then Belyi [4] proved that there exists a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ ramified over at most three points. Conversely, by classical results of Weil and Grothendieck [15; 19; 42], if there exists a nonconstant morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ ramified over precisely three points, then X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. In other words, X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if X admits a rational function with at most three critical points.

The main result of this paper states that a smooth complete intersection X of general type over \mathbb{C} can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if X admits a Lefschetz function with only three critical points (see Definition 4.1). Here by a Lefschetz function on X is meant a rational function $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ on X that factors via a Lefschetz pencil $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and a rational function $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ on the projective line.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let X be a smooth complete intersection of general type over \mathbb{C} . Then the following are equivalent:*

Received August 13, 2015. Revision received March 23, 2016.

- (1) *the variety X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and*
- (2) *there exists a Lefschetz function $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ with at most three critical points.*

For two-dimensional varieties, our theorem follows from a result of González-Diez [13, Thm. 1]. Our restriction to complete intersections is necessary to prove the rigidity of Lefschetz functions (see Section 2.3). Moreover, our restriction to varieties of general type allows us to use results from the MMP specific to varieties of general type (see Theorem 2.2). It also allows us to invoke boundedness results for families of canonically polarized varieties (Theorem 2.4).

To prove Theorem 1.1, we follow closely the strategy of González-Diez. Indeed, the proof of González-Diez combines (1) the well-known finiteness results for minimal models of surfaces with (2) boundedness and (3) rigidity theorems due to Arakelov [1] and Parshin [26; 27] for families of curves. Although the boundedness of families of canonically polarized varieties has been proven by Kovács and Lieblich [24], generalizing the strategy of González-Diez to higher-dimensional varieties of general type poses several technical difficulties, which we now briefly discuss.

For instance, the rigidity results for families of curves of genus at least two invoked by González-Diez do not hold in general since they fail for several families of higher-dimensional varieties (see [22, Ex. 3.1]). To remedy the situation, our novel contribution is a rigidity theorem for certain Lefschetz pencils (Theorem 2.5). In the proof of this result, we use the theory of Higgs bundles [33; 34].

In dimension at least five, the termination of flips is not known currently and complicates proving the appropriate finiteness results for minimal models of varieties of general type (as used by González-Diez for two-dimensional varieties). To circumvent these difficulties, we instead use a classical theorem of Kobayashi and Ochiai [18] to prove a higher-dimensional analogue (Theorem 2.2) of the finiteness results used by González-Diez.

Conventions

The base field is an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

A variety over a field k is an integral quasi-projective scheme over k . A curve is a one-dimensional variety. A smooth projective variety X is of *general type* if the Kodaira dimension of (the canonical bundle of) X equals $\dim X$. A projective variety X is of *general type* if, for some (hence any) desingularization $X' \rightarrow X$ with X' projective, the smooth projective variety X' is of general type.

The group of automorphisms of the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} is denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

2. Finiteness Results

In this section, we first establish a finiteness result for varieties of general type dominated birationally by a fixed variety; see Theorem 2.2. To prove this finiteness result, we will use basic results in the minimal model program such as Mori's cone theorem.

Next, we state a finiteness result (due to Kovács and Lieblich) for the number of deformation types of a family of canonically polarized varieties over a fixed base space; see Theorem 2.4. This theorem has a long history going back to Shafarevich, and we refer to [23] for a discussion of this.

Finally, following the strategy of Viehweg and Zuo [40] and Yi Zhang [43], we prove a rigidity theorem for certain Lefschetz fibrations; see Theorem 2.5.

2.1. An Application of the Minimal Model Program

Our first finiteness result (Theorem 2.2) concerns varieties of general type dominated birationally by a fixed variety.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Let H be an ample line bundle on X , and c a real number. Then, there are only finitely many numerical equivalence classes $[L] \in N_1(X)$ of big and nef line bundles L on X such that*

$$L^{\dim X - 1} \cdot H \leq c.$$

Proof. Write $n = \dim X$. Replacing H by a positive multiple if necessary, we may and do assume that $H - K_X$ is ample. Let L be a big and nef line bundle on X such that $L^{n-1} \cdot H \leq c$. Since the line bundle $H - K_X + L$ is ample,

$$(H + L)^{n-1} \cdot K_X < (H + L)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} H^i \cdot L^{n-i}. \quad (2.1)$$

By the Hodge index theorem [3, Prop. 2.5.1], for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, the inequality

$$H^i \cdot L^{n-i} \leq (H \cdot L^{n-1})^i$$

holds. Since $L^n \leq H \cdot L^{n-1}$, by (2.1) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (H + L)^{n-1} \cdot K_X &\leq H \cdot L^{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (H \cdot L^{n-1})^i \\ &\leq c + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} c^i \\ &= c \left(\frac{c^n + c - 2}{c - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Matsusaka's big theorem [20] and this inequality imply that there exists a positive integer $N = N(c, n)$, depending only on c and n , such that $N(H + L)$ is very ample. Thus, there is an integer d (depending only on c , H , and n) such that $N(H + L)$ defines a closed immersion $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ with $f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(1) = N(H + L)$. Therefore, the set of numerical equivalence classes of $N(H + L)$, as L runs through all big and nef line bundles on X such that $L^{n-1} \cdot H \leq c$, is finite. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

As an application of Lemma 2.1, we now prove a finiteness statement concerning varieties of general type dominated birationally by a fixed variety.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety. Let n be a positive integer, D an ample line bundle on \mathbb{P}_k^n , and d an integer. Then the set of isomorphism classes of smooth projective varieties of general type X such that there exists a (proper surjective) birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ and a closed immersion $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ of D -degree d is finite.*

Proof. To prove the theorem, we may and do assume that Y is of general type. Moreover, to ease the notation, we let $\mathcal{O}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(1)$.

Since $f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample on X , by Mori's cone theorem ([7, Thm. 6.1] or [21, Thm. 3.7]), the line bundle $K_X + (\dim Y + 2)f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample (since $\dim Y + 2 = \dim X + 2 > \dim X + 1$). Write $L = (\dim Y + 2)f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Fix an effective ample divisor H on Y . Then, by Lemma 2.1, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that $(\phi^*L)^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot H$ is bounded by a real number depending only on Y, H, n, D , and d .

To do so, note that since Y is of general type, by [25, Prop. 2.2.7] there exists a positive integer m with

$$mK_Y \geq H.$$

Here m depends only on Y and H . It is straightforward to see that

$$(\phi^*L)^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot H \leq m(\phi^*L)^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot K_Y = mL^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot K_X.$$

Write $N = \dim Y + 2 = \dim X + 2$. By assumption the real number

$$\begin{aligned} mL^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot K_X &= mN^{\dim Y - 1} (f^*\mathcal{O}(1))^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot K_X \\ &= mN^{\dim Y - 1} \mathcal{O}(1)^{\dim Y - 1} \cdot (f_*K_X) \end{aligned}$$

is (bounded by) a real number depending only on Y, H, n, D , and d . This concludes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.3. It seems reasonable to suspect that, for X a smooth projective variety, the set of k -isomorphism classes of smooth projective varieties of general type Y over k such that there exists a (proper surjective) birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is finite. In other words, the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 should hold without the assumption that the X form a bounded family. Indeed, if $\dim Y \leq 3$, then this is [36, Thm. 4]. If $\dim Y = 4$, then using the current state-of-the-art in the MMP, the proof of Tsai (given in loc. cit.) also works by the MMP for varieties of general type (Birkar, Cascini, Hacon, and McKernan [6, Thm. B, p. 11]) and the termination of flips in dimension four (Hacon, McKernan, and Xu [16, Cor. 1.2]). Thus, in general, “termination of flips” implies that the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 holds without the assumption that the X form a bounded family.

2.2. Shafarevich's Boundedness Conjecture

A smooth projective variety over a field k is *canonically polarized* if its canonical bundle $\omega_{X/k}$ is ample. If X is canonically polarized, then the *Hilbert polynomial* of X is the Hilbert polynomial of $\omega_{X/K}$. Note that canonically polarized varieties are of general type.

Let B be a variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. A smooth projective morphism of k -varieties $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is a *family over B* if it has (geometrically) connected fibers. If $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow B$ and $f_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow B$ are families over B , a *morphism from $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow B$ to $f_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow B$* is a morphism of k -varieties $\phi : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$.

If the fibers of a family $f : Y \rightarrow B$ over B are canonically polarized, then we say that f is a *family of canonically polarized varieties*. Moreover, the *Hilbert polynomial of $f : Y \rightarrow B$* is the Hilbert polynomial of the canonically polarized variety Y_b , where b is some (hence any) closed point of B .

A family $f : Y \rightarrow B$ over B is *trivial* if there is a (smooth projective) variety F over \mathbb{C} such that Y is isomorphic to $F \times_k B$ over B . A family $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is *isotrivial* if all of its closed fibers are isomorphic. If $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is a family of canonically polarized varieties over a smooth curve B , then f is isotrivial if and only if there exists a finite étale morphism $C \rightarrow B$ such that $Y \times_B C \rightarrow C$ is a trivial family over C [17, Lem. 7.3].

Let h be a polynomial. If $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is a family of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial h , then a *deformation of $Y \rightarrow B$* (as a family over B) is a triple (T, t_0, ψ) , where T is a connected variety over k , t_0 is a closed point of T , and $\psi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B \times_k T$ is a family of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial h over $B \times_k T$ such that $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is isomorphic to the family $\mathcal{Y}_{t_0} \rightarrow B \times_k \{t_0\} \cong B$ over B . If $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow B$ and $f_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow B$ are families of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial h , then f_1 and f_2 are *deformation equivalent (as families of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial h over B)* if there is a deformation (T, t_0, ψ) of $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow B$ and a closed point $t \in T$ such that $f_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow B$ is isomorphic to the family $\mathcal{Y}_t \rightarrow B \times_k \{t\} \cong B$. This defines an equivalence relation on the set of families $Y \rightarrow B$ of canonically polarized varieties with Hilbert polynomial h . An equivalence class (with respect to this equivalence relation) is called a *deformation type*. With these definitions, the work of Viehweg and Zuo [37; 38; 41] on Arakelov inequalities (or “weak boundedness”) was used by Kovács and Lieblich [24] to prove the following analogue of the Shafarevich boundedness conjecture for families of canonically polarized varieties:

THEOREM 2.4 ([24, Thm. 1.6]). *Let B be a smooth variety over \mathbb{C} , and h a polynomial. Then the set of deformation types of nonisotrivial families of canonically polarized varieties $Y \rightarrow B$ over B with Hilbert polynomial h is finite.*

Let $f : Y \rightarrow B$ be a family of canonically polarized varieties. We say that $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is *rigid* if any deformation (T, t_0, ψ) of f has the property that, for all t in T , the family $\psi_t : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B \times \{t\} \cong B$ is isomorphic to $f : Y \rightarrow B$. In other words, any deformation of the family $Y \rightarrow B$ is “trivial”. If $\dim X - \dim B = 1$, then f is rigid [1]. If $\dim X - \dim B > 1$, then it is easy to construct nonrigid families; see [22, Ex. 3.1] or [28]. In [40, Thm. 0.3], it is shown that, for all $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq n + 2$, there exist a smooth variety B and a nonrigid family of canonically polarized varieties $X \rightarrow B$ whose fibers are degree d hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .

Thus, there are nonrigid families of hypersurfaces (and complete intersections). We will show in the next section how to prove the rigidity of a Lefschetz fibration of complete intersections under suitable assumptions.

2.3. Rigidity of Lefschetz Fibrations

Viehweg and Zuo [41] proved the rigidity of certain families of hypersurfaces by studying the effect of a deformation on the associated variation of Hodge structures. Their strategy was applied in the context of Lefschetz pencils on Calabi–Yau varieties in [43, Thm. 4.1]. In this section, we follow the strategy of Viehweg and Zuo to prove the rigidity of Lefschetz pencils of smooth complete intersections (Theorem 2.5).

Any smooth projective variety X over k admits a Lefschetz pencil [8, Exposé XVII, Théorème 2.5]. Note that a Lefschetz pencil on X induces a rational function $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$. A flat proper morphism $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is a *Lefschetz fibration* if there exist a Lefschetz pencil $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ and a birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ such that $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ factors via $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ and $Y \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of X along the axes of the Lefschetz pencil. We will consider only Lefschetz pencils of complete intersections. Let us be more precise.

A *type* is a collection of integers $T = (d_1, \dots, d_c; n)$ that satisfy the inequalities $n \geq 1$, $c \geq 1$, and $2 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_c$. A *complete intersection of type T over k* is a closed subscheme of codimension c in \mathbb{P}_k^{n+c} given as the zero locus of c homogeneous equations of degrees d_1, \dots, d_c .

A family of complete intersections is rigid if any deformation of the family (as a family of complete intersections) is trivial. We now use the theory of Higgs bundles [33; 34] and prove that any Lefschetz pencil of smooth complete intersections is rigid under suitable assumptions.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let T be a type such that $T \neq (2; n), (2, 2; n), (3; 2)$. If $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is a Lefschetz fibration of smooth complete intersections of type T , then f is rigid.*

Proof. To prove the theorem, we reason by contradiction and assume (without loss of generality) that $k = \mathbb{C}$. Let $B_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be the largest open subscheme such that f is smooth over B_0 . Write $f^0 : Y_0 \rightarrow B_0$ for the restriction of f to B_0 . Suppose that f is nonrigid. Then f^0 is nonrigid as a family of smooth complete intersections over B_0 . In particular, the Higgs bundle (E, θ) associated with the polarized variation of Hodge structures $\text{End}(R_{\text{prim}}^n f_*^0 \mathbb{C})^{-1,1}$ on B_0 has a nonzero flat section σ . (Indeed, by Flenner’s infinitesimal Torelli theorem for smooth complete intersections of type T (see [10, Thm. 3.1]), the differential of the period map associated to the variation of Hodge structures $R_{\text{prim}}^n f_*^0 \mathbb{C}$ is injective, that is, for all b in B_0 , the morphism

$$H^1(X_b, T_{X_b}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \text{Hom}(H_{\text{prim}}^{p,q}(X_b), H_{\text{prim}}^{p-1,q+1}(X_b)) \quad (2.2)$$

is everywhere injective. Since f^0 admits a nontrivial deformation, the Kodaira–Spencer map induces a nonzero flat section of $R^1 f_*^0 T_{f_0}$. The existence of the nonzero flat section now follows from the injectivity of (2.2) and [31, Cor. 12].)

We now use the existence of a nonzero flat section of the Higgs bundle (E, θ) to prove the theorem. First, note that the nonzero flat section σ of (E, θ) induces a splitting of Higgs bundles

$$(E, \theta) = \ker(\sigma) \oplus \ker(\sigma)^\perp,$$

where $\ker(\sigma)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\ker(\sigma)$ with respect to the polarization on E . Let \mathbb{V} be the subvariation of Hodge structures of $R_{\text{prim}}^n f_*^0 \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the vanishing cycles. Consider the sub-Higgs bundle

$$E' = \ker(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{V}$$

of \mathbb{V} and note that $E^{n,0}$ is contained in E' , so that E' is nonzero. Moreover, again by the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for smooth complete intersections of type T , the vector bundle $E^{n,0}$ is not in $\ker(\sigma)$. Therefore, the splitting $(E, \theta) = \ker \sigma \oplus \ker(\sigma)^\perp$ is nontrivial and induces a nontrivial splitting of \mathbb{V} . This contradicts the absolute irreducibility of \mathbb{V} [31, Cor. 10.23]. \square

REMARK 2.6. In [22; 29; 39], the rigidity of a Lefschetz pencil with maximal Yukawa coupling is proven. Moreover, in [30, Ex. 3 after Thm. 3.8, p. 123], Peters proved the rigidity of families of hypersurfaces with surjective Kodaira–Spencer map. Note that Theorem 2.5 does not require any hypothesis on the Yukawa coupling or on the Kodaira–Spencer map.

We will say that a type $T = (d_1, \dots, d_c; n)$ is of general type if $d_1 + \dots + d_c \geq n + c + 2$. Note that the adjunction formula implies that a smooth complete intersection of type T is of general type if and only if T is of general type.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let T be a type of general type, and let $B_0 \subset B = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a dense open. Then the set of B -isomorphism classes of Lefschetz fibrations of complete intersections of type T over B that are smooth over B_0 is finite.*

Proof. Since T is of general type, any smooth complete intersection of type T is canonically polarized. Thus, the corollary follows from the boundedness (Theorem 2.4) of smooth families of canonically polarized varieties over B_0 and from the rigidity (Theorem 2.5) of Lefschetz fibrations over B of complete intersections of type T . \square

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let T be a type and let $B_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a dense open. The set of varieties of general type X such that X admits a Lefschetz pencil $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ of type T which is defined and smooth over B_0 is finite.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.7 and Theorem 2.2. \square

3. Varieties Defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$

A morphism of \mathbb{C} -varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$ can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if there are a morphism $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -varieties, an isomorphism $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C}$, and an isomorphism $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Y_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

is commutative. A variety X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if there exists a variety X_0 over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and an isomorphism $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C}$. (Note that X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if the identity morphism $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$ can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.)

The aim of this section is to state a criterion for a morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{C} to be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. We will follow [11; 12; 13]. Let us recall how $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the set of isomorphism classes of projective varieties over \mathbb{C} .

For σ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ and f in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, let f^σ be the polynomial obtained by acting with σ on its coefficients. This defines an action

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n], \quad (\sigma, f) \rightarrow f^\sigma$$

of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ on the ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. If I is an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and σ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C} , then we define the ideal I^σ to be the image of I under σ . In other words, I^σ consists of the elements f^σ where $f \in I$. This induces an action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ on the set of isomorphism classes of projective varieties over \mathbb{C} . For X a projective variety, we let X^σ denote (a representative of the isomorphism class of) its conjugate under the action of $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

LEMMA 3.1 ([13, pp. 60–61]). *Let X be a projective variety over \mathbb{C} . Then X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if the set of isomorphism classes of conjugates X^σ of X (as σ runs over all elements of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$) is finite.*

For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of \mathbb{C} -varieties and σ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, we let f^σ denote (a representative of the isomorphism class of) its conjugate.

LEMMA 3.2 ([13, pp. 60–61]). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{C} . Then f can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if the set of isomorphism classes of conjugates f^σ of f is finite.*

We now combine our analogue (Theorem 2.2) of Tsai's finiteness result (see Remark 2.3) with the criteria for a variety to be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and show that if X is a variety of general type over \mathbb{C} that is dominated by a variety that can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let X be a projective variety of general type over \mathbb{C} . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism, where Y is a projective variety that can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then X and f can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. (We follow the proof of [12, Prop. 3.2].) By resolution of singularities in characteristic zero there exists a surjective morphism $\overline{f} : Y' \rightarrow X$, where Y' is a smooth projective variety that can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. (Indeed, let $Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities with a model over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.) To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the set of (isomorphism classes of) conjugates f^σ (with $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$) is finite. To do so, note that by Lemma 3.1 the set of isomorphism classes of conjugates Y^σ is finite. Moreover, by the Kobayashi–Ochiai finiteness theorem [18], for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, the set of surjective morphisms from Y^σ to a fixed variety of general type is finite. Also, since X is projective, there exists a closed embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ of some degree d . Note that any conjugate X^σ of X admits a closed embedding $X^\sigma \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ of the same degree d .

Therefore, to conclude the proof, it suffices to show that the set of \mathbb{C} -isomorphism classes of smooth projective varieties of general type X over \mathbb{C} such that there exists a birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X'$ and a closed immersion $X' \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ of degree d is finite. The latter clearly follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

REMARK 3.4. The converse to Lemma 3.3 is false. For $n \geq 2$, the (total space of the) blow-up of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ in a set of points $B \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of cardinality $> n + 2$ with “transcendental” coordinates cannot be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let Y be a smooth complete intersection of general type over \mathbb{C} , and let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be a Lefschetz fibration whose critical points lie in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then the variety Y and the morphism f can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, to prove the corollary, it suffices to show that the set of conjugates f^σ of f under the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ is finite. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the set of critical points of the Lefschetz fibration $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Note that since Y is a complete intersection, the fibers of f are complete intersections of some type, say T . Note that T is of general type. Since the set of conjugates B^σ of B is finite and f^σ is a Lefschetz fibration of complete intersections of (the same) type T , it suffices to show that the set of Lefschetz fibrations of fixed type that are smooth over a fixed open in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is finite. The latter clearly follows from Corollary 2.8. \square

REMARK 3.6. Let X be a complete intersection over \mathbb{C} . It follows from [5, Prop. 2.1.11] that X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a variety if and only if X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a complete intersection.

4. Lefschetz Functions: Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} . If X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then there exists a rational function $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ on X whose critical points lie in $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. The converse to this statement is false. Indeed, if C and D are smooth projective

curves of genus at least two such that C can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and D cannot be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $X = C \times D^{n-1}$ is an n -dimensional variety of general type that cannot be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Nevertheless, there is a rational function on X with at most three critical points. Explicitly: let $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be a Belyi morphism, and $X \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ the projection followed by π . This explains why we restrict to a special class of rational functions in Theorem 1.1.

DEFINITION 4.1. A rational function $p : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is a *Lefschetz function* (on X) if there exist a Lefschetz pencil $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and a rational function $g : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ such that $p = g \circ f$.

It is clear that Theorem 1.1 follows from the following more general statement.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let X be a smooth complete intersection of general type over \mathbb{C} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *the variety X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$;*
- (2) *there exists a Lefschetz pencil $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ whose critical points lie in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$;*
- (3) *there exists a Lefschetz function $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ whose critical points lie in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$;*
- (4) *there exists a Lefschetz function $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ with at most three critical points;*
- (5) *there exist a birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ and a Lefschetz fibration $Y \rightarrow B$ whose critical points lie in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

Proof. The implication (1) \implies (2) follows from the existence of a Lefschetz pencil on a projective variety over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Since any Lefschetz pencil on X is a Lefschetz function, the implication (2) \implies (3) follows.

Note that (3) \implies (4) follows from Belyi's algorithm: for all finite subsets B of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, there exists a finite morphism $R : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ such that R ramifies only over $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ and $R(B) \subset \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

The implication (4) \implies (5) follows from the definition of a Lefschetz function (Definition 4.1).

Now, to prove the theorem, it suffices to prove that (5) \implies (1). To do so, let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism, and let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be a Lefschetz fibration such that its set B of critical points lies in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then, by Lemma 3.5 the variety Y can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Finally, by Lemma 3.3 we conclude that X can be defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. \square

REMARK 4.3. Since there are only finitely many smooth complete intersections X of general type over \mathbb{C} such that there exists a Lefschetz pencil $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ with at most three critical points (Corollary 2.8), the analogous statement of Theorem 4.2 in which we replace ‘‘Lefschetz function’’ by ‘‘Lefschetz pencil’’ is false.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We would like to gratefully thank Chenyang Xu for his help in writing Section 2.1, and especially for providing us with a proof of Theorem 2.2. We thank Chris Peters for a valuable discussion. We also thank Sándor

Kovács, Duco van Straten, and Kang Zuo for inspiring discussions. We thank Jean-Benoît Bost, Yohan Brunebarbe, Bas Edixhoven, David Holmes, Robin de Jong, Daniel Loughran, and John Voight for helpful discussions on the Shafarevich conjecture and Belyi's theorem. We gratefully acknowledge the support of SFB/Transregio 45.

References

- [1] S. J. Arakelov, *Families of algebraic curves with fixed degeneracies*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 35 (1971), 1269–1293.
- [2] I. Bauer, F. Catanese, and F. Grunewald, *Faithful actions of the absolute Galois group on connected components of moduli spaces*, *Invent. Math.* 199 (2015), no. 3, 859–888.
- [3] M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, de Gruyter Exp. Math., 16, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [4] G. V. Belyi, *Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 43 (1979), no. 2, 267–276, 479.
- [5] O. Benoist, *Espace de modules d'intersections complètes lisses*, Ph.D. thesis.
- [6] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), no. 2, 405–468.
- [7] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] P. Deligne, N. Katz, and N. M. Katz, *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 340, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1973.
- [9] R. W. Easton and R. Vakili, *Absolute Galois acts faithfully on the components of the moduli space of surfaces: a Belyi-type theorem in higher dimension*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 20 (2007), Art. ID rnm080.
- [10] H. Flenner, *The infinitesimal Torelli problem for zero sets of sections of vector bundles*, *Math. Z.* 193 (1986), no. 2, 307–322.
- [11] E. Gironde and G. González-Diez, *On complex curves and complex surfaces defined over number fields*, Teichmüller theory and moduli problem, *Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.*, 10, pp. 247–280, Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, 2010.
- [12] G. González-Diez, *Variations on Belyi's theorem*, *Q. J. Math.* 57 (2006), no. 3, 339–354.
- [13] ———, *Belyi's theorem for complex surfaces*, *Amer. J. Math.* 130 (2008), no. 1, 59–74.
- [14] G. González-Diez and D. Torres-Teigell, *Non-homeomorphic Galois conjugate Beauville structures on $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p)$* , *Adv. Math.* 229 (2012), no. 6, 3096–3122.
- [15] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. II: Exposés 6, 8 à 11*, Sémin. Géom. Algèbr., 1960/61, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963.
- [16] C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, and C. Xu, *ACC for log canonical thresholds*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 180 (2014), no. 2, 523–571.
- [17] S. Kebekus and S. J. Kovács, *The structure of surfaces and threefolds mapping to the moduli stack of canonically polarized varieties*, *Duke Math. J.* 155 (2010), no. 1, 1–33.
- [18] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Meromorphic mappings onto compact complex spaces of general type*, *Invent. Math.* 31 (1975), no. 1, 7–16.

- [19] B. Köck, *Belyi's theorem revisited*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 45 (2004), no. 1, 253–265.
- [20] J. Kollár and T. Matsusaka, *Riemann–Roch type inequalities*, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), no. 1, 229–252.
- [21] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of, Clemens, C. H. and Corti, A., Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [22] S. J. Kovács, *Strong non-isotriviality and rigidity*, Recent progress in arithmetic and algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., 386, pp. 47–55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [23] ———, *Subvarieties of moduli stacks of canonically polarized varieties: generalizations of Shafarevich's conjecture*, Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 80, pp. 685–709, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [24] S. Kovács and M. Lieblich, *Erratum for boundedness of families of canonically polarized manifolds: a higher-dimensional analogue of Shafarevich's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 1, 585–617.
- [25] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [26] A. N. Paršin, *Algebraic curves over function fields*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 183 (1968), 524–526.
- [27] ———, *Algebraic curves over function fields. I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 32 (1968), 1191–1219.
- [28] Z. Patakfalvi, *Arakelov–Parshin rigidity of towers of curve fibrations*, Math. Z. 278 (2014), no. 3–4, 859–892.
- [29] F. Peng, *Rigidity of subfamilies of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n with maximal length of Griffiths–Yukawa coupling*, Sci. China Math. 57 (2014), no. 7, 1419–1426.
- [30] C. A. M. Peters, *Rigidity for variations of Hodge structure and Arakelov-type finiteness theorems*, Compos. Math. 75 (1990), no. 1, 113–126.
- [31] C. A. M. Peters and J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [32] L. Schneps, *Dessins d'enfants on the Riemann sphere*, The Grothendieck theory of dessins d'enfants (Luminy, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 200, pp. 47–77, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [33] C. T. Simpson, *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), no. 3, 713–770.
- [34] ———, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 75 (1992), 5–95.
- [35] T. Szamuely, *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., 117, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [36] I. Tsai, *Dominating the varieties of general type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 483 (1997), 197–219.
- [37] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *On the isotriviality of families of projective manifolds over curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. 10 (2001), no. 4, 781–799.
- [38] ———, *Base spaces of non-isotrivial families of smooth minimal models*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), pp. 279–328, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [39] ———, *Discreteness of minimal models of Kodaira dimension zero and subvarieties of moduli stacks*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. VIII (Boston, MA, 2002), Surv. Differ. Geom., VIII, pp. 337–356, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
- [40] ———, *Complex multiplication, Griffiths–Yukawa couplings, and rigidity for families of hypersurfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. 14 (2005), no. 3, 481–528.

- [41] ———, *Numerical bounds for semi-stable families of curves or of certain higher-dimensional manifolds*, J. Algebraic Geom. 15 (2006), no. 4, 771–791.
- [42] A. Weil, *The field of definition of a variety*, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 509–524.
- [43] Y. Zhang, *Rigidity for families of polarized Calabi–Yau varieties*, J. Differential Geom. 68 (2004), no. 2, 185–222.

Mathematical Institute
Leiden University
Leiden
Netherlands

ajavanp@math.leidenuniv.nl