

Inversion Invariant Bilipschitz Homogeneity

DAVID M. FREEMAN

1. Introduction

This paper examines metric spaces that are bilipschitz homogeneous and remain so after they are inverted (see Section 2 for definitions). The general idea is that, in such spaces, the metric doubling property can be improved to Ahlfors Q -regularity and local connectedness can be improved to linear local connectedness.

Bilipschitz homogeneous Jordan curves have been well studied (see e.g. [Bi; GH2; HM; M1; R]). Progress has also been made in the study of (locally) bilipschitz homogeneous geodesic surfaces (see [L]). This paper focuses on the stronger assumption of inversion invariant bilipschitz homogeneity in the context of more general doubling metric spaces. Our main results are as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $L, D \geq 1$. Suppose X is a proper, connected, and D -doubling metric space. If there exists a $p \in X$ such that both X and the inversion of X at p are L -bilipschitz homogeneous then X is Q -regular, with regularity constant depending only on D and L .*

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose X is a proper, connected, and locally connected doubling metric space. If there exists a $p \in X$ such that both X and the inversion of X at p are uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous, then X is LLC_1 . If, in addition, we assume that X has no cut points, then X is also LLC_2 .*

We remark that Theorem 1.2 is qualitative, not quantitative, in nature. It would be interesting to know if a quantitative result is possible.

Before proceeding into the body of the paper, we discuss a few immediate consequences of these two theorems. For one, these results allow us to recover a stronger version of [F1, Thm. 1.2] in which the LLC_1 condition (i.e., bounded turning) need not be assumed (see also [F1, Thm. 1.1]).

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let Γ denote a Jordan curve in \mathbb{R}^n . The curve Γ is an Ahlfors Q -regular quasicircle if and only if there exists a point $p \in \Gamma$ such that both Γ and the Euclidean inversion of Γ at p are uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous.*

The sufficiency follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. The necessity follows from the fact that an LLC_1 and Ahlfors Q -regular Jordan curve in \mathbb{R}^n is bilipschitz

homogeneous, and these two properties are preserved by Möbius maps (such as inversions; see [GHI, Thm. C]).

We also highlight the case in which X is homeomorphic to the unit 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . By a theorem of Bonk and Kleiner [BoK1, Thm. 1.1], it is known that a linearly locally connected and Ahlfors 2-regular metric space homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 is in fact quasi-symmetrically homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 . Therefore, when the space X described in Theorem 1.2 is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 and has Hausdorff dimension 2, we find that X is quasi-symmetrically equivalent to \mathbb{S}^2 . Note that a parallel result holds when X is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 (cf. [W, Thm. 1.2]). However, with our stronger assumption of inversion invariant bilipschitz homogeneity, it seems reasonable to expect a better parameterization of X (perhaps even a bilipschitz parameterization $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow X$).

In Section 2 we provide relevant definitions and explain our notation. In Section 3 we discuss a generalization of Ahlfors regularity for bilipschitz homogeneous spaces. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Section 5 concludes with a few simple examples and related questions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank the referee for a thoughtful and helpful review of this manuscript.

2. Preliminaries

Given a constant C , we write $C = C(A, B, \dots)$ to indicate that C is determined solely by the numbers A, B, \dots . Given two numbers A and B , we write $A \simeq_C B$ to indicate that $C^{-1}A \leq B \leq CA$, where C is typically independent of A and B . When the quantity C is understood, we simply write $A \simeq B$. Similarly, $A \lesssim B$ indicates that $A \leq CB$.

An embedding $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *L-bilipschitz* provided that, for all points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, we have

$$L^{-1}d_X(x_1, x_2) \leq d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq Ld_X(x_1, x_2).$$

Two spaces X, Y are *L-bilipschitz equivalent* if there exists an *L-bilipschitz* homeomorphism f such that $f(X) = Y$. A space X is *bilipschitz homogeneous* if there exists a collection \mathcal{F} of bilipschitz self-homeomorphisms of X such that, for every pair $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there exists a map $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f(x_1) = x_2$. When we can take every map in \mathcal{F} to be *L-bilipschitz*, we say that X is *L-bilipschitz homogeneous*, or uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous when the particular constant is not important.

We use \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{S} to denote the natural numbers, the real line, and the unit circle, respectively. We write $X = (X, d)$ to denote a general metric space. When the distance d is understood, for two points $x, y \in X$ we write $|x - y|$ to denote $d(x, y)$. Open balls, spheres, and annuli are defined (respectively) as

$$B(x; r) := \{y \in X : |x - y| < r\},$$

$$S(x; r) := \{y \in X : |x - y| = r\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$A(x; r, R) := \{y \in X : r < |x - y| < R\}.$$

We say that a space is *proper* if closed and bounded subsets of the space are compact.

For a set $E \subset X$ and $r > 0$, an r -covering number for E is given by

$$N(r; E) := \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \{x_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset X \text{ such that } E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_i; r)\},$$

where $0 < r < +\infty$. A metric space is *doubling* provided there exists some $0 < D < +\infty$ such that, for all $x \in X$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$, we have $N(r; B(x; 2r)) \leq D$. If X is doubling, then there exists an increasing function $\nu: [1, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that $N(r; E) \leq \nu(A)N(Ar; E)$ for each $A \geq 1$. Indeed, we may take $\nu(A) := DA^{\log_2(D)}$.

When a space is doubling, we may restrict ourselves to balls centered in a set E to find $N(r; E)$ simply by changing the resulting number by at most a factor of D^2 . We also record the following information.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let E and F be L -bilipschitz equivalent subsets of a D -doubling metric space. Then, for any $r > 0$, we have $N(r; E) \simeq N(r; F)$ up to the constant $D^3L^{\log_2(D)}$.*

Proof. Assume E and F are bounded. Let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a minimal (with respect to cardinality) cover of F by balls $B_i := B(x_i, r)$, where $x_i \in F$. Given an L -bilipschitz map $f: E \rightarrow F$, we know that $\{B(f^{-1}(x_i); Lr)\}$ covers E . Therefore, $N(Lr; E) \leq k \leq D^2N(r; F)$, where the factor of D^2 comes from the requirement that each $x_i \in F$. Using f^{-1} , we obtain $N(Lr; F) \leq D^2N(r; E)$. The doubling condition then yields the desired conclusion. \square

We write \mathcal{H}^α to denote the usual α -dimensional Hausdorff measure,

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\inf \left\{ \sum_i (\text{diam}(E_i))^\alpha : E \subset \bigcup_i E_i, \text{diam}(E_i) \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right].$$

Given a nondecreasing function $\beta: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ for which $\beta(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we define the *Hausdorff β -measure* of a Borel set $E \subset X$ to be

$$\mathcal{G}^\beta(E) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\inf \left\{ \sum_i \beta(\text{diam}(E_i)) : E \subset \bigcup_i E_i, \text{diam}(E_i) \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right].$$

We refer to such a function β as a *dimension gauge*. When there exists a constant D such that for all $0 < r < +\infty$ we have $\beta(2r) \leq D\beta(r)$, we say that β is a *doubling dimension gauge*. When β is D -doubling, it is straightforward to verify that

$$\mathcal{G}^\beta(E) \simeq_D \mathcal{S}^\beta(E) \tag{2.1}$$

where, given a set $E \subset X$,

$$\mathcal{S}^\beta(E) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\inf \left\{ \sum_i \beta(r_i) : E \subset \bigcup_i B(x_i, r_i), x_i \in X, r_i \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right].$$

A space is *Ahlfors Q -regular* for $Q > 0$ provided that, for every $x \in X$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$, we have $\mathcal{H}^Q(B(x; r)) \simeq r^Q$ up to some constant independent

of r . Given a dimension gauge β , a space X is (A, β) -regular if for every $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$ we have $\mathcal{G}^\beta(B(x; r)) \simeq_A \beta(r)$. This generalization of Ahlfors regularity proves useful in the analysis of bilipschitz homogeneous spaces, as noted by Mayer in [M2, Chap. IV].

For $\lambda > 1$, we say that a space X is λ -linearly locally connected (or λ -LLC for short) provided that, for all $a \in X$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) for each pair of distinct points $\{x, y\} \subset B(a; r)$ there exists a continuum $E \subset B(a; \lambda r)$ containing $\{x, y\}$;
- (2) for each pair of distinct points $\{x, y\} \subset X \setminus B(a; r)$ there exists a continuum $E \subset X \setminus B(a; r/\lambda)$ containing $\{x, y\}$.

Recall that a *continuum* is a connected, compact set containing more than one point. The property described by (1) is referred to as the λ -LLC₁ property and (2) is the λ -LLC₂ property.

In [BoK2], Bonk and Kleiner generalized the notion of chordal distance on the Riemann sphere to unbounded locally compact metric spaces. In [BHX], Buckley, Herron, and Xie built on this notion to develop the concept of *metric inversions*. We record a few pertinent facts about such inversions. Define

$$\hat{X} := \begin{cases} X \cup \{\infty\} & \text{when } X \text{ is unbounded,} \\ X & \text{when } X \text{ is bounded.} \end{cases}$$

Given a basepoint $p \in X$ and any two points $x, y \in X_p := X \setminus \{p\}$, we define

$$i_p(x, y) := \frac{|x - y|}{|x - p||y - p|};$$

when X is unbounded, $i_p(x, \infty) := 1/|x - p|$. This does not define a distance function in general, but one can show (see [BHX, p. 843]) that

$$d_p := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i_p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : x = x_0, \dots, x_k = y \in X_p \right\}$$

defines a distance on $\hat{X}_p = \hat{X} \setminus \{p\}$ such that, for all $x, y \in \hat{X}_p$,

$$\frac{1}{4}i_p(x, y) \leq d_p(x, y) \leq i_p(x, y).$$

We use the distance d_p to define the *inversion of X at p* , denoted by

$$\text{Inv}_p(X) := (\hat{X}_p, d_p).$$

We often write $X^* := \text{Inv}_p(X)$ when the basepoint is understood. The identity map from (\hat{X}_p, d) to $X^* = (\hat{X}_p, d_p)$ is written as $\varphi_p: \hat{X}_p \rightarrow X^*$. When it is clear that we are working in X^* we simply write $|\cdot|$ to denote d_p , so for points $x, y \in \hat{X}_p$ we can write $|\varphi_p(x) - \varphi_p(y)|$ in place of $d_p(x, y)$. For points $x \in X_p$, it is sometimes convenient to write $x^* := \varphi_p(x)$. When X is unbounded, we write p^* to denote $\varphi_p(\infty)$. So for any $x \in X_p$ we have $1/(4|x - p|) \leq |x^* - p^*| \leq 1/|x - p|$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 it will be useful to consider the related notion of *metric sphericalization*, a concept that was originally defined and studied in [BoK2].

However, sphericalization can also be understood as a special case of metric inversion, and that viewpoint will streamline the proofs in this paper. Given a metric space (X, d) , fix a point $p \in X$. Then define $X^q := X \sqcup \{q\}$, the disjoint union of X and some point q . We define a distance on X^q as

$$d^{p,q}(x, y) := d^{p,q}(y, x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = q = y, \\ d(x, y) & \text{if } x \neq q \neq y, \\ d(x, p) + 1 & \text{if } x \neq q = y. \end{cases}$$

Then we may define the sphericalization of X at p as

$$\text{Sph}_p(X) := (\text{Inv}_q(X^q), (d^{p,q})_q).$$

We remark that when X is unbounded, $1/4 \leq \text{diam}(\text{Sph}_p(X)) \leq 1$. We write ψ_p to denote the identity mapping $\hat{X} \rightarrow \text{Sph}_p(X)$. We refer the reader to [BoK2] or [BHX] for more information on sphericalization.

The following estimates are utilized frequently (cf. [BHX, p. 848]).

FACT 2.2. For $0 < r < R < \text{diam}(X)$ and $x, y \in A(p; r, R)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|x - y|}{4R^2} &\leq |\varphi_p(x) - \varphi_p(y)| \leq \frac{|x - y|}{r^2}; \\ \frac{|x - y|}{4(1 + R)^2} &\leq |\psi_p(x) - \psi_p(y)| \leq \frac{|x - y|}{(1 + r)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Having defined and discussed metric inversion, we can now make the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.3. Given a metric space X , we use the term *inversion invariant bilipschitz homogeneity* to describe the situation in which both X and $\text{Inv}_p(X)$ are uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous.

3. Generalized Ahlfors Regularity

The methods and results of this section closely resemble those found in [HM] and [M2, Chap. IV].

We now define a means of measuring the “thickness” of a space at a given scale. When X is bounded, for a scale $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$ we define

$$\delta(r) := N(r; X)^{-1}.$$

When X is unbounded, for a point $x \in X$ and scale $0 < r < +\infty$ we define

$$\delta(x; r) := \begin{cases} N(r; B(x; 1))^{-1} & \text{if } r \leq 1, \\ N(1; B(x; r)) & \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We refer to δ as a *canonical dimension gauge* for the space X . When X is bilipschitz homogeneous, we shall demonstrate that (up to a multiplicative constant) Definition 2.3 does not depend on the basepoint x (used in the unbounded case). Therefore, we often write $\delta(r)$ to denote $\delta(x; r)$, suppressing our choice of a basepoint.

We say that X has the *weak bounded covering* property if there exists a constant $1 \leq C < +\infty$ such that, for all points $x, y \in X$ and scales $0 < r < s < t < \text{diam}(X)$, we have

$$N(r; B(x; s)) \leq CN(r; B(y; t)).$$

We use the prefix “weak” because this condition is analogous to a stronger condition utilized when studying bilipschitz homogeneous Jordan curves (see [HM, p. 776]). This concept is also utilized in [M2, Prop. IV.5].

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose a D -doubling metric space X is L -bilipschitz homogeneous. Then X has the C -weakly bounded covering property for some $C = C(D, L)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $0 < r < s < t < \text{diam}(X)$ be given. Let $\{B(y_i; r)\}_{i=1}^m$ denote a minimal covering of $B(y; t)$ by balls of radius r centered in $B(y; t)$, and let $\{B(x_j; t/L)\}_{j=1}^n$ denote a minimal covering of $B(x; s)$ by balls of radius t/L centered in $B(x; s)$. Note that

$$n \leq D^2 N(t/L; B(x; s)) \leq D^2 v(L) N(t; B(x; s)) \leq D^2 v(L).$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$, Let $f_j: X \rightarrow X$ denote an L -bilipschitz homeomorphism such that $f_j(y) = x_j$. For each j , we have $B(x_j; t/L) \subset f_j(B(y; t))$. Since the balls $\{B(y_i; r)\}$ cover $B(y; t)$, we find that we can cover $B(x_j; t/L)$ by the sets $\{f_j(B(y_i; r))\}_{i=1}^m$. Since each of these sets has diameter no greater than $2Lr$, it follows that $N(2Lr; B(x_j; t/L)) \leq m$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N(r; B(x; s)) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n N(r; B(x_j; t/L)) \leq v(2L) \sum_{j=1}^n N(2Lr; B(x_j; t/L)) \\ &\leq v(2L) nm \leq D^4 v(L) v(2L) N(r; B(y; t)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose X is unbounded, D -doubling, and L -bilipschitz homogeneous. Then there exists a constant $C = C(D, L)$ such that, for any $x, y \in X$ and $0 < r < +\infty$, we have $\delta(x; r) \simeq_C \delta(y; r)$.*

This corollary allows us to speak of “the” canonical dimension gauge for an unbounded space X . With this terminology we are actually describing an equivalence class of dimension gauges, all comparable up to a constant depending only on the doubling and homogeneity constants for X .

The following observation is similar to [M2, Lemme A.2].

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that X is L -bilipschitz homogeneous and D -doubling. Then there exists a constant $C = C(D, L)$ such that, for any $0 < r < s < t < \text{diam}(X)$,*

$$N(r; B(x; t)) \simeq_C N(r; B(x; s)) N(s; B(x; t)).$$

In fact, we can take C to be the weak bounded covering constant for X .

Proof. Let $\{B(x_i; s)\}_{i=1}^n$ denote a minimal cover of $B(x; t)$ by balls of radius s . For each i , let $\{B(y_{i,j}; r)\}_{j=1}^{m_i}$ denote a minimal cover of $B(x_i; s)$ by balls of radius r . By Lemma 3.1 we know that there exists a $C = C(D, L)$ such that $m_i \simeq_C N(r; B(x; s))$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This yields

$$N(r; B(x; t)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \leq CN(s; B(x; t))N(r; B(x; s)).$$

The reverse inequality follows in a similar manner. □

A metric space is (H, α) -homogeneous if for every $x \in X$ and numbers $0 < r \leq s < \text{diam}(X)$ we have $P(r; B(x; s)) \leq H(s/r)^\alpha$. Here $P(r; E)$ denotes the maximal cardinality of an r -separated set contained in E and is referred to as a *packing number*. In a D -doubling metric space, given a bounded set E we have $N(r; E) \simeq_D P(r; E)$. Lemma 3.3, along with the easily verified fact that D -doubling metric spaces are $(D^2, \log_2(D))$ -homogeneous, yields the following corollary. This, in particular, demonstrates that a canonical dimension gauge is doubling.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Suppose that X is connected, D -doubling, and L -bilipschitz homogeneous. Then there exist constants $1 \leq C < +\infty$ and $1 \leq \alpha < +\infty$ depending only on D and L and such that, for every $x \in X$ and $0 < r < s < \text{diam}(X)$, we have*

$$C^{-1}(s/r)\delta(r) \leq \delta(s) \leq C(s/r)^\alpha\delta(r). \tag{3.1}$$

Observe that the lower bound in this corollary is a trivial consequence of the connectedness assumption. Without this assumption, the lower bound need not hold (consider $X = \mathbb{Z}$).

When X is bilipschitz homogeneous, the measure \mathcal{G}^δ takes on a particularly simple form. For a Borel set $E \subset X$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^\delta(E) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [\inf\{N(r; E)\delta(r) : r \leq \varepsilon\}].$$

LEMMA 3.5. *Suppose X is a D -doubling and L -bilipschitz homogeneous metric space. Then, for a compact set $E \subset X$, we have $\mathcal{G}^\delta(E) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\delta(E)$ up to a constant depending only on D and L .*

Proof. From (2.1) it follows that $\mathcal{G}^\delta(E) \simeq \mathcal{S}^\delta(E)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}^\delta \leq \mathcal{C}^\delta$; we verify that $\mathcal{C}^\delta \lesssim \mathcal{S}^\delta$ up to some constant depending only on D and L . Let $\{B(x_i; r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ denote a finite open cover of a compact subset $E \subset X$. We may assume that

$$r_1 = \min\{r_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \leq \max\{r_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} < 1.$$

Then write $m_i := N(r_1; B(x_i; r_i))$. Since $\{B(x_i; r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ covers E , we have $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \geq N(r_1; E)$. If X is unbounded then—by Corollary 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and Lemma 3.1—we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(r_i) &\simeq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{N(r_i; B(x; 1))} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{N(r_1; B(x_i; r_i))}{N(r_1; B(x; 1))} \\ &= \frac{1}{N(r_1; B(x; 1))} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \geq \frac{N(r_1; E)}{N(r_1; B(x; 1))} \simeq N(r_1; E)\delta(r_1). \end{aligned}$$

The same sort of comparability holds when X is bounded. This allows us to conclude that $\mathcal{C}^\delta(E) \lesssim \mathcal{S}^\delta(E)$, and we are done. \square

We now treat the main result of this section. Recall that X is (A, β) -regular provided that, for all $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$ and $x \in X$, we have $\mathcal{G}^\beta(B(x; r)) \simeq_B \beta(r)$. For compact spaces X , this is [M2, Thm. 9].

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose a proper metric space X is D -doubling and L -bilipschitz homogeneous. Then X is (A, δ) -regular, where δ is the canonical dimension gauge for X and $A = A(D, L)$.*

Before commencing with the proof, we observe that this result need not hold for spaces that are not proper. Indeed, \mathbb{Q} (the set of rational numbers in \mathbb{R}) is doubling and 1-bilipschitz homogeneous. However, for the canonical dimension gauge δ we have $\mathcal{G}^\delta \simeq \mathcal{H}^1$, while $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Suppose that for every closed ball $\bar{B}(x; r)$ we have $\mathcal{G}^\delta(\bar{B}(x; r)) \simeq \delta(r)$. Then, for any $B(x; s) \subset X$, we may use (3.1) to obtain

$$\delta(s) \simeq \mathcal{G}^\delta(\bar{B}(x; s/2)) \leq \mathcal{G}^\delta(B(x; s)) \leq \mathcal{G}^\delta(\bar{B}(x; s)) \simeq \delta(s).$$

Therefore, to prove our theorem it suffices to consider closed balls $\bar{B}(x; s)$.

Let $\bar{B}(x; s)$ denote a closed (thus compact) ball in X , and let $\{B(x_i; r)\}_{i=1}^n$ denote a cover of $\bar{B}(x; s)$ for $n := N(r; \bar{B}(x; s))$ and $r \leq \min\{1, s\}$. Assume that X is unbounded. By Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3,

$$N(r; \bar{B}(x; s))\delta(r) \simeq \frac{N(r; B(x; s))}{N(r; B(x; 1))}.$$

When $s \leq 1$, by Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.2 we have

$$\frac{N(r; B(x; s))}{N(r; B(x; 1))} \simeq \frac{1}{N(s; B(x; 1))} \simeq \delta(s).$$

When $s \geq 1$, again by Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.2 we have

$$\frac{N(r; B(x; s))}{N(r; B(x; 1))} \simeq N(1; B(x; s)) \simeq \delta(s).$$

The same sort of comparability holds when X is bounded. All of these comparabilities depend only on D and L . By Lemma 3.5, we are done. \square

Given a metric space (X, d) and $s > 0$, define $sX := (X, sd)$. Thus sX is just a rescaling of the distance d by a factor of s . Note that if X is L -bilipschitz homogeneous then so is sX . It will be useful to know that δ -regularity is scale invariant in the following sense.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let X denote a proper, D -doubling, L -bilipschitz homogeneous metric space. For any $s > 0$, let δ_s denote the canonical dimension gauge for sX . Then sX is (A, δ_s) -regular, where $A = A(D, L)$.*

Proof. Let $B_s(x; r)$ denote a ball in sX and let $B(x; r)$ denote a ball in X centered at the same point x . Note that, as sets, $B_s(x; r) = B(x; sr)$. Assume that X is bounded. Then, by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{G}^{\delta_s}(\bar{B}_s(x; r)) &\simeq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [\inf\{N(t; B_s(x; r))\delta_s(t) : t \leq \varepsilon\}] \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [\inf\{N(t/s; B(x; r/s))\delta_s(t) : t \leq \varepsilon\}] \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\inf \left\{ \frac{N(t/s; B(x; r/s))}{N(t/s; X)} : t \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \\
 &\simeq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [\inf\{N(r/s; X)^{-1} : t \leq \varepsilon\}] \\
 &= N(r/s; X)^{-1} = \delta_s(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.6, this is sufficient to establish that sX is δ_s -regular. The comparability constant depends only on D and L . □

4. Inversion Invariant Bilipschitz Homogeneity

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Before proving Theorem 1.1, we need the following two facts. The first is a straightforward modification of [GH1, Thm. 3.1]. Note that our assumption of connectedness avoids the use of modulus techniques that appear in the original proof. For a similar result in the case of metric sphericalization, see [W, Prop. 6.13].

FACT 4.1. *Suppose X is a connected Q -regular metric space. Then any inversion or sphericalization of X remains Q -regular, with regularity constant depending only on the original.*

The second fact is proved in Part 2 of the proof of [F1, Thm. 1.2].

FACT 4.2. *Suppose δ is a dimension gauge satisfying (3.1) with constant C . If there exists a constant $1 \leq A < +\infty$ such that for all $s, r > 0$ we have $\delta(sr) \simeq_A \delta(s)\delta(r)$, then there exist constants $1 \leq Q < +\infty$ and $1 \leq B < +\infty$ such that, for all $t > 0$, we have $\delta(t) \simeq_B t^Q$. Here $B = B(A, C)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow the general method behind the proof of [F1, Thm. 1.2]. For now, we assume that X is unbounded (we will treat the case in which X is bounded a bit differently). Let δ denote the canonical dimension gauge for X , and let δ^* denote the canonical dimension gauge for $X^* := \text{Inv}_p(X)$. We point out that the unboundedness of X^* is not relevant to the following argument; we only use the fact that $\text{diam}(X^*) \geq 1$.

We begin by demonstrating that, for any positive numbers s, t , we have $\delta(st) \simeq \delta(s)\delta(t)$ up to a constant depending only on D and L .

Step 1. Let $0 < r \leq 1$. We prove that $\delta(r) \simeq \delta^*(r)$, where the comparability depends only on D and L . Choose a basepoint x such that $x \in S(p; 2)$. Then $B(x; 1) \subset A(p; 1, 3)$ and so, by Fact 2.2, φ_p is a 27-bilipschitz map on $B(x; 1)$. By Corollary 3.2, Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\delta(r) \simeq N(r; B(x; 1))^{-1} \simeq N(r; \varphi_p(B(x; 1)))^{-1} \simeq N(r; B(x^*; 1))^{-1} \simeq \delta^*(r).$$

Step 2. Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and $0 < t \leq 1$. We verify that $\delta(st) \simeq \delta(s)\delta(t)$. Again the comparability depends only on D and L . Begin by selecting a point x with

$|x - p| = 4s^{-1/2} \geq 4$. Therefore, any ball of radius t intersecting $B(x; 1)$ must lie in the annulus $A(p; |x - p|/2, 2|x - p|)$. We assert that

$$N(t; B(x; 1)) \simeq N(st; \varphi_p(B(x; 1))). \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed, let $\{B(x_i; t)\}$ be a finite cover of $B(x; 1)$. Then, by Fact 2.2,

$$B(x_i^*; st/256) \subset \varphi_p(B(x_i; t)) \subset B(x_i^*; st/4).$$

The assertion (4.1) then follows from the metric doubling property as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Again using Fact 2.2, we have

$$B(x^*; s/256) \subset \varphi_p(B(x; 1)) \subset B(x^*; s/4). \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.2, (4.1), Corollary 3.4, and Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta(t)} &\simeq N(t; B(x; 1)) \simeq N(st; \varphi_p(B(x; 1))) \simeq N(st; B(x^*; s)) \\ &\simeq \frac{N(st; B(x^*; 1))}{N(s; B(x^*; 1))} \simeq \frac{\delta^*(s)}{\delta^*(st)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these calculations along with Step 1, we conclude that

$$\delta(st) \simeq \delta^*(st) \simeq \delta(t)\delta^*(s) \simeq \delta(t)\delta(s).$$

All comparability statements depend only on D and L .

Step 3. Let $1 \leq s \leq t$. We show that $\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t)$, with comparability constant depending only on D and L . Choose $x \in X$ with $|x - p| = 4t$. By Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\delta(t) \simeq N(1; B(x; t)) \simeq N(1; B(x; s))N(s; B(x; t)) \simeq \delta(s)N(s; B(x; t)).$$

The comparability depends only on D and L .

Suppose $B(y; s) \cap B(x; t) \neq \emptyset$ for some $y \in X$. Since $s \leq t$ and $|x - p| = 4t$, we have $B(y; s) \subset A(p; |x - p|/2, 2|x - p|)$. Therefore, as in (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$N(s; B(x; t)) \simeq N(s/|x - p|^2; \varphi_p(B(x; t))) \simeq N(s/t^2; B(x^*; 1/t)).$$

We can now use Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.2 to obtain

$$N(s/t^2; B(x^*; 1/t)) \simeq \frac{N(s/t^2; B(x^*; 1))}{N(1/t; B(x^*; 1))} \simeq \frac{\delta^*(1/t)}{\delta^*(s/t^2)}.$$

Finally, using Steps 1 and 2 leads to

$$\frac{\delta^*(1/t)}{\delta^*(s/t^2)} \simeq \frac{\delta(1/t)}{\delta(1/t)\delta(s/t)} = \frac{1}{\delta(s/t)}.$$

Stringing together the foregoing observations yields $\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t)$. The comparability depends only on D and L .

Step 4. Let $s, t > 0$. We confirm that $\delta(st) \simeq \delta(s)\delta(t)$ up to a constant depending only on D and L . We perform a case analysis in order to prove the equivalent conclusion that, for every $s, t > 0$, we have $\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t)$.

Case 1: $s \leq 1$. Suppose first that $t \geq 1$. Then

$$\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)\delta(1/t) \simeq \delta(s)\delta(1)/\delta(t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t).$$

The first relation follows from Step 2 and the second from Step 3; the final relation follows from the definition of δ .

Suppose now that $t < 1$. If $s/t \leq 1$, then by Step 2 we have

$$\delta(s) = \delta((s/t)t) \simeq \delta(s/t)\delta(t).$$

If $s/t > 1$, then from Step 3 it follows that

$$\delta(1/s)/\delta(1/t) \simeq \delta(t/s) = \delta(1/(s/t)) \simeq \delta(1)/\delta(s/t) \simeq 1/\delta(s/t). \tag{4.3}$$

Furthermore, since $s \leq 1$, by Step 3 we have

$$\delta(s) = \delta(1/(1/s)) \simeq \delta(1)/\delta(1/s) \simeq 1/\delta(1/s).$$

Similarly, $\delta(t) \simeq 1/\delta(1/t)$. Putting this together yields $\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t)$, where the comparability constant depends only on B, L , and n .

Case 2: $s > 1$. Suppose first that $t \geq 1$. If $s/t \leq 1$ then, by Step 3, we have

$$\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t).$$

If $s/t > 1$ then, again by Step 3,

$$\delta(s/t) \simeq 1/\delta(t/s) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t).$$

Now suppose that $t < 1$ (so $s/t > 1$). By the calculations in (4.3), $\delta(s/t) \simeq 1/\delta(t/s)$. By Step 2, $\delta(t/s) \simeq \delta(t)\delta(1/s)$; by Step 3, $\delta(1/s) \simeq \delta(1)/\delta(s)$. Putting this together yields $\delta(s/t) \simeq \delta(s)/\delta(t)$. The comparability depends only on D and L .

Now we treat the case in which X is bounded. By Lemma 3.7, we may rescale so that $\text{diam}(X) = 1$ without losing control of the regularity constant. We may also assume that there exists a point $q \in X$ such that $|p - q| \geq 1/2$. Write $X^* := \text{Inv}_p(X)$ and set $q^* := \varphi_p(q) \in X^*$. Then X^* is unbounded and $X^{**} := \text{Sph}_{q^*}(X^*)$ has diameter between $1/4$ and 1 . By [BHX, Prop. 3.5] we know that X is 256-bilipschitz equivalent to X^{**} . Therefore, X^{**} is $L' := (256^2L)$ -bilipschitz homogeneous. We rescale so that $1 \leq \text{diam}(X^{**}) \leq 4$. Such rescaling will only change the canonical dimension gauge for X^{**} by a factor that depends on the doubling constant.

We make the following observations: sphericalization is a special case of inversion; both X^* and X^{**} are L' -bilipschitz homogeneous; X^* is unbounded; and $\text{diam}(X^{**}) \geq 1$. Therefore, up to minor adjustments, the arguments used in the case of unbounded X may be applied to conclude that, for all positive numbers s, t , we have $\delta^*(st) \simeq \delta^*(s)\delta^*(t)$. Here δ^* is the canonical dimension gauge for X^* , and comparability depends only on D and L .

By Corollary 3.4, we know that δ satisfies (3.1). Therefore, by the preceding portion of this proof and Fact 4.2, we conclude that there exist $1 \leq B < +\infty$ and $1 \leq Q < +\infty$ such that $\delta(t) \simeq_B t^Q$, where $B = B(D, L)$. When X is bounded, we reach the same conclusion for δ^* .

When X is unbounded, we use Theorem 3.6 to conclude that X is (C', Q) -regular for $C' = C'(D, L)$. When X is bounded, we use the same theorem to conclude that X^* is (C', Q) -regular for $C' = C'(D, L)$. By Fact 4.1, X is (C'', Q) -regular for $C'' = C''(D, L)$. □

Now we demonstrate that inversion invariant bilipschitz homogeneity implies the LLC condition when we assume a few additional conditions on the space X . We are currently unable to prove a quantitative implication as in Theorem 1.1 (except when $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an unbounded Jordan curve; see [F2, Thm. 1.1]).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We proceed by way of contradiction, first for the LLC₁ condition and then for the LLC₂ condition. The two conditions require similar arguments. When X is bounded, we rescale so that $\text{diam}(X) = 1$. Such rescaling does not affect the constants relevant to the LLC properties.

We first address the LLC₁ property. The main idea is to use bilipschitz homogeneity to demonstrate that X must be LLC₁ at fixed scales and then to use inversion invariance to show that the same LLC₁ constant must hold at all scales.

Let $\mathcal{T}_3 := \{(a, \lambda, r)\}$ denote a collection of triples such that there exists a pair of points $x, y \in B(a; r)$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in $B(a; \lambda r)$. Let \mathcal{T}_2 denote the pairs (λ, r) from the triples in \mathcal{T}_3 . For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\mu_m := \sup\{\lambda : (\lambda, r) \in \mathcal{T}_2, 1/m \leq \lambda r \leq 1\}.$$

For each m , we claim that $1 \leq \mu_m < +\infty$. The lower bound is trivial. To see that each μ_m is finite, suppose that $\{(a_n, \lambda_n, r_n)\}$ is a sequence of points from \mathcal{T}_3 for which $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $1/m \leq \lambda_n r_n \leq 1$. Then choose any point $a_0 \in X$. There exist L -bilipschitz homeomorphisms $f_n: X \rightarrow X$ with $f_n(a_n) = a_0$. Then, for each n , there exists a pair of points $x_n, y_n \in B(a_0; Lr_n)$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in $B(a_0; \lambda_n r_n/L)$. Since $r_n \rightarrow 0$, this contradicts the assumption that X is locally connected at a_0 . Therefore, we confirm that $\mu_m < +\infty$. This is what we mean by the phrase “ X is LLC₁ at fixed scales.”

Assume that X is not LLC₁. Then there exist arbitrarily large values for λ in triples from \mathcal{T}_3 . We show that arbitrarily large values for λ correspond to arbitrarily small values for r . In other words, we show that $\mu_m \rightarrow +\infty$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. When X is bounded (and $\text{diam}(X) = 1$), this is clear. However, when X is unbounded we proceed as follows. Assume there exists a constant $M < +\infty$ such that, for all m , $\mu_m \leq M$. Since X is not LLC₁ (by assumption), there exists a sequence of points $\{(a_n, \lambda_n, r_n)\}$ from \mathcal{T}_3 such that $\lambda_n r_n \geq 1$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$. Choose n large enough to guarantee that $\lambda_n \geq 10^6 L^4 M$, and fix a basepoint $p \in X$. There exists an L -bilipschitz homeomorphism $f_n: X \rightarrow X$ such that $b_n := f_n(a_n) \in S(p; 2\lambda_n r_n)$. Let $b_n^* := \varphi_p(b_n)$; then, by Fact 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p \circ f_n(B(a_n; r_n)) &\subset B(b_n^*; L/(\lambda_n^2 r_n)) \\ &\subset B(b_n^*, 1/(36L\lambda_n r_n)) \subset \varphi_p \circ f_n(B(a_n; \lambda_n r_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we move b_n^* to a point $c_n^* \in S(p^*; 3/4) \subset X^*$ by an L -bilipschitz homeomorphism $g_n: X^* \rightarrow X^*$. Since $1/(36L^2\lambda_n r_n) < 1/4$, Fact 2.2 tells us that φ_{p^*} is 4-bilipschitz on $B(c_n^*, 1/(36L^2\lambda_n r_n))$. By [BHX, Prop. 3.3] we know that $\text{Inv}_{p^*}(X^*)$

is 16-bilipschitz equivalent to the space X via some map denoted by h . Define $\Psi_n := h \circ \varphi_{p^*} \circ g_n \circ \varphi_p \circ f_n$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(B(a_n; r_n)) &\subset B(c_n; 64L^2/(\lambda_n^2 r_n)) \\ &\subset B(c_n; 1/(2304L^2 \lambda_n r_n)) \subset \Psi_n(B(a_n; \lambda_n r_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $c_n := h \circ \varphi_{p^*}(c_n^*)$. By construction, there exists a pair of points in $B(c_n; 64L^2/(\lambda_n^2 r_n))$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in the larger ball $B(c_n; 1/(2304L^2 \lambda_n r_n))$. Setting $r'_n := 64L^2/(\lambda_n^2 r_n)$ and $\lambda'_n := \lambda_n/(147456L^4)$, we find that $(\lambda'_n, r'_n) \in \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\lambda'_n r'_n \leq 1$. Moreover, $\lambda'_n > M$. This contradicts the definition of M , so no such M can exist. We thus conclude that $\mu_m \rightarrow +\infty$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ (whether X is bounded or unbounded).

Now we extract a subsequence (μ_{m_l}) that is strictly increasing; in particular, we may assume that $\mu_{m_l} > 2\mu_{m_l-1}$. Observe the difference between μ_{m_l-1} and $\mu_{m_{(l-1)}}$. For each l there exists a pair $(\lambda, r) \in \mathcal{T}_2$ such that $\mu_{m_l-1} < \lambda \leq \mu_{m_l}$ and $1/m_l \leq \lambda r \leq 1$. Now, if $1/(m_l - 1) < \lambda r$ then we have contradicted the definition of μ_{m_l-1} . Therefore, $\lambda r \leq 1/(m_l - 1) \leq 2/m_l$ (here we assumed that $m_l \geq 2$). Thus we have

$$\mu_{m_l} = \sup\{\lambda : (\lambda, r) \in \mathcal{T}_2, 1/m_l \leq \lambda r \leq 2/m_l\}.$$

To avoid nested subscripts, we write $m(l) := m_l$. Fix l_0 and l such that $m(l_0) > 16 \cdot 10^8 L^4$ and $\mu_{m(l)} > 2 \cdot 10^9 L^4 \mu_{m(l_0)} > 2 \cdot 10^{12} L^4$. We also want

$$\frac{1}{m(l)} < \frac{t_l}{4L}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$t_l := \frac{1}{10^4 L} \sqrt{\frac{m(l_0)}{m(l)}}.$$

For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a triple $(a_l, \lambda_l, r_l) \in \mathcal{T}_3$ such that $1/m(l) \leq \lambda_l r_l \leq 2/m(l)$ and $\mu_{m(l)}/2 \leq \lambda_l \leq \mu_{m(l)}$. We send a_l to some point $b_l \in S(p; t_l)$ via an L -bilipschitz homeomorphism $f_l: X \rightarrow X$. By (4.4) we have

$$f_l(B(a_l; \lambda_l r_l)) \subset A(p; t_l/2, 2t_l).$$

By Fact 2.2, applying φ_p yields

$$B(b_l^*; \lambda_l r_l / (16L t_l^2)) \subset \varphi_p(f_l(B(a_l; \lambda_l r_l))) \subset B(b_l^*; 4L \lambda_l r_l / t_l^2),$$

where $b_l^* := \varphi_p(b_l)$. Then we map b_l^* to a point $c_l^* \in S(p^*; 1)$ by an L -bilipschitz homeomorphism $g_l: X^* \rightarrow X^*$. Note that our choice of l_0 results in

$$\frac{4L^2 \lambda_l r_l}{t_l^2} \leq \frac{8 \cdot 10^8 L^4}{m(l_0)} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$g_l \circ \varphi_p \circ f_l(B(a_l; \lambda_l r_l)) \subset A(p^*; 1/2, 2).$$

When X is unbounded, we apply φ_{p^*} and then a 16-bilipschitz map h to get back into the original space X (such a map h exists by [BHX, Prop. 3.3]). For $\Phi_l := h \circ \varphi_{p^*} \circ g_l \circ \varphi_p \circ f_l$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_l(B(a_l; r_l)) &\subset B(c_l; 10^3L^2r_l/t_l^2) \\ &\subset B(c_l; \lambda_l r_l / (10^6L^2t_l^2)) \subset \Phi_l(B(a_l; \lambda_l r_l)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $c_l := h \circ \varphi_{p^*}(c_l^*)$.

When X is bounded, we let $q \in X$ denote any point such that $|p - q| \geq 1/2$. Writing $q^* := \varphi_p(q)$, we use ψ_{q^*} to denote the identity map $X^* \rightarrow \text{Sph}_{q^*}(X^*)$. By [BHX, Prop. 3.5] there exists a 256-bilipschitz homeomorphism h between X and $\psi_{q^*}(X^*)$. Writing $\Psi_l := h \circ \psi_{q^*} \circ g_l \circ \varphi_p \circ f_l$, we obtain the same inclusions using Ψ_l as when using Φ_l in (4.5).

Suppose that every pair of points in $B(c_l; 10^3L^2r_l/t_l^2)$ can be joined by a continuum in $B(c_l; \lambda_l r_l / (10^6L^2t_l^2))$. Then we pull back by Φ_l or Ψ_l to conclude that every pair of points in $B(a_l; r_l)$ can be joined by a continuum in $B(a_l; \lambda_l r_l)$. This would be a contradiction to our construction. Hence there exists a pair of points in $B(c_l; 10^3L^2r_l/t_l^2)$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in $B(c_l; \lambda_l r_l / (10^6L^2t_l^2))$.

Set $r' := 10^3L^2r_l/t_l^2$ and $\lambda' := \lambda_l / (10^9L^4)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{m(l_0)} < \lambda' r' \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\mu_{m(l_0)} \geq \lambda' = \frac{\lambda_l}{10^9L^4} \geq \frac{\mu_{m(l)}}{2 \cdot 10^9L^4} > \mu_{m(l_0)}.$$

This contradiction allows us to conclude that X must be LLC_1 .

Now we turn our attention to the LLC_2 condition. Again we use (i) bilipschitz homogeneity to prove that X must be LLC_2 at fixed scales and (ii) inversion invariance to confirm that a single LLC_2 constant works at all scales.

Define \mathcal{S}_3 to be the collection of triples $\{(a, \lambda, r)\}$ for which there exist points $x, y \in X \setminus B(a; r)$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in $X \setminus B(a; r/\lambda)$. Let \mathcal{S}_2 denote the pairs (λ, r) from the triples in \mathcal{S}_3 , and define

$$\rho_m := \sup\{\lambda : (\lambda, r) \in \mathcal{S}_2, 1/m \leq r \leq 1\}.$$

For each m we claim that $1 \leq \rho_m < +\infty$. The lower bound is trivial. To see that each ρ_m is finite, suppose that $\{(a_n, \lambda_n, r_n)\}$ is a sequence of points from \mathcal{S}_3 for which $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $1/m \leq r_n \leq 1$. Then choose any point $a_0 \in X$. There exist L -bilipschitz homeomorphisms $f_n: X \rightarrow X$ with $f_n(a_n) = a_0$. Then, for each n , there exists a pair of points $x_n, y_n \in X \setminus B(a_0; r_n/L)$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in $X \setminus B(a_0; Lr_n/\lambda_n)$. Note that we may assume x_n and y_n to be contained in the ball $B(a_0; 2r_n/L)$. By the properness of X , there exists a pair of points x_0, y_0 to which subsequences from (x_n) and (y_n) converge. For convenience, assume $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_0$. Using properness along with local connectedness, we conclude that $x_0 \neq y_0$.

Let E denote a continuum joining x_0 and y_0 in X , and suppose that $a_0 \notin E$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given such that $B(a_0; \varepsilon) \cap E = \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon < 1/2mL$, and take n large enough so that $L/\lambda_n < \varepsilon$. Since X is locally connected and proper, there exist arbitrarily small connected neighborhoods of x_0 and y_0 whose closures are compact. So for large enough n , we can join x_n to x_0 and y_n to y_0 by continua inside

$B(x_0; \varepsilon)$ and $B(y_0; \varepsilon)$, respectively. Let F_n and G_n denote these continua. Since $L/\lambda_n < \varepsilon$, the set $F_n \cup E \cup G_n$ is a continuum joining x_n to y_n that does not intersect $B(a_0; Lr_n/\lambda_n)$. This contradicts the construction of x_n and y_n , so we must have $a_0 \in E$. Thus any continuum containing $\{x_0, y_0\}$ must also contain a_0 . By elementary topology, this means that a_0 is a cut point of X . This contradicts our assumption that X has no cut points, so we conclude that $\rho_m < +\infty$.

Furthermore, the same strategy used previously to show that $\mu_m \rightarrow +\infty$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ can be used to verify that $\rho_m \rightarrow +\infty$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. We extract (ρ_{m_l}) , which is strictly increasing, so that $\rho_{m_l} > 2\rho_{m_{l-1}}$. Hence for each l there exists a pair $(\lambda, r) \in S_2$ such that $\rho_{m_{l-1}} < \lambda \leq \rho_{m_l}$. Now, if $r > 1/(m_l - 1)$ then we have contradicted the definition of $\rho_{m_{l-1}}$. Therefore, $r \leq 1/(m_l - 1) \leq 2/m_l$. Thus we have

$$\rho_{m_l} = \sup\{\lambda : (\lambda, r) \in S_2, 1/m_l \leq r \leq 2/m_l\}.$$

We proceed in close parallel to the preceding arguments to obtain an index l_0 , a pair $(\lambda', r') \in S_2$, and a point $c \in X$ such that there exists a pair of points in $X \setminus B(c; r')$ that cannot be joined by a continuum in $X \setminus B(c; r'/\lambda')$. However, we construct (λ', r') so that $\rho_{m(l_0)} < \lambda' \leq \rho_{m(l_0)}$, reaching essentially the same contradiction that appeared in our proof of the LLC_1 condition. Therefore, X is LLC_2 . □

5. Examples and Questions

Whereas inversion invariant bilipschitz homogeneity implies both Ahlfors Q -regularity and the LLC conditions for certain spaces, bilipschitz homogeneity alone implies neither. We say that X is a *surface* if X is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 .

EXAMPLE 5.1. *There exists a proper surface $X \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ that is uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous but does not satisfy the LLC_1 condition.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the (nonbounded turning) helix-type curve constructed in [HM, Exm. 5.6]. Then define $S := \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Since Γ is a proper metric space homeomorphic to the real line, S is a proper metric space homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Since Γ is not LLC_1 , it follows that S is not LLC_1 . Since both Γ and \mathbb{R} are uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous, so is S . □

EXAMPLE 5.2. *There exists a proper surface $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ that is uniformly bilipschitz homogeneous and LLC but not Ahlfors Q -regular for any Q .*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the unbounded Jordan curve constructed in [F1, Exm. 7.1]. Nondegenerate compact subarcs of Γ have positive finite \mathcal{H}^Q measure (for $Q := \log_3(4)$), but Γ is not Ahlfors Q -regular. Define $S := \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Then S has Hausdorff dimension $Q + 1$ but is not Ahlfors $(Q + 1)$ -regular. □

These two examples motivate the following questions.

QUESTION 5.3. Does there exist a condition that, when coupled with bilipschitz homogeneity, would imply the LLC condition but not Ahlfors Q -regularity?

QUESTION 5.4. Does bilipschitz homogeneity imply the LLC condition when $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} ?

Note that a positive answer to Question 5.4 would provide a positive answer to Question 5.3 and a higher-dimensional analogue to [Bi, Thm. 1.1].

References

- [Bi] C. J. Bishop, *Bi-Lipschitz homogeneous curves in \mathbb{R}^2 are quasicircles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 2655–2663.
- [BoK1] M. Bonk and B. Kleiner, *Quasisymmetric parametrizations of two dimensional metric spheres*, Invent. Math. 150 (2002), 127–183.
- [BoK2] ———, *Rigidity for quasi-Möbius group actions*, J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), 81–106.
- [BHX] S. M. Buckley, D. A. Herron, and X. Xie, *Metric space inversions, quasi-hyperbolic distance, and uniform spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), 837–890.
- [F1] D. M. Freeman, *Bilipschitz homogeneous Jordan curves, Möbius maps, and dimension*, Illinois J. Math. 54 (2010), 753–770.
- [F2] ———, *Unbounded bilipschitz homogeneous Jordan curves*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 36 (2010), 81–99.
- [GH1] M. Ghamsari and D. A. Herron, *Higher dimensional Ahlfors regular sets and chordarc curves in \mathbb{R}^n* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. 28 (1998), 191–222.
- [GH2] ———, *Bi-Lipschitz homogeneous Jordan curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 3197–3216.
- [HM] D. A. Herron and V. Mayer, *Bi-Lipschitz group actions and homogeneous Jordan curves*, Illinois J. Math. 43 (1999), 770–792.
- [L] E. Le Donne, *Doubling property for bi-lipschitz homogeneous geodesic surfaces*, J. Geom. Anal. 21 (2011), 783–806.
- [M1] V. Mayer, *Trajectoires de groupes à 1-paramètre de quasi-isométries*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 11 (1995), 143–164.
- [M2] ———, *Phénomènes de rigidité en dynamique holomorphe et quasirégulière, ensembles Lip-homogènes*, Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches en Sciences Mathématiques, 2000.
- [R] S. Rohde, *Quasicircles modulo bilipschitz maps*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 17 (2001), 643–659.
- [W] K. Wildrick, *Quasisymmetric parametrizations of two-dimensional metric planes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 97 (2008), 783–812.

Department of Mathematics, Physics,
and Computer Science
University of Cincinnati
Blue Ash College
Cincinnati, OH 45236
david.freeman@uc.edu