

PEAK SETS FOR POLYDISC ALGEBRAS

Josip Globevnik

1. Introduction. Let A_N , $N > 1$, be the polydisc algebra, i.e. the algebra of all continuous functions on the closed polydisc $\bar{\Delta}^N \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, analytic on the open polydisc Δ^N , with sup norm. We call a closed set $F \subset \bar{\Delta}^N$ a peak set for A_N if there is a $\varphi \in A_N$ such that $\varphi|_F = 1$, $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}^N - F$); we call any such φ a peaking function for F . We call F a peak interpolation set for A_N if given any $f \in C(F)$, $f \neq 0$, there is an extension $\tilde{f} \in A_N$ of f such that $|\tilde{f}(z)| < \|f\|$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}^N - F$).

Peak interpolation sets for A_N have been studied extensively—it is known that they coincide with those peak sets for A_N which are contained in $(\partial\Delta)^N$, the distinguished boundary of the polydisc [3, 4].

The simplest example of a peak set for A_N is $\bar{\Delta}^N$. By the maximum modulus theorem, every other peak set for A_N is contained in $\partial(\Delta^N)$, the boundary of the polydisc. If $N=1$ then every peak set for A_1 different from $\bar{\Delta}$ is a peak interpolation set for A_1 [4]. If $N > 1$ this is no longer true. To illustrate this, let $N=2$ and let $F = \{(1, \zeta) : \zeta \in \bar{\Delta}\} \subset \partial(\Delta^2)$. It is easy to see that F is a peak set for A_2 but not a peak interpolation set for A_2 . However, as we show in this paper, one can describe peak sets for A_N in terms of peak interpolation sets for A_M , $M \leq N$.

Let $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be a subset containing M elements. Define

$$T = \{(x_\gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^N : |x_\gamma| = 1 \ (\gamma \in S), \ x_\gamma = 0 \ (\gamma \notin S)\}$$

and $\pi : \bar{\Delta}^N \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}^N$ by $\pi((x_\gamma)) = (y_\gamma)$ where $y_\gamma = x_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in S$), $y_\gamma = 0$ ($\gamma \notin S$). We call any such T a torus and π the projection associated with T and we denote by A_T the restriction algebra $A|_{\pi(\bar{\Delta}^N)}$. A_T and T can be identified in a natural way with A_M and $(\partial\Delta)^M$, respectively, and consequently the peak interpolation sets for A_T can be identified with the peak interpolation sets for A_M (see Section 2 for the precise meaning of this).

Let T be a torus, let π be the associated projection and suppose that $F \subset T$ is a peak interpolation set for A_T . There is a function $\varphi \in A_T$ such that $\varphi|_F = 1$, $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \pi(\bar{\Delta}^N) - F$). The function $\varphi \circ \pi \in A_N$ is a peaking function for $\pi^{-1}(F)$ so $\pi^{-1}(F)$ is a peak set for A . It follows that if T_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, are tori, π_i the associated projections and $F_i \subset T_i$ peak interpolation sets for A_{T_i} , then

$$(1) \quad F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \pi_i^{-1}(F_i)$$

is a peak set for A_N . We will show later by an example that there are other peak sets for A_N . Suppose that in (1) each of the sets F_i is the union of a sequence of peak sets for A_{T_i} . If F is closed then F is only a peak set for A_N . Our main result is that we get all peak sets for A_N in this way:

Received February 16, 1981. Revision received July 10, 1981.

This work was supported in part by the Boris Kidrič Fund, Ljubljana, Yugoslavia.
Michigan Math. J. 29 (1982).

THEOREM. A compact set $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ is a peak set for A_N if and only if

$$(2) \quad F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \pi_i^{-1}(F_i)$$

where for each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, π_i is the projection associated with a torus T_i and $F_i \subset T_i$ is the union of a sequence of peak interpolation sets for A_{T_i} .

2. Preliminaries. Throughout, Δ is the open unit disc in \mathbf{C} and $N > 1$ is a fixed integer. We will write A for A_N . We denote by e_γ , $1 \leq \gamma \leq N$, the standard basis in \mathbf{C}^N . If P is a subset of $\bar{\Delta}^N$, then we denote by \bar{P} the closure of P . We denote by \mathbf{N} the set of all positive integers. If S is a nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ then we call $T = \{x = (x_\gamma) \in \bar{\Delta}^N : |x_\gamma| = 1 \ (\gamma \in S), x_\gamma = 0 \ (\gamma \notin S)\}$ the torus with support S and write $S = \text{supp } T$. The map $\pi : \bar{\Delta}^N \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}^N$ defined by $\pi((x_\gamma)) = (y_\gamma)$ where $y_\gamma = x_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in S$) and $y_\gamma = 0$ ($\gamma \notin S$) is called the projection associated with T , or the projection with support S ; we write $S = \text{supp } \pi$. Any vector belonging to a torus is called a toroidal vector. If $x = (x_\gamma) \in \partial(\Delta^N)$ then $y = (y_\gamma)$ where $y_\gamma = x_\gamma$ if $|x_\gamma| = 1$ and $y_\gamma = 0$ if $|x_\gamma| < 1$, is called the toroidal part of x and the set $\{\gamma : |x_\gamma| = 1\}$ is called the toroidal support of x . As usual, the support of $x = (x_\gamma) \in \bar{\Delta}^N$ is the set $\text{supp } x = \{\gamma : x_\gamma \neq 0\}$. If $x = (x_\gamma) \in \partial(\Delta^N)$ we define the face of x , $\mathfrak{F}(x)$, as $\mathfrak{F}(x) = \{y = (y_\gamma) \in \bar{\Delta}^N : y_\gamma = x_\gamma \text{ for all those } \gamma \text{ for which } |x_\gamma| = 1\}$.

Let T be a torus with support containing M elements and let π be the associated projection. We denote by A_T the restriction algebra $A|_{\pi(\bar{\Delta}^N)}$. Let $b : S \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ be a bijection and define the map $\varphi : \pi(\bar{\Delta}^N) \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}^M$ by

$$(3) \quad \varphi\left(\sum_{i \in S} x_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i e_{b(i)}.$$

By the map $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ ($f \in A_M$) the polydisc algebra A_M can be identified with A_T . Call a closed set $F \subset T$ a peak interpolation set for A_T if given any $f \in C(F)$, $f \neq 0$, there is an extension $\tilde{f} \in A_T$ of f such that $|\tilde{f}(z)| < \|f\|$ ($z \in \pi(\bar{\Delta}^N) - F$); equivalently, $F \subset T$ is a peak interpolation set for A_T if $\varphi(F)$ is a peak interpolation set for A_M . By the properties of peak interpolation sets for A_M [3] a closed set $F \subset T$ is a peak interpolation set for A_T if and only if it is an interpolation set for A_T , i.e. if given any $f \in C(F)$ there is an $\tilde{f} \in A_T$ which extends f .

Call a set $F \subset \bar{\Delta}^N$ a zero set for A_N if there is a $\varphi \in A_N$ such that $\varphi|_F = 0$, $\varphi(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}^N - F$). If $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ then $\bar{\Delta}^N - F$ is simply connected. Consequently a set $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ is a peak set for A_N if and only if it is a zero set for A_N [3, pp. 132-133]. Using an argument of Stout [5, p. 8] it is easy to see that any compact subset of $\bar{\Delta}^N$ which is the union of a sequence of peak sets for A_N is itself a peak set for A_N .

3. Peak sets and faces.

LEMMA 1. Let $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ be a peak set for A . Then $\mathfrak{F}(x) \subset F$ for every $x \in F$.

Proof. Let $f \in A$ be a peaking function for F . Let $x \in F$, let x_t be the toroidal part of x and let π be the projection with support $\{\gamma : |x_\gamma| < 1\}$. The function $y \mapsto \varphi(y) = f(x_t + y)$ is continuous on $\pi(\bar{\Delta}^N)$. Since f is analytic on Δ^N it follows that for each α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, the function $y \mapsto \varphi_\alpha(y) = f(\alpha x_t + y)$ is analytic on $\pi(\Delta^N)$. By the uniform

continuity of f the functions φ_α converge to φ uniformly on $\pi(\Delta^N)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ and consequently φ is analytic on $\pi(\Delta^N)$. Since φ assumes its maximum modulus at the point $x - x_t \in \pi(\Delta^N)$ it follows by the maximum modulus theorem that $\varphi(y) = \text{const}$ ($y \in \pi(\bar{\Delta}^N)$). It follows that $f(x_t + y) = 1$ ($y \in \pi(\bar{\Delta}^N)$) and the assertion follows. \square

Let $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ be a peak set for A . Denote by x_t the toroidal part of $x \in \partial(\Delta^N)$. Since $x \in \mathfrak{F}(x) = \mathfrak{F}(x_t)$ for every $x \in \partial(\bar{\Delta}^N)$ it follows by Lemma 1 that

$$(4) \quad F = \bigcup_{x \in F} \mathfrak{F}(x_t).$$

Call a face $\mathfrak{F}(x_t)$, $x \in F$, maximal if there is no $y \in F$ such that $\mathfrak{F}(x_t)$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{F}(y_t)$. Further, if $x = (x_\gamma) \in \bar{\Delta}^N$, $y = (y_\gamma) \in \bar{\Delta}^N$ then write $x < y$ if

- (a) $\text{supp } x$ is properly contained in $\text{supp } y$
- (b) $x_\gamma = y_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \text{supp } x$.

It is easy to see that if $x, y \in \partial(\Delta^N)$ then $\mathfrak{F}(x)$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{F}(y)$ if and only if $y_t < x_t$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ be a peak set for A . Every face $\mathfrak{F}(x_t)$, $x \in F$, is contained in a maximal face.*

Proof. Let $x \in F$. If there is no $y \in F$ such that $y_t < x_t$ then $\mathfrak{F}(x_t)$ is already maximal. If there is some $y \in F$ such that $y_t < x_t$ then $\mathfrak{F}(x_t)$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{F}(y_t)$ —in this case the number of indices in $\text{supp } y_t$ is strictly smaller than the number of indices in $\text{supp } x_t$. It is easy to see that there are $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in F$ such that $y_{m,t} < y_{m-1,t} < \dots < y_{1,t} < x_t$ and such that either $\mathfrak{F}(y_{m,t})$ is maximal or $\text{supp } y_{m,t}$ contains only one element which again implies that $\mathfrak{F}(y_{m,t})$ is maximal. In either case we have $\mathfrak{F}(x_t) \subset \mathfrak{F}(y_{m,t})$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Proof of the theorem. Suppose that $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ is a compact set of the form (3) where for each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, π_i is the projection associated with a torus T_i and $F_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty F_{ij}$ where F_{ij} , $j \in \mathbf{N}$, are peak interpolation sets for A_{T_i} . Since for each i, j , $1 \leq i \leq m$, $j \in \mathbf{N}$, the set $\pi_i^{-1}(F_{ij})$ is a peak set for A it follows that for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$ the set $G_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \pi_i^{-1}(F_{ij})$ is a peak set for A . Since F is compact and since $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty G_j$ it follows that F is a peak set for A . This proves the if part of the theorem.

The proof of the only if part of the theorem is more difficult. We divide it into several parts.

PART 1. Let $F \subset \partial(\Delta^N)$ be a peak set for A . Put

$$G = \{x_t : x \in F \text{ and } \mathfrak{F}(x_t) \text{ is maximal}\}.$$

By Lemma 2

$$(5) \quad \text{for each } x \in F \text{ there is a } y \in G \text{ such that } \mathfrak{F}(x) \subset \mathfrak{F}(y).$$

Since $x \in \mathfrak{F}(x)$ ($x \in F$) (5) implies that $F \subset \bigcup_{x \in G} \mathfrak{F}(x)$. On the other hand, by (4) $\bigcup_{y \in G} \mathfrak{F}(y) \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} \mathfrak{F}(x_t) = F$ which proves that

$$(6) \quad \bigcup_{y \in G} \mathfrak{F}(y) = F.$$

In particular, $G \subset F$.

For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, let

$$G_i = \{x \in G : \text{supp } x \text{ contains } i \text{ elements}\}$$

$$H_i = \{x \in G : \text{supp } x \text{ contains at most } i \text{ elements}\}.$$

Clearly $H_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^i G_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$). Further, for each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, let $L_i = \bigcup_{x \in H_i} \mathfrak{F}(x)$.

We prove first that the set L_i is compact for each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. Fix i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, and let $x_n \in L_i$, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. We will prove that $x_0 \in L_i$. By the definition of L_i there is a sequence $y_n \in H_i$ such that $x_n \in \mathfrak{F}(y_n)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Since the class of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ containing at most i elements is finite it follows that there are m , $1 \leq m \leq i$, and a set $P \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ containing m elements such that $\text{supp } y_n = P$ for infinitely many n . Passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that $\text{supp } y_n = P$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) and by compactness we may assume that there is y_0 such that $y_n \rightarrow y_0$. Clearly $\text{supp } y_0 = P$. Since $y_n \in F$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) and since F is closed it follows that $y_0 \in F$. Further, since $y_n \rightarrow y_0$, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and since $x_n \in \mathfrak{F}(y_n)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) it is easy to see that $x_0 \in \mathfrak{F}(y_0)$. By (5) there is $z_0 \in G$ such that $\mathfrak{F}(y_0) \subset \mathfrak{F}(z_0)$. Since both y_0 and z_0 are toroidal vectors it follows that $\text{supp } z_0 \subset \text{supp } y_0$ which implies that $\text{supp } z_0$ contains at most m elements. Since $m \leq i$ it follows that $\mathfrak{F}(z_0) \subset L_i$ and consequently $x_0 \in L_i$. This proves that L_i is compact.

PART 2. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, let I_i be the class of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ containing i elements. If $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $J \in I_i$ denote

$$G_{i,J} = \{x \in G : \text{supp } x = J\}.$$

In what follows we assume that all sets $G_{i,J}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, $J \in I_i$, are nonempty. It is easy to modify the proof in the case when some of these sets are empty.

We first prove that

$$(7) \quad \overline{G_{i,J}} - G_{i,J} \subset L_{i-1} \quad (1 < i \leq N, J \in I_i).$$

Let $1 < i \leq N$, $J \in I_i$ and let $x_0 \in \overline{G_{i,J}} - G_{i,J}$. There is a sequence $x_n \in G_{i,J}$, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Since $G_{i,J} \subset F$ and since F is closed it follows that $x_0 \in F$. Note that x_0 is a toroidal vector, $\text{supp } x_0 = J$. By the definition of G this implies that the face $\mathfrak{F}(x_0)$ is not maximal (otherwise we would have $x_0 \in G$ which, together with $\text{supp } x_0 = J$, would imply that $x_0 \in G_{i,J}$, contradicting the assumption). By (5) it follows that there is $y_0 \in G$ such that $\mathfrak{F}(x_0)$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{F}(y_0)$. Since x_0 and y_0 are both toroidal vectors this implies that $\text{supp } y_0$ is properly contained in $\text{supp } x_0$. Consequently $\text{supp } y_0$ contains at most $i-1$ elements which implies that $\mathfrak{F}(y_0) \subset L_{i-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}(x_0) \subset \mathfrak{F}(y_0)$ it follows that $x_0 \in L_{i-1}$. This proves (7).

Further, observe that

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{F}(x) \subset L_{i-1} \quad (x \in L_{i-1}, 1 < i \leq N).$$

Namely, if $x \in L_{i-1}$ then $x \in \mathfrak{F}(y)$ for some $y \in H_{i-1}$ which implies that $\mathfrak{F}(x) \subset \mathfrak{F}(y)$. Since $\mathfrak{F}(y) \subset L_{i-1}$ (8) follows.

PART 3. Let $f \in A$ be a peaking function for F . If $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $J \in I_i$ denote by T_J, π_J the torus and the projection with support J and write $D_J = \pi_J(\bar{\Delta}^N)$. Observe that $G_{i,J} \subset T_J \subset D_J$. We prove that

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } 1 < i \leq N \text{ and if } J \in I_i \text{ then} \\ |f(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in D_J : z \notin L_{i-1}, z \notin G_{i,J}) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \text{if } J \in I_1 \text{ then } |f(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in D_J, z \notin G_{1,J}).$$

Fix $i, 1 < i \leq N$ and let $J \in I_i$. Suppose that $K \in I_j$ for some $j \geq i, K \neq J$. Then $K - J$ is not empty. Let $\gamma \in K - J$. If $y \in G_{j,K}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{F}(y)$ then $|z_\gamma| = 1$. Since $\gamma \notin J$ we have $z_\gamma = 0$ ($z \in D_J$). It follows that $D_J \cap \mathfrak{F}(y) = \emptyset$. Thus we have proved that

$$(11) \quad D_J \cap \mathfrak{F}(y) = \emptyset \quad \text{if } y \in G_{i,K}, K \neq J, \text{ or if } y \in G_{j,K}, j > i.$$

By (6) we have

$$F = L_{i-1} \cup \left[\bigcup_{K \in I_i} \bigcup_{y \in G_{i,K}} \mathfrak{F}(y) \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{j > i} \bigcup_{K \in I_j} \bigcup_{y \in G_{j,K}} \mathfrak{F}(y) \right]$$

and it follows by (11) that $(D_J - L_{i-1}) \cap F = (D_J - L_{i-1}) \cap \bigcup_{y \in G_{i,J}} \mathfrak{F}(y)$. Further, since $G_{i,J} \subset T_J$ it follows that $\mathfrak{F}(y) \cap D_J = \{y\}$ ($y \in G_{i,J}$). Consequently

$$(D_J - L_{i-1}) \cap F = (D_J - L_{i-1}) \cap G_{i,J}$$

which implies (9). In the same way we prove (10).

Since $G_{1,J} \subset F$ ($J \in I_1$), (10) implies that for each $J \in I_1, G_{1,J}$ is a peak set for A_{T_J} and since $G_{1,J} \subset T_J$ it follows that $G_{1,J}$ is a peak interpolation set for A_{T_J} .

PART 4. Let $1 < i \leq N$ and let $J \in I_i$. We will prove that each compact subset of $G_{i,J}$ disjoint from L_{i-1} is a peak interpolation set for A_{T_J} .

Let $x \in G_{i,J}, x \notin L_{i-1}$. Since L_{i-1} is compact there is a compact convex neighbourhood $U \subset D_J$ of x disjoint from L_{i-1} . We will prove that $G_{i,J} \cap U$ is a peak interpolation set for the algebra $P(U)$, the uniform closure on U of polynomials in $z_\gamma, \gamma \in J$. Since U is a compact convex subset of the subspace $E \subset C^N$ spanned by $e_\gamma, \gamma \in J, U$ is polynomially convex and it follows that $U \subset E$ is the maximal ideal space of $P(U)$ [1, p. 67]. Note that $f|D_J$ belongs to A_{T_J} which implies that $f|D_J$ is a uniform limit of polynomials in $z_\gamma, \gamma \in J$. It follows that $f|U \in P(U)$.

Write $H = G_{i,J} \cap U$ and $\varphi = f|U$. Since $\varphi \in P(U)$ it follows by (9) that H is a peak set for $P(U)$ and that φ is a peaking function for H . Now we use the proof of Stout [5, p. 7; 3, p. 133] to prove that H is an interpolation set for $P(U)$. In the same way as in [3, p. 133-134] we prove that $P(U)|H$ is closed in $C(H)$ and that H is the maximal ideal space of $P(U)|H$. Since $H \subset T_J$ the coordinate functions $z_\gamma, \gamma \in J$, have no zeros on H so they have inverses in $P(U)|H$. On H we have $z_\gamma^{-1} = \bar{z}_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in J$) and it follows that $P(U)|H$ contains the algebra generated by $z_\gamma|H, \bar{z}_\gamma|H$ ($\gamma \in J$). Since $P(U)|H$ is closed in $C(H)$ it follows by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem that $P(U)|H = C(H)$ [3, p. 134]. This proves that H is an interpolation set for $P(U)$. Since H is a peak set for $P(U)$ it follows that H is a peak interpolation set for $P(U)$ [5].

Now, the localization result of Stout [4, p. 224–225] implies that every $x \in G_{i,J} - L_{i-1}$ has a closed neighbourhood $U(x) \subset D_J$ disjoint from L_{i-1} such that $G_{i,J} \cap U(x)$ is a zero set for A_{T_J} . It follows that every compact subset of $G_{i,J}$ disjoint from L_{i-1} is a subset of a zero set for A_{T_J} contained in T_J , hence a subset of a peak interpolation set for A_{T_J} . Consequently every compact subset of $G_{i,J}$ disjoint from L_{i-1} is a peak interpolation set for A_{T_J} .

PART 5. Put $F_J = G_{1,J}$ ($J \in I_1$) and $F_J = G_{i,J} - L_{i-1}$ ($J \in I_i$, $1 < i \leq N$). If $1 < i \leq N$ then $L_i - L_{i-1} = \bigcup_{J \in I_i} \bigcup_{x \in G_{i,J}} \mathfrak{F}(x) - L_{i-1}$. By (8) it follows that $L_i - L_{i-1} = \bigcup_{J \in I_i} \bigcup_{x \in G_{i,J} - L_{i-1}} \mathfrak{F}(x) - L_{i-1}$, which, by (6) gives

$$L_i - L_{i-1} \subset \bigcup_{J \in I_i} \bigcup_{x \in F_J} \mathfrak{F}(x) \subset F.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N L_i = L_1 \cup \left[\bigcup_{i=2}^N (L_i - L_{i-1}) \right] \\ &= \left[\bigcup_{J \in I_1} \bigcup_{x \in F_J} \mathfrak{F}(x) \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{i=2}^N \bigcup_{J \in I_i} \bigcup_{x \in F_J} \mathfrak{F}(x) \right] \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N \bigcup_{J \in I_i} \pi_J^{-1}(F_J). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem will be completed once we have shown that each F_J is the union of a sequence of peak interpolation sets for A_{T_J} . This is clear for the sets $F_J = G_{1,J}$ ($J \in I_1$) since they are peak interpolation sets for A_{T_J} , respectively. Let $1 < i \leq N$ and let $J \in I_i$. Recall that $G_{i,J} \subset T_J$. If $D_J \cap L_{i-1} = \emptyset$ then by (7) $G_{i,J}$ is compact and disjoint from L_{i-1} so by Part 4 $F_J = G_{i,J}$ is a peak interpolation set for A_{T_J} . Suppose that $D_J \cap L_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$V_n = \{z \in D_J : \text{dist}(z, D_J \cap L_{i-1}) \geq 1/n\}.$$

Observe that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ V_n is a compact subset of D_J disjoint from L_{i-1} . By the compactness of L_{i-1} we have $D_J - L_{i-1} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ which implies that

$$F_J = G_{i,J} - L_{i-1} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (V_n \cap G_{i,J}).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (7) the set $V_n \cap G_{i,J}$ is compact. Since it is disjoint from L_{i-1} it follows by Part 4 that $V_n \cap G_{i,J}$ is a peak interpolation set for A_{T_J} . Consequently F_J is the union of a sequence of peak interpolation sets for A_{T_J} . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5. An example. In this section we present an example which shows that not every peak set for A has the form

$$(12) \quad F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \pi_i^{-1}(F_i)$$

where T_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, are tori, π_i the associated projections and $F_i \subset T_i$ peak interpolation sets for A_{T_i} .

Let $N=2$. Let $\varphi_n, \varphi_n < \pi/2$, be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 and let $\psi_n, 0 \leq \psi_n \leq 2\pi$, be a sequence dense in $[0, 2\pi]$. Let T_1, T_2 be the tori with supports $\text{supp } T_1 = \{1\}$, $\text{supp } T_2 = \{1, 2\}$ and let π_1, π_2 be the associated projections. Let $F_1 = \{(1, 0)\}$, $F_2 = \{(e^{i\varphi_n}, e^{i\psi_n}) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and put

$$F = \pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cup \pi_2^{-1}(F_2).$$

Since F is compact and since it is the union of a sequence of peak sets for A it follows that F is a peak set for A . F_1 is a peak interpolation set for A_{T_1} but F_2 is not a peak interpolation set for A_{T_2} since it is not closed. By the properties of φ_n and ψ_n we have $\overline{F_2} = F_2 \cup \{(1, \zeta) : \zeta \in \partial\Delta\}$ and consequently $F = \pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cup \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{F_2})$. It is easy to see that $\overline{F_2}$ is not a peak interpolation set for $A_{T_2} = A$. It follows that the set F above cannot be written in the form (12) with F_i being peak interpolation sets for A_{T_i} .

REFERENCES

1. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
2. J. Globevnik, *Norm preserving interpolation sets for polydisc algebras*, to appear.
3. W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
4. E. L. Stout, *The theory of uniform algebras*, Bogden and Quigley, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, N.Y., 1971.
5. ———, *On some restriction algebras*. Function Algebras (Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras, Tulane Univ., New Orleans, La., 1965), pp. 6–11. Scott-Foresman, Chicago, Ill., 1966.

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics
E. K. University of Ljubljana
Ljubljana, Yugoslavia

