

A Lower Bound for the Gap between the First Two Eigenvalues of Schrödinger Operators on Convex Domains in S^n or R^n

JUN LING

Introduction

Let S^n denote an n -dimensional sphere with canonical metric. Let $\Omega \subset S^n$ or R^n be a smooth strictly convex bounded domain, let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S^n or R^n , and let $V: \Omega \rightarrow R^1$ be a nonnegative convex smooth function. The eigenvalues of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + Vu &= \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

can be arranged in a nondecreasing order as follows:

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_4 \leq \dots$$

It is a significant problem to find a lower bound for $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ in terms of the geometry of Ω and the given potential function V . For $\Omega \subset R^n$, Singer, Wong, Yau, and Yau [9] showed that

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4d^2},$$

where d is the diameter of Ω . This estimate was later improved to

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \geq \frac{\pi^2}{d^2} \tag{0.2}$$

by Yu and Zhong [10]. Then Lee and Wong [6] proved that (0.2) also holds for $\Omega \subset S^n$ provided the potential $V \equiv 0$. Our result is in our Theorem 2, which improves (0.2) to

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \geq \frac{4}{d^2} K(\sigma)^2 \text{ for } \Omega \subset S^n \text{ or } R^n, \tag{0.3}$$

where the potential V is a nonnegative convex function and where K denotes the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, defined by

$$K(\sigma) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma \sin^2 t}} \quad (0.4)$$

(see [1]). It is known that $\sigma > 0$ and, what is more, σ can be estimated from below in terms of other quantities occurring in the problem. In particular, since $K(\sigma) > \pi/2$ for $\sigma > 0$, (0.3) improves upon (0.2). The approach we employ in this paper is from [10; 11].

1. Main Results

Let f and \bar{f} be the first and second eigenfunctions of problem (0.1), respectively. It is known that $f \neq 0$ in Ω , \bar{f} changes its sign in Ω (see [3]), and \bar{f}/f is smooth up to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ (see [9]). Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$f > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \inf_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{f}}{f} = -k, \quad \sup_{\Omega} \frac{\bar{f}}{f} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < k \leq 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Define

$$v = \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{f} - \frac{1-k}{2} \right) \Big/ \frac{1+k}{2}.$$

Then the function v satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v &= -\lambda(v+a) - 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla(\log f) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \inf_{\Omega} v &= -1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\Omega} v = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ and $a = (1-k)/(1+k)$ so $0 \leq a < 1$.

Using Li and Yau's method of gradient estimate, one readily derives the following estimate:

$$\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} \leq \lambda(1+a), \quad (1.3)$$

where $b > 1$ is an arbitrary constant, as was found in [9; 10]. We are going to improve this estimate and then find a new lower bound of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$.

Define $F: [\arcsin(-1/b), \arcsin(1/b)] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ by

$$F(t_0) = \max_{\substack{x \in \bar{\Omega} \\ t(x) = t_0}} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2},$$

where $t(x) = \arcsin(v(x)/b)$. Then $F[t(x)]$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. The estimate (1.3) becomes

$$F(t) \leq \lambda(1+a) \quad \forall t \in [\arcsin(-1/b), \arcsin(1/b)]. \quad (1.3')$$

Throughout we let (unless otherwise stated)

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (\arcsin(-1/b), \arcsin(1/b)), \\ c &= a/b, \end{aligned}$$

$d =$ the diameter of Ω ,

$$t(x) = \arcsin \frac{v(x)}{b},$$

$$\alpha = \inf_{\substack{x \in \bar{\Omega} \\ \tau \in T_x \bar{\Omega}, |\tau|=1}} \{[\nabla^2(-\log f)(x)](\tau, \tau)\},$$

$\delta = \delta_M = \alpha/M$, where M is an upper bound of λ .

REMARK 1. $\alpha > 0$, by [2; 4; 5; 9] and Lemma 5 of this paper, and $\delta_\lambda = \alpha/\lambda \geq 1/4$ for $\Omega = B_r \subset S^n$ or R^n and $V \equiv 0$, by [7].

REMARK 2. For some of the upper bounds one can refer to [7; 8; 9].

Now define a function G by

$$F(t) = \lambda(1+a)G(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \bar{I},$$

and, if $a \neq 0$, define a function H by

$$F(t) = \lambda(1+cH(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in \bar{I}.$$

Then (1.3') becomes

$$G(t) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad H(t) \leq b \quad \text{for every } t \in \bar{I}. \tag{1.3''}$$

We now prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. For $t \in \bar{I}$,

$$G(t) \leq A + 2B \sin t - B \cos^2 t =: W(t) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$H(t) \leq \frac{(4/\pi)(t + \cos t \sin t) - 2 \sin t}{\cos^2 t} - E \cos^2 t =: U(t), \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1+c}{1+a} - 2Bc, \quad B = \min\left\{\frac{4\delta}{15}, \frac{1}{4}\right\},$$

$$E = \min\{\delta/a, m\},$$

$$m = \inf_{(-\pi/2, 0)} \frac{1 + \sin^2 t - (4/\pi)(\cos t + t \sin t)}{\cos^4 t \cdot \sin t}.$$

REMARK 3. $m \geq \pi/9\sqrt{3}$ by Lemma 4 of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. There exist some $P \in R^1$ and $t_0 \in I$ such that

$$P = \max_{t \in \bar{I}} (G(t) - W(t)) = G(t_0) - W(t_0).$$

Therefore,

$$G(t) \leq W(t) + P$$

for every $t \in I$, and

$$G(t_0) = W(t_0) + P.$$

If $P > 0$ then, according to Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 (which we will state and prove in the next section), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W(t_0) + P &= G(t_0) \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{1+a} + \frac{c}{1+a} \sin t - \frac{2\delta}{1+a} \cos^2 t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2(1+a)} (W+P)' \cos t [(2+a) \sin t + c] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (W+P)'' \cos^2 t \right\} \Big|_{t=t_0} \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+a} + \frac{c}{1+a} \sin t - \frac{2\delta}{1+a} \cos^2 t \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(1+a)} W' \cos t [(2+a) \sin t + c] + \frac{1}{2} W'' \cos^2 t \Big|_{t=t_0} \\ &\leq W(t_0) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P \leq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $P > 0$. Therefore we know that $P \leq 0$. (1.4) is proved. (1.5) is proved by an analogous argument, using Lemmas 3 and 4 instead of Lemmas 1 and 2. \square

THEOREM 2. *Assume that $\tilde{\delta}$ is a constant such that $\tilde{\delta} \leq \delta$; then*

$$\lambda \geq \frac{4}{d^2} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-\sigma \sin^2 t}} \right)^2 =: \frac{4}{d^2} K(\sigma)^2,$$

where $\sigma > 0$ depends only on $\tilde{\delta}$.

REMARK 4. $\sigma \geq (2/15\pi)^2 (\alpha/M)^2$. This was proved in [7].

COROLLARY. $\lambda > \pi^2/d^2$.

This is the main result in [10].

Proof of Theorem 2. By (1.4) we get

$$\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} \leq \lambda(1+a)G(t) \leq \lambda(1+a)W(t).$$

Let q_1 and q_2 be two points in $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $v(q_1) = -1$ and $v(q_2) = 1$, and let η be a minimal geodesic joining them. Then

$$d \geq \int_{q_1}^{q_2} d\eta \geq \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dv}{|\nabla v|} \geq \int_{\arcsin(-1/b)}^{\arcsin(1/b)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\lambda(1+a)W(t)}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{\lambda} &\geq \frac{1}{d} \int_{\arcsin(-1/b)}^{\arcsin(1/b)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1+a)W(t)}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{d} \int_0^{\arcsin(1/b)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+a)W(t)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+a)W(-t)}} \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{d} \int_0^{\arcsin(1/b)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+a)(A-B \cos^2 t)}} \\
 &\quad \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(2Bc \sin t/(A-B \cos^2 t))}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(2Bc \sin t/(A-B \cos^2 t))}} \right) dt \\
 &\geq \frac{2}{d} \int_0^{\arcsin(1/b)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1+a)(A-B \cos^2 t)}} \\
 &= \frac{2}{d} \int_0^{\arcsin(1/b)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1+c-2Bc(1+a)-(1+a)B \cos^2 t}} \\
 &\geq \frac{2}{d} \int_0^{\arcsin(1/b)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1+c-2Bc(1+a)-B \cos^2 t}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $b \rightarrow 1$; then

$$\lambda \geq \frac{4}{d^2} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1+a-2Ba(1+a)-B \cos^2 t}} \right)^2. \tag{1.6}$$

If $a \neq 0$, then (1.5) and an argument analogous to that above shows that

$$\lambda \geq \frac{4}{d^2} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-aE \cos^2 t}} \right)^2. \tag{1.7}$$

Choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(B)$ so that

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1+s-2Bs(1+s)-B \cos^2 t}} \geq \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-(B/2) \cos^2 t}} \tag{1.8}$$

holds for $0 \leq s \leq \epsilon$, and let

$$\sigma = \min\{B/2, \min(\delta, \epsilon m)\} = \min\{2\delta/15, 1/8, \epsilon m\}.$$

Then

$$\lambda \geq \frac{4}{d^2} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-\sigma \cos^2 t}} \right)^2 = \frac{4}{d^2} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-\sigma \sin^2 t}} \right)^2,$$

using (1.6) and (1.8) if $a \leq \epsilon$ and using (1.7) if $a > \epsilon$. □

2. Several Lemmas

LEMMA 1. *If a C^∞ function $y: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $y(t) \geq G(t)$ for every $t \in \bar{I}$;
- (ii) *there exists some $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $t(x_0) = t_0$ and $y(t_0) = G(t_0)$;*

(iii) $y(t) > 0$ for every $t \in \bar{I}$; and

(iv) $y'(t_0)(\sin t_0 + c) \geq 0$,

then the following inequality is valid:

$$G(t_0) \leq \frac{1}{1+a} + \frac{c}{1+a} \sin t_0 - \frac{2\delta}{1+a} \cos^2 t_0 - \frac{1}{2(1+a)} y'(t_0) \cos t_0 [(2+a) \sin t_0 + c] + \frac{1}{2} y''(t_0) \cos^2 t_0. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Define $J: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ by

$$J(x) = \left\{ \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} - \lambda(1+a)y(t) \right\} \cos^2 t, \quad (2.2)$$

where $t = \arcsin(v(x)/b)$. Obviously,

$$J(x) \leq 0 \text{ for every } x \in \bar{\Omega}, \text{ and } J(x_0) = 0.$$

Therefore J achieves its maximum on $\bar{\Omega}$ at x_0 .

If $\nabla v(x_0) = 0$, then

$$0 = J(x_0) = -\lambda(1+a)y(t_0).$$

This contradicts (iii). Therefore

$$\nabla v(x_0) \neq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

(2.3) and Lemma 1 in [10] imply $x_0 \in \Omega$. By the maximum principle, we have

$$\nabla J(x_0) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\Delta J(x_0) \leq 0 \quad (2.5)$$

Rewrite (2.2) as

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{b^2} |\nabla v|^2 - \lambda(1+a)y(t) \cos^2 t. \quad (2.2')$$

(2.4) and (2.5) are equivalent to

$$\frac{2}{b^2} \sum_i v_i v_{ij} \Big|_{x_0} = \lambda(1+a) \cos t [y' \cos t - 2y \sin t] t_j \Big|_{x_0} \quad (2.4')$$

and

$$0 \geq \left\{ \frac{2}{b^2} \sum_{i,j} v_{ij}^2 + \frac{2}{b^2} \sum_{i,j} v_i v_{ijj} - \lambda(1+a)[(y' \Delta t + y'' |\nabla t|^2) \cos^2 t - 4y' \cos t \cdot \sin t |\nabla t|^2 + y \Delta \cos^2 t] \right\} \Big|_{x_0}. \quad (2.5')$$

Choose a normal coordinate around x_0 such that $v_1(x_0) \neq 0$ and $v_i(x_0) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Then (2.4') gives

$$\begin{cases} v_{11}|_{x_0} = \frac{b\lambda(1+a)}{2} [y' \cos t - 2y \sin t] \Big|_{x_0}, \\ v_{1i}|_{x_0} = 0, \quad i \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.4'')$$

Under the given local coordinate at x_0 ,

$$\sum_{i,j} v_i v_{ijj} = \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\Delta v) + R(\nabla v, \nabla v) \geq \nabla v \nabla(\Delta v), \tag{2.6}$$

where $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Ricci curvature of S^n or \mathbf{R}^n . We have:

$$\nabla v \cdot \nabla(\Delta v) = -\lambda v_1^2 - 2v_1^2(\log f)_{11} - 2v_1 v_{11}(\log f)_1, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{\nabla v}{b} = \Delta \sin t = \cos t \Delta t - \sin t |\nabla t|^2, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{1}{\cos t} \left[\frac{\Delta v}{b} + \sin t |\nabla t|^2 \right], \tag{2.9}$$

$$\Delta \cos^2 t = \Delta \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{b^2} \right) = -\frac{2}{b^2} v \nabla v - 2 \cos^2 t |\nabla t|^2, \tag{2.10}$$

$$|\nabla t|^2 = \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} = \lambda(1+a)y. \tag{2.11}$$

Putting (2.6)–(2.11) into (2.5'), we obtain, at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \frac{2}{b^2} \sum_{i,j} v_{ij}^2 - 2\lambda^2(1+a)y \cos^2 t + \lambda^2(1+a)y \cos t(\sin t + c) \\ & - \lambda^2(1+a)yy' \cos t \sin t - \lambda^2(1+a)^2yy'' \cos^2 t \\ & + 4\lambda^2(1+a)^2yy' \cos t \sin t - 2\lambda^2(1+a)y \sin t(\sin t + c) \\ & + 2\lambda^2(1+a)^2y^2 \cos^2 t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5''}$$

Putting (2.4'') into (2.5''), we obtain, at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(1+a)^2(y')^2 \cos^2 t + 2\lambda^2(1+a)^2y^2 \\ & - 2\lambda^2(1+a)y \cos^2 t + \lambda^2(1+a)y' \cos t[\sin t + c + (1+a)y \sin t] \\ & - \lambda^2(1+a)^2yy'' \cos^2 t - 2\lambda^2(1+a)y \sin t(\sin t + c) \\ & + 4\delta\lambda^2(1+a)y \cos^2 t, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5'''}$$

where we have used

$$-\frac{4}{b^2} v_1 v_{11}(\log f)_1 + \frac{2\lambda(1+a)}{b} (\log f)_1 [y' \cos t - 2y \sin t] \Big|_{x_0} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{(-\log f)_{11}}{\lambda} \geq \frac{(-\log f)_{11}}{M} \geq \delta.$$

Dividing both sides of (2.5''') by $\lambda^2(1+a)y$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(t_0) &= y(t_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1+a} + \frac{c}{1+a} \sin t_0 - \frac{2\delta}{1+a} \cos^2 t_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2(1+a)}y'(t_0)\cos t_0\left[\frac{\sin t_0+c}{y(t_0)}+(1+a)\sin t_0\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}y''(t_0)\cos^2 t_0 \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1+a}+\frac{c}{1+a}\sin t_0-\frac{2\delta}{1+a}\cos^2 t_0 \\
& -\frac{1}{2(1+a)}y'(t_0)\cos t_0[(2+a)\sin t_0+c]+\frac{1}{2}y''(t_0)\cos^2 t_0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we have used the conditions

$$y'(t_0)(\sin t_0+c)\geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y(t_0)=G(t_0)\leq 1. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2. *Let A, B be as in Theorem 1. Then the function*

$$W(t)=A+2Bc\sin t-B\cos^2 t$$

satisfies the following system: For every $t\in\bar{I}$,

$$\begin{cases} (1+a)W''\cos^2 t-W'\cos t[(2+a)\sin t+c] \\ \quad -2(1+a)W+2+2c\sin t-4\delta\cos^2 t\leq 0, \\ W'(t)(\sin t+c)\geq 0, \quad \text{and} \\ W(t)> 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Proof.

$$W'(t)=2B\cos t(\sin t+c);$$

$$W'(t)(\sin t+c)=2B\cos t(\sin t+c)^2\geq 0;$$

$$W''(t)=2B(1-c\sin t-2\sin^2 t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+a)W''\cos^2 t-W'\cos t[(2+a)\sin t+c]-2(1+a)W+2+2c\sin t-4\delta\cos^2 t \\
& =\cos^2 t\{2B[2+2a-c^2-(4+2a)c\sin t-(4+3a)\sin^2 t]-4\delta\} \\
& \quad +2\{1-(1+a)A+[1-2(1+a)B]c\sin t\} \\
& \leq\cos^2 t\left\{\frac{2(1+a)(8+6a+ac^2)B}{4+3a}-4\delta\right\} \\
& \quad +2\{-c+2Bc(1+a)+[1-2(1+a)B]c\} \\
& \leq 4\cos^2 t\{(15/4)B-\delta\}\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
y|_{\min} & =y|_{\sin t=-c}=A-B(1+c^2) \\
& = (1+c)^2\left(\frac{1}{(1+a)(1+c)}-B\right)\geq (1+c)^2\left(\frac{1}{(1+a)(1+c)}-\frac{1}{4}\right)> 0. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. *Assume that $a\neq 0$. Let the C^∞ function $y:\bar{I}\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^1$ satisfy the conditions:*

- (i) $y(t) \geq H(t)$ for every $t \in \bar{I}$;
- (ii) there exists some $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $t(x_0) = t_0$ and $y(t_0) = H(t_0)$;
- (iii) $y(t) > -1/c$ for every $t \in \bar{I}$; and
- (iv) $y'(t_0) \geq 0$.

Then the following inequality is valid:

$$H(t_0) \leq \sin t_0 - \frac{2\delta}{c} \cos^2 t_0 - y'(t_0) \cos t_0 \sin t_0 + \frac{1}{2} y''(t_0) \cos^2 t_0. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. An argument analogous to that used in the proof of Lemma 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} H(t_0) &= y(t_0) \\ &\leq \sin t_0 - \frac{2\delta}{c} \cos^2 t_0 - \frac{1}{2} y'(t_0) \cos t_0 \left[\frac{\sin t_0 + c}{1 + cy(t_0)} + \sin t_0 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} y''(t_0) \cos^2 t_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (iii), (iv), the above inequality, and

$$\frac{\sin t_0 + c}{1 + cy(t_0)} \geq \sin t_0,$$

we obtain (2.13). □

LEMMA 4. Let E be as in Theorem 1. Then the function

$$U(t) =: U_1(t) + U_2(t) =: \frac{(4/\pi)(t + \cos t \cdot \sin t) - 2 \sin t}{\cos^2 t} - E \cos^2 t$$

satisfies, for $t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$,

$$\begin{cases} U(-\pi/2) = -1, & U(\pi/2) = 1, & |U(t)| \leq 1; \\ U'(t) \geq 0; & \text{and} \\ \sin t - (2\delta/c) \cos^2 t - U' \cos t \sin t + \frac{1}{2} U'' \cos^2 t \leq U(t). \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. One checks readily that the function U_1 satisfies, for $t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$,

$$\begin{cases} U_1(-\pi/2) = -1, & U_1(\pi/2) = 1; \\ U_1'(t) \geq 0; & \text{and} \\ \sin t - U_1' \cos t \sin t + \frac{1}{2} U_1'' \cos^2 t = U_1; \end{cases}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2\delta}{c} \cos^2 t - U_2 - U_2' \cos t \sin t + \frac{1}{2} U_2'' \cos^2 t \\ &= \left\{ -\frac{2\delta}{c} + 2E - 4E \sin^2 t \right\} \cos^2 t \\ &\leq -4E \sin^2 t \cos^2 t \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to show that m in Theorem 1 satisfies

$$m \geq \pi/9\sqrt{3}. \tag{2.15}$$

Define a function $Z: [-\pi/2, 0] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ by

$$Z(t) = \frac{1 + \sin t - (4/\pi)(\cos t + t \sin t)}{\cos^4 t \sin t}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} Z(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\pi/2+0} Z(t) = +\infty.$$

Therefore there exists some $\bar{t} \in (-\pi/2, 0)$ such that

$$m = Z(\bar{t}) \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Z'(\bar{t}) \\ &= \frac{(4/\pi) \cos^3 t - \cos^4 t + 4 \sin^2 t + 4 \sin^4 t - (16/\pi)(\cos t \sin^2 t + t \sin^3 t)}{\cos^5 t \sin^2 t} \Big|_{t=\bar{t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

(2.16) and (2.17) yield

$$m = Z(\bar{t}) = \frac{\cos \bar{t} - 4/\pi}{4 \cos \bar{t} \sin^3 \bar{t}} \geq -\frac{\pi}{48} \max \frac{1}{\cos^3 \bar{t} \sin \bar{t}} = \frac{\pi}{9\sqrt{3}}.$$

Therefore (2.15) holds. So

$$\begin{aligned} U'(t) &= U'_1(t) + U'_2(t) \\ &= 2 \left\{ \frac{(4/\pi)(\cos t + t \sin t) - 1 - \sin^2 t}{\cos^3 t} + E \cos t \sin t \right\} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.15) for $t \in [-\pi/2, 0]$ and by $U'_1(t) \geq 0$ and $\sin t \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, \pi/2]$. \square

LEMMA 5. *Let f be the first Dirichlet eigenfunction of (0.1), with $f > 0$ in Ω . Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the function $\log f$ is strictly concave in the ϵ -neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$.*

Proof. Choose a normal coordinate around $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1$ is the outward unit normal vector field on $\partial\Omega$. Take a point \bar{x} in Ω with small distance d to $\partial\Omega$, $d = \text{dist}(\bar{x}, x_0) = \text{dist}(\bar{x}, \partial\Omega)$. Then $f_1|_{x_0} < 0$, by the Hopf lemma, and $f_i|_{x_0} = 0$, $i \geq 2$, because $\partial/\partial x^i$ ($i \geq 2$) are tangent vectors. Therefore,

$$f_1|_{\bar{x}} \sim c_1 d, \quad c_1 = -f_1(x_0) > 0.$$

and

$$f_i|_{\bar{x}} \sim O(d) \quad \text{when } i \geq 2.$$

Here “ $\sim A/d^\alpha$ ” means “ $= (A + o(1))/d^\alpha$ ” with $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow 0$. Let $w = \log f$; then

$$w_i|_{\bar{x}} = (f_i/f)|_{\bar{x}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$w_{ij}|_{\bar{x}} = \{f_{ij}/f - f_i f_j / f^2 - \Gamma_{ij}^k f_k / f\}|_{\bar{x}},$$

where Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols. Hence we obtain

$$w_{11}|_{\bar{x}} \sim O(1/d) - f_1^2/d^2|_{x_0}$$

and

$$w_{1i}|_{\bar{x}} \sim O(1/d), \quad i \geq 2.$$

When $i, j \geq 2$,

$$f_{ij}|_{\bar{x}} = \partial_i \partial_j f - (\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j) f|_{\bar{x}} \sim O(d) - \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j f|_{x_0}.$$

Now

$$\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j f|_{x_0} = \langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle \partial_k f|_{x_0} = \langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j, \partial_1 \rangle f_1|_{x_0}$$

and

$$\langle \partial_1, \partial_j \rangle = 0, \quad j \geq 2,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product on the tangent bundle of S^n or R^n , and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_i \langle \partial_1, \partial_j \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_1, \partial_j \rangle + \langle \partial_1, \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j \rangle \\ &= h_{ij} + \langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j, \partial_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $(h_{ij})_{n-1, n-1}$ is the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$ via ∂_1 . Therefore,

$$f_{ij}|_{\bar{x}} \sim O(d) + h_{ij} f_1|_{x_0} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{ij} \sim h_{ij} f_1 \quad \text{for } i, j \geq 2.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(-w_{ij})_{n, n}|_{\bar{x}} \sim \begin{bmatrix} f_1^2/d^2 & O(1/d) \\ O(1/d) & (-h_{ij} f_1)_{n-1, n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Noting that $(h_{ij})_{n-1, n-1}$ is positive definite, we have now completed the proof. □

FINAL REMARK. Theorems 1 and 2 are valid for Riemannian manifolds for which the conditions $\nabla^2(-\log f)(\partial_i, \partial_i) > 0$ and $\text{Ric}(M) \geq 0$ are satisfied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author is grateful to Professor Guangchang Dong for his kind direction and help.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (eds.), *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964.
- [2] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman, *Convexity of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), 431–456.
- [3] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1953.
- [4] N. J. Korevaar, *Convexity properties of solutions to elliptic PDEs*, Variational methods for free surface interfaces (R. Finn, D. Concus, eds.), pp. 115–121, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

- [5] N. J. Korevaar and J. L. Lewis, *Convex solutions of certain elliptic equations have constant rank Hessians*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 97 (1987), 19–32.
- [6] Y.-I. Lee and A. N. Wang, *Estimate of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ on spheres*, Chinese J. Math. 15 (1987), 95–97.
- [7] J. Ling, *The estimates of convex index for geodesic balls and their applications to the estimates of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$* , Preprint, 1992.
- [8] L. Payne, G. Pólya, and H. Weinberger, *On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues*, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1956), 289–298.
- [9] I. M. Singer, B. Wong, S.-T. Yau, and S. S.-T. Yau, *An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (4) 12 (1985), 319–333.
- [10] Q.-H. Yu and J.-Q. Zhong, *Lower bounds of the gap between the first and second eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 294 (1986), 341–349.
- [11] J.-Q. Zhong and H.-C. Yang, *On the estimate of the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifold*, Sci. Sinica Ser. A 27 (1984), 1265–1273.

Department of Mathematics
State University of New York at Buffalo
Buffalo, NY 14214-3093