

# REMARKS ON SUBSPACES OF $H_p$ WHEN $0 < p < 1$

N. J. Kalton and D. A. Trautman

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be the unit circle in the complex plane and let  $\Delta$  be the open unit disc. As usual  $H_p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$  denotes the quasi-Banach space of all functions  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  analytic in  $\Delta$  such that

$$\|f\|_p^p = \sup_{0 < r \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(rw)|^p dm(w) < \infty$$

where  $m$  is normalized Lebesgue measure on the circle. By considering boundary values  $H_p$  can be identified with a closed subspace of  $L_p(\mathbf{T})$ .

In this paper we give a number of results on the closed subspaces of  $H_p$ . Our first result is to show that  $H_p$  can have no complemented locally convex subspaces; this answers a question of Shapiro (see [7]). Indeed, we show that  $H_p$  cannot have any locally convex subspaces with the Hahn–Banach Extension Property (HBEP). A closed subspace  $M$  of a quasi-Banach space  $X$  has HBEP if every continuous linear functional on  $M$  can be extended to a continuous linear functional on  $X$ .

Next we consider special subspaces of the type  $H_p(M)$  where  $M$  is a set of non-negative integers. Then  $H_p(M)$  is the closed linear span of  $\{z^m : m \in M\}$ . We show that  $H_p(M)$  can only have HBEP if it is thick in the sense that if

$$M = \{m_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad \text{where} \quad m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \dots$$

then  $m_n \leq cn$  for some constant  $c$ . This again answers a question raised by Shapiro; Duren, Romberg and Shields [3] observed that  $H_p(M)$  fails to have HBEP when  $M$  is a Hadamard gap sequence.

We also show that  $H_p(M)$  is the range of a translation-invariant projection if and only if  $M$  is a finite union of arithmetic progressions modulo a finite set.

In the last section we discuss the nature of Banach subspaces of  $H_p$ . We conjecture that every Banach subspace of  $H_p$  has the Radon–Nikodym Property and show this is true for translation-invariant subspaces.

**2. Preliminaries.** We recall that a complex quasi-normed linear space  $X$  is called a quasi-Banach space and that if for some  $p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ , the quasi-norm obeys the law

$$\|x_1 + x_2\|^p \leq \|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p \quad x_1, x_2 \in X$$

then  $X$  is called a  $p$ -Banach space. The dual space of  $X$  will be denoted by  $X^*$ . If  $X^*$  separates the points of  $X$ , then the Mackey topology on  $X$  is the finest locally convex topology on  $X$  with the same dual space. This topology is a norm topology generated by  $\text{co}(U)$  where  $U = \{x : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is the unit ball of  $X$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the associated

---

Received September 24, 1980. Revision received June 24, 1981.

The first author's research was supported in part by NSF grant MCS-8001852.

Some of this work will form a part of the second author's Ph.D. Thesis under preparation at the University of Missouri–Columbia.

Michigan Math. J. 29 (1982).

norm, i.e.  $\|x\| = \inf(\lambda : \lambda^{-1}x \in \text{co } U)$ . Then the completion of  $X$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  is denoted by  $\hat{X}$  and is called the containing Banach space of  $X$ .

One result we shall need later is the following lemma due to N. T. Peck [12].

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose  $X$  is a real  $n$ -dimensional  $p$ -Banach space; then  $\|x\| \leq n^{1/p-1} \|x\|$  for  $x \in X$ .*

The containing Banach space of  $H_p$  was determined by Duren, Romberg and Shields [3]. Let  $\lambda$  be normalized planar measure on the open unit disc  $\Delta$  in the complex plane and for  $0 < p < q \leq 1$  define  $B_{p,q}$  to be the space of analytic functions  $f: \Delta \rightarrow C$  such that  $\|f\|_{p,q}^q = \int_{\Delta} |f(z)|^q (1 - |z|)^{q/p-2} d\lambda(z) < \infty$ . Then  $(B_{p,q}, \|\cdot\|_{p,q})$  is a  $q$ -Banach space.

The following inclusion results are due to Hardy and Littlewood [5] and Shapiro [14]. Theorem 2.3 is due to Duren, Romberg and Shields [3].

THEOREM 2.2. *If  $0 < p < q < r \leq 1$  then  $H_p \subset B_{p,q} \subset B_{p,r}$  and the inclusion maps are continuous.*

THEOREM 2.3.  *$B_{p,1}$  is the containing Banach space of  $H_p$ .*

Here the identification is not an isometry (i.e. the norm of  $B_{p,1}$  is not the containing Banach space norm for  $H_p$ ).

THEOREM 2.4.  *$B_{p,1}$  is isomorphic to  $l_1$ .*

This result is due to Lindenstrauss and Pelczynski [10]. Following this Kwapien and Pelczynski [9] note a result of Shapiro that any complemented subspace of  $H_p$  which is locally convex must be isomorphic to  $l_1$ , and conjecture that there is no such complemented subspace. This will be a deduction from our first results in the next section.

**3. Subspaces of  $H_p$  with HBEP.** In order to prove our main result it will be necessary to show that  $B_{p,q}$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $l_q$  for  $p < q < 1$ . We first give a simple proof of this fact and then show how recent deeper results of Coifmann and Rochberg [1] show that  $B_{p,q} \cong l_q$ . The proof of this proposition is similar to Theorem 6.2 of [10].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a probability measure space and  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $X$  be a closed subspace of  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  with the property that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $B \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(\Omega \setminus B) < \epsilon$  and such that the set of functions  $\{f \cdot 1_B; \|f\|_p \leq 1, f \in X\}$  is a relatively compact subset of  $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Then  $X$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $l_p$ .*

REMARK. Here  $1_B$  is the indicator function of  $B \in \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Partition  $\Omega$  into countably many disjoint sets  $\Omega_n$  such that if  $K_n = \{f \cdot 1_{\Omega_n}; f \in X, \|f\|_p \leq 1\}$  then  $K_n$  is relatively compact. Fix  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and choose  $\epsilon_n > 0$  so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n^p < (\epsilon/4)^p$ . For each  $n$  choose  $g_{1,n}, \dots, g_{m(n),n} \in X$  with  $\|g_{i,n}\| \leq 1$  and such that if  $f \in X$  with  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$  then for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m(n)$ ,  $\int_{\Omega_n} |g_{i,n} - f| d\mu \leq \epsilon_n$ . Then choose simple functions  $h_{i,n}$  supported on  $\Omega_n$  so that

$\int_{\Omega_n} |g_{i,n} - h_{i,n}| d\mu \leq \epsilon_n$ . There is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  generated by countably many atoms such that each  $(h_{i,n} : 1 \leq i \leq m(n), 1 \leq n < \infty)$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable. Let  $E$  be the natural projection of  $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  onto  $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma_0, \mu)$  i.e.

$$Ef = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \left( \int_{A_n} f d\mu \right) 1_{A_n}$$

where  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are the atoms of  $\Sigma_0$ . Then for  $f \in X$  with  $\|f\| \leq 1$ , and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , choose  $h_{i,n}$  with  $\int_{\Omega_n} |f - h_{i,n}| d\mu \leq 2\epsilon_n$  so  $\int_{\Omega_n} |Ef - h_{i,n}| d\mu \leq 2\epsilon_n$  i.e.  $\int_{\Omega_n} |f - Ef| d\mu \leq 4\epsilon_n$ . Hence  $\int_{\Omega_n} |f - Ef|^p d\mu \leq (4\epsilon_n)^p$  and so if we define  $T: X \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, \Sigma_0, \mu)$  by  $Tf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(f \cdot 1_{\Omega_n})$ , then  $\|Tf - f\|^p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (4\epsilon_n)^p < \epsilon^p$  and  $T$  is an isomorphic embedding. As  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma_0, \mu) \cong l_p$ , the result is proved.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.2. For  $p < q < 1$ ,  $B_{p,q}$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $l_q$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Delta_r = \{z : |z| \leq r\}$ , then the set  $(f \cdot 1_{\Delta_r}; \|f\|_{p,q} \leq 1)$  is compact in  $C(\Delta_r)$  (it is a normal family) and thus also in  $L_1(\Delta_r, \lambda)$ .  $\square$

Now we sketch the deeper result obtainable from the work of Coifman and Rochberg [1].

THEOREM 3.3.  $B_{p,q} \cong l_q$ .

*Proof.* Coifman and Rochberg show the existence of bounded linear operators  $T: l_q \rightarrow B_{p,q}$ ;  $V: B_{p,q} \rightarrow l_q$  so that  $\|TVf - f\| < \epsilon \|f\|$  where  $\epsilon < 1$ . Thus  $TV$  is an automorphism of  $B_{p,q}$  and if  $T_1 = (TV)^{-1}T$  then  $T_1V = I$  on  $B_{p,q}$ . Thus  $B_{p,q}$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $l_q$ , and a theorem of Stiles [15] gives the result.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $X$  be a closed infinite dimensional subspace of  $H_p$  with HBEP. Then  $X$  cannot be  $q$ -convex for any  $q > p$ .

REMARK. By definition  $X$  is  $q$ -convex if it can be equivalently quasi-normed to be a  $q$ -Banach space.

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is  $q$ -convex where  $q > p$  and choose  $r$  so that  $p < r < q$ . We consider the inclusion map  $J: X \rightarrow B_{p,r}$ . By the preceding results  $B_{p,r}$  is isomorphic at least to a subspace of  $l_r$ , but  $X$  is  $q$ -convex where  $q > r$ . Thus  $J$  is compact (see [15] and [16]). Hence the inclusion map  $J: X \rightarrow B_{p,1}$  is compact (use Theorem 2.2). Clearly this means the induced map  $J: \hat{X} \rightarrow B_{p,1}$  is compact and so the adjoint  $J^*: B_{p,1}^* \rightarrow X^*$  is compact. However  $J^*$  is a surjection since  $X$  has HBEP in  $H_p$ , and  $B_{p,1}$  is the containing Banach space of  $H_p$ . Thus  $\dim X^* < \infty$  and we have a contradiction.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.5. If  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $H_p$  has no complemented locally convex subspace (or even a locally convex subspace with HBEP).

The proof of our next corollary would take us too far afield. We merely note that it is possible to prove that a closed subspace of  $L_p$  which is not  $q$ -convex for any  $q > p$  contains a copy of  $l_p$ . (A proof can be obtained from [8] and certain ultra-product arguments.)

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *If  $X$  is a complemented subspace of  $H_p$ , ( $0 < p < 1$ ) then  $X$  contains a copy of  $l_p$ .*

**PROBLEM.** Does every complemented subspace of  $H_p$  contain a complemented copy of  $l_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ ?

**4. HBEP and complementation for translation-invariant subspaces.** Let

$$\mathbf{Z}_+ = \{n : n \geq 0\} \subset \mathbf{Z}.$$

If  $M \subset \mathbf{Z}_+$  we denote by  $H_p(M)$  the closed linear span of  $(e_m : m \in M)$  where  $e_m(z) = z^m$ . Note that  $H_p(M) = \{f \in H_p : \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n \notin M\}$  where  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n$  is the Taylor series expansion of  $f$ .

We shall require first a lemma which has some independent interest.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a  $p$ -Banach space and  $Y$  is a closed subspace of co-dimension  $n$ . Suppose  $\phi$  is a continuous linear functional on  $Y$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $X$  is real,  $\phi$  has a linear extension  $\psi$  with  $\|\psi\| \leq (n+1)^{1/p-1} \|\phi\|$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is complex,  $\phi$  has a linear extension  $\psi$  with  $\|\psi\| \leq (2n+1)^{1/p-1} \|\phi\|$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $N$  be the kernel of  $\phi$ . Thus  $\dim X/N = n+1$ .  $\phi$  then factors to a linear functional  $\phi_1$  on  $Y/N$  with  $\|\phi_1\| = \|\phi\|$ . Since  $\dim Y/N = 1$  we can choose  $\xi \in Y/N$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$  and  $|\phi_1(\xi)| = \|\phi\|$ . There is by the Hahn-Banach theorem an extension  $\psi_1$  of  $\phi_1$  to  $X/N$  with  $\|\psi_1\| = \|\phi\| / \|\xi\|$  where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the containing Banach space norm in  $X/N$ . Thus by Peck's lemma 2.1,  $\|\psi_1\| \leq (n+1)^{1/p-1} \|\phi\|$  and the result follows by inducing  $\psi$  on  $X$ .

(ii) Let  $X_{\mathbf{R}}$  be the associated real space of  $X$ . Applying (i) to the linear functional  $\text{Re } \phi$  we can produce a real-linear functional  $\theta$  on  $X_{\mathbf{R}}$  with

$$\theta(y) = \text{Re } \phi(y) \quad y \in Y$$

$$\|\theta\| \leq (2n+1)^{1/p-1} \|\phi\|.$$

Define  $\psi(\chi) = \theta(\chi) - i\theta(i\chi)$ , and proceed as in the complex Hahn-Banach theorem. □

The next theorem answers a question of J. H. Shapiro. It shows for example that  $H_p(M)$  fails HBEP if  $M = \{m^2 : m \in \mathbf{N}\}$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose  $M = \{m_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  whose  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$ . Then if  $H_p(M)$  has the Hahn-Banach Extension Property there exists  $c < \infty$  such that  $m_n \leq cn$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe that if  $\phi_n : H_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is given by  $\phi_n(f) = \hat{f}(n)$ , then  $\|\phi_n\| \geq \alpha n^{1/p-1}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . This follows from the Corollary to Theorem 6.5 of Duren [4] p. 100.

Now fix  $n$  and consider the linear functional  $\psi_n : H_p\{m_n, m_{n+1}, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  given by  $\psi_n(f) = \hat{f}(m_n)$ . Then  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$ . By the preceding result,  $\psi_n$  has an extension  $\psi'_n$  to  $H^p(M)$  with  $\|\psi'_n\| \leq (2n-1)^{1/p-1}$ .

Since  $H_p(M)$  has HBEP there is a constant  $k$  independent of  $n$  such that  $\psi'_n$  has an extension  $\psi''_n$  to  $H_p$  with  $\|\psi''_n\| \leq k\|\psi'_n\| \leq k(2n-1)^{1/p-1}$ . Now define

$$\theta_n(f) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} w^{-m_n} \psi''_n(f_w) dm(w)$$

where  $f_w(z) = f(wz)$ . Then  $\theta_n = \phi_{m_n}$  and  $\|\phi_{m_n}\| = \|\theta_n\| \leq k(2n-1)^{1/p-1}$ . Thus  $\alpha m_n^{1/p-1} \leq k(2n-1)^{1/p-1}$  and the theorem follows.  $\square$

Let us say that a sequence  $(a_n : n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$  or a double sequence  $(a_n : n \in \mathbf{Z})$  is *periodic* with period  $q$  if  $a_{n+q} = a_n$  for all  $n$ . We shall say that  $(a_n)$  is *nearly periodic* if it differs from a periodic sequence only in a finite set of indices. For a sequence  $(a_n)$  this is equivalent to the existence of  $N, q$  such that  $a_{n+q} = a_n$  for all  $n \geq N$ . We shall say that a subset  $M$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_+$  or  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a *periodic* or *nearly periodic* subset of  $\mathbf{Z}_+$  or  $\mathbf{Z}$  according as its indicator function

$$\begin{aligned} 1_M(n) &= 1 & n \in M \\ &= 0 & n \notin M \end{aligned}$$

is periodic or nearly periodic as a sequence.

If  $\mu$  is a regular Borel measure on  $\mathbf{T}$  then its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is given by

$$\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} w^n d\mu(w^{-1}) \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

$\mu$  is idempotent with respect to the convolution algebra  $M(\mathbf{T})$  if and only if  $\hat{\mu} = 1_M$  for some subset  $M$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . In [6] Helson showed that  $1_M$  is the Fourier transform of some measure  $\mu$  if and only if  $M$  is nearly periodic;  $1_M$  is the transform of a measure  $\mu$  of the form  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \delta(w_j)$  (where  $\delta(w_j)$  is the point mass at  $w_j \in \mathbf{T}$  and  $\sum |c_j| < \infty$ ) if and only if  $M$  is periodic (i.e. a finite union of arithmetic progressions).

For  $0 < p < \infty$ , denote by  $L_p(M)$  the closed linear span of  $\{e_n : n \in M\}$  in  $L_p = L_p(\mathbf{T}, m)$ ; if  $M \subset \mathbf{Z}_+$  we use the alternative notation  $H_p(M)$ . If  $0 < p < 1$  we shall say  $L_p(M)$  is *full* if  $z^n \in L_p(M)$  implies  $n \in M$ ; for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  every  $L_p(M)$  is full.

In [13] Rudin showed that Helson's results imply that  $L_1(M)$  is complemented in  $L_1$  if and only if  $M$  is a nearly periodic subset of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Unfortunately Rudin's argument depends on an averaging technique which fails for  $p < 1$ . However there is a substitute for complementation by a translation-invariant projection  $P$ .  $P : L_p \rightarrow L_p$  or  $P : H_p \rightarrow H_p$  is said to be *translation-invariant* if  $(Pf)_w = Pf_w$ ,  $w \in \mathbf{T}$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Suppose  $0 < p < 1$  and that  $M$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $L_p(M)$  is full and complemented in  $L_p$  by a translation-invariant projection if and only if  $M$  is a periodic subset of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* A theorem of Oberlin [11] asserts that any translation-invariant operator  $P : L_p \rightarrow L_p$  takes the form  $Pf = \mu * f$ ,  $f \in L_p$  where  $\mu$  is a measure of finite  $p$ -variation, i.e.  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \delta(w_j)$  where  $\sum |c_j|^p < \infty$ .

If  $P$  is a projection,  $\mu$  is an idempotent and hence by Helson's results  $\hat{\mu} = 1_M$  is periodic, i.e.  $M$  is periodic.

For the converse note that  $M$  is an arithmetic progression i.e.  $M = (an + b : n \in \mathbf{N})$ ; then a projection  $P_M$  onto  $L_p(M)$  is given by  $P_M f = a^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^q \omega^{-jb} f(\omega^j z)$ , where  $\omega$  is a primitive  $a$ th root of unity. It is then easily seen that if  $M$  is a periodic set it is a finite disjoint union of arithmetic progressions with the same common difference; a projection can then be built up in the obvious way.  $\square$

We now turn to the problem of the existence of translation-invariant projections on subspace of  $H_p$  of the form  $H_p(M)$ . We shall need the following preliminary lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $\Gamma$  be the Cantor set  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{Z}_+}$ . Suppose  $a \in \Gamma$  and let  $C$  be the closure of  $(a^{(n)} : n \in \mathbf{Z}_+) \subset \Gamma$  where  $a_k^{(n)} = a_{n+k}$  for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Suppose every accumulation point of  $C$  is periodic. Then  $a$  is nearly periodic.*

*Proof.* Let  $C'$  be the derived set of  $C$  (i.e. the set of accumulation points). Then  $C'$  is closed in  $\Gamma$  and so is each of the sets  $C'_q = \{b \in C' : b \text{ is periodic with period } q\}$ . By the Baire Category Theorem there exists  $q, b \in C'$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that if  $b' \in C'$  and  $b'_i = b_i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , then  $b'$  has period  $q$ . We may clearly suppose  $m$  is a multiple of  $q$  and then that  $m = q$ .

Choose  $u(n) \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $a_{u(n)+i} = b_i, 0 \leq i \leq q-1$  (possible since  $b \in C'$ ).

If  $a$  is not nearly periodic there is for each  $n$  a largest  $r(n)$  so that  $a_{u(n)+i} = b_i, 0 \leq i \leq qr(n) - 1$ . Clearly  $r(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . By passing to a subsequence we may suppose  $r(n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{u(n)+qr(n)-q+i} = d_i$  exists for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Now  $d_i = b_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq q-1$  and so  $d \in C'_q$ . Hence for large enough  $n, a_{u(n)+qr(n)-q+i} = d_i, 0 \leq i \leq 2q-1$  and so  $a_{u(n)+i} = b_i, 0 \leq i \leq qr(n) + q - 1$ , contradicting the choice of  $r(n)$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 4.5. *Suppose  $0 < p < 1$  and that  $M$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathbf{Z}_+$ . Then there is a translation-invariant projection of  $H_p$  onto  $H_p(M)$  if and only if  $M$  is a nearly periodic subset of  $\mathbf{Z}_+$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we see that if  $M \subset \mathbf{Z}_+$  is periodic then there is a translation-invariant projection onto  $H_p(M)$ ; the same is clearly true if  $M$  is finite. As any two translation-invariant projections commute it quickly follows that  $H_p(M)$  is complemented in  $H_p$  by a translation-invariant projection if  $M$  is nearly periodic.

Conversely suppose  $H_p(M)$  is complemented by the projection  $P_M : H_p \rightarrow H_p(M)$  given by  $P_M(e_n) = a_n e_n, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  where  $a_n = 1_M(n)$ . It is clear that this is the form of a translation-invariant projection.

We apply Lemma 4.4 to the point  $a = (a_n) \in \Gamma$ . Let  $b$  be an accumulation point of the set  $C$  so that for some  $m(n) \rightarrow \infty, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m(n)+i} = b_i, i \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . By passing to a subsequence we may suppose that these limits exist for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ , when of course the sequences are defined only eventually. For  $\gamma_j \in \mathbf{C}, -N \leq j \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \sum_{j=-N}^N \gamma_j b_j z^j \right|^p dm(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \sum_{j=-N}^N \gamma_j a_{m(n)+j} z^j \right|^p dm(z) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \sum_{j=m(n)-N}^{m(n)+N} \gamma_{j-m(n)} a_j z^j \right|^p dm(z) \\ &\leq \|P_M\|^p \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \sum_{j=-N}^N \gamma_j z^j \right|^p dm(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there is a bounded linear operator  $Q: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  so that  $Q(e_n) = b_n e_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ).  $Q$  is a translation-invariant projection and so by Theorem 4.3,  $b$  is periodic. Now by Lemma 4.4,  $a$  is nearly periodic, and the result is proved.  $\square$

**5. Locally convex subspaces of  $H_p$ .** The main theorem of this section (Theorem 5.2) represents an attempt to use the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets ( $\tau$ ). As noted in [14], any bounded subset  $B$  of  $H_p$  is relatively  $\tau$ -compact.

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym Property (RNP) if and only if for each continuous linear operator  $T: L_1(0, 1) \rightarrow X$  there is a  $g \in L_\infty((0, 1), X)$  so that  $T(f) = \int_0^1 f(s)g(s) ds$  holds for each  $f \in L_1(0, 1)$ . In Banach space theory, a weaker topology on  $X$  in which norm-bounded sets are relatively compact plays a large role in determining that  $X$  has RNP (see Chapter III of [2]). Thus we conjecture that each locally convex subspace  $X$  of  $H_p$  has RNP. Theorem 5.2 represents a partial answer. (In an earlier proof of 5.2 we made more use of the properties of  $\tau$ . Note that  $g_n(s) \rightarrow g(s)$  in  $\tau$ .) We first note

**THEOREM 5.1.**  $L_1$  does not embed into  $H_p$  when  $0 < p < 1$ .

*Proof.* The argument given in [7] can easily be modified to show that  $L_1$  does not embed into any separable quasi-Banach space admitting a Hausdorff vector topology in which the unit ball is compact (e.g.  $H_p$ ).

**THEOREM 5.2.** Suppose  $X$  is a locally convex subspace of  $H_p$  which is weakly closed. Then  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym Property.

*Proof.* Let  $T: L_1(0, 1) \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator. Note that  $H_p \hookrightarrow B_{p,1}$  and  $B_{p,1} \cong l_1$  has the Radon-Nikodym Property. Hence  $T$  takes the form  $Tf = \int_0^1 f(s)g(s) ds$  where  $g: (0, 1) \rightarrow B_{p,1}$  is an essentially bounded measurable map.

If for  $0 \leq k < 2^n$ ,  $\chi_{n,k}$  is the indicator function of the interval  $(k \cdot 2^{-n}, (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n})$  then we define

$$g_n(s) = 2^n T\chi_{n,k} \quad k \cdot 2^{-n} \leq s < (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}.$$

Then in  $B_{p,1}$ ,  $g_n(s) \rightarrow g(s)$  a.e. However, in  $H_p$ ,  $\|g_n(s)\| \leq \|T\|$  for all  $n, s$ .

Let  $A = \{s: g_n(s) \rightarrow g(s) \text{ in } B_{p,1}\}$ . For  $s \in A$ ,  $g_n(s; rw) \rightarrow g(s; rw)$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,  $w \in \mathbf{T}$ , and so

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |g(s; rw)|^p dm(w) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |g_n(s; rw)|^p dm(w) \leq \|T\|^p.$$

Hence for  $s \in A$ ,  $g(s) \in H_p$ . However  $g_n(s) \rightarrow g(s)$  weakly in  $H_p$  for  $s \in A$  and so  $g(s) \in X$ .

Since  $s \mapsto x^*(g(s))$  is measurable for  $x^* \in H_p^*$ ,  $s \mapsto x^*(g(s))$  is measurable for all  $x^* \in X^*$  ( $X$  is separable) and by the Pettis measurability theorem,  $g: A \rightarrow X$  is measurable. Clearly  $g$  can be extended arbitrarily to  $(0, 1)$  to be essentially bounded in  $X$  and then  $Tf = \int_0^1 f(s)g(s) ds$  in  $X$ . Thus  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym Property.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.3.** A locally convex translation-invariant subspace of  $H_p$  has the Radon-Nikodym Property.

*Proof.* If  $X$  is translation-invariant and locally convex, let  $M = \{m : e_m \in X\}$ . Thus  $H_p(M) \subset X$ . If  $H_p(M) \neq X$  there exists  $\phi \in X^*$  with  $\phi(e_m) = 0$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\phi(f) \neq 0$  for some  $f \in X$ . Now for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\int_{\mathbf{T}} w^{-m} \phi(f_w) dm(w) \neq 0$ . Since  $X$  is locally convex,  $\int_{\mathbf{T}} w^{-m} f_w dm(w) = \hat{f}(m)e_m$ , and so  $m \in M$  and  $\phi(e_m) \neq 0$ .

Thus  $H_p(M) = X$  and hence  $X$  is weakly closed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. R. R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, *Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in  $L_p$* , to appear.
2. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
3. P. L. Duren, B. W. Romberg and A. L. Shields, *Linear functionals on  $H_p$  spaces with  $0 < p < 1$* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 238 (1969), 32–60.
4. P. L. Duren, *Theorem of  $H_p$ -spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
5. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals*, II. Math. Z. 34 (1932), 403–439.
6. H. Helson, *Note on harmonic functions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 686–691.
7. N. J. Kalton, *A note on the spaces  $L_p$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 56 (1976), 199–202.
8. ———, *The convexity type of a quasi-Banach space*, unpublished manuscript, 1977.
9. S. Kwapien and A. Pełczyński, *Some linear topological properties of the Hardy space  $H_p$* . Compositio Math. 33 (1976), 261–288.
10. J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Contributions to the theory of the classical Banach spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971), 225–249.
11. D. M. Oberlin, *Translation-invariant operators on  $L_p(G)$ ,  $0 < p < 1$* . Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976), 119–122.
12. N. T. Peck, *Banach–Mazur distances and projections on  $p$ -convex spaces*. Math. Z. 177 (1981), 131–142.
13. W. Rudin, *Projections on invariant subspaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 429–432.
14. J. H. Shapiro, *Mackey topologies, reproducing kernels and diagonal maps on the Hardy and Bergman spaces*. Duke Math J. 43 (1976), 187–202.
15. W. J. Stiles, *Some properties of  $l_p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$* . Studia Math. 42 (1972), 109–119.
16. P. Turpin, *Convexités dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques généraux*. Diss. Math. 131 (1976).

Department of Mathematics  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, Missouri 65211