

DISCRETE MAPS ON MANIFOLDS

P. T. Church

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M^n and N^n be second countable manifolds, and let $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$ be a map (continuous function). The *branch set* $B_f \subset M^n$ is the set of points at which f fails to be a local homeomorphism; and f is *countable* (respectively, *discrete*) if $f^{-1}(y)$ is countable (respectively, consists of isolated points) for each $y \in N^n$.

1. THEOREM. *If f is countable, then $\text{int } B_f = \emptyset$, i.e. $\dim B_f \leq n - 1$.*

2. THEOREM. *If f is discrete, then $\dim B_f = \dim f(B_f) \leq n - 1$. Specifically, f is open if and only if $\dim B_f = \dim f(B_f) \leq n - 2$.*

In [13] Väisälä proved Theorem 1 for $n \leq 3$, and conjectured it for general n . The present proof for arbitrary n is shorter than Väisälä's proof, but builds on his earlier lemmas, and his clever ideas. The second sentence of Theorem 2 is already known (see (12)). Examples ((9) and (10)) show that the Theorems are sharp, and a mistake in a paper of Trohimčuk [11] is discussed. The author is grateful to the Institute for Advanced Study for its hospitality during the summer of 1977.

3. *Notation and terminology.* A map $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$ is *light* if

$$\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq 0 \quad \text{for every } y \in N^n.$$

Alexander-Spanier cohomology with integer coefficients and compact supports is used, and \tilde{H}^m is augmented. The real numbers are denoted by \mathbb{R} , $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ by I , the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by S^n , and the distance between x and y by $d(x, y)$. A subset $A \subset B$ is *residual* if $B - A$ is of the first category in $B \neq \emptyset$ [8].

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

4. LEMMA. *Let $K \neq \emptyset$ be compact and let $B \subset I^m$ be residual ($m = 0, 1, \dots$). If $f : K \rightarrow I^m$ is a light map with $f|_{f^{-1}(B)}$ injective, then $\tilde{H}^m(K) = 0$.*

Proof. We use induction on m . For $m = 0$, I^0 is a single point, so $B = I^0$ and (since $f|_{f^{-1}(B)}$ is injective), K is also a single point.

Suppose the lemma is true for $m - 1$, and consider $m \geq 1$. According to the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem [8; Vol. I, p. 247, Corollary 1a] there is a residual set $C \subset I$ such that $B \cap (\{x\} \times I^{m-1})$ is residual in $\{x\} \times I^{m-1}$ for each $x \in C$.

Received August 5, 1977. Revision received October 6, 1977.

Michigan Math. J. 25 (1978).

Let $J \subset I$ be any interval with $H^m(f^{-1}(J \times I^{m-1})) \neq 0$, and let

$$0 \neq \alpha \in H^m(f^{-1}(J \times I^{m-1})).$$

There are subintervals J_1 and J_2 with $J_1 \cup J_2 = J$, $J_1 \cap J_2$ a single point $b \in C$, and length $\ell(J_r) < 2\ell(J)/3$ ($r = 1, 2$). By inductive hypothesis,

$$\tilde{H}^{m-1}(f^{-1}(b \times I^{m-1})) = 0 \quad (\text{or } f^{-1}(b \times I^{m-1}) = \emptyset),$$

so in the Mayer-Vietoris Sequence inclusion induces a monomorphism

$$H^m(f^{-1}(J \times I^{m-1})) \rightarrow H^m(f^{-1}(J_1 \times I^{m-1})) \oplus H^m(f^{-1}(J_2 \times I^{m-1})).$$

Thus for either $r = 1$ or $r = 2$, $i_r^*(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Now suppose that $H^m(K) \neq 0$, i.e. there is an $\alpha \neq 0$ in

$$H^m(K) = H^m(f^{-1}(I \times I^{m-1})).$$

From the preceding argument there is a sequence of intervals I_s ($s = 0, 1, \dots$) such that $I_0 = I$, $\ell(I_s) \leq (2/3)^s$, and inclusion induces homomorphisms

$$i_s^* : H^m(K) = H^m(f^{-1}(I \times I^{m-1})) \rightarrow H^m(f^{-1}(I_s \times I^{m-1}))$$

with $i_s^*(\alpha) \neq 0$. If $\{c\} = \bigcap_s I_s$, $H^m(f^{-1}(c \times I^{m-1})) \neq 0$ by the Continuity Theorem [10; p. 318, Theorem 6]. Since each $f^{-1}(y)$ is at most 0-dimensional,

$$\dim(f^{-1}(c \times I^{m-1})) \leq m - 1$$

[9; pp. 91-92], and a contradiction results [9; p. 152]. Thus $H^m(K) = 0$.

5. LEMMA. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, let $f : G \rightarrow f(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a light map such that $f(G)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $B \subset f(G)$ be a residual set such that f is open at each point of $A = f^{-1}(B)$ and $f|_A$ is injective. Then f is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. For each $W \neq \emptyset$ open in G , $\dim f(W) = n$ [9; pp. 91-92], so that B meets $\text{int } f(W)$; thus A meets W , and since W is arbitrary, A is dense in G . Since $f|_A$ is injective, if f is open, then f is a homeomorphism [13; p. 542, (2.5)].

Thus we may suppose that f fails to be open at some x in G , i.e., there is an open neighborhood W of x in G such that $f(x) \notin \text{int } f(W)$. For any $r > 0$ sufficiently small and

$$V = \{y \in f(G) : d(f(x), y) < r\},$$

$V \subset f(G)$, the component U of $f^{-1}(V)$ containing x has \bar{U} compact, $\bar{U} \subset W$ [13; p. 543; (3.3)], and $V - f(\bar{U}) \neq \emptyset$, so we may suppose that $\partial V - f(\bar{U}) \neq \emptyset$. By the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem [8; Vol. I, p. 247, Corollary 1a] we may also choose r so that B meets ∂V in a residual set.

Now $f(\text{bdy } U) \subset \partial V \approx S^{n-1}$, so there is an $(n-1)$ -cell $I^{n-1} \subset \partial V$ with

$$f(\text{bdy } U) \subset I^{n-1}.$$

From (4) applied to $f|_{\text{bdy } U} : \text{bdy } U \rightarrow I^{n-1}$ with residual set $(B \cap I^{n-1})$, $\tilde{H}^{n-1}(\text{bdy } U) = 0$. A contradiction results from the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{H}^{n-1}(\text{bdy } U) & \rightarrow & H_c^n(U) & \rightarrow & H^n(\bar{U}) \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & \mathbb{Z} & & 0 \end{array}$$

6. LEMMA (Väisälä [13; p. 543, (3.4)]). *Suppose $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a countable map. Then there is a set B residual in $\text{int } f(G)$ such that $f^{-1}(B) = A$ is residual in G and f is open at each point of A . Moreover, the set $A_0 \subset A$ at which $f|_A$ is locally injective is dense in A and open in A .*

7. *Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose $\text{int } B_f \neq \emptyset$ for some f . Since the question is local, we may suppose that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where G is open in \mathbb{R}^n , and, by further restriction, that $B_f = G$. For the set A given by (6) there is a set D open in G such that $D \cap A \neq \emptyset$, and $f|_{D \cap A}$ is injective. Since f is open at each point of A ,

$$D \cap f^{-1}(B \cap \text{int } f(D)) = D \cap A.$$

For $G' = D \cap f^{-1}(\text{int } f(D))$ and $h : G' \rightarrow h(G')$ the restriction of f , $B_h = G'$, $h(G')$ is open, and h and $B' = B \cap h(G')$ satisfy the hypotheses of (5). Then h is a homeomorphism, and a contradiction results.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

8. LEMMA. *If $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$ is discrete, then $\text{int } f(B_f) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a discrete f with $\text{int } f(B_f) \neq \emptyset$. Since M^n is second countable, there is an $x \in M^n$ such that $\text{int } f(X \cap B_f) \neq \emptyset$ for every open neighborhood X of x in M^n . By restriction to a sufficiently small X , we obtain a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where X is open in \mathbb{R}^n , and by further restriction we may suppose [13, p.543, (3.3)] that α is proper. There is an open n -cell $D \subset \text{int } \alpha(B_\alpha)$, and the restriction of α to $\alpha^{-1}(D)$ will again be denoted by f . Then f maps G into D^n , where G is open in \mathbb{R}^n , D^n is the open unit n -ball, and $f(G) = f(B_f) = D^n$. Let $B \subset D^n$ be the residual set given by (6), and let $B_i \subset B$ be the set of y such

that $f^{-1}(y)$ has at most i points. Since f is discrete and proper, $B = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i$.

For $y \in B - B_i$, let x_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, i + 1$) be points of $f^{-1}(y)$, and let V_j be mutually disjoint open neighborhoods of x_j . Since f is open at each point of $f^{-1}(y)$

(by definition of B), $y \in \bigcap_j \text{int } f(V_j) = U$, and hence $U \subset D^n - B_i$. Thus $B - B_i$

is open in B , so that each B_i is closed in B . Since B is residual in D^n , it follows from the Baire Category Theorem that some B_i is somewhere dense in D^n , i.e.

for some open $V \subset D^n$, $\bar{B}_i \supset V$. Since B_i is closed in B , $V \cap B = V \cap B_i$.

Let $g : f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ be the restriction of f (so that $V = g(B_g)$), and choose $y \in V \cap B$ such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is maximal, say $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ where $m \leq i$. Let V_j be mutually disjoint open neighborhoods of x_j , let $W = \bigcap_j \text{int } g(V_j)$, let $W_j = V_j \cap g^{-1}(W)$, and let $h_j : W_j \rightarrow W$ be restrictions of g . Now $h_j(W_j) = W$ and $h_j|_{(W_j \cap g^{-1}(B))}$ is injective, so by (5) each h_j is a homeomorphism. This contradicts $W \subset V = g(B_g)$.

Lemma 8 (and Theorem 2) cannot be extended to countable maps:

9. *Example.* There is a countable, proper map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(B_f) = \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We actually define $f : I \rightarrow I$, where $I = [0, 1]$, $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, and $f^{-1}(1) = \{1\}$. Let C be the Cantor set, viewed as the set of sequences of 0's and 1's, and define a continuous surjection $g : C \rightarrow I$ by $g(\{a_n\}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \cdot 2^{-n}$. For each component (interval) J of $I - C$, $g(\partial J)$ is a single point. Extend g to \bar{J} by folding \bar{J} in half, i.e. each of the two half intervals of \bar{J} is mapped isometrically onto its image interval. This defines a map f of I onto I .

Each $g^{-1}(y)$ has at most two points, each $f^{-1}(y) \cap J$ has at most two points, and there are a countable number of intervals J ; thus f is countable (and some $f^{-1}(y)$ are not discrete). Each midpoint of each J is in B_f , and C is the set of limit points of these midpoints; thus $C \subset B_f$, so that $I = f(B_f)$, as desired.

10. *Remark.* Theorem 1 is false for light maps: by folding enough we may define a light map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $B_f = \mathbb{R}$. In fact, as Väisälä noted in a letter to the author, any nowhere differentiable map serves as a counter example.

11. *Lemma.* If $f : M^n \rightarrow N^n$ is light, $\dim B_f \leq n - 2$, and $\dim f(B_f) \leq n - 1$, then f is open.

Proof. Suppose that f is not open, at $\bar{x} \in M^n$; then there is an open neighborhood W of \bar{x} such that $f(\bar{x}) \notin \text{int } f(W)$. Choose an open n -cell neighborhood V of $f(\bar{x})$ in N^n sufficiently small that the component U of $f^{-1}(V)$ containing \bar{x} is contained in the interior of a closed n -cell of M^n . Then $g : U \rightarrow V$ defined by restriction of f is proper, and since $g(U) \neq V$, $\deg g = 0$. According to [6; pp. 32-33, (5.7) (and the following paragraph)], if there are points $x, y \in U$ with the local degrees $d_x = 1$ and $d_y = -1$, then $H_c^{n-1}(B_g; G) \neq 0$ for any module $G (\neq 0)$ over a commutative ring. Since $\dim B_g \leq n - 2$, $d_x = 1$ (say) for every $x \in U - B_g$.

Since $\dim g(B_g) \leq n - 1$, $\dim g^{-1}(g(B_g)) \leq n - 1$ [9; pp. 91-92], so there exists $x \in U - g^{-1}(g(B_g))$. Let $x(i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be the points of $g^{-1}(g(x))$. Since each $d_{x(i)} = 1$, $0 = \deg g = \sum_i d_{x(i)} = m$, and a contradiction results.

12. *Proof of Theorem 2.* According to [1], [2], and [12], if f is discrete open, then $\dim B_f = \dim f(B_f) \leq n - 2$. According to [5; p. 531, (2.4)], if f is light and $\dim f(B_f) \leq n - 2$, then f is open. The second conclusion results.

Thus we may suppose that f is not open, and $\dim f(B_f) \geq n - 1$. By Theorem

$1 \dim B_f \leq n - 1$, and by (8) $\dim f(B_f) \leq n - 1$, so that $\dim f(B_f) = n - 1$. By (11) $\dim B_f = n - 1$ also.

13. *Remarks.* In the smooth case more can be said ([4; p. 94, (2.3)] and [3; p. 500, (5.1)]): If $f: M^n \rightarrow N^n$ is C^3 light, then $B_f = \emptyset$,

$$\dim B_f = n - 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \dim B_f = n - 1;$$

the last case occurs if and only if f is not open.

The topological case differs: for $n \geq 5$ there are discrete open maps $f: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ with $B_f \approx f(B_f) \approx S^{n-4}$ [7; (5.6)].

4. TROHIMČUK'S PAPER

14. *Remark.* In [11] Trohimčuk claims to prove that there is no discrete open map $f: M^n \rightarrow N^n$ with $n \geq 3$ and $\dim B_f = 0$ (and thus $\dim f(B_f) = 0$). We now note that there is a gap in his proof (the author agrees), so the question is apparently still open.

The gap occurs in the proof of [11; Lemma 6], specifically in the middle of page 287 where he claims that \bar{Q} is a closed 2-cell ("... $Q \cup L_0$ is homeomorphic to a closed disk, and the boundary of Q coincides with L_0 ..."). For an example, define $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by $f(u + iv, t) = ((u + iv)^2, t)$, so $B_f = f(B_f) = \{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let Λ be the union of the line segment joining $(0, 0, 1)$ to $(0, 1, 0)$ and that joining $(0, 0, -1)$ to $(0, 1, 0)$; in a sufficiently fine triangulation of \mathbb{R}^3 the regular neighborhood \bar{V}_r of Λ will be closed 3-ball meeting $\{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}$ in two disjoint line segments, each contained in $V_r = \text{int } \bar{V}_r$ except for its endpoints on $\text{bdy}(V_r) = (V_r)_g = S_1$. Then $G_r = f^{-1}(V_r)$ is the interior of a solid torus \bar{G}_r meeting $\{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}$ in two disjoint line segments, each contained in G_r except for its endpoints on

$$\text{bdy}(G_r) = (G_r)_g = S.$$

The set of (u, v, t) on S_1 defined by $v = 0$ and $u \geq 0$ consists of two arcs, as does the set defined by $u = 0$ and $v \geq 0$. Let $L_1 \subset S_1$ be the union of the two former arcs, together with (either) one of the latter two; then L_1 is an arc containing the four points of $S_1 \cap (\{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R})$, in their order on $\{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}$, with two of them as endpoints. Each component Q of $S - f^{-1}(L_1)$ has \bar{Q} a cylinder, *not* a disk as Trohimčuk claims.

Of course $\dim B_f \neq 0$, as is required in the hypothesis of [11; Lemma 6], so this example is not a counterexample. But observe that all that is *used* in the proof of [11; Lemma 6] is:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(B_f \cap S) &= \dim(f(B_f) \cap S_1) = 0, \\ f^{-1}(f(B_f)) &= B_f, \quad f: B_f \approx f(B_f), \quad \text{and} \\ f: \mathbb{R}^3 - B_f &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 - f(B_f) \end{aligned}$$

is a covering map. Thus the argument of [11; Lemma 6] should apply to this example; since it does not, the gap cited earlier appears to be significant.

5. ANY MAP IS QUASI-OPEN ALMOST EVERYWHERE

15. *Definitions.* For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $x \in X$, the component of $f^{-1}(f(x))$ containing x is denoted by Γ_x . The map f is called *quasi-open* [14] at x if, for every open neighborhood U of Γ_x , $f(x) \in \text{int } f(U)$. A light quasi-open map is open. Lemma 6 (Väisälä [13; p. 543, (3.4)]) has a generalization from light maps to arbitrary maps (continuous functions):

16. PROPOSITION. *Let X and Y be locally connected, compact metric spaces, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be any map. Then there is a set B residual in Y such that f is quasi-open at each point of $f^{-1}(B)$.*

Proof. The space Y has a countable base $\{V_i\}$ of open sets with \bar{V}_i compact. Let $U_{i,j}$ be the components of $f^{-1}(V_i)$. Then each $U_{i,j}$ is open, and there are a countable number of them.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bdy } f(U_{i,j}) &= f(\bar{U}_{i,j}) - \text{int } f(U_{i,j}) \\ &\subset f(\text{bdy } U_{i,j}) \cup (f(U_{i,j}) - \text{int } f(U_{i,j})) \\ &\subset \text{bdy } V_i \cup (f(U_{i,j}) - \text{int } f(U_{i,j})), \end{aligned}$$

so that the closed subset $\text{bdy } f(U_{i,j}) \subset Y$ has empty interior. Let

$$F = \bigcup_{i,j} \text{bdy } f(U_{i,j});$$

then $B = Y - F$ is residual in Y .

Let $x \in f^{-1}(B)$, and let U be an open neighborhood of Γ_x . There is a $U_{i,j}$ with $\Gamma_x \subset U_{i,j} \subset U$. Since $f(x) \in B$, $f(x) \notin \text{bdy } f(U_{i,j})$, so that

$$f(x) \in \text{int } f(U_{i,j}) \subset \text{int } f(U).$$

Hence f is quasi-open at each point of $f^{-1}(B)$.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Černavskiĭ, *Finite-to-one open mappings of manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Series (2) 100, 253-267, translation of Math. Sb. 65 (107) (1964), no. 3, 357-369.
2. ———, Addendum to the paper, *Finite-to-one open mappings of manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2) 100, 269-270, translation of Mat. Sb. 66 (108) (1965), no. 3, 471-472.

3. P. T. Church, *Differentiable monotone maps on manifolds*. II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 493-501.
4. ———, *Differentiable open maps on manifolds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1963), 87-100.
5. ———, and E. Hemmingsen, *Light open maps on n-manifolds*. Duke Math. J. 27 (1960), 527-536.
6. ———, and W. D. Nathan, *Real analytic maps on manifolds*. J. Math. Mech. 19 (1969/70), 19-36.
7. ———, and J. G. Timourian, *Differentiable maps with small critical set or critical set image*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.
8. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*. Vol. I. Translated from French by J. Jaworowski, Academic Press, New York-London, 1966; Vol. II. Translated from French by A. Kirkor, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
9. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*. Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 4. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1941.
10. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
11. Ju. Ju. Trohimčuk, *Continuous mappings of domains in euclidean space*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2) 100, 271-291, translation of Ukrain Mat. Ž. (1964), 196-211.
12. J. Väisälä, *Discrete open mappings on manifolds*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI no. 392 (1966), 1-10.
13. ———, *Local topological properties of countable mappings*, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 541-546.
14. G. T. Whyburn, *Open mappings on locally compact spaces*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 1, 1950.

Department of Mathematics
Syracuse University
Syracuse, New York, 13210

