

Bourgain Algebras of Spaces of Harmonic Functions

KEIJI IZUCHI, KAREL STROETHOFF, & KEITH YALE

1. Introduction

Bourgain algebras were introduced by Cima and Timoney [5] in connection with Bourgain's work on the Dunford–Pettis property for certain concrete function algebras [1]. Subsequently, several authors have studied Bourgain algebras [2; 3; 4; 7; 8; 9; 12; 14; 15] with a variety of goals in mind but with attention to the Bourgain algebra determined by an *algebra*. We are concerned with the study of Bourgain algebras of a class, including the space of bounded harmonic functions on the disk, of *linear subspaces*.

Let \mathbf{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbf{C} and let $\mathbf{T} = \partial\mathbf{D}$ be the unit circle. The usual spaces of essentially bounded functions with respect to Lebesgue measure are denoted by $L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. The space of bounded analytic functions on \mathbf{D} is denoted by $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$, with $H^\infty = H^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ being used to denote the boundary values of $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ functions. We will also write $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ for brevity. The algebra of bounded continuous functions on \mathbf{D} is denoted by $BC(\mathbf{D})$ and $C = C(\mathbf{T})$ denotes the algebra of continuous functions on \mathbf{T} . Each of these algebras is equipped with the (essential) supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Let \mathfrak{X} be one of the spaces L^∞ , $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ or $BC(\mathbf{D})$, and let $\mathfrak{Y} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ be a closed linear subspace. We say that $f \in \mathfrak{X}$ belongs to the *Bourgain algebra of \mathfrak{Y} relative to \mathfrak{X}* , and write $f \in \mathfrak{Y}_b$, in case for every weakly null sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \mathfrak{Y} there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in \mathfrak{Y} such that $\|f_n f - g_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Essentially as shown in [5], \mathfrak{Y}_b contains the constants and is a closed subalgebra of \mathfrak{X} . Moreover, if \mathfrak{Y} is a *subalgebra*, then $\mathfrak{Y} \subset \mathfrak{Y}_b$. However, there is no known simple relationship between a *subspace* \mathfrak{Y} and its Bourgain algebra \mathfrak{Y}_b . We emphasize that \mathfrak{Y}_b is defined relative to a particular overlying space \mathfrak{X} even though this is not reflected in the notation \mathfrak{Y}_b . Each of the spaces $\mathfrak{X} = L^\infty$, $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$, $BC(\mathbf{D})$, or $C(\mathfrak{M})$ (when \mathfrak{Y} is an algebra with maximal ideal space \mathfrak{M}) has a certain claim to naturality; however, the general dependence of \mathfrak{Y}_b upon \mathfrak{X} is quite complicated and not fully understood. For $\mathfrak{X} = L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ and \mathfrak{Y} a subalgebra containing the bounded analytic functions,

Received November 16, 1992.

The second author acknowledges partial support of a grant from the Montana University System.

Michigan Math. J. 41 (1994).

the Bourgain algebra \mathfrak{Y}_b (relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$) has been studied; the choice of $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ for the ambient space is natural in regard to boundary-value questions and connections with operator theory [3].

For a function $f \in L^\infty$ we write \hat{f} to denote the Poisson extension of f over the unit disk \mathbf{D} ; that is,

$$\hat{f}(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) P_z(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{D}$, where P_z is the Poisson kernel at z : $P_z(\theta) = (1 - |z|^2) / |e^{i\theta} - z|^2$. For any nonempty subset B of L^∞ we write

$$\hat{B} = \{\hat{f} : f \in B\}.$$

If B is an algebra then the set \hat{B} need not be a subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. For example, $h^\infty = (L^\infty)^\wedge$, the space of bounded harmonic functions on \mathbf{D} , is not a subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. However, for any closed linear subspace B of L^∞ , the set \hat{B} is a closed linear subspace of $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. We will be concerned with finding the Bourgain algebras of the spaces \hat{B} relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ and to $BC(\mathbf{D})$.

Our main result, Theorem 1, is discussed in Section 2 and proved in Section 4. The proof requires certain preliminaries which are gathered in Section 3. In Section 5 we determine the second Bourgain algebra in certain settings.

2. Statement of the Main Result

To state our main result we need to review some notation. Let V be the ideal of vanishing functions in $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ as defined in [3]; that is,

$$V = \{f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D}) : \|f\chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r\mathbf{D}}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-\}.$$

The algebra of quasi-continuous functions, QC , is defined by

$$QC = (H^\infty + C) \cap \overline{(H^\infty + C)}.$$

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *If B is a closed linear subspace of L^∞ containing C , and B_b is the Bourgain algebra of B relative to L^∞ , then the Bourgain algebra of \hat{B} relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$(\hat{B})_b = (B_b \cap QC)^\wedge + V.$$

We defer the proof of this theorem to Section 4, after we discuss various corollaries in this section and the preliminaries needed for its proof in the next section.

COROLLARY 2. *If B is a closed subalgebra of L^∞ containing QC , then the Bourgain algebra of \hat{B} relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$(\hat{B})_b = (QC)^\wedge + V.$$

Proof. Clearly $QC \subset B \subset B_b$, so that $B_b \cap QC = QC$. □

In particular, we have the following.

COROLLARY 3. *The Bourgain algebra of h^∞ relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$(h^\infty)_b = (QC)^\wedge + V.$$

The main result in [3] states that $((H^\infty)^\wedge)_b = (H^\infty + C)^\wedge + V$. Note that this algebra is larger than $(\hat{B})_b$ if B is a closed subalgebra of L^∞ containing QC , even though $(H^\infty)^\wedge$ is smaller than \hat{B} .

If we write $C_0(\mathbf{D})$ for the space of continuous functions f on \mathbf{D} for which $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} f(z) = 0$, then $V \cap BC(\mathbf{D}) = C_0(\mathbf{D})$, which can be used to determine the Bourgain algebra relative to $BC(\mathbf{D})$ given the Bourgain algebra relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. The next corollary provides an example.

COROLLARY 4. *If B is a closed subalgebra of L^∞ containing QC , then the Bourgain algebra of \hat{B} relative to $BC(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$(\hat{B})_b = (QC)^\wedge + C_0(\mathbf{D}).$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$(\hat{B})_b = (B_b \cap QC)^\wedge + V \cap BC(\mathbf{D}) = (QC)^\wedge + C_0(\mathbf{D}). \quad \square$$

In view of the simplicity of this device, we will not continue giving separate statements or proofs for Bourgain algebras relative to $BC(\mathbf{D})$.

COROLLARY 5. *The Bourgain algebra of $(QC)^\wedge$ relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$((QC)^\wedge)_b = (QC)^\wedge + V.$$

Proof. Clearly $QC \subset QC_b$, so that $QC_b \cap QC = QC$. □

To formulate one more corollary, we need to introduce the notion of *essential oscillation* $\omega(f, \zeta)$ of a function $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ at the point $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$. Let $t > 0$ and put

$$\omega(f, \zeta, t) = \text{ess sup}\{|f(z) - f(w)| : z, w \in \mathbf{D}, |z - \zeta| < t, \text{ and } |w - \zeta| < t\}.$$

For $f \in L^\infty$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$, and $t > 0$ we put

$$\omega(f, \zeta, t) = \text{ess sup}\{|f(\xi) - f(\eta)| : \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{T}, |\xi - \zeta| < t, \text{ and } |\eta - \zeta| < t\}.$$

In either case, we define

$$\omega(f, \zeta) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(f, \zeta, t).$$

In [12] it is proved that the Bourgain algebra of the disk algebra $A = H^\infty \cap C$ with respect to L^∞ is given by

$$A_b = (H^\infty \cap W) + C,$$

where $W = \{f \in L^\infty : \forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ the set } \{\zeta \in \mathbf{T} : \omega(f, \zeta) \geq \epsilon\} \text{ is finite}\}$. In [4] it is shown that, relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$, the Bourgain algebra of the disk algebra $A(\mathbf{D}) = H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap C(\bar{\mathbf{D}})$ is given by

$$A(\mathbf{D})_b = (H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap W(\mathbf{D})) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}}) + V,$$

where $W(\mathbf{D}) = \{f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D}) : \forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ the set } \{\zeta \in \mathbf{T} : \omega(f, \zeta) \geq \epsilon\} \text{ is finite}\}$. The following corollary should be compared with these results. Note that \hat{C} is the space of bounded harmonic functions on \mathbf{D} which extend continuously to $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$.

COROLLARY 6. *The Bourgain algebra of \hat{C} relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$(\hat{C})_b = ((H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap W(\mathbf{D})) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}})) \cap \overline{((H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap W(\mathbf{D})) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}}))} + V.$$

Proof. Clearly $C_b = W$ (see [12]), so that

$$C_b \cap QC = ((H^\infty \cap W) + C) \cap \overline{((H^\infty \cap W) + C)}.$$

Hence $(C_b \cap QC)^\wedge = ((H^\infty \cap W) + C)^\wedge \cap \overline{((H^\infty \cap W) + C)^\wedge}$. It follows from the argument in [4] that $((H^\infty \cap W) + C)^\wedge + V = (H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap W(\mathbf{D})) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}}) + V$, and the result follows. \square

3. Preliminaries

The space QC of quasi-continuous functions has an important role in our work. The following characterization of QC will be useful.

PROPOSITION 7 (Sarason). *Let $f \in L^\infty$. Then*

$$f \in QC \Leftrightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta}) - \hat{f}(z)| P_z(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Note that VMO is the space of functions $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ satisfying the vanishing condition in the above proposition; a restatement of the above result is thus:

$$QC = VMO \cap L^\infty.$$

As in [3], we use BV to denote the subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ consisting of those (equivalence classes) of essentially bounded measurable functions on \mathbf{D} which have nontangential limits at almost all points of the circle \mathbf{T} . To be more precise we recall the following notation. For $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ and $0 < R < 1$, let $\Gamma_R(\zeta)$ be the interior of the convex hull of z and the set $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = R\}$. We say that $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ has *essentially nontangential limit* L at $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ if

$$\text{ess sup}\{|f(z) - L| : z \in \Gamma_R(\zeta), |z| > 1 - \delta\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+$$

for every $0 < R < 1$, in which case we will write $f^*(\zeta)$ for L . We define BV to be the set of $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ for which an essential nontangential limit $f^*(\zeta)$ exists for almost every $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$. If we define the *essential nontangential oscillation* of $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ at $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ over $\Gamma_R(\zeta)$ to be

$$\omega_R(f, \zeta) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \text{ess sup}\{|f(z) - f(w)| : z, w \in \Gamma_R(\zeta), |z - \zeta| < \delta, |w - \zeta| < \delta\},$$

then the space BV is characterized by

$BV = \{f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D}) : \omega_R(f, \zeta) = 0 \text{ for all } 0 < R < 1 \text{ for almost every } \zeta \in \mathbf{T}\}.$

We write $A(\mathbf{D})$ to denote the disk algebra $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap C(\bar{\mathbf{D}})$. The following result is proved in [3].

THEOREM 8. *Let \mathcal{Y} be a closed linear subspace of $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ such that $A(\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathcal{Y} \subset BV$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_b \subset BV$.*

COROLLARY 9. *Let B be a closed linear subspace of L^∞ containing C , and let $(\hat{B})_b$ denote the Bourgain algebra of \hat{B} relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. If $f \in (\hat{B})_b$, then f^* exists at almost every point of \mathbf{T} and $f^* \in B_b$, the Bourgain algebra of B relative to L^∞ .*

Proof. Clearly $A(\mathbf{D}) = \hat{A} \subset \hat{B}$. Let $f \in (\hat{B})_b$. By Theorem 8, the nontangential limit f^* exists at almost every point of \mathbf{T} . If $\{f_n\}$ is a weakly null sequence in B , then $\{\hat{f}_n\}$ is weakly null in \hat{B} . Since $f \in (\hat{B})_b$, there exist g_n in B such that $\|f\hat{f}_n - \hat{g}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Taking nontangential limits, we conclude that $\|f^*f_n - g_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$; thus $f^* \in B_b$. □

REMARK 10. The above proof shows that we also have: *If $f \in (\hat{B} + V)_b$, then f^* exists at almost every point of \mathbf{T} and $f^* \in B_b$, the Bourgain algebra of B relative to L^∞ .*

LEMMA 11. *Let B be a closed linear subspace of L^∞ containing C , and let $(\hat{B})_b$ denote the Bourgain algebra of \hat{B} relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$. If $f \in (\hat{B})_b$ and $\{f_n\}$ is a weakly null sequence in B , then*

$$\|f\hat{f}_n - (f^*f_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Since $f \in (\hat{B})_b$, there exist $g_n \in B$ such that $\epsilon_n = \|f\hat{f}_n - \hat{g}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Corollary 9, the nontangential limit f^* exists almost everywhere on the circle \mathbf{T} . It is easily seen that $\|f^*f_n - g_n\|_\infty \leq \epsilon_n$; thus $\|(f^*f_n)^\wedge - \hat{g}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, and hence $\|f\hat{f}_n - (f^*f_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

LEMMA 12. *Let $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathbf{D} such that $z_n \rightarrow \zeta \in \mathbf{T}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in C such that:*

- (i) $\|g_n\|_\infty = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$;
- (ii) $g_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in C ; and
- (iii) $\int_0^{2\pi} |1 - g_n(e^{i\theta})| P_{z_n}(\theta) d\theta / 2\pi \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. For each open subset J of \mathbf{T} with $\zeta \in J$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{T} \setminus J} P_{z_n}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, there is a sequence $\{J_n\}$ of open subarcs of \mathbf{T} such that $\zeta \in J_n$, the length of J_n goes to zero, and

$$\int_{J_n} P_{z_n}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since P_z is an approximate identity. It is not difficult to find a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in C such that

- (1) $g_n \geq 0$ and $\|g_n\|_\infty = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$;
- (2) $\text{supp}(g_n) \subset J_n \setminus \{\zeta\}$ for all $n \geq 1$; and
- (3) $\int_0^{2\pi} g_n(e^{i\theta}) P_{z_n}(\theta) d\theta / 2\pi \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the sequence $\{g_n\}$ is norm bounded and converges pointwise to zero on \mathbf{T} , we have property (ii). Property (iii) follows from (1) and (3). \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof will be divided into several steps.

Step 1: We first prove $(B_b \cap QC)^\wedge + V \subset (\hat{B})_b$. The inclusion $V \subset (\hat{B})_b$ is trivial, because if $\hat{f}_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \hat{B} then $\hat{f}_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbf{D} .

To prove the inclusion $(B_b \cap QC)^\wedge \subset (\hat{B})_b$, take $f \in B_b \cap QC$ and let $f_n \in B$ be such that $\hat{f}_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \hat{B} , that is, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in B . Then there is a positive constant K such that $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq K$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then for each $z \in \mathbf{D}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(z)\hat{f}_n(z) - (ff_n)^\wedge(z)| &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{f}(z) - f(e^{i\theta})| |f_n(e^{i\theta})| P_z(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq K \int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{f}(z) - f(e^{i\theta})| P_z(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in QC$, we conclude that

$$\sup_{r < |z| < 1} \sup_{n \geq 1} |\hat{f}(z)\hat{f}_n(z) - (ff_n)^\wedge(z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Since clearly $\hat{f}\hat{f}_n \rightarrow 0$ and $(ff_n)^\wedge \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbf{D} , we see that $\|\hat{f}\hat{f}_n - (ff_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because $f \in B_b$ and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in B , there exist $g_n \in B$ such that $\|ff_n - g_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then also $\|(ff_n)^\wedge - \hat{g}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $\|\hat{f}\hat{f}_n - \hat{g}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\hat{f} \in (\hat{B})_b$.

Step 2: We show that if $g \in L^\infty$ and $\hat{g} \in (\hat{B})_b$, then $g \in B_b \cap QC$. Assuming that $g \notin QC$, by Proposition 7 there is a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in \mathbf{D} and $\delta > 0$ such that $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta}) - \hat{g}(z_n)| P_{z_n}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} > \delta$$

for every $n \geq 1$. By passing to a subsequence of $\{z_n\}$ we may assume that $\hat{g}(z_n) \rightarrow c$ for some constant c . Note that also $(g-c)^\wedge = \hat{g} - c \in (\hat{B})_b$, so by replacing g by the function $g - c$ we may assume that $c = 0$. Thus:

- (4) $\hat{g}(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; and
- (5) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})| P_{z_n}(\theta) d\theta / 2\pi \geq \delta$.

By considering a subsequence, we may assume that $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$ for some $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$. By Lemma 12 there is a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in C such that

- (6) $\|g_n\|_\infty = 1$ and $g_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in C ; and
- (7) $\int_0^{2\pi} |1 - g_n(e^{i\theta})| P_{z_n}(\theta) d\theta / 2\pi \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let G be a function in L^∞ such that $Gg = |g|$ and $|G| = 1$ almost everywhere on \mathbf{T} . For each integer $n \geq 1$ there exists an h_n in C such that $\|h_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

- (8) $\int_0^{2\pi} |G(e^{i\theta}) - h_n(e^{i\theta})| P_{z_n}(\theta) d\theta / 2\pi \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We put $f_n = g_n h_n$. Then $f_n \in C$, and

- (9) $|(|g|g_n)^\wedge(z_n) - (gf_n)^\wedge(z_n)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

because

$$\begin{aligned} |(|g|g_n)^\wedge(z_n) - (gf_n)^\wedge(z_n)| &= \int_0^{2\pi} (|g(e^{i\theta})| - g(e^{i\theta})h_n(e^{i\theta})) g_n(e^{i\theta}) P_{z_n}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \int_0^{2\pi} |G(e^{i\theta}) - h_n(e^{i\theta})| P_{z_n}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{by (6)}) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{by (8)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|h_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, by (6) we have $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in C . Hence $\hat{f}_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \hat{B} . Since $\hat{g} \in (\hat{B})_b$, by Lemma 12 we have

- (10) $\|\hat{g}\hat{f}_n - (gf_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that since $\hat{g}\hat{f}_n - (gf_n)^\wedge$ is a continuous function on \mathbf{D} , the essential supremum norm $\|\hat{g}\hat{f}_n - (gf_n)^\wedge\|_\infty$ coincides with the supremum norm of $\hat{g}\hat{f}_n - (gf_n)^\wedge$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{g}\hat{f}_n - (gf_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(gf_n)^\wedge(z_n) - \hat{g}(z_n)\hat{f}_n(z_n)| \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(gf_n)^\wedge(z_n)| \quad (\text{by (4)}) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(|g|g_n)^\wedge(z_n)| \quad (\text{by (9)}) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \{|g(e^{i\theta})| - (1 - g_n(e^{i\theta}))|g(e^{i\theta})|\} P_{z_n}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\geq \delta \quad (\text{by (5) and (7)}), \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (10).

Step 3: We show that $(\hat{B})_b \subset (B_b \cap QC)^\wedge + V$, which will complete the proof. Let $f \in (\hat{B})_b$ and put $g = f^*$. If we can show that $f - \hat{g} \in V$, then it will follow that $f - \hat{g} \in (\hat{B})_b$, since $V \subset (\hat{B})_b$. Hence $\hat{g} \in (\hat{B})_b$, so that by Step 2, $g \in B_b \cap QC$, and it follows that $f = \hat{g} + (f - \hat{g}) \in (B_b \cap QC)^\wedge + V$.

To show that $f - \hat{g} \in V$, assume the contrary. Then there is a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of numbers in $(0, 1)$, a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of sets of positive area measure, and a $\delta > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \\ A_n &\subset \{z \in \mathbf{C} : r_n < |z| < 1\}; \\ |f(z) - \hat{g}(z)| &\geq \delta, \text{ for } z \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n; \text{ and} \\ |f(z)| &\leq \|f\|_\infty, \text{ for } z \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let z_n be a point of density of the set A_n . Then clearly $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By passing to a subsequence (if necessary) we may further assume that $z_n \rightarrow \zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{g_n\}$ be as in Lemma 12. Note that (iii) of Lemma 12 implies that $\hat{g}_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\hat{g}(z_n)\hat{g}_n(z_n) - (gg_n)^\wedge(z_n)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each positive integer n , choose $0 < \delta_n < 1 - |z_n|$ such that for $|z - z_n| < \delta_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{g}(z_n) - \hat{g}(z)| &< \delta/4; \\ |\hat{g}_n(z_n) - \hat{g}_n(z)| &< \delta/(4\|f\|_\infty); \text{ and} \\ |(gg_n)^\wedge(z_n) - (gg_n)^\wedge(z)| &< \delta/4. \end{aligned}$$

Because z_n is a point of density of A_n , the set $B_n = A_n \cap \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - z_n| < \delta_n\}$ has positive measure. If $z \in B_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta|\hat{g}_n(z_n)| & \\ &\leq |f(z) - \hat{g}(z)| |\hat{g}_n(z_n)| \\ &\leq |f(z)| |\hat{g}_n(z_n) - \hat{g}_n(z)| + |f(z)\hat{g}_n(z) - (gg_n)^\wedge(z)| + |(gg_n)^\wedge(z) - (gg_n)^\wedge(z_n)| \\ &\quad + |(gg_n)^\wedge(z_n) - \hat{g}(z_n)\hat{g}_n(z_n)| + |\hat{g}_n(z_n)| |\hat{g}(z_n) - \hat{g}(z)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \delta/(4\|f\|_\infty) + |f(z)\hat{g}_n(z) - (gg_n)^\wedge(z)| + \delta/4 \\ &\quad + |(gg_n)^\wedge(z_n) - \hat{g}(z_n)\hat{g}_n(z_n)| + \delta/4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\delta|\hat{g}_n(z_n)| \leq \frac{3}{4}\delta + \|f\hat{g}_n - (gg_n)^\wedge\|_\infty + |(gg_n)^\wedge(z_n) - \hat{g}(z_n)\hat{g}_n(z_n)|$$

for each positive integer n . Taking the limit inferior, we see that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\hat{g}_n - (gg_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{4}\delta.$$

But since $f \in (\hat{B})_b$, Lemma 11 tells us that

$$\|f\hat{g}_n - (gg_n)^\wedge\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

5. Second Bourgain Algebras

Given two closed subalgebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{X} , does $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ imply $\mathcal{A}_b \subset \mathcal{B}_b$? The general question of monotonicity appears to be quite sensitive to the ambient space \mathfrak{X} and to properties of the smaller algebra \mathcal{A} . A variety of positive and negative results are known [3; 9; 12], but in our setting the question is open. However, as we will show in our context, $\mathcal{A}_b = \mathcal{A}_{bb}$. In particular, even if monotonicity holds, it cannot be strict.

Our determination of second Bourgain algebras depends upon the following result.

THEOREM 13. *The Bourgain algebra of $(QC)^\wedge + V$ with respect to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ is*

$$((QC)^\wedge + V)_b = (QC)^\wedge + V.$$

COROLLARY 14. *If B is a closed subalgebra of L^∞ containing QC , then for the Bourgain algebras with respect to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ we have $(\hat{B})_{bb} = (\hat{B})_b$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Corollary 4 and Theorem 11. □

For the proof of Theorem 13 we will need to know the Bourgain algebra of QC relative to L^∞ .

THEOREM 15. *The Bourgain algebra of QC relative to L^∞ is $(QC)_b = QC$.*

In the proofs of both Theorem 13 and 15 we will need the following lemma, which produces certain weakly null sequences in QC . Recall that a *thin Blaschke product* is a function of the form

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

where $|z_n|/z_n$ is defined to equal 1 if $z_n = 0$, and the sequence $\{z_n\}$ in \mathbf{D} satisfies the condition

$$\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z_m - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_m z_n} \right| \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For an algebra \mathfrak{A} we write $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ to denote the maximal ideal space of \mathfrak{A} , and for simplicity we write $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty)$. Identifying a thin Blaschke product ψ with its Gelfand transform on the maximal ideal space of $H^\infty + C$, we denote by $Z(\psi)$ the set $\{x \in \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty + C) : \psi(x) = 0\}$. Since ψ is an interpolating Blaschke product we have $Z(\psi) = \text{cl}(\{z_n : n \geq 1\}) \setminus \{z_n : n \geq 1\}$, where $\text{cl}(\{z_n : n \geq 1\})$ denotes the closure of $\{z_n : n \geq 1\}$ in the space \mathfrak{M} [7, p. 379, Lemma 3.3]. We note that $Z(\psi)$ is an infinite set.

If $f \in L^\infty$, then \hat{f} is a bounded harmonic function on \mathbf{D} and thus (by [10, Lemma 4.4]) has a continuous extension to the maximal ideal space \mathfrak{M} of H^∞ , which we will again denote by \hat{f} . We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 16. *If ψ is a thin Blaschke product, then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in QC and a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $Z(\psi)$ such that:*

- (i) $\|f_n\|_\infty = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$;
- (ii) $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in QC ; and
- (iii) $\hat{f}_n(x_n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let ψ be a thin Blaschke product. Define $\pi : \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty + C) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(QC)$ by letting $\pi(\lambda)$ denote the restriction of λ to QC for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty + C)$. Then π is a continuous surjective mapping. Moreover, since ψ is thin, from Izuchi [11, Lemma 5] we know that π is injective on $Z(\psi)$. Using that QC is a C^* -

algebra, it is not difficult to find sequences $\{x_n\}$ in $Z(\psi)$ and $\{f_n\}$ in QC satisfying (i), (ii), and $\hat{f}_n(x_n) = \hat{f}_n(\pi(x_n)) = 1$ for every $n \geq 1$. \square

LEMMA 17. *Let B be a closed subalgebra of L^∞ such that $QC \subset B \subset H^\infty + C$. Then $B_b \subset H^\infty + C$.*

Proof. Let $f \in B_b$ and assume that $f \notin H^\infty + C$. By the Chang–Marshall theorem there is a thin Blaschke product ψ such that $\bar{\psi} \in H^\infty[f]$, the closed subalgebra of L^∞ generated by H^∞ and the function f . Let $\{f_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ be as in Lemma 16. Since $\bar{\psi} \in H^\infty[f]$, for each integer $n \geq 1$ there is an integer $m_n \geq 1$ and there are h_{nj} in H^∞ ($0 \leq j \leq m_n$) such that

$$\left\| \bar{\psi} - \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} h_{nj} f^j \right\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Because B_b is an algebra, for each j we have $f^j \in B_b$, and thus there is a sequence $\{g_{jn}\}$ in B such that $\|f_n f^j - g_{jn}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\|\bar{\psi} f_n - G_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $G_n = \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} h_{nj} g_{jn} \in H^\infty + C$. Then also $\epsilon_n = \|f_n - \psi G_n\|_\infty = \|\psi(\bar{\psi} f_n - G_n)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_n, \psi G_n \in H^\infty + C$ and $x_n \in \mathfrak{M}(H^\infty + C)$, we have

$$1 = |\hat{f}_n(x_n) - \psi(x_n) \hat{G}_n(x_n)| = |(f_n - \psi G_n)^\wedge(x_n)| \leq \epsilon_n,$$

contradicting that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 15. By Lemma 17, $QC_b \subset H^\infty + C$. It is easily seen that QC_b is a C^* -algebra, thus also $QC_b \subset \overline{H^\infty + C}$. Hence

$$QC_b \subset (H^\infty + C) \cap \overline{(H^\infty + C)} = QC. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 13. If $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ belongs to $((QC)^\wedge + V)_b$, then by Remark 10 we see that $f^* \in (QC)_b$. By Theorem 15 we have $f^* \in QC$, so that $\hat{f}^* \in (QC)^\wedge$. The proof is completed if we show that $g = f - \hat{f}^* \in V$, because then we will have $f = \hat{f}^* + g \in (QC)^\wedge + V$.

Assuming that $g \notin V$, there is a sequence $\{r_n\}$ in $(0, 1)$, a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of sets of positive area measure in \mathbf{D} , and a positive number δ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &r_n \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \\ &A_n \subset \{z \in \mathbf{C} : r_n < |z| < 1\}; \text{ and} \\ &|g(z)| \geq \delta \text{ for } z \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let z_n be a point of density of the set A_n . Then clearly $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By passing to a subsequence (if necessary) we may further assume that the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is a thin interpolating sequence. Write ψ for the Blaschke product with zeros $\{z_n\}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ be as in Lemma 16. Since $g \in ((QC)^\wedge + V)_b$, there are sequences $\{g_n\}$ in QC and $\{\nu_n\}$ in V such that $\|g \hat{f}_n - (\hat{g}_n + \nu_n)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking nontangential limits, we conclude that $\|g_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$; thus $\|\hat{g}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\epsilon_n = \|g \hat{f}_n - \nu_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix an integer n and let $0 < r < 1$. Because $x_n \in Z(\psi)$, there is a net $\{w_\alpha\}$ in $\{z_m : m \geq 1\}$ such that $w_\alpha \rightarrow x_n$ in \mathfrak{M} . By the continuity of \hat{f}_n on \mathfrak{M} we have $\hat{f}_n(w_\alpha) \rightarrow \hat{f}_n(x_n) = 1$.

Note that also $|w_\alpha| \rightarrow 1$. Choose an index α for which $|\hat{f}_n(w_\alpha)| > \frac{1}{2}$ and $|w_\alpha| > r$. Pick $0 < \eta < |w_\alpha| - r$ so that $|\hat{f}_n(w)| > \frac{1}{2}$ for all w in \mathbf{D} with $|w - w_\alpha| < \eta$. Writing $w_\alpha = z_m$, w_α is a point of density of the set A_m , and thus the set $B = A_m \cap \{w \in \mathbf{C} : |w - w_\alpha| < \eta\}$ has positive measure. Noting that also $B \subset \mathbf{D} \setminus r\mathbf{D}$, we can choose a $w \in B$ for which $|g(w)\hat{f}_n(w)| \leq \|g\hat{f}_n\chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r\mathbf{D}}\|_\infty$. We then have

$$\delta/2 \leq |g(w)| |\hat{f}_n(w)| \leq \|g\hat{f}_n\chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r\mathbf{D}}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon_n + \|\nu_n\chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r\mathbf{D}}\|_\infty.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain $\delta/2 \leq \epsilon_n$, contradicting that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Ghatage, Sun, and Zheng showed in [7] that for Bourgain algebras relative to $C(\mathfrak{N})$, $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_{bb} = H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_b$. In fact, we can prove the same result for Bourgain algebras relative to the larger space $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$.

THEOREM 18. *Taking Bourgain algebras relative to $L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$, we have*

$$H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_{bb} = H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_b.$$

Proof. Suppose $f \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_{bb} = (H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}}) + V)_b$. Write $g = f^*$. Then it is easily seen that $g \in (H^\infty + C)_b = H^\infty + C$, so that $\hat{g} \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}})$. We claim that $f - \hat{g} \in V$. It then follows that

$$f = \hat{g} + (f - \hat{g}) \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) + C(\bar{\mathbf{D}}) + V = H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_b.$$

Assuming $f - \hat{g} \notin V$, there is a sequence $\{r_n\}$ in $(0, 1)$, a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of sets of positive area measure in \mathbf{D} , and a positive number δ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \\ A_n &\subset \{z \in \mathbf{C} : r_n < |z| < 1\}; \\ |f(z) - \hat{g}(z)| &\geq \delta \text{ for } z \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n; \text{ and} \\ |f(z)| &\leq \|f\|_\infty \text{ for } z \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let z_n be a point of density of the set A_n . Then clearly $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By passing to a subsequence (if necessary) we may further assume that the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is interpolating. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a Beurling sequence in $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z_k) &= \delta_{nk} \text{ for all positive integers } n \text{ and } k, \text{ and} \\ \sum_{n=1}^\infty |f_n(z)| &< M \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{D}, \end{aligned}$$

where M is a finite constant. Let $\{N_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a partition of the positive integers such that each set N_k is infinite. For each positive integer k , put

$$F_k = \sum_{n \in N_k} f_n.$$

Then each $F_k \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$, and because $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |F_k(z)| < M$, for all $z \in \mathbf{D}$, $F_k \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ (here we use [3, Lemma 1]), thus in $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})_b$. It follows that there exist $h_k \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$, $\varphi_k \in C(\bar{\mathbf{D}})$ and $\nu_k \in V$ such that

$$\|(f - \hat{g})F_k - h_k - \varphi_k - \nu_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking nontangential limits we conclude that $\|h_k^* + \varphi_k^*\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $\|h_k + (\varphi_k^*)^\wedge\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$\|(f - \hat{g})F_k + (\varphi_k^*)^\wedge - \varphi_k - \nu_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

Fix an integer k such that $\|(f - \hat{g})F_k + (\varphi_k^*)^\wedge - \varphi_k - \nu_k\|_\infty \leq \delta/4$. Note that for $n \in N_k$ we have $F_k(z_n) = 1$, so we can choose $0 < \delta_n < 1 - |z_n|$ such that $|F_k(z)| > \frac{1}{2}$ for $|z - z_n| < \delta_n$. Because z_n is a point of density of A_n , the set $B_n = A_n \cap \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - z_n| < \delta_n\}$ has positive measure, and we may assume that

$$|(f(z) - \hat{g}(z))F_k(z) + (\varphi_k^*)^\wedge(z) - \varphi_k(z) - \nu_k(z)| \leq \delta/4 \text{ for all } z \in B_n, \text{ and} \\ |\nu_k(z)| \leq \|\nu_k \chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r_n \mathbf{D}}\|_\infty \text{ for all } z \in B_n.$$

Then, for all $z \in B_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta/2 &\leq |f(z) - \hat{g}(z)| |F_k(z)| \\ &\leq |(f(z) - \hat{g}(z))F_k(z) + (\varphi_k^*)^\wedge(z) - \varphi_k(z) - \nu_k(z)| \\ &\quad + |(\varphi_k^*)^\wedge(z) - \varphi_k(z)| + |\nu_k(z)| \\ &\leq \delta/4 + |(\varphi_k^*)^\wedge(z) - \varphi_k(z)| + \|\nu_k \chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r_n \mathbf{D}}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|(\varphi_k^*)^\wedge(z_n) - \varphi_k(z_n)| \geq \delta/4 - \|\nu_k \chi_{\mathbf{D} \setminus r_n \mathbf{D}}\|_\infty.$$

Taking the limit as $n \in N_k$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $0 \geq \delta/4 - 0$, a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, *The Dunford–Pettis property for the ball algebras, the polydisc algebras and the Sobolev spaces*, *Studia Math.* 77 (1984), 245–253.
- [2] J. A. Cima, S. Janson, and K. Yale, *Completely continuous Hankel operators on H^∞ and Bourgain algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 105 (1989), 121–125.
- [3] J. A. Cima, K. Stroethoff, and K. Yale, *Bourgain algebras on the unit disk*, *Pacific J. Math.* 160 (1993), 27–41.
- [4] ———, *The Bourgain algebra of the disk algebra*, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* (to appear).
- [5] J. A. Cima and R. Timoney, *The Dunford–Pettis property for certain planar uniform algebras*, *Michigan Math. J.* 34 (1987), 99–104.
- [6] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [7] P. G. Ghatage, S. Sun, D. Zheng, *A remark on Bourgain algebras on the disk*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992), 395–398.
- [8] P. Gorkin and K. Izuchi, *Bourgain algebras on the maximal ideal space of H^∞* , preprint.
- [9] P. Gorkin, K. Izuchi, and R. Mortini, *Bourgain algebras of Douglas algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* 44 (1992), 797–804.
- [10] K. Hoffman, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 86 (1967), 74–111.
- [11] K. Izuchi, *QC-level sets and quotients of Douglas algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 65 (1986), 293–308.
- [12] ———, *Bourgain algebras of the disk, polydisk and ball algebras*, *Duke Math. J.* 66 (1992), 503–519.
- [13] D. Sarason, *Algebras of functions on the unit circle*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1973), 296–299.

- [14] K. Yale, *Bourgain algebras*, Function spaces (K. Jarosz, ed.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 136, pp. 413–422, Dekker, New York, 1992.
- [15] D. Zheng, *Bourgain algebras of some algebras on the disk*, preprint.

K. Izuchi
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Niigata University
Niigata 950-21
Japan

K. Stroethoff
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Montana
Missoula, MT 59812-1032

K. Yale
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Montana
Missoula, MT 59812-1032

