

# The Space of Generalized $G_2$ -Theta Functions of Level 1

CHLOÉ GRÉGOIRE & CHRISTIAN PAULY

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a smooth projective complex curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ . For a complex semi-simple Lie group  $G$  we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  the moduli stack of principal  $G$ -bundles over  $C$ . If  $G$  is simply connected, then the Picard group of the stack  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is infinite cyclic and we denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  its ample generator. The finite-dimensional vector spaces of global sections  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l})$ , the so-called spaces of generalized  $G$ -theta functions or Verlinde spaces of level  $l$ , have been intensively studied from different perspectives—for example, gauge theory, mathematical theory of conformal blocks, and quantization. Note that much of the literature deals with the vector bundle case  $G = \mathrm{SL}_r$ .

In this paper we study the Verlinde space  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$  for the smallest exceptional Lie group  $G_2$  and at level 1. The starting point of our investigation is the striking numerical relation between the dimensions of the Verlinde spaces for  $G_2$  at level 1 and for  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  at level 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}) &= \frac{1}{2^g} \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3}) \\ &= \left(\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{g-1} + \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{g-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

These dimensions are computed by the Verlinde formula (see e.g. [B3, Cor. 9.8]). It turns out that linear maps between these Verlinde spaces arise in a natural way by restricting to some distinguished substacks in  $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$ . The group  $G_2$  contains the subgroups  $\mathrm{SL}_3$  and  $\mathrm{SO}_4$  as maximal reductive subgroups of maximal rank. These group inclusions induce maps

$$i: \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_2) \quad \text{and} \quad j: \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_2)$$

via the étale double cover  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_4$ .

Our main results include the following two theorems.

**THEOREM I.** *For any smooth curve  $C$  of genus  $g \geq 2$ , the linear map obtained by pull-back by the map  $j$  of global sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{G_2}$ ,*

$$j^*: H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}) \rightarrow [H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2})]_0,$$

*is an isomorphism.*

---

Received November 26, 2012. Revision received April 12, 2013.

**THEOREM II.** *For any smooth curve  $C$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  without vanishing theta-null, the linear map obtained by pull-back by the map  $i$  of global sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{G_2}$ ,*

$$i^*: H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3})_+,$$

*is surjective.*

The subscripts 0 and + denote subspaces of invariant sections for (respectively) the group of 2-torsion line bundles over  $C$  and for the duality involution.

The first example of isomorphism between Verlinde spaces was given in [BI] for the embedding  $\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathrm{SL}_2$  at level 1. More recently, the rank-level dualities have yielded series of isomorphisms between Verlinde spaces (and their duals) for special pairs of structure groups. In this context, Theorem I can be viewed as a new example.

Most of the constructions presented in this paper are valid for the coarse moduli spaces of semi-stable  $G$ -bundles over  $C$ . However, the generator  $\mathcal{L}_{G_2}$  of the Picard group of the moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$  does not descend [LS] to the moduli space  $M(G_2)$  because the Dynkin index of  $G_2$  is 2. This forces us to use the moduli stack.

Theorem I has an application to the flat projective connection on the bundle of conformal blocks associated to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  at level 1. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$  be a family of smooth projective curves, and consider the vector bundle  $\mathbb{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  over  $S$  whose fiber over the curve  $C = \pi^{-1}(s)$  equals the conformal block  $\mathcal{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ . Note that this conformal block is canonically (up to homothety) isomorphic to our space  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$  by the general Verlinde isomorphism [LS]. By [U] the vector bundle  $\mathbb{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  is equipped with a flat projective connection, the so-called WZW (Wess–Zumino–Witten) connection. Then we have the following statement.

**COROLLARY.** *There exist families of smooth curves of any genus  $g \geq 2$  for which the projective monodromy representation of the projective WZW connection on  $\mathbb{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  has infinite image.*

In Section 2 we review the properties of the exceptional group  $G_2$  and of its subgroups as well as some results on the Verlinde spaces for  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  at low levels. In Section 3 we prove the main results just stated.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** Some of the results of this paper are contained in the first-named author’s Ph.D. thesis. We would like to thank the referee for useful comments on the first version and in particular for drawing our attention to the paper [A]. We also thank Laurent Manivel and Olivier Serman for useful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

## 2. Moduli Spaces and Moduli Stacks of Principal $G_2$ -Bundles

In this section we review some results on the exceptional group  $G_2$  and on the moduli of principal  $G_2$ -bundles over a smooth projective curve  $C$ .

2.1. The Exceptional Group  $G_2$  and Its Rank 2 Subgroups

The complex exceptional group  $G_2$  is given by one of the following equivalent definitions (see e.g. [Br, Sec. 2, Thm. 3]):

- as the automorphism group  $G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$  of the complex 8-dimensional Cayley algebra or algebra of octonions  $\mathbb{O}$  (see e.g. [Ba]);
- as the connected component of the stabilizer in  $\text{GL}(V)$  of a nondegenerate alternating trilinear form  $\omega: \Lambda^3 V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a complex 7-dimensional vector space  $V$  (see e.g. [SaKi])

We recall the following facts.

- For a generic trilinear form  $\omega$  we have  $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}(V)}(\omega) = G_2 \times \mu_3$  and  $\text{Stab}_{\text{SL}(V)}(\omega) = G_2$ . Note that nondegenerate alternating forms constitute the unique dense  $\text{GL}(V)$ -orbit in  $\Lambda^3 V^*$ .
- For  $G_2$  as  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ , there is a natural nondegenerate  $G_2$ -invariant trilinear form on the space of purely imaginary octonions  $V = \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$  given by  $\omega(x, y, z) = \text{Re}(xyz)$  as well as a nondegenerate symmetric  $G_2$ -invariant bilinear form given by  $q(x, y) = \text{Re}(xy)$ ; this shows that  $G_2$  is a subgroup of  $\text{SO}_7$ .
- The complex Lie group  $G_2$  is both connected and simply connected; also, it has no center and is of dimension 14.

According to [BoD], the group  $G_2$  has (up to conjugation) two maximal Lie subgroups of maximal rank—that is, of rank 2—which are of respective types  $A_2$  and  $A_1 \times A_1$ . Because we could not find a reference in the literature, for the reader’s convenience we provide next an explicit realization of these two subgroups in  $G_2$ .

$\text{SL}_3 \subset G_2$

Consider a nondegenerate alternating trilinear form  $\omega \in \Lambda^3 V^*$  and define  $G_2 = \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}(V)}(\omega)$ . We associate to  $\omega$  the quadratic form

$$q_\omega: \text{Sym}^2 V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad q_\omega(x, y) = L_x \omega \wedge L_y \omega \wedge \omega \in \Lambda^7 V^* \cong \mathbb{C},$$

where  $L_x: \Lambda^3 V^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V^*$  denotes the contraction operator with the vector  $x \in V$ .

Note that  $\omega$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $q_\omega$  is nondegenerate. We now choose a 3-dimensional subspace  $W \subset V$  such that  $W$  is isotropic for  $q_\omega$  and such that the restriction  $\omega_0 = \omega|_W \neq 0$ . The following proposition describes  $\text{SL}_3$  as a subgroup of  $G_2$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *With notation as before, we have*

$$\text{SL}_3 = \text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) = \{g \in G_2 \mid g(W) = W\}.$$

More precisely, the subspace  $W \subset V$  induces a natural decomposition

$$V = W \oplus \Lambda^2 W \oplus \mathbb{C}, \tag{2}$$

which coincides with the decomposition of  $V$  as an  $\text{SL}_3$ -module.

*Proof.* We consider the composite map

$$\iota: \Lambda^2 W \hookrightarrow \Lambda^2 V \xrightarrow{L_\omega} V^*,$$

where  $L_\omega$  is contraction with  $\omega \in \Lambda^3 V^*$ . Composing further with the projection  $V^* \rightarrow W^*$ , we obtain the isomorphism  $\Lambda^2 W \xrightarrow{\sim} W^*$  induced by the nonzero restricted form  $\omega_0$ . Hence  $\iota$  is injective and we also denote by  $\Lambda^2 W \subset V$  its image in  $V$ , which we identify with  $V^*$  via the nondegenerate quadratic form  $q_\omega$ . Next we observe that  $W \cap \Lambda^2 W = \{0\}$ , since the composite map  $W \rightarrow V^* \rightarrow W^*$  is zero (because  $W$  is isotropic). This shows that  $W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  is a hyperplane in  $V$ . We then take the orthogonal complement to obtain the decomposition (2). Observe that any  $g \in \text{Stab}_{G_2}(W)$  also preserves the subspace  $\Lambda^2 W \subset V$  and hence the decomposition (2) as well. Moreover, since  $g(\omega_0) = \omega_0$ , it follows that  $g \in \text{SL}_3 = \text{SL}(W)$  and so  $\text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) \subset \text{SL}_3$ . The action of  $G_2$  on the Grassmannian of isotropic subspaces  $W \subset V$  is of dimension 6; hence  $\dim \text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) \geq 8$ , which leads to the equality  $\text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) = \text{SL}_3$ .  $\square$

$\text{SO}_4 \subset G_2$

We need to recall some basic facts on quaternions and octonions. First, the complex octonion algebra  $\mathbb{O}$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space by the eight basis vectors  $e_0 = 1, e_1, \dots, e_7$  that satisfy the relations given by the Fano plane (see e.g. [Ba]). Then the algebra  $\mathbb{O}$  contains as a subalgebra the complex quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_3$ , and we have the vector space decomposition

$$\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}e_4. \tag{3}$$

Recall that the subgroup  $U = \{p \in \mathbb{H} \mid p\bar{p} = 1\}$  of unit quaternions can be identified with the complex Lie group  $\text{SL}_2$  and that there is a surjective group homomorphism

$$\varphi: U \times U \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathbb{H}) = \text{SO}_4, \quad \varphi(p, q) = [x \mapsto px\bar{q}],$$

with kernel  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  generated by  $(-1, -1)$ . Using the decomposition (3), we consider the map

$$\psi: U \times U \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathbb{O}), \quad \psi(p, q) = (\varphi(p, p), \varphi(p, q)).$$

One easily checks that  $\text{im } \psi \subset G_2$  and  $\ker \psi = \ker \varphi$ , which gives a realization of  $\text{SO}_4$  as a subgroup of  $G_2$ . We also note that the center  $Z(\text{SO}_4)$  is generated by  $\varphi(-1, 1) = -\text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$  and that  $\text{SO}_4$  is the centralizer of the element  $\psi(-1, 1) = (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}, -\text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}) \in G_2$  of order 2 (see [BoD]).

### 2.2. The Moduli Space $M(G_2)$ and the Moduli Stack $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$

Given the equality  $\text{Stab}_{\text{SL}(V)}(\omega) = G_2$ , a principal  $G_2$ -bundle  $E_{G_2}$  is equivalent to a rank-7 vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  with trivial determinant equipped with a nondegenerate alternating trilinear form  $\eta: \Lambda^3 \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ . If we put  $\mathcal{V} = E_{G_2}(V)$ , then the correspondence is given by sending  $E_{G_2}$  to  $(\mathcal{V}, \eta)$  via the embedding  $G_2 \subset \text{SL}(V)$ . Moreover, it is shown in [Su] that  $E_{G_2}$  is semi-stable if and only if  $\mathcal{V}$  is semi-stable. We therefore obtain a map,  $M(G_2) \rightarrow M(\text{SL}_7)$ , between coarse moduli spaces of semi-stable bundles.

Although the embeddings of  $\text{SL}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_4$  in  $G_2$  are defined only up to conjugation, the induced maps between coarse moduli spaces of semi-stable principal bundles,

$$i : \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad j : \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2),$$

are well-defined. We find it more convenient to work with the simply connected group  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ , which is a double cover of the subgroup  $\mathrm{SO}_4$ . Abusing notation, we also denote by  $i$  and  $j$  their composites with the map  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_7)$ . It follows from our previous description of the subgroups  $\mathrm{SL}_3$  and  $\mathrm{SO}_4$  that

$$i(E) = E \oplus E^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \quad \text{and} \quad j(F, G) = \mathrm{End}_0(F) \oplus F \otimes G. \tag{4}$$

Here  $E$  is an  $\mathrm{SL}_3$ -bundle and  $F, G$  are  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -bundles. Note that  $i(E)$  and  $j(F, G)$  are semi-stable if  $E, F$ , and  $G$  are semi-stable.

REMARK. It is shown in [G] that the singular locus of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2)$  coincides with the union of the images  $i(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3)) \cup j(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}_4))$ .

We also denote by  $i$  and  $j$  the maps between the corresponding moduli stacks. Let  $\mathcal{L}_G$  denote the ample generator of the Picard group  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}(G))$  when  $G$  is a simply connected group.

LEMMA 2.2. *With notation as before, we have*

$$i^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3} \quad \text{and} \quad j^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}.$$

*Proof.* The lemma follows in a straightforward way from a Dynkin index computation using the tables in [LS]. □

We consider the involution  $\sigma : \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3)$  given by taking the dual  $\sigma(E) = E^*$ . Then the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3}$  is invariant under the involution  $\sigma$ . We next consider the linearization  $\sigma^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3}$ , which restricts to the identity over the fixed points of  $\sigma$ , and denote by  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3})_+$  the subspace of invariant sections.

The group of 2-torsion line bundles  $JC[2]$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  by tensor product, and the Mumford group  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2})$  (a central extension of  $JC[2]$ ) acts linearly on  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2})$  with level 1. The  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2})$ -representation

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2})$$

is of level 4 and therefore admits a linear  $JC[2]$ -action.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The induced maps between Verlinde spaces,*

$$i^* : H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_3})_+ \quad \text{and} \\ j^* : H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2}) \rightarrow [H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2})]_0,$$

take values in the subspace that are invariant under (respectively) the involution  $\sigma$  and the  $JC[2]$ -action.

*Proof.* First we show that the map  $i : \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2)$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant. There is a natural inclusion between Weyl groups  $W(\mathrm{SL}_3) \subset W(\mathrm{G}_2)$ . Consider an element  $g \in \mathrm{G}_2$  that lifts an element in  $W(\mathrm{G}_2) \setminus W(\mathrm{SL}_3)$ ; then  $g \notin \mathrm{SL}_3$ . Since the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  corresponds to the long roots and since  $W(\mathrm{G}_2)$  preserves the

Cartan–Killing form, it follows that the inner automorphism  $C(g)$  of  $G_2$  induced by  $g$  preserves the subgroup  $SL_3$ . The restriction of  $C(g)$  to  $SL_3$  is an outer automorphism, which permutes its two fundamental representations. It thus induces the involution  $\sigma$  on the moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}(SL_3)$ . Since any inner automorphism of  $G_2$  induces the identity on the moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$ , we obtain that  $i$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant.

Because  $i^*\mathcal{L}_{G_2} = \mathcal{L}_{SL_3}$  and  $i$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant, the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{SL_3}$  carries a natural  $\sigma$ -linearization—namely, the one that restricts to the identity over fixed points of  $\sigma$ . It is now clear that  $\text{im}(i^*) \subset H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_3), \mathcal{L}_{SL_3})_+$ .

The second statement follows immediately from the invariance of  $j$  under the diagonal  $JC[2]$ -action on the moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}(SL_2) \times \mathcal{M}(SL_2)$ . □

### 2.3. A Family of Divisors in $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$

Let  $\theta(C)$  and  $\theta^+(C)$  denote, respectively, the set of theta-characteristics and the set of even theta-characteristics over the curve  $C$ . The moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}(SO_7)$  has two connected components,  $\mathcal{M}^+(SO_7)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^-(SO_7)$ , distinguished by the second Stiefel–Whitney class. Since  $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$  is connected, the homomorphism  $G_2 \subset SO_7$  induces a map

$$\rho: \mathcal{M}(G_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^+(SO_7).$$

For each  $\kappa \in \theta(C)$  we introduce the Pfaffian line bundle  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$  over  $\mathcal{M}^+(SO_7)$  (see e.g. [BLS, Sec. 5]). We have

$$\rho^*\mathcal{P}_\kappa = \mathcal{L}_{G_2}.$$

Moreover, for  $\kappa \in \theta^+(C)$  there exists a Cartier divisor  $\Delta_\kappa \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}^+(SO_7), \mathcal{P}_\kappa)$  with support

$$\text{supp}(\Delta_\kappa) = \{E \in \mathcal{M}^+(SO_7) \mid \dim H^0(C, E(\mathbb{C}^7) \otimes \kappa) > 0\},$$

where  $E(\mathbb{C}^7)$  denotes the rank 7 vector bundle associated to  $E$ . We also denote by  $\Delta_\kappa \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$  the pull-back  $\rho^*(\Delta_\kappa)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$ . We will show later (Corollary 3.2) that the family of divisors  $\{\Delta_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  spans the linear system  $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$ . Abusing notation, we also use  $\Delta_\kappa$  to denote a section of  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$  vanishing at the divisor  $\Delta_\kappa$ .

### 2.4. Verlinde Spaces for $SL_2$ at Levels 1, 2, and 3

Let  $V_n = H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_2), \mathcal{L}_{SL_2}^{\otimes n})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We shall review some results from [B2] describing special bases of the vector spaces  $V_1 \otimes V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

Recall that the Mumford group  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})$  acts linearly on the space  $V_n$  with level  $n$ ; that is, the center  $\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})$  acts via  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^n$ . For  $n$  odd, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})$ -module  $H_n$  of level  $n$ . Note that  $\dim H_n = 2^g$ . If  $n$  is divisible by 4, then any  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})$ -module  $Z$  of level  $n$  admits a linear  $JC[2]$ -action. We denote by  $Z_0$  the  $JC[2]$ -invariant subspace of  $Z$ .

We now present the results needed for the proof of Theorem II.

LEMMA 2.4. *We have*

$$\dim(V_1 \otimes V_3)_0 = \frac{1}{|JC[2]|} \dim V_1 \otimes V_3.$$

*Proof.* By the general representation theory of Heisenberg groups, the  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SL}_2})$ -module  $V_1 \otimes V_3$  decomposes into a direct sum of factors that are all isomorphic to  $H_1 \otimes H_3$ . It is then straightforward to show that the space of  $J\mathcal{C}[2]$ -invariants  $(H_1 \otimes H_3)_0$  is 1-dimensional.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.5 [B2].** *The two  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SL}_2})$ -modules  $V_1 \otimes V_1$  and  $V_2$  of level 2 decompose as direct sums of 1-dimensional character spaces for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SL}_2})$ :*

$$V_1 \otimes V_1 = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \theta(C)} \mathbb{C} \xi_\kappa, \quad V_2 = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)} \mathbb{C} d_\kappa.$$

*The supports of the zero divisors  $Z(d_\kappa)$  and  $Z(\xi_\kappa)$  may be written as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } Z(d_\kappa) &= \{E \in \mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_2) \mid \dim H^0(C, \text{End}_0(E) \otimes \kappa) > 0\}; \\ \text{supp } Z(\xi_\kappa) &= \{(E, F) \in \mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_2) \mid \dim H^0(C, E \otimes F \otimes \kappa) > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Moreover, if  $C$  has no vanishing theta-null then  $\xi_\kappa$  is mapped to  $d_\kappa$  by the multiplication map  $V_1 \otimes V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.6 [A].** *For a general curve, the multiplication map of global sections*

$$\mu: V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_3$$

*is surjective.*

### 3. Proof of the Main Results

In this section we give the proof of the two theorems and of the corollary stated in the Introduction.

#### 3.1. Proof of Theorem I

The first step is to show that the two spaces appearing at either end of the map  $j^*$  have the same dimension. The dimension of the space on the right-hand side is computed by means of Lemma 2.4. The statement then follows from (1) and the equalities  $\dim V_1 = 2^g$  and  $|J\mathcal{C}[2]| = 2^{2g}$ .

The next step is to show that  $j^*$  is surjective for a *general* curve, which will imply (by the first step) that  $j^*$  is an isomorphism for a general curve. Consider the map

$$\alpha: V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_3, \quad u \otimes v \otimes w \mapsto u \otimes \mu(v \otimes w),$$

where  $\mu$  is the multiplication map introduced in Proposition 2.6. By that proposition,  $\alpha$  is surjective for a general curve; hence its restriction to the subspace of  $J\mathcal{C}[2]$ -invariant sections,  $\alpha_0: (V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2)_0 \rightarrow (V_1 \otimes V_3)_0$ , remains surjective. It is then easy to deduce that the family of tensors  $\{\xi_\kappa \otimes d_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  forms a basis of  $(V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2)_0$ .

We will use the family of divisors  $\{\Delta_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  introduced in Section 2.3.

LEMMA 3.1. *For all  $\kappa \in \theta^+(C)$  we have the equality (up to a scalar)*

$$j^*(\Delta_\kappa) = \alpha_0(\xi_\kappa \otimes d_\kappa).$$

*Proof.* Using the description of  $j$  given in (4) together with the description of divisors  $Z(d_\kappa)$  and  $Z(\xi_\kappa)$  given in Proposition 2.5, we obtain the following decomposition as a divisor in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$ :

$$j^*(\Delta_\kappa) = \mathrm{pr}_1^* Z(d_\kappa) + Z(\xi_\kappa);$$

here  $\mathrm{pr}_1$  is the projection onto the first factor. This equality establishes the lemma. □

We can now derive surjectivity (for a general curve). Since  $\{\xi_\kappa \otimes d_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  forms a basis of  $(V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2)_0$  and since  $\alpha_0$  is surjective, by Lemma 3.1 the family  $\{j^*(\Delta_\kappa)\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  generates  $(V_1 \otimes V_3)_0$ .

We complete the proof by showing that  $j^*$  is an isomorphism for every smooth curve. We follow [LS] and identify any semi-simple, simply connected complex Lie group  $G$  of the Verlinde space  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \mathcal{L}_G^{\otimes l})$  with the space of conformal blocks  $\mathcal{V}_l^*(\mathfrak{g})$  at level  $l$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ , for the two cases  $G = \mathrm{G}_2$  and  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ . Then [Be, Prop. 5.2] shows functoriality of the above isomorphism under group extensions. So in our case of  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_2$ , the linear map  $j^*$  can be identified with the natural map

$$\beta_C : \mathcal{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{g}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_3^*(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

We can define this linear map for a family of smooth curves  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$  as follows. By [U], there exist vector bundles of conformal blocks over the base  $S$  and a homomorphism  $\beta$  that specializes over a point  $s \in S$  to the linear map  $\beta_{\pi^{-1}(s)}$ . These vector bundles are equipped with flat projective connections (the WZW connections).

Now observe that, by direct computation, the Lie algebra embedding  $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$  is conformal. We can then use [Be, Prop. 5.8] to show that the map  $\beta$  is projectively flat for the two WZW connections, so its rank is constant in the family  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ . Because the previous step established that  $\beta_C$  is injective for a general curve  $C$  (note that we do not take  $JC[2]$ -invariants on the conformal blocks), we conclude that  $\beta$  is injective for any smooth curve. Hence  $j^*$  is an isomorphism for any curve, which completes the proof of Theorem I.

The foregoing proof leads immediately to our next result.

COROLLARY 3.2. *For a general curve, the family  $\{\Delta_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  linearly spans  $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2})$ .*

REMARK. Note that Hitchin’s connection [H] is defined only on the vector bundle with fiber  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2}^{\otimes 2})$ . Thus we obtain a connection for  $\mathrm{G}_2$  at level 1 only by virtue of the isomorphism with the bundle of conformal blocks.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem II

We consider the family of divisors  $\{\Delta_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  of  $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}_2})$  introduced in Section 2.3. A straightforward computation shows that  $i^*(\Delta_\kappa) = H_\kappa$ , where  $H_\kappa \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_3), \mathcal{L})_+$  is the divisor with support



$$\text{supp}(H_\kappa) = \{E \in \mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_3) \mid \dim H^0(C, E \otimes \kappa) > 0\}.$$

Therefore, to show surjectivity of  $i^*$  it is enough to show that the family  $\{H_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  linearly spans  $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_3), \mathcal{L})_+$ . This is done as follows.

We introduce the Riemann Theta divisor

$$\Theta = \{L \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \mid \dim H^0(C, L) > 0\}$$

in the Picard variety  $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$  parameterizing degree  $g - 1$  line bundles over  $C$ . Recall from [BNR] that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), 3\Theta)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_3), \mathcal{L}), \tag{5}$$

which is invariant for the two involutions—respectively,  $L \mapsto K_C \otimes L^{-1}$  on  $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$  and  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_3)$ . We thus obtain an isomorphism between subspaces of invariant divisors  $|3\Theta|_+^* \cong \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_3), \mathcal{L})_+$ . It is easy to check that  $H_\kappa = \varphi_{3\Theta}(\kappa)$  via this isomorphism, where

$$\varphi_{3\Theta} : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \dashrightarrow |3\Theta|_+^*$$

is the rational map given by the linear system  $|3\Theta|_+$ . In order to show that the family of points  $\{\varphi_{3\Theta}(\kappa)\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  linearly spans  $|3\Theta|_+^*$ , we factorize the map  $\varphi_{3\Theta}$  as

$$\varphi_{4\Theta} : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \dashrightarrow |4\Theta|_+^* \dashrightarrow |3\Theta|_+^*;$$

here the first map is the rational map given by the linear system  $|4\Theta|_+^*$  and the second is the projection induced by the inclusion  $H^0(3\Theta)_+ \xrightarrow{+\Theta} H^0(4\Theta)_+$ . The result then follows from the main statement in [KPSe], according to which  $\{\varphi_{4\Theta}(\kappa)\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$  is a projective basis of  $|4\Theta|_+^*$  provided  $C$  has no vanishing theta-null. This completes the proof of Theorem II.

REMARK. For a curve of genus 2, we observe that both spaces have the same dimension. So in that case,  $i^*$  is an isomorphism (note that any genus 2 curve is without vanishing theta-null).

### 3.3. Proof of Corollary

The statement of the corollary is proved in [LPS] for the conformal block  $\mathcal{V}_3^*(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = H^0(\mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\text{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3})$ . We observed in the proof of Theorem I that the vector bundle map  $\beta$  is projectively flat for the WZW connections; hence it suffices to prove the statement for the  $JC[2]$ -invariants of  $\mathcal{V}_3^*(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{V}_1^*(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , which follows from [Be, Cor. 4.2].

## 4. Remarks

In this section we collect some additional computations.

4.1. VERLINDE FORMULA FOR  $l = 2$  AND  $g = 2$ . Here we simply record computation of the Verlinde number  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}^2) = 30$ . Since the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}^2$  descends to the coarse moduli space  $M(G_2)$ , we obtain a rational  $\theta$ -map

$$\theta: \mathcal{M}(G_2) \rightarrow |\mathcal{L}^2|^* = \mathbb{P}^{29}.$$

See [B4] for results concerning the  $\theta$ -map on a genus 2 curve for vector bundles of small rank.

4.2. ANALOGUE FOR THE EXCEPTIONAL GROUP  $F_4$ . There is a similar coincidence for the conformal embedding of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(6) \subset \mathfrak{f}_4$ . In fact, we observe that  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(F_4), \mathcal{L}_{F_4}) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$  and that  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Sp}_6), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Sp}_6}) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SL}_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}^{\otimes 3})$ ; this is known as the symplectic strange duality. Moreover,  $\ker(\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{Sp}_6 \rightarrow F_4) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . These facts suggest a similar isomorphism for the Verlinde space  $H^0(\mathcal{M}(F_4), \mathcal{L}_{F_4})$ , but the method presented in this paper does not apply to that case.

## References

- [A] T. Abe, *Projective normality of the moduli space of rank 2 vector bundles on a generic curve*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 477–490.
- [Ba] J. C. Baez, *The octonions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 39 (2002), 145–205.
- [B1] A. Beauville, *Fibrés de rang 2 sur une courbe, fibré déterminant et fonctions thêta*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 116 (1988), 431–448.
- [B2] ———, *Fibrés de rang 2 sur une courbe, fibré déterminant et fonctions thêta II*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 119 (1991), 259–291.
- [B3] ———, *Conformal blocks, fusion rules and the Verlinde formula*, Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993), Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 9, pp. 75–96, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996.
- [B4] ———, *Vector bundles and theta functions on curves of genus 2 and 3*, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006), 607–618.
- [BLS] A. Beauville, Y. Laszlo, and C. Sorger, *The Picard group of the moduli of  $G$ -bundles on a curve*, Compositio Math. 112 (1998), 183–216.
- [BNR] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, and S. Ramanan, *Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 398 (1989), 169–179.
- [Be] P. Belkale, *Strange duality and the Hitchin/WZW connection*, J. Differential Geom. 82 (2009), 445–465.
- [BoD] A. Borel and J. De Siebenthal, *Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos*, Comment. Math. Helv. 23 (1949), 200–221.
- [Br] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), 525–576.
- [G] C. Grégoire, *Espace de modules de  $G_2$ -fibrés principaux sur une courbe algébrique*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. de Montpellier II, 2010.
- [H] N. J. Hitchin, *Flat connections and geometric quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. 131 (1990), 347–380.
- [KPSe] Y. Kopeliovich, C. Pauly, and O. Serman, *On theta functions of order 4*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 41 (2009), 423–428.
- [LS] Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger, *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic  $G$ -bundles over curves and their sections*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 30 (1997), 499–525.
- [LPS] Y. Laszlo, C. Pauly, and C. Sorger, *On the monodromy of the Hitchin connection*, J. Geom. Phys. 64 (2013), 64–78.

- [Su] S. Subramanian, *On principal  $G_2$  bundles*, Asian J. Math. 3 (1999), 353–357.
- [SaKi] M. Sato and T. Kimura, *A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector spaces and their relative invariants*, Nagoya Math. J. 65 (1977), 1–155.
- [U] K. Ueno, *Introduction to conformal field theory with gauge symmetries*, Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 184, pp. 603–745, Dekker, New York, 1997.

C. Grégoire  
Institut Fourier  
100 rue des maths, BP74  
38402 St Martin d’Hères Cedex  
France  
chloe.gregoire@gmail.com

C. Pauly  
Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
J.-A. Dieudonné  
Université de Nice  
Sophia Antipolis  
06108 Nice Cedex 02  
France  
pauly@unice.fr