## THE EXTREMAL PSH FOR THE COMPLEMENT OF CONVEX, SYMMETRIC SUBSETS OF $\mathbf{R}^N$

## Magnus Lundin

**Introduction.** For a compact subset E of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , we define the extremal function

$$\Phi_E(z) = \sup\{|p(z)|^{1/\deg p}\}.$$

The supremum is taken over all polynomials in N complex variables with  $||P||_E \le 1$ .

This function has been investigated and used in connection with polynomial approximation in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by Siciak [4]. Zahariuta [6] and with a different method Siciak [5] have shown that

(0.1) 
$$\log \Phi_E(z) = \sup \{u(z)\};$$

here the supremum is taken over all plurisubharmonic functions v with  $v(z) \le \log(|z|+1)+C$  and  $v(z) \le 0$  on E.

Similar functions have been used in connection with potential theory in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and also when investigating the complex Monge-Ampére equation; see for example Bedford [1, 2] and Bedford and Taylor [3] where further references can be found.

In this note we give a fairly explicit formula for  $\Phi_E$  when E is convex, symmetric with respect to 0 and contained in  $\mathbf{R}^N \subseteq \mathbf{C}^N$ . This calculation will also give us a complex foliation of  $\mathbf{C}^N$  such that  $\Phi_E$  is harmonic on each leaf except at the intersections between the leaves and E.

**Definitions and formulation of the main result.** We will always consider  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . When we talk about the topology of a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  we usually refer to the  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -topology.

Let  $S_N$  denote the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and for  $\xi \in S_N$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$  define  $\xi \cdot z = \xi_1 z_1 + \dots + \xi_N z_N$ .

For a convex symmetric set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  with nonempty interior (symmetric means symmetric with respect to 0, i.e. E = -E), we have a representation

(1.1) 
$$E = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^N : a(\xi) \xi \cdot z \in [-1, 1] \text{ for all } \xi \in S_N \}.$$

Here  $a(\xi)$  is a continuous function on  $S_N$  which can be chosen as the reciprocal of half the width of E in the direction  $\xi$ . The function  $a(\xi)$  is unique if E has a tangent plane at every boundary point. We now define

$$(1.2) F(\xi,z) = a(\xi)\xi \cdot z + \sqrt{(a(\xi)\xi \cdot z)^2 - 1}.$$

Here we always choose the sign of the root function to make  $|F| \ge 1$ . This choice makes, for a fixed  $\xi$ ,  $F(\xi, z)$  into a holomorphic mapping of  $\{z : a(\xi)\xi \cdot z \notin [-1, 1]\}$  onto the complement of the unit disc in C, with  $|F(\xi, z)| \le C(|z|+1)$ .

Received February 27, 1984.

Michigan Math. J. 32 (1985).

Our representation of E (1.1) shows that for every  $z \notin E$  there is a  $\xi$  such that  $a(\xi)\xi \cdot z \notin [-1,1]$  and therefore  $|F(z,\xi)| > 1$ .

We are now ready to define our candidate for  $\Phi_E$ . Let

(1.3) 
$$\Psi_E(z) = \sup_{\xi \in S_N} |F(\xi, z)|.$$

The preceding discussion shows that  $\log \Psi_E(z)$  is plurisubharmonic,  $\log \Psi_E(z) \le \log(|z|+1)+C$  and  $\log \Psi_E(z) \le 0$  if  $z \in E$ . This shows that  $\Psi_E(z) \le \Phi_E(z)$ . We also know that  $\log \Psi_E(z) > 0$  if  $z \notin E$ . Our main result can now be stated.

THEOREM 1. If E is a convex symmetric subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with nonempty interior then

$$\Psi_E(z) = \Phi_E(z).$$

The function  $\log |F(\xi, z)|$  for fixed  $\xi$  is the projection  $\mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  in the  $\xi$  direction followed by the largest subharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  which is 0 on the projection of E and grows not faster than  $\log |z|$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ . We now obtain the following as a corollary.

THEOREM 2. The set  $E_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |\Phi_E(z)| \le R\}$  is convex if E is a convex symmetric set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with nonempty interior.

Proof.

$$E_R = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^N \colon \Psi_E(z) \le R \} = \bigcap_{\xi \in S_N} \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^N \colon |F(\xi, z)| \le R \}$$

But we know that  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}| \le R\}$  is convex (it is an ellipse) therefore  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |F(\xi, z)| \le R\}$  and also  $E_R$  must be convex.

If E has empty interior (in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) then after a suitable rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , E is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  so we can use our methods in  $\mathbb{C}^{N-1}$  and in  $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathbb{C}^{N-1}$  we have  $\Phi_E(z) = +\infty$ . This is seen from the functions  $P_k(z) = k \cdot z_n$ . We have  $|P_k(z)| = 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{N-1}$  and  $\Phi_E(z) \ge |P_k(z)| \to \infty$  on  $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathbb{C}^{N-1}$ .

The case when  $a(\xi)$  is differentiable. We first prove the theorem in the case when  $a(\xi)$  is differentiable. In this case  $F(\xi, z)$  is a differentiable function of  $\xi$  if  $a(\xi)\xi\cdot z\notin [-1,1]$ , so we can find the maximum of  $|F(\xi,z)|$  for a fixed  $z\notin E$  by differentiating with respect to  $\xi$ .

Let  $z_0 \in E$  be fixed. Then the supremum in (1.3) is attained for some  $\xi_0$ . Now fix a basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{N-1}$  for the tangent space of  $S_N$  at  $\xi_0$ . Then we have for the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_k} \log |F(\xi, z_0)|_{\xi=\xi_0} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_k} \log |F(\xi, z_0)|_{\xi=\xi_0} = 0 \quad \text{for } k=1, \dots, N-1.$$

If we use the definition of  $F(\xi, z)$  (1.2) and note that  $(\partial \xi/\partial e_k)|_{\xi=\xi_0}=e_k$ , we find (for  $k=1,\ldots,N-1$ ) that

(2.1) 
$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial e_k}(\xi_0)\xi_0 \cdot z_0 + a(\xi_0)e_k \cdot z_0 = i\lambda_k \sqrt{(a(\xi_0)\xi_0 \cdot z_0)^2 - 1},$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{N-1})$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ . For  $\xi_0$  and  $\lambda$  fixed and an arbitrary z these equations define a 1-complex dimensional set in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . After a change of

variables, we can assume that  $\xi_0$  is the basis vector in the  $z_N$  direction and  $e_k$  is the basis vector in the  $z_k$  direction. Then we obtain the equations

(2.2) 
$$z_k = -\frac{1}{a(\xi_0)} \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial e_k} (\xi_0) z_N - i \lambda_k \sqrt{(a(\xi_0) z_N)^2 - 1} \right); \quad k = 1, ..., N-1.$$

This set we parametrize by letting

$$a(\xi_0)z_N = \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}\right)$$
 with  $|\zeta| \ge 1$ .

If  $|\zeta| = 1$ , then  $a(\xi_0)z_N \in [-1, 1]$  and  $|F(\xi_0, z)| = 1$ .

We know that  $|F(\xi_0, z_0)| > 1$  so  $z_0$  must correspond to a  $\zeta_0$  with  $|\zeta_0| > 1$ . If we now remember the choice of sign for the root function in (1.2) we see that

$$\sqrt{(a(\xi_0)z_N)^2-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta-\frac{1}{\zeta}\right).$$

Thus we have the solution set of (2.2) given as

(2.3) 
$$\begin{cases} z_k = -\frac{1}{2a(\xi_0)} \left( \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial e_k}(\xi_0) - i\lambda_k \right) \zeta + \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial e_k}(\xi_0) + i\lambda_k \right) \frac{1}{\zeta} \right), \ k = 1, \dots, N-1 \\ z_N = \frac{1}{2a(\xi_0)} \left( \zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right), \quad \text{with} \quad |\zeta| \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

This is a complex manifold with boundary which we call  $\Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$ . We must remember that this representation is valid only after a suitable change of coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

From our construction we know that at  $z = z_0$ ,  $|F(\xi, z)|$  attains its maximum as a function of  $\xi$  for  $\xi = \xi_0$ . Our next step is to show that this is true for all  $z \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$ . We need the following result.

LEMMA. If  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are complex numbers then

$$|w_1 + \sqrt{w_1^2 - 1}| = |w_2 + \sqrt{w_2^2 - 1}|$$

if and only if there is a  $\theta \in R$  so that

$$w_2 = \cos\theta \cdot w_1 + i \sin\theta \sqrt{w_1^2 - 1}.$$

The square root is always chosen to make  $|w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1}| \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_1 + 1/\zeta_1)$  and  $w_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_2 + 1/\zeta_2)$  with  $|\zeta_1|$  and  $|\zeta_2| \ge 1$ . Formula (2.4) now becomes  $|\zeta_1| = |\zeta_2|$  or equivalently  $\zeta_2 = e^{i\theta}\zeta_1$ . If we now insert this in the formulas for  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  we get precisely  $w_2 = \cos\theta \cdot w_1 + i\sin\theta\sqrt{w_1^2 - 1}$ .

Now take a  $\xi_1 \neq \pm \xi_0$ . Since  $\xi_0$  and  $\{e_k\}$ , k = 1, ..., N-1, form a basis in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  we can find real constants  $c_0, ..., c_{N-1}$  so that

$$\xi_1 = c_0 \xi_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} c_k e_k$$
.

This can be rewritten as

$$\xi_{1} = \left(c_{0} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{c_{k}}{a(\xi_{0})} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial e_{k}}(\xi_{0})\right) \xi_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{c_{k}}{a(\xi_{0})} \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial e_{k}}(\xi_{0}) \xi_{0} + a(\xi_{0}) e_{k}\right).$$

For every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$  we thus have

$$a(\xi_1)\xi_1 \cdot z = d_0 a(\xi_0) z_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} d_k \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial e_k} (\xi_0) z_N + a(\xi_0) z_k \right).$$

(Here we have used  $\xi_0 \cdot z = z_N$  and  $e_k \cdot z = z_k$ .)  $d_0, \dots, d_{N-1}$  are real constants independent of z. If  $z \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$  then we can use (2.1) to get

$$a(\xi_1)\xi_1 \cdot z = d_0 a(\xi_0) z_N + i \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} d_k \lambda_k \right) \sqrt{(a(\xi_0) z_N)^2 - 1}.$$

If for some  $z \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$  but not in E we have  $|F(\xi_0, z)| = |F(\xi_1, z)|$ , then our lemma shows that there is a  $\theta$  so that

$$a(\xi_1)\xi_1 \cdot z = \cos\theta a(\xi_0)z_N + i\sin\theta\sqrt{(a(\xi_0)z_N)^2 - 1}$$
.

But  $a(\xi_0)z_N \notin [-1,1]$ , and a simple calculation shows that  $a(\xi_0)z_N$  and  $i\sqrt{(a(\xi_0)z_N)^2-1}$  are **R** linearly independent, so we must have  $d_0 = \cos\theta$  and  $\sum d_k \lambda_k = \sin\theta$ . Now we can use the lemma again and conclude that

$$|F(\xi_0, z)| = |F(\xi_1, z)|$$
 for all  $z \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$ .

Since  $\Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$  is connected we see that  $F(\xi, z)$  has its maximum as a function of  $\xi$  at  $\xi = \xi_0$  for all  $z \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$ , or in other words  $\Psi_E(z) = |F(\xi_0, z)|$  on  $\Sigma_{\lambda, \xi_0}$ .

We now use the parametrization (2.3) of  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0}$  and find that  $\Psi_E(z) = |F(\xi_0,z)| = |\zeta|$  on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0}$ . When  $|\zeta|$  is large then we see from (2.3) that  $|\zeta| \sim C|z|$ , so  $\log \Psi_E(z)$  is harmonic on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0} \setminus E$ ,  $\log \Psi_E(z) = 0$  on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0} \cap E$ , and  $\log \Psi_E(z) \sim \log|z| + C$  as |z| goes to  $\infty$  on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0}$ . We now compare this to  $\log \Phi_E(z)$ . This is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  so its restriction to  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0}$  must be subharmonic, and from (0.1) we see that it has the same behaviour when z goes to infinity and as z approaches E as does  $\Psi_E$ . Thus on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0}$ ,  $\log \Phi_E(z) - \log \Psi_E(z)$  is a subharmonic function of  $\zeta$  which is 0 for  $|\zeta| = 1$  and is bounded as  $|\zeta|$  goes to  $\infty$ . Thus  $\log \Phi_E(z) \leq \log \Psi_E(z)$  on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,\xi_0}$  and since  $z_0$  was arbitrary this is true in all of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and the theorem is proved in the case of a differentiable function  $a(\xi)$ .

The nondifferentiable case. If  $a(\xi)$  cannot be chosen as a differentiable function, then take a decreasing sequence  $a_n(\xi)$  of differentiable functions converging uniformly to  $a(\xi)$ . Let

$$E_n = \{a : a_n(\xi) \xi \cdot z \in [-1, 1] \text{ for all } \xi \in S_N \}.$$

Since  $a_n \setminus a$  we see that  $E_n \nearrow E$  so we must have, for all z,

$$\Psi_E(z) \le \Phi_E(z) \le \Phi_{E_n} = \Psi_{E_n}(z).$$

The function  $\Psi_E(z)$  is continuous as a function of E or equivalently  $a(\xi)$ , so

$$\Psi_{E_n}(z) \searrow \Psi_E(z)$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

Therefore

201

and the proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. Bedford, Extremal plurisubharmonic functions for certain domains in C<sup>2</sup>, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979), 613-626.
- 2. ——, Envelopes of continuous plurisubharmonic functions, Math. Ann. 251 (1980), 175–183.
- 3. E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampére equation*, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 1–44.
- 4. J. Siciak, On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1962), 322–357.
- 5. ——, An extremal problem in a class of plurisubharmonic functions, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. (8) 24 (1976), 563-568.
- 6. V. P. Zahariuta, Extremal plurisubharmonic functions, orthonormal polynomials and the Bernšteĭn-Walsh theorem for functions of several complex variables, Ann. Polon. Math. 33 (1976/77), 137-148.

Department of Mathematics Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Göteborg Göteborg, Sweden