

# AUTOMORPHISMS OF COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS AS MODELS FOR MEASURE-PRESERVING INVERTIBLE TRANSFORMATIONS

Ciprian Foiaş

The aim of the present note is to prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  be a finite measure space, and  $T$  a measure-preserving invertible transformation on  $S$ . There exist a compact abelian group  $G$ , an automorphism  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $G$ , and a Borel measure  $\mu \geq 0$  (on  $G$ ), preserved by  $\mathcal{T}$ , such that  $T$  is conjugate to  $\mathcal{T}$  (in the sense of [3, pp. 42-45]).*

*Moreover, if  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  is separable, then  $G$  can be chosen to be metrizable.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $U$  the unitary operator induced by  $T$  in  $L(S, \Sigma, m) = L^2$ . Let  $\Gamma_T$  be the group of (the classes of) functions  $f$  of  $L^2$  such that  $|f| = 1$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma_T$  satisfying the two conditions

- (i)  $\Gamma$  spans  $L^2$ ,
- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is invariant under  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$ .

Evidently, if  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  is separable,  $\Gamma$  may be chosen to be countable. We shall take  $G$  to be the dual group of the abelian discrete group  $\Gamma$ , so that  $G$  is a compact abelian group which, in case  $(S, \Sigma, m)$  is separable, is also metrizable. Since, by (ii),  $U$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ , there exists an automorphism  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $G$  such that

$$(1) \quad \langle \mathcal{T}x, \gamma \rangle = \langle x, U\gamma \rangle \quad (x \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma).$$

It remains to define the measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and the conjugation operation between  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $T$ . To this end, we define the function

$$(2) \quad \phi(\gamma) = \int_S \gamma \, dm \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma)$$

on  $\Gamma$ . Obviously, for every system  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  of complex numbers and for  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma$ , we have the relations

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k,j=1}^n \alpha_k \bar{\alpha}_j \phi(\gamma_k \gamma_j^{-1}) = \sum_{k,j=1}^n \alpha_k \bar{\alpha}_j \int_S \gamma_k \bar{\gamma}_j \, dm = \int_S \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \gamma_j \right|^2 dm,$$

so that  $\phi$  is positive definite. It is evidently continuous on  $\Gamma$ , since  $\Gamma$  is discrete. By Bochner's theorem, there exists a positive (finite) Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  such that

$$(4) \quad \phi(\gamma) = \int_G \langle x, \gamma \rangle \, d\mu(x) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma).$$

But by (1), (2), and (3),

---

Received January 28, 1966.

$$\phi(\gamma) = \phi(U\gamma) = \int_G \langle x, U\gamma \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_G \langle \mathcal{T}x, \gamma \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_G \langle x, \gamma \rangle d\nu(x),$$

where  $\nu$  is the measure defined by  $\nu(A) = \mu(\mathcal{T}^{-1}A)$ ,  $A$  being a Borel set contained in  $G$ . The uniqueness of the measure in Bochner's theorem implies that

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\mathcal{T}^{-1}A)$$

for every Borel set  $A$  in  $G$ , so that  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{T}$ .

To define the conjugation operation, we put

$$V\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \gamma_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle \cdot, \gamma_k \rangle.$$

Then, by virtue of (3) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \gamma_k \right|^2 dm &= \sum_{k,j=1}^n \alpha_k \bar{\alpha}_j \phi(\gamma_k \gamma_j^{-1}) = \int_G \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle x, \gamma_k \rangle \right|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \left| V\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \gamma_k\right) \right|^2 d\mu; \end{aligned}$$

hence  $V$  is an isometry which, on account of (i), can be extended uniquely to an isometry of  $L^2$  in  $L^2(G, \mu)$ . Using the fact that the characters on  $G$  span  $C(G)$ , we deduce that  $V$  is a map onto  $L^2(G, \mu)$ , that is,  $V$  is a unitary operator of  $L^2$  on  $L^2(G, \mu)$ . Since  $V^{-1} \langle \cdot, \gamma \rangle = \gamma$  and

$$\langle \mathcal{T}\cdot, \gamma \rangle = \langle \cdot, U\gamma \rangle = VU\gamma = VUV^{-1} \langle \cdot, \gamma \rangle \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma),$$

it follows from linearity that  $U = V\mathcal{U}V^{-1}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the unitary operator induced by  $\mathcal{T}$  in  $L^2(G, \mu)$ .

It remains to show that

$$(5) \quad VL^\infty(S, \Sigma, m) = L^\infty(G, \mu)$$

and that  $V$  is multiplicative on  $L^\infty(S, \Sigma, m)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_S$ , respectively,  $\mathcal{A}_G$ , the space of polynomials

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \gamma_k, \quad \text{respectively,} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle \cdot, \gamma_k \rangle.$$

Evidently  $\mathcal{A}_S$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{A}_G$ ) is an algebra containing together with each polynomial  $p$  also its complex conjugate  $\bar{p}$ . The operator  $V$  is multiplicative on  $\mathcal{A}_S$ , since

$$V(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \langle \cdot, \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \rangle = \langle \cdot, \gamma_1 \rangle \langle \cdot, \gamma_2 \rangle = V\gamma_1 \cdot V\gamma_2;$$

moreover,  $V$  is real, that is,  $V\bar{p} = \overline{Vp}$  ( $p \in \mathcal{A}_S$ ). Thus, for each  $p \in \mathcal{A}_S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |Vp|^{2n} d\mu &= \int_G (Vp)^n \overline{(Vp)^n} d\mu = \int_G Vp^n \cdot \overline{Vp^n} d\mu \\ &= \int_G V|p|^{2n} d\mu = \int_S |p|^{2n} dm \leq m(S) \|p\|_\infty^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|p\|_\infty = m\text{-ess. max } |p|.$$

Consequently

$$\left( \int_G |Vp|^{2n} d\mu \right)^{1/2n} \leq m(S)^{1/2n} \|p\|_\infty;$$

letting  $n$  tend to  $\infty$ , we deduce that

$$\|Vp\|_\infty = \mu\text{-ess. max } |Vp| \leq \|p\|_\infty.$$

The same argument applied to  $V^{-1}$  shows that  $\|V^{-1}q\|_\infty \leq \|q\|_\infty$  for every  $q \in \mathcal{A}_G$ , so that finally, for  $p \in \mathcal{A}_S$ ,

$$(6) \quad \|Vp\|_\infty = \|p\|_\infty.$$

It is evident from the definition that also

$$(7) \quad V\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{A}_G.$$

From (6) and (7) it follows that if  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S$  denotes the closure of  $\mathcal{A}_S$  in  $L^\infty$ , then

$$(8) \quad V\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S = C(G),$$

$V$  remains multiplicative on  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S$ , and (6) is valid for every  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S$ . From the real algebraic isometry  $V$  and from (8) we deduce that if  $\phi$  is a real continuous function defined on the complex plane, then  $\phi \circ f = \phi(f) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S$  whenever  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S$ . Let now  $f \in L^\infty(S, \Sigma, m) = L^\infty$ . There exists a sequence  $\{p_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}_S$  such that  $p_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2$  and also  $m$ -everywhere in  $S$ . Take  $\infty > b > a > \|f\|_\infty$ , and choose a continuous function  $\phi$  defined on the complex plane, with  $0 \leq \phi \leq a$  and

$$\phi(\lambda) = \lambda \quad \text{if } |\lambda| \leq a, \quad \phi(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{if } |\lambda| \geq b.$$

Then the functions  $f_n = \phi \circ p_n$  also converge (in  $L^2$ ) to  $f$ , and

$$f_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S, \quad \|f_n\|_\infty \leq a.$$

Since  $Vf_n \rightarrow Vf$  in  $L^2(G, \mu)$ , we may suppose (taking a subsequence, if necessary) that  $Vf_n \rightarrow Vf$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, so that by an application of (6) we obtain the inequality  $\|Vf\|_\infty \leq a$ , from which it follows easily that

$$(9) \quad \|Vf\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \quad (f \in L^\infty).$$

Let now  $p \in \mathcal{A}_S$ ,  $g \in L^2$ , and choose  $\{p_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}_S$  so that  $p_n \rightarrow g$  (in  $L^2$ ). By (6) (or by (9)) we have (in  $L^2(G, \mu)$ ) the equalities

$$V(pg) = \lim V(pp_n) = \lim Vp \cdot Vp_n = Vp \cdot \lim Vp_n = Vp \cdot Vg,$$

that is,

$$(10) \quad V(pg) = Vp \cdot Vg \quad (p \in \mathcal{A}_S, g \in L^2).$$

Letting  $g = f \in L^\infty$  in (10), and using (9) and the fact that  $\mathcal{A}_S$  is dense in  $L^2$ , we deduce that

$$(11) \quad V(gf) = Vg \cdot Vf$$

for every  $g \in L^2$ . In this manner and in view of (9) and (11), we conclude that  $V$  maps  $L^\infty$  into  $L^\infty(G, \mu)$  and is multiplicative on  $L^\infty$ . Using (8) and replacing  $V$  with  $V^{-1}$ , we see further that  $V$  actually maps  $L^\infty$  onto  $L^\infty(G, \mu)$ .

This completes the proof.

*Remarks.* 1. One can always take  $\Gamma = \Gamma_T$ . In this case, the automorphism  $\mathcal{T}'$  is a conjugate invariant; this means that if  $T_1$  is conjugate with  $T_2$ , and  $G_1, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mu_1$  and  $G_2, \mathcal{T}'_2, \mu_2$  are constructed as in the theorem, with  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{T_1}$  (respectively,  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{T_2}$ ), then there exists a homeomorphic isomorphism  $h$  of  $G_1$  on  $G_2$  such that  $h \mathcal{T}'_1 = \mathcal{T}'_2 h$ .

2. Subgroups (of generalized proper functions) of  $\Gamma_T$  were already considered long ago, by P. R. Halmos and J. von Neumann [4]. A detailed study of these groups was carried out by L. M. Abramov [1].

3. The last part of the proof, concerning (5) and the multiplicativity of  $V$ , is similar to one given in [2].

#### REFERENCES

1. L. M. Abramov, *Metric automorphisms with quasi-discrete spectrum* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 26 (1962), 513-530.
2. N. Dinculeanu and C. Foiaș, *A universal model for ergodic transformations on separable measure spaces*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 109-117.
3. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on ergodic theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1956.
4. P. R. Halmos and J. von Neumann, *Operator methods in classical mechanics. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) 43 (1942), 332-350.

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of the Socialist Republic of Rumania,  
Bucharest