

## Errata

MITSUYASU HASHIMOTO & MASAHIRO OHTANI

This is to correct [2]. As declared at the start of Section 5 in [2], Lemma 5.8 there was taken from Kempf’s article [3, Prop. 6]. However, the way of citing was erroneous. In Kempf’s original paper, the intersection of two quasi-compact open subsets is assumed to be again quasi-compact. But in our Lemma 5.8 this assumption has been erroneously omitted and, as the following example shows, the lemma in its present form is wrong. The correct statement of Lemma 5.8 follows.

LEMMA 5.8. *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Assume that  $X$  has an open basis consisting of quasi-compact open subsets and that the intersection of two quasi-compact open subsets is quasi-compact. Let  $U$  be a quasi-compact open subset of  $X$  and let  $(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$  be a pseudo-filtered inductive system of sheaves of abelian groups on  $X$ . Then the canonical map*

$$\varinjlim \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_\lambda) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \varinjlim \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

In the statement of Corollary 5.10 we need to assume that  $X$  is quasi-separated; in its proof,  $U$  should be assumed to be affine.

In Lemma 5.11 we use Corollary 5.10, but since an immersion is quasi-separated, the lemma requires no modification.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $P = \mathbb{N} \cup \{a, b\}$  be a partially ordered set defined by the following conditions:

- any two elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  are incomparable;
- $a$  and  $b$  are incomparable;
- any element of  $\{a, b\}$  is smaller than each element of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Letting a filter (or an increasing subset) be an open subset,  $P$  is a topological space. For  $x \in P$ , let  $V_x := \{y \in P \mid y \geq x\}$  be the principal filter generated by  $x$ . Note that  $V_x$  is quasi-compact and that  $\{V_x \mid x \in P\}$  is an open basis of  $P$ . Note further that  $P = V_a \cup V_b$  is also quasi-compact. However, the intersection  $V_a \cap V_b$  of the two quasi-compact open subsets  $V_a$  and  $V_b$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ , which is not quasi-compact.

Let  $k$  be a field. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define a sheaf  $M_n$  on  $P$  as follows:

- $\Gamma(V_m, M_n) = k$  if  $m \geq n$ , and  $\Gamma(V_m, M_n) = 0$  if  $m < n$ ;
- $\Gamma(V_a, M_n) = \Gamma(V_b, M_n) = k$ ;

- the restriction  $\Gamma(V_c, M_n) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_m, M_n)$  is the identity map of  $k$  for  $c \in \{a, b\}$  and  $m \geq n$ .

These conditions uniquely determine a sheaf  $M_n$  of  $k$ -vector spaces for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [1]). For  $n' \geq n$ , we define  $\varphi_{n',n}: M_n \rightarrow M_{n'}$  by:

- $\Gamma(V_m, \varphi_{n',n})$  is the identity of  $k$  if  $m \geq n'$ ;
- $\Gamma(V_a, \varphi_{n',n})$  and  $\Gamma(V_b, \varphi_{n',n})$  are the identity of  $k$ .

Observe that  $\Gamma(V_m, \varinjlim M_n) = \varinjlim \Gamma(V_m, M_n) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{N}, \varinjlim M_n) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma(V_m, \varinjlim M_n) = 0.$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(P, \varinjlim M_n) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_a, \varinjlim M_n) \oplus \Gamma(V_b, \varinjlim M_n) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{N}, \varinjlim M_n) = 0,$$

$\Gamma(P, \varinjlim M_n)$  is two-dimensional. On the other hand,  $\Gamma(P, M_n)$  is always the diagonal subgroup of  $k \oplus k = \Gamma(V_a, M_n) \oplus \Gamma(V_b, M_n)$  and hence  $\varinjlim \Gamma(P, M_n)$  is one-dimensional.

This shows that  $\varinjlim \Gamma(P, M_n) \not\cong \Gamma(P, \varinjlim M_n)$ , although  $P$  is quasi-compact with an open basis consisting of quasi-compact open subsets.

After Lemma 2.5,  $f^\# \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}$  should be  $f_\# \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ .

In Lemma 5.13,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a sheaf of abelian groups on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is quasi-flabby for each  $i \in I$ . In the proof, the problem is reduced to the single-scheme case.

In the proof of Corollary 6.3,  $\bigcup_\lambda W_\lambda$  should be  $(W_\lambda)_\lambda$ .

## References

- [1] K. Baclawski, *Whitney numbers of geometric lattices*, Adv. Math. 16 (1975), 125–138.
- [2] M. Hashimoto and M. Ohtani, *Local cohomology on diagrams of schemes*, Michigan Math. J. 57 (2008), 383–425.
- [3] G. R. Kempf, *Some elementary proofs of basic theorems in the cohomology of quasi-coherent sheaves*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 10 (1980), 637–645.

M. Hashimoto  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464–8602  
Japan

hasimoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

M. Ohtani  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464–8602  
Japan

m05011w@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp