

A GENERALIZATION OF INNER PRODUCT

R. K. Ritt

The purpose of this note is twofold: first, to show that if B is a real Banach space whose conjugate space B^* is uniformly convex [2], it is possible to define a real-valued function on $B \times B$ which for every $x \in B$ is a linear functional in y , for every $y \in B$ is a continuous (although not necessarily linear) function of x , and which is the usual inner product when B is a unitary (or Hilbert) space; second, to show that if B^* is strictly convex, a necessary and sufficient condition that B be unitary is that if $x \in B, y \in B$, and $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \|x + ty\| \right|_{t=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \|y + tx\| \right|_{t=0}$$

exist and are equal. This condition is not new; James proved a similar result for Banach spaces of three or more dimensions [5, p. 283]. His proof depends on a generalization of orthogonality, ours on a generalization of inner product; even this generalization appears in a disguised form in James' paper; the elementary nature of our argument is the justification for its presentation here. We recall two definitions: A Banach space is *strictly convex* if $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ implies that there exists a $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $x = \lambda y$; a Banach space is *uniformly convex* if

$$\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1,$$

together with $\lim \| (x_n + y_n)/2 \| = 1$, implies that $\lim \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$. This definition of uniform convexity is given by Hille [3, p. 11], and it can be shown to be equivalent to the definition originally given by Clarkson.

THEOREM. *Let B be a real Banach space whose conjugate space B^* is strictly convex. Then if $x \in B$ ($x \neq 0$), there exists a unique $\phi_x \in B^*$ such that $\phi_x(x) = 1$ and $\|\phi_x\| = 1/\|x\|$. If B^* is uniformly convex, the mapping $x \rightarrow \phi_x$ is continuous.*

Proof. A slightly different version of the first statement has been proved by Pettis [7]. Briefly, if $x^*(x) = y^*(x) = 1$ and $\|x^*\| = \|y^*\| = 1/\|x\|$, then

$$\frac{1}{2} (x^* + y^*)(x) = 1;$$

thus $1/\|x\| \leq \| (x^* + y^*)/2 \| \leq 1/\|x\|$, which contradicts the strict convexity of B^* , unless $x^* = y^*$.

To prove the second statement, we first observe that a uniformly convex space is reflexive [7] and that bounded sets are therefore sequentially compact [8]. Second, we prove that if $\{x_n^*\}$ is a sequence in B^* converging weakly to x_o^* , and if $\|x_n^*\|$ converges to $\|x_o^*\|$, then x_n^* converges uniformly to x_o^* . Since $x_n^*/\|x_n^*\|$ converges weakly to $x_o^*/\|x_o^*\|$, we can assume that $\|x_n^*\| = \|x_o^*\| = 1$. It remains to show that $\| (x_n^* + x_o^*)/2 \|$ converges to 1.

Clearly $\| (x_n^* + x_o^*)/2 \| \leq 1$, for all n . Let

$$x^{**} \in B^{**}, \quad \|x^{**}\| = 1, \quad x^{**}(x_o^*) = 1.$$

Received February 15, 1955.

Then

$$|x^{**}(x_n^*) + 1| = |x^{**}(x_n^* + x_o^*)| \leq \|x_n^* + x_o^*\|.$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$, the weak convergence of $\{x_n^*\}$ guarantees that if n is sufficient, $\|x_n^* + x_o^*\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon$, so that $\lim \| (x_n^* + x_o^*)/2 \| = 1$.

To complete the proof we have only to show that if $\lim x_n = x_o \neq 0$ (x_n then a subsequence of $\{\phi_{x_n}\}$ converges weakly to ϕ_{x_o} . But since $\{\phi_{x_n}\}$ is uniformly bounded, it contains a subsequence which converges weakly to some ϕ . For simplicity, and without loss of generality, we shall suppose that this subsequence is $\{\phi_{x_n}\}$. Now

$$x_o^*(x_o) = (x_o^* - \phi_{x_n})(x_o) + \phi_{x_n}(x_o - x_n) + \phi_{x_n}(x_n).$$

Therefore $x_o^*(x_o) = 1$ and $\|x_o^*\| \geq 1/\|x_o\|$.

Further, $|x_o^*(x)| = \lim |\phi_{x_n}(x)| \leq \limsup \|\phi_{x_n}\| \|x\| \leq \|x\|/\|x_o\|$, for $x \in B$, so that $\|x_o^*\| \leq 1/\|x_o\|$. This, together with the uniqueness of ϕ_{x_o} , proves $x_o^* = \phi_{x_o}$.

COROLLARY.

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \begin{cases} \|x\|^2 \phi_x(y) & (x \neq 0), \\ 0 & (x = 0) \end{cases}$$

is a continuous linear functional in y for every $x \in B$, and it is a continuous linear functional of x for every $y \in B$.

THEOREM (Mazur [6]). If $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, then

$$\phi_x(y) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \|x + ty\| \right|_{t=0}.$$

Proof. If $y = \pm x$, then

$$\phi_x(y) = \pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|x + ty\| = \pm 1$$

for all t . Otherwise, y is independent of x . Reconstructing the proof of the Banach extension theorem, [1, p. 28], and extending the linear functional ϕ_x from the subspace generated by x to that generated by x and y we have, from the uniqueness of $\phi_x(y)$,

$$\sup_{\lambda} (-\|\lambda x + y\| - \lambda) = \phi_x(y) = \inf_{\lambda} (\|\lambda x + y\| - \lambda).$$

Now if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then

$$-\|\lambda_1 x + y\| + \|\lambda_2 x + y\| \leq \|(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)x\| = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2,$$

so that

$$-\|\lambda_1 x + y\| - \lambda_1 \leq -\|\lambda_2 + y\| - \lambda_2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(y) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} (-\|\lambda x + y\| - \lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} (-\|x/t + y\| - 1/t) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} (\|x + ty\| - \|x\|)/t. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\phi_x(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\|x + ty\| - \|x\|)/t.$

COROLLARY. If $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, then $\phi_x(y) = \phi_y(x)$ if and only if

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \|x + ty\| \right|_{t=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \|y + tx\| \right|_{t=0}$$

exist and are equal. (We notice that if these derivatives exist for all x and y , the uniqueness of ϕ_x is assured without regard to strict convexity of B^* .)

THEOREM. The three conditions

- (a) if $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, then $\phi_x(y) = \phi_y(x)$,
- (b) $\|x\|^2 \phi_x(y) = \|y\|^2 \phi_y(x)$, for all $x \in B, y \in B$,
- (c) $\langle x, y \rangle$ is an inner product for B

are equivalent.

Proof. That (b) implies (a) is obvious. To prove that (a) implies (b), observe that $\phi_{\lambda x} = (1/\lambda)\phi_x$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 \phi_x(y) &= \|x\| \|y\| \phi_{x/\|x\|}(y/\|y\|) \\ &= \|x\| \|y\| \phi_{y/\|y\|}(x/\|x\|) \\ &= \|y\|^2 \phi_y(x). \end{aligned}$$

If (b) holds, then $\langle x, y \rangle$ is linear in x , $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$, and $\langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$, so that (c) is proved. Finally, if $\langle x, y \rangle$ is an inner product for B , then $B = B^*$, and the unique function $\phi_x(y)$ is given by the formula

$$\phi_x(y) = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|x\|^2},$$

which is readily seen to imply (b).

Example. If $B = L^p(E)$, where E is a measure space and $p > 1$, then if $x \in B$, $\phi_x(y) = \int y |x|^{p-1} \text{sig } x / \|x\|^p dE$. If $p = 2$, $\langle x, y \rangle$ is the usual inner product $\int xy dE$.

REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Warsaw, 1932.
2. J. A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1936), 396-414.
3. E. Hille, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications XXXI (1948).
4. P. Jordan and J. von Neumann, *Inner products in linear, metric spaces*, *Ann. Math.* (2) 36 (1935), 719-723.
5. R. C. James, *Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1947), 265-292.
6. S. Mazur, *Über convexe Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen*, *Studia Math.* (1933), 70-84.
7. B. J. Pettis, *A proof that every uniformly convex space is reflexive*, *Duke Math. J.* 5 (1939), 249-253.
8. ———, *A note on regular Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 420-428.

University of Michigan