

AN L_2 ANALYTIC FOURIER-FEYNMAN TRANSFORM

R. H. Cameron and D. A. Storvick

INTRODUCTION

The concept of an *analytic Fourier-Feynman transform* was introduced in 1972 by M. D. Brue [2], and it was defined essentially as in (0.2) below. It was based on the analytic Wiener and Feynman integrals [3], for which we now give simplified definitions sufficiently general for this paper.

Definition. Let $C[a, b]$ be the space of real continuous functions $x(\cdot)$ on $[a, b]$ for which $x(a) = 0$. Let F be a functional such that the Wiener integral

$$J(\lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2} x) dx$$

exists for almost all real $\lambda > 0$. If there exists a function $J^*(\lambda)$ analytic in the half-plane $\Re \lambda > 0$ such that $J^*(\lambda) = J(\lambda)$ for almost all real $\lambda > 0$, then we define this "essential analytic extension" of J to be the *analytic Wiener integral of F over $C[a, b]$ with parameter λ* , and for $\Re \lambda > 0$ we write

$$\int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F(x) dx = J^*(\lambda).$$

Definition. Let q be a real parameter ($q \neq 0$), and let F be a functional whose analytic Wiener integral exists for $\Re \lambda > 0$. Then, if the following limit exists, we call it the *analytic Feynman integral of F over $C[a, b]$ with parameter q* , and we write

$$(0.1) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{anf_q} F(x) dx = \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F(x) dx.$$

On the basis of these definitions, we can define Brue's transform as follows:

Definition. If $q \neq 0$ and if for each $y \in C[a, b]$ the analytic Feynman integral

$$(0.2) \quad T_q^* F \equiv \int_{C[a,b]}^{anf_q} F(x+y) dx$$

exists, then $T_q^* F$ is called the *analytic Fourier-Feynman transform of F* .

Actually, Brue used a slightly more general definition of the analytic Feynman integral, but restricted the definition of his transform to the case $q = -1$, using the case $q = 1$ as the inverse transform.

Received March 7, 1975.

Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976).

He applied this definition to various classes of functionals defined on $C[a, b]$ and also to a class of analytic functionals defined on the space of complex functions whose real and imaginary parts are both in $C[a, b]$. In this complex case, his results are beautifully symmetric, as the space is transformed into itself by T_1^* , and $T_1^* T_{-1}^* F = F$. However, his results for real functionals are not symmetric, and they require either strong or complicated hypotheses. His final theorem deals with functionals of the form

$$\exp \left\{ \int_a^b \theta(t, x(t)) dt \right\},$$

which seems to be of interest to physicists, but of all his theorems it has the strongest hypotheses. The $L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ Fourier transform is one of the basic concepts upon which Brue's paper is based.

In the present paper we work with simple hypotheses, and by using the L_2 Fourier transform and L_2 -theory generally, we obtain symmetric results for functionals defined almost everywhere on $C[a, b]$. Our method requires the concept of the scale-invariant limit in the mean in the $L_2(C[a, b])$ -sense, which is defined below.

Throughout the paper, the term *Wiener measurable* will mean measurable with respect to the uncompleted Wiener measure or "strict Wiener measure", as in [7].

Terminology. We shall say that two functionals $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are *equal s - almost everywhere* if for each $\rho > 0$ the equation $F(\rho x) = G(\rho x)$ holds for almost all $x \in C[a, b]$, in other words, if $F(x) = G(x)$ except for a scale-invariant null set. We denote this equivalence relation between functionals by

$$F \approx G.$$

Definition. Let $\{H_n\}$ and H be measurable functionals such that, for each $\rho > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C[a, b]} |H_n(\rho y) - H(\rho y)|^2 dy = 0.$$

Then we write

$$\text{l. i. m. (w}_s)_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n \approx H,$$

and we call H *the scale invariant limit in the mean of H_n over $C[a, b]$* . A similar definition is understood when n is replaced by a continuously varying parameter.

We use a limit of this type in defining an L_2 analytic Feynman integral and an L_2 analytic Fourier-Feynman transform, as follows:

Definition. Let q be real, $q \neq 0$. If

$$G(y) \equiv \text{l. i. m. (w}_s)_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{C[a, b]}^{anw \lambda} F(x, y) dx$$

exists for a functional F measurable on $C[a, b] \times C[a, b]$, we write

$$(0.3) \quad G(y) = \int_{C[a,b]}^{m \text{ anf}_q} F(x, y) dx,$$

and we call G the (scale invariant) L_2 analytic Feynman integral of F over $C[a, b]$ with parameter q . The letter m appearing before the symbol anf above the integral sign is intended to distinguish this Feynman integral, which depends on a limit in the mean, from the earlier Feynman integral defined in equation (0.1).

We note that the existence of (0.3) presupposes that for each $\rho > 0$ the analytic Wiener integral $\int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F(x, \rho y) dx$ exists for $\Re \lambda > 0$.

Definition. Let q be real, $q \neq 0$. We define the L_2 analytic Fourier Feynman transform of F by the formula

$$(T_q F)(y) \equiv \int_{C[a,b]}^{m \text{ anf}_q} F(x + y) dx,$$

whenever the integral on the right hand side exists over $C[a, b]$. (We note that $T_q F$ is defined only s -almost-everywhere.)

We remark that if F is measurable and $T_q F$ exists, then $T_q F$ is measurable. We also call $T_q F$ the *mean Feynman transform* of F .

We next define three classes of spaces of functionals to which T_q applies.

Definition. Let \mathcal{A}_n be the space of functionals F that can be expressed in the form

$$F(x) = f[x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)]$$

s -almost-everywhere on $C[a, b]$, where $a < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq b$, and where $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and f is Borel measurable.

It will be shown that if $F \in \mathcal{A}_n$, then $T_q F$ exists, $T_q F \in \mathcal{A}_n$, and

$$(0.4) \quad T_{-q} T_q F \approx F.$$

Notation. Let $\Delta_n \equiv \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid a < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq b\}$.

Definition. Let \mathcal{K}_n be the space of functions f defined and Borel measurable on $\Delta_n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$N_n(f) \equiv \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n} \|f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\| < +\infty.$$

In particular, we interpret \mathcal{K}_0 to be the set of complex constants, and if $f_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0$, then $N_0(f_0) = |f_0|$, and we note that \mathcal{K}_1 is the set of Borel measurable functions f on $[a, b] \times (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $\sup_{a < t \leq b} \|f(t, \cdot)\| < +\infty$.

Remark. It can be shown that if $f \in \mathcal{K}_n$, then for almost every $x \in C[a, b]$ and all $\rho > 0$,

$$\int_{\Delta_n} \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho x(t_1), \dots, \rho x(t_n)) dt_1 \dots dt_n < +\infty.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2 of [6].

Definition. Let \mathcal{S}_n be the space of functionals F such that, for some function $f \in \mathcal{K}_n$,

$$(0.5) \quad F(x) \equiv \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n$$

for s -almost-all x . We shall call this function f a *defining kernel* for F . In particular, we interpret \mathcal{S}_0 to be the space of constant complex-valued functionals. If $F \in \mathcal{S}_0$, we take $f \equiv F$ to be the kernel of F and write $N_0(f) = |f|$.

As before, it will be shown that T_q is defined on \mathcal{S}_n , maps \mathcal{S}_n onto itself, and satisfies (0.4) on \mathcal{S}_n .

Finally, we build a larger space by using sums of functionals chosen from each of the spaces \mathcal{S}_n .

Definition. Let \mathcal{S} be the space of functionals F such that there exists a sequence $\{F_n\}$ with $F_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$ having corresponding defining kernels $f_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ such that

$$F \approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n$$

and

$$(0.6) \quad [N_n(f_n)]^{1/n} = o(n^{3/4}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We shall call $\{F_n\}$ a *defining sequence* for F , and $\{f_n\}$ a *corresponding kernel sequence*.

Again, it will be shown that if $F \in \mathcal{S}$, then $T_q F$ exists, $T_q F \in \mathcal{S}$, and

$$T_{-q} T_q F \approx F.$$

We shall also show that \mathcal{S} contains some interesting functionals; indeed if Φ is an entire function of order less than four and $\theta \in \mathcal{K}_1$, then the functional

$$(0.7) \quad F(x) \equiv \Phi \left[\int_a^b \theta(t, x(t)) dt \right]$$

belongs to the space \mathcal{S} , and thus $T_q F \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T_{-q} T_q F \approx F$.

Since we shall use Plancherel's theorem and related theorems, it will be convenient to introduce a short notation for the type of limiting integral that occurs in their context.

Notation. We denote

$$\text{l. i. m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-A}^A \cdots \int_{-A}^A \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_n) du_1 \cdots du_n \\ (v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

by

$${}^{(v)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_n) du_1 \cdots du_n.$$

We shall refer to such an integral as an “ L_2 -limiting integral”.

In the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2, we shall refer to a Lemma 1 of [8] that is the n -dimensional extension of Lemma 1 of [5]. For the convenience of the reader we state it here.

LEMMA H. Let $\Re \lambda \geq 0$ ($\lambda \neq 0$), and let $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let

$$g(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - v_j)^2 \right) du_1 \cdots du_n.$$

Then $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|g\| \leq \|f\|.$$

If $\Re \lambda = 0$, the integral is to be interpreted as an L_2 -limiting integral; moreover, in this case

$$\|g\| = \|f\|.$$

1. THE EQUIVALENCE RELATION \approx

In this section, we explain why the Wiener limit was defined so as to be scale-invariant. We shall show that the transformation T_q preserves equivalence classes based on the relation \approx . We have not defined $F \approx G$ to mean merely $F(x) = G(x)$ almost everywhere on $C[a, b]$ because such an equivalence relation is not preserved under the transformation T_q . Indeed, we shall exhibit two functionals such that $F(x) = G(x)$ a. e. but $(T_q F)(y) \neq (T_q G)(y)$ on a set of positive Wiener measure.

THEOREM 1. If q is real ($q \neq 0$), if F_1 and F_2 are measurable and $F_1 \approx F_2$, and if $T_q F_1$ exists, then $T_q F_2$ exists and

$$T_q F_1 \approx T_q F_2.$$

Proof. Let ρ and σ be any two positive numbers, and let $F = F_1 - F_2$. Then $F(\sqrt{\rho^2 + \sigma^2}u) = 0$ for almost all $u \in C[a, b]$, and thus

$$\int_{C[a, b]} |F(\sqrt{\rho^2 + \sigma^2}u)| du = 0.$$

By Bearman's Lemma (see [1] or Lemma 2 of [7]),

$$\int_{C[a, b] \times C[a, b]} |F(\rho x + \sigma y)| d(x \times y) = 0;$$

therefore $F(\rho x + \sigma y) = 0$ for almost all $(x, y) \in C[a, b] \times C[a, b]$. Thus for each

$\rho > 0$ and each $\sigma > 0$, $\int_{C[a,b]} F(\rho x + \sigma y) dx = 0$ for almost all $y \in C[a, b]$. Since F is measurable, we see by Fubini's theorem that for each σ and for almost every $y \in C[a, b]$,

$$\int_{C[a,b]} F(\rho x + \sigma y) dx = 0$$

for almost every ρ . Thus, for each σ and almost every y ,

$$\int_{C[a,b]} [F_1(\rho x + \sigma y) - F_2(\rho x + \sigma y)] dx = 0$$

for almost every $\rho > 0$, and therefore

$$\int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} [F_1(x + \sigma y) - F_2(x + \sigma y)] dx = 0.$$

But since $T_q F_1$ exists, there exists a function $J(\lambda)$, analytic in $\Re \lambda > 0$, such that $\int_{C[a,b]} F_1(\lambda^{-1/2} x + \sigma y) dx = J(\lambda)$ for almost all real positive λ . Consequently, the same is true for F_2 , and therefore

$$\int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F_2(x + \sigma y) dx$$

exists for all positive σ and almost every y , for $\Re \lambda > 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F_1(x + \sigma y) dx = \int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F_2(x + \sigma y) dx,$$

and $T_q F_2$ exists and

$$T_q F_1 \approx T_q F_2.$$

Counterexample. In [4] it was shown that there exists a Wiener measurable set $C_1 \subset C[a, b]$ such that $m(C_1) = 1$ and $m(\rho C_1) = 0$ if $\rho > 0$ and $\rho \neq 1$.

Let $F(x) \equiv 1$ and

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } C_1, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then $F(x) = G(x)$ almost everywhere, and if $q \neq 0$, $(T_q F)(y) \equiv 1$. Moreover, by Bearman's Lemma, for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{C[a,b]} \left[\int_{C[a,b]} G(\lambda^{-1/2} x + y) dx \right] dy = \int_{C[a,b]} G(\sqrt{\lambda^{-1} + 1} z) dz.$$

But $G(\sqrt{\lambda^{-1} + 1}z) = 0$ if $\sqrt{\lambda^{-1} + 1}z \notin C_1$, and hence $G(\sqrt{\lambda^{-1} + 1}z) = 0$ for almost all z . Hence, since $G(\sqrt{\lambda^{-1} + 1}z) = 0$,

$$\int_{C[a,b]} G[\lambda^{-1/2}x + y] dx = 0$$

for almost all y . Hence

$$(T_q G)(y) = 0$$

for almost all y , and

$$(T_q F)(y) \neq (T_q G)(y) \quad \text{a. e.}$$

even though $F = G$ a. e.

This example shows the importance of calling sets in Wiener space equivalent only if they differ merely on scale-invariant null sets.

2. THE TRANSFORMATION T_q APPLIED TO FUNCTIONALS $F \in \mathcal{A}_n$

In order to show that $T_q F$ exists and that $T_{-q} T_q$ is the identity transformation when $F \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we shall first prove two lemmas.

Notation. Let $\gamma \equiv \gamma(t) \equiv [(2\pi)^n (t_1 - a) \cdots (t_n - t_{n-1})]^{-1/2}$.

LEMMA 1: *Let*

$$F(x) \equiv f(x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Then, for each $y \in C[a, b]$ and each λ with $\Re \lambda > 0$, the Wiener integral in the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{anw_\lambda} F(x + y) dx = h(y(t_1), y(t_2), \dots, y(t_n), \lambda)$$

exists; here

$$(2.2) \quad h(w_1, \dots, w_n, \lambda) = \lambda^{n/2} \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (w_j - w_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n$$

for all real values w_1, \dots, w_n (notation: $v_0 = w_0 = 0$). Moreover, for fixed w_1, \dots, w_n the function $h(w_1, \dots, w_n, \lambda)$ is analytic in λ for $\Re \lambda > 0$; also $h(\dots, \lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|h(\dots, \lambda)\| \leq \|f\|,$$

and for each $\rho > 0$,

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{C[a,b]} |h(\rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n), \lambda)|^2 dy \leq \rho^{-n} \gamma \|f\|^2 \quad \text{for } \Re \lambda > 0.$$

(To make nonintegral powers of complex λ ($\Re \lambda \geq 0$) well-defined, we shall assume $|\arg \lambda| \leq \pi/2$, and we choose the branch $\lambda^z = \exp[z(\log |\lambda| + i \arg \lambda)]$.)

Proof. If λ is real and positive and $y \in C[a, b]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2} \mathbf{x} + y) d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{C[a,b]} f[\lambda^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}(t_1) + y(t_1), \dots, \lambda^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}(t_n) + y(t_n)] d\mathbf{x} \\
 &= \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f[\lambda^{-1/2} u_1 + y(t_1), \dots, \lambda^{-1/2} u_n + y(t_n)] \\
 &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(u_j - u_{j-1})^2}{(t_j - t_{j-1})}\right) du_1 \dots du_n \\
 (2.5) \quad &= \lambda^{n/2} \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
 &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (y(t_j) - y(t_{j-1}))]^2}{(t_j - t_{j-1})}\right) dv_1 \dots dv_n \\
 &= h(y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n), \lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for each real (w_1, \dots, w_n) , the function $h(w_1, \dots, w_n, \lambda)$ is analytic in λ for $\Re \lambda > 0$ and thus the Wiener integral in (2.5) has an analytic extension to $\Re \lambda > 0$, and consequently the left member of (2.1) exists and equation (2.1) is true.

We now transform (2.2) by setting

$$(2.6) \quad v'_j = \frac{v_j - v_{j-1}}{\sqrt{t_j - t_{j-1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad w'_j = \frac{w_j - w_{j-1}}{\sqrt{t_j - t_{j-1}}} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} v'_k = v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^j \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} w'_k = w_j;$$

thus we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 &h\left(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0} w'_1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} w'_k, \lambda\right) \\
 (2.7) \quad &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0} v'_1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} v'_k\right) \\
 &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (v'_j - w'_j)^2\right) dv'_1 \dots dv'_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we define

$$h^*(w'_1, \dots, w'_n, \lambda) \equiv h\left(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0} w'_1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} w'_k, \lambda\right) = h(w_1, \dots, w_n, \lambda)$$

and

$$f^*(v'_1, \dots, v'_n) \equiv f\left(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0} v'_1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} v'_k\right) = f(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

equation (2.7) becomes

$$h^*(w'_1, \dots, w'_n, \lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(v'_1, \dots, v'_n) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (v'_j - w'_j)^2\right) dv'_1 \dots dv'_n.$$

By Lemma H, $\|h^*(\dots, \lambda)\| \leq \|f^*\|$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| h\left(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0} w'_1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} w'_n\right) \right|^2 dw'_1 \dots dw'_n \\ & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f\left(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0} v'_1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} v'_k\right) \right|^2 dv'_1 \dots dv'_n; \end{aligned}$$

applying the inverse transformation of (2.6), we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w_1, \dots, w_n, \lambda)|^2 dw_1 \dots dw_n \\ & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(v_1, \dots, v_n)|^2 dv_1 \dots dv_n, \end{aligned}$$

and inequality (2.3) is established:

$$\|h(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, \lambda)\| \leq \|f\|.$$

To establish (2.4), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C[a,b]} |h(\rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n), \lambda)|^2 dy \\ & = \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(\rho u_1, \dots, \rho u_n, \lambda)|^2 \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(u_j - u_{j-1})^2}{2(t_j - t_{j-1})}\right) du_1 \dots du_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(\rho u_1, \dots, \rho u_n, \lambda)|^2 du_1 \dots du_n \leq \gamma \|f\|^2 \rho^{-n};$$

thus (2.4) is established and the lemma is proved.

Remark. For each function $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see by the Schwarz inequality that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \Lambda_j [(v_j - v_{j-1}) - u_j]^2\right) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right| \\ \leq \|g\| \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{n/4} [\Lambda_1 \dots \Lambda_n]^{-1/4}$$

for all positive $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and all real u_j .

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that q is real ($q \neq 0$), and that $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$. Let g be given by*

$$(2.8) \quad g(v_1, \dots, v_n) = (-iq)^{n/2} \gamma^{(v)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \\ \exp\left(\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(u_j - u_{j-1}) - (v_j - v_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) du_1 \dots du_n,$$

where $u_0 = v_0 = 0$. Then $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(2.9) \quad f(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (iq)^{n/2} \gamma^{(u)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (u_j - u_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \dots dv_n$$

for almost all u_1, \dots, u_n . Moreover,

$$(2.10) \quad \|g\| = \|f\|.$$

Conversely, if $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (2.9) defines f , then $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (2.8) holds a. e., and (2.10) is valid.

Proof. Let $p = |q|$, so that $q = \pm p$. Since $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $f^* \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$(2.11) \quad f^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ = \exp\left(\pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2\right) f\left(\left(\frac{t_1 - t_0}{p}\right)^{1/2} z_1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{p}\right)^{1/2} z_j\right).$$

Let us write

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{g} = \mathcal{F}f^*,$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the n -dimensional Fourier transform. We shall show that

$$(2.13) \quad \tilde{g} = (\pm i)^{n/2} g^*,$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & g^*(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ &= \exp\left(\mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^2\right) g\left(\mp \left(\frac{t_1 - t_0}{p}\right)^{1/2} w_1, \dots, \mp \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{p}\right)^{1/2} w_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the L_2 Fourier transform, we see that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{g}(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ &= \text{l. i. m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{D_A}^{(n)} \int f^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j z_j\right) dz_1 \cdots dz_n, \end{aligned}$$

where D_A is a monotone family of bounded regions such that $\bigcup_A D_A = \mathbb{R}^n$. Since we wish to make the transformation

$$(2.16) \quad z_j = (u_j - u_{j-1})(p/(t_j - t_{j-1}))^{1/2},$$

we choose D_A to be the image of $D'_A \equiv [-A, A]^n$ under this transformation. Making the transformation above and also the transformation

$$(2.17) \quad w_j = \mp (v_j - v_{j-1})(p/(t_j - t_{j-1}))^{1/2},$$

we deduce from (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left(\frac{\pm i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^2\right) \tilde{g}(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ &= \text{l. i. m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{D_A}^{(n)} \int f\left(\left(\frac{t_1 - t_0}{p}\right)^{1/2} z_1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{p}\right)^{1/2} z_j\right) \\ & \quad \exp\left(\frac{\pm i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j \pm w_j)^2\right) dz_1 \cdots dz_n \\ &= \text{l. i. m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D'_A}^{(n)} \int f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \\ & \quad \exp\left(\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(u_j - u_{j-1}) - (v_j - v_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (t_j - t_{j-1})\right)^{-1/2} du_1 \cdots du_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus g defined by (2.8) exists, and $g \in L_2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^2\right) \tilde{g}(w_1, \dots, w_n) &= (\pm i)^{n/2} g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ &= (\pm i)^{n/2} g\left(\mp \left(\frac{t_1 - t_0}{p}\right)^{1/2} w_1, \dots, \mp \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{p}\right)^{1/2} w_j\right) \\ &= (\pm i)^{n/2} \exp\left(\pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^2\right) \cdot g^*(w_1, \dots, w_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\tilde{g} = (\pm i)^{n/2} g^*,$$

and (2.13) is established; from (2.12) it follows that

$$(2.18) \quad g^* = (\pm i)^{-n/2} \mathcal{F} f^*.$$

By the Plancherel theorem

$$\|g^*\| = \|f^*\|,$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &f^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= (\pm i)^{n/2} \text{l.i.m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{D_A}^{\dots} \int_{D_A}^{(n)} g^*(w_1, \dots, w_n) \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j z_j\right) dw_1 \cdots dw_n. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.11), (2.14), and (2.19) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left(\pm \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2\right) f\left(\left(\frac{t_1 - t_0}{p}\right)^{1/2} z_1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{p}\right)^{1/2} z_j\right) \\ &= (\pm i)^{n/2} \text{l.i.m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{D_A}^{\dots} \int_{D_A} g\left(\mp \left(\frac{t_1 - t_0}{p}\right)^{1/2} w_1, \dots, \mp \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{p}\right)^{1/2} w_j\right) \\ &\quad \exp\left(\mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^2\right) \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j z_j\right) dw_1 \cdots dw_n. \end{aligned}$$

Making the substitutions (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain the relation

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (iq)^{n/2} \gamma \text{l.i.m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_A}^{(n)} g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \exp\left(-\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(u_j - u_{j-1}) - (v_j - v_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n,$$

and (2.9) is established. Moreover, from (2.9), (2.11), and (2.14) we obtain (2.10), and thus the direct statements of the theorem are proved. The converse follows if we interchange f and g and replace q by $-q$.

Remark. The hypotheses of Lemma 2 imply (2.18) with f^* and g^* defined by (2.11) and (2.14). Conversely, if $f^* \in L_2$ and (2.18) holds, then (2.8) holds with g and f defined by (2.11) and (2.14).

THEOREM 2. *Let q be real ($q \neq 0$), and let*

$$(2.20) \quad F(x) = f(x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Then the mean Feynman transform of F ,

$$(2.21) \quad G \equiv T_q F,$$

exists s -almost-everywhere on $C[a, b]$, and

$$(2.22) \quad G(y) \approx g(y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)) \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

For real w_1, \dots, w_n , the function g is given by the formula

$$(2.23) \quad g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (-iq)^{n/2} \gamma^{(w)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \exp\left(\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (w_j - w_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n$$

($v_0 = w_0 = 0$). Moreover,

$$(2.24) \quad \|g\| = \|f\|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2, g defined by (2.23) exists and is of class L_2 . By Lemma 1, for $\Re \lambda > 0$ and $y \in C[a, b]$, the analytic Wiener integral below exists, and

$$(2.25) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{anw_\lambda} F(x+y) dx = h(y(t_1), y(t_2), \dots, y(t_n), \lambda),$$

where h is given by (2.2) (of Lemma 1).

Thus, to establish (2.21), we shall show that

$$\int_{C[a,b]}^{m \text{ anf}_q} F(x+y) dx = g(y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)),$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l. i. m. } (w_s) h(y(t_1), y(t_2), \dots, y(t_n), \lambda) &= g(y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)). \\ \lambda &\rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we must show that for each $\rho > 0$,

$$(2.26) \quad \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} J(\lambda) = 0,$$

where

$$(2.27) \quad J(\lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]} |g(\rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n)) - h(\rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n), \lambda)|^2 dy.$$

To simplify our next computation, we introduce the following notation for the Gaussian density function $p(u, t)$.

Notation. Let $p(u, t) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp\{-u^2/2t\}$ and

$$P(\vec{v}, \vec{t}, \lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^n \{p(v_j - v_{j-1}, (t_j - t_{j-1})/\lambda)\},$$

where $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $\vec{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $t_0 = a$, and $v_0 = 0$.

Expressing g and h by means of P , we have the equations

$$(2.28) \quad g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{t}, -iq) dv_1 \dots dv_n$$

and

$$(2.29) \quad h(w_1, \dots, w_n, \lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{t}, \lambda) dv_1 \dots dv_n.$$

Evaluating the right member of (2.27) by Wiener's formula, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.30) \quad J(\lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\rho w_1, \dots, \rho w_n) - h(\rho w_1, \dots, \rho w_n, \lambda)|^2 P(\vec{w}, \vec{t}, 1) dw_1 \dots dw_n \\ &= \rho^{-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(z_1, \dots, z_n) - h(z_1, \dots, z_n, \lambda)|^2 \\ &\quad P(\rho^{-1} \vec{z}, \vec{t}, 1) dz_1 \dots dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.28) and (2.29) in (2.30), we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^n J(\lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - \vec{z}, \vec{t}, -iq) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - \vec{z}, \vec{t}, \lambda) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right|^2 P(\rho^{-1} \vec{z}, \vec{t}, 1) dz_1 \dots dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f_A(\vec{v}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |v_j| \leq A \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n, \\ f(\vec{v}) & \text{if } |v_j| > A \text{ for some } j \text{ (} j = 1, \dots, n \text{)}. \end{cases}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho^n J(\lambda)]^{1/2} &= \left\| \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - (\cdot), \vec{t}, -iq) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - (\cdot), \vec{t}, \lambda) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right] P(\rho^{-1}(\cdot), \vec{t}, 1)^{1/2} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{-A}^A \dots \int_{-A}^A f(v_1, \dots, v_n) [P(\vec{v} - (\cdot), \vec{t}, -iq) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P(\vec{v} - (\cdot), \vec{t}, \lambda)] dv_1 \dots dv_n P(\rho^{-1}(\cdot), \vec{t}, 1)^{1/2} \right\| \\ &\quad + \gamma^{1/2} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_A(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - (\cdot), \vec{t}, -iq) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right\| \\ &\quad + \gamma^{1/2} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_A(v_1, \dots, v_n) P(\vec{v} - (\cdot), \vec{t}, \lambda) dv_1 \dots dv_n \right\| \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 and by (2.3) of Lemma 1 applied to f_A instead of f , we see that

$$I_2 \leq \gamma^{1/2} \|f_A\| \quad \text{and} \quad I_3 \leq \gamma^{1/2} \|f_A\|.$$

To estimate I_1 , we note that $\|f_A\| \rightarrow 0$ as $A \rightarrow +\infty$. Corresponding to any positive number ε , we can choose a number A large enough so that

$$\|f_A\| < \varepsilon/4\gamma^{1/2}.$$

Then, for $|\lambda + iq| < 1$ and $\Re \lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\rho^n J(\lambda)]^{1/2} < I_1 + \varepsilon/2 \\
& = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-A}^A \cdots \int_{-A}^A f(v_1, \dots, v_n) [P(\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{t}, -iq) - P(\vec{v} - \vec{w}, \vec{t}, \lambda)] dv_1 \cdots dv_n \right|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. P(\rho^{-1} \vec{w}, \vec{t}, 1) dw_1 \cdots dw_n \right\}^{1/2} + \varepsilon/2 .
\end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of $P(\vec{v}, \vec{t}, \lambda)$, the difference of the two values P has the limit zero as $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ ($\Re \lambda > 0$), for each \vec{v} and \vec{w} . Moreover, the integrand of the interior integral is dominated by the function

$$2\gamma(|q| + 1)^{n/2} |f(v_1, \dots, v_n)| ,$$

which is integrable on $[-A, A]^n$. Consequently, the interior integral approaches zero as $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ ($\Re \lambda > 0$), for each fixed \vec{w} . Moreover, the integrand of the outer integral is dominated by the quantity

$$2\gamma(|q| + 1)^{n/2} \int_{-A}^A \cdots \int_{-A}^A |f(v_1, \dots, v_n)| dv_1 \cdots dv_n P(\rho^{-1} \vec{w}, \vec{t}, 1) ,$$

which is integrable with respect to w_1, \dots, w_n over \mathbb{R}^n . By virtue of dominated convergence, $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ ($\Re \lambda > 0$),

$$[\rho^n J(\lambda)]^{1/2} < \varepsilon ,$$

and (2.26) is established. Thus, by (2.25) and (2.27), the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3. *Let q be real ($q \neq 0$), and let $F \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Then*

$$(2.31) \quad T_{-q} T_q F \approx F .$$

Proof. Since $F \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we can express F by (2.20), with $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 2, T_q is given by (2.21), with (2.22) and (2.23). Since $G \in \mathcal{A}_n$, the functions G and g satisfy the hypotheses placed on F and f in Theorem 2. While G is not defined uniquely by the equation $G = T_q F$, we see by the definition of $T_q F$ that any two representations of it, say G_1 and G_2 , satisfy the condition $G_1 \approx G_2$. Since $G_1 \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $G_2 \in \mathcal{A}_n$, both $T_{-q} G_1$ and $T_{-q} G_2$ exist. Moreover, by Theorem 1, $T_{-q} G_1 \approx T_{-q} G_2$, and $T_{-q} T_q F$ is uniquely defined up to the equivalence relation \approx .

By Theorem 2, $T_{-q} G$ is given by the identity

$$(2.32) \quad T_{-q} G \equiv H ,$$

where $H(z) \approx h(z(t_1), \dots, z(t_n))$ and $h \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(2.33) \quad h(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (iq)^{n/2} \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \exp\left(-\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (u_j - u_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \dots dv_n$$

for real values u_1, \dots, u_n , with $u_0 = v_0 = 0$.

From (2.9) of Lemma 2 and (2.33), it follows that $h(u_1, \dots, u_n) = f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ a. e. in \mathbb{R}^n , and hence for each $\rho > 0$,

$$h(\rho u_1, \dots, \rho u_n) = f(\rho u_1, \dots, \rho u_n) \text{ a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus $H \approx F$, and (2.32) implies that (2.31) holds and Theorem 3 is proved.

3. THE TRANSFORMATION T_q APPLIED TO FUNCTIONALS $F \in \mathcal{S}_n$

The following lemma concerning analytic Wiener integrals will enable us to establish the existence of $T_q F$ for $F \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

LEMMA 3. *Let*

$$(3.1) \quad F(x) = \int_{\Delta_n} \dots \int_{\Delta_n} f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) dt_1 \dots dt_n,$$

where $F \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and f is the defining kernel of F . Then, for each $y \in C[a, b]$ and for $\Re \lambda > 0$, the analytic Wiener integral

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{C[a, b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F(x + y) dx = \int_{\Delta_n} \dots \int_{\Delta_n} h(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n); \lambda) dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

exists; here

$$(3.3) \quad h(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n; \lambda) = \lambda^{n/2} \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_1, \dots, t_n; v_1, \dots, v_n) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(u_j - u_{j-1}) - (v_j - v_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \dots dv_n$$

for all real u_1, \dots, u_n with $u_0 = v_0 = 0$. Moreover, for $\Re \lambda > 0$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n$, $h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots; \lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots; \lambda)\| \leq \|f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\| \leq N_n(f),$$

$$N_n(h(\dots; \dots; \lambda)) \leq N_n(f).$$

Finally, (3.2) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F(x+y) dx \\
&= \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1) + y(t_1), \dots, x(t_n) + y(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n dx \\
&= \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \left(\int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1) + y(t_1), \dots, x(t_n) + y(t_n)) dx \right) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F(x+y) dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{|\lambda|^2}{4\pi \Re \lambda} \right)^{n/4} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b-a)^{3n/4} N_n(f).$$

Proof. Let

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x) \equiv f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)).$$

Then, for each $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n$, the function $\Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1. Thus, for $\Re \lambda > 0$,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x+y) dx = h(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n); \lambda),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n; \lambda) &= \lambda^{n/2} \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_1, \dots, t_n; v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
&\quad \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (u_j - u_{j-1})]^2}{(t_j - t_{j-1})}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n
\end{aligned}$$

for real u_1, \dots, u_n ($u_0 = v_0 = 0$).

Moreover, for each (t_1, \dots, t_n) in Δ_n and each (u_1, \dots, u_n) in \mathbb{R}^n , the function $h(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n; \lambda)$ is analytic in λ for $\Re \lambda > 0$. Furthermore,

$$h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots; \lambda) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \|h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots; \lambda)\| \leq \|f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\|.$$

Now, for positive λ , it follows from the n -dimensional generalization of Lemma 1 of [6] that the integrand of the left member below is measurable, and by virtue of Fubini's theorem and Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C[a,b]} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int |f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \lambda^{-1/2}x(t_1) + y(t_1), \dots, \lambda^{-1/2}x(t_n) + y(t_n))| dt_1 \cdots dt_n dx \\
&= \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \int_{C[a,b]} |f| dx dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&= \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{n}{\dots} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \lambda^{-1/2}u_1 + y(t_1), \dots, \lambda^{-1/2}u_n + y(t_n))| \cdot \\
&\quad \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(u_j - u_{j-1})^2}{(t_j - t_{j-1})}\right) du_1 \cdots du_n dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&= \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \lambda^{n/2} \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{n}{\dots} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t_1, \dots, t_n; v_1, \dots, v_n)| \cdot \\
&\quad \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (y(t_j) - y(t_{j-1}))]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \lambda^{n/2} \gamma N_n(f) \cdot \\
&\quad \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (y(t_j) - y(t_{j-1}))]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n \right]^{1/2} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&= \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\right)^{n/4} [(t_1 - a) \cdots (t_n - t_{n-1})]^{-1/4} N_n(f) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\right)^{n/4} \cdot \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b - a)^{3n/4} N_n(f) < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Dirichlet's integral [9] to evaluate the integral over Δ_n .

The finiteness of the left member justifies the use of Fubini's theorem in an argument establishing an equation similar to that above, but without absolute-value signs. Hence, using (3.1), (3.4), and (3.5), we obtain for positive λ the equation

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2}x + y) dx = \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int h(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n); \lambda) dt_1 \cdots dt_n.$$

We now show by Morera's theorem that the right-hand member is analytic in λ , for $\Re \lambda > 0$.

For $\Re \lambda > 0$, we see by applying our Remark following Lemma 1 to equation (3.3) that

$$|h(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n; \lambda)| \leq N_n(f) \left(\frac{|\lambda|^2}{4\pi \Re \lambda} \right)^{n/4} [(t_1 - a) \cdots (t_n - t_{n-1})]^{-1/4}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} |h(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n); \lambda)| dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\ \leq \left(\frac{|\lambda|^2}{4\pi \Re \lambda} \right)^{n/4} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b - a)^{3n/4} N_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by dominated convergence, we see that the integral

$$\int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} h(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n); \lambda) dt_1 \cdots dt_n$$

is a continuous function of λ . Integrating with respect to λ this expression around a closed contour Γ in $\Re \lambda > 0$, and applying Fubini's and Morera's theorems, we deduce from the analyticity of h in the half-plane $\Re \lambda > 0$ that the right member of (3.6) is analytic for $\Re \lambda > 0$. Thus $\int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2} x + y) dx$ has an analytic extension to $\Re \lambda > 0$, and (3.2) is established and Lemma 3 is proved.

COROLLARY TO LEMMA 3. *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 3,*

$$\left\| \int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F(x + (\cdot)\rho) dx \right\|_W \leq \rho^{-n/2} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n (b - a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)},$$

where $\|\cdots\|_W$ denotes the $L_2(C_1[a, b])$ -norm.

Proof. Using Fubini's Theorem and (2.4) of Lemma 1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C[a,b]} \left| \int_{C[a,b]}^{anw\lambda} F(x + \rho y) dx \right|^2 dy \\ = \int_{C[a,b]} \left| \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n); \lambda) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right|^2 dy \\ = \int_{C[a,b]} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n); \lambda) \\ \bar{h}(s_1, \dots, s_n; \rho y(s_1), \dots, \rho y(s_n); \lambda) dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n dy \\ = \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{C[a,b]} h(t_1, \dots) \bar{h}(s_1, \dots) dy dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \sqrt{\int_{C[a,b]} |h(t_1, \dots)|^2 dy} \sqrt{\int_{C[a,b]} |h(s_1, \dots)|^2 dy} dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \\
 &\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \rho^{-n} \gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n)^{1/2} \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n)^{1/2} [N_n(f)]^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \\
 &\leq \rho^{-n} \left(\frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b-a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f) \right)^2 .
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4. *Let q be real ($q \neq 0$), let $F \in \mathcal{G}_n$, and let f be its defining kernel. Then $T_q F$, the mean Feynman transform of F , exists and is of the form*

$$(3.7) \quad (T_q F)(y) \approx \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} g(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

where $g \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad g(t_1, \dots, t_n; w_1, \dots, w_n) &= (-iq)^{n/2} \gamma^{(w)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_1, \dots, t_n; v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
 &\exp\left(\frac{iq}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(v_j - v_{j-1}) - (w_j - w_{j-1})]^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n .
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$(3.9) \quad N_n(g) = N_n(f) < +\infty .$$

Proof of Theorem 4. We define a function Φ on $\Delta_n \times C[a, b]$ by

$$(3.10) \quad \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x) \equiv f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)).$$

For each point t_1, \dots, t_n , the function Φ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2, and thus by (2.21) and (2.22), for each $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n$,

$$(3.11) \quad \text{l. i. m. } (w_s) \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{an}w\lambda} \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x+y) dx = g(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)),$$

$\lambda \rightarrow -iq$
 $\Re \lambda > 0$

where g is given by (3.8) and $\|g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\| = \|f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\|$. Thus

$$N_n(g) = \sup_{\Delta_n} \|g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\| = \sup_{\Delta_n} \|f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\| = N_n(f) < +\infty .$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.8) and the measurability of f that g is Borel measurable on $\Delta_n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Further, Lemma 1 implies that for $\Re \lambda > 0$,

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{an}w\lambda} \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x+y) dx = h(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n); \lambda),$$

where h is given by equation (3.3) of Lemma 3. Thus, for each positive ρ , the function (2.27) in the proof of Theorem 2 satisfies condition (2.26), and therefore

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{C[a,b]} |h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n); \lambda) - g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n))|^2 dy = 0.$$

In order to use the dominated-convergence theorem, we note that by Lemma 1, for each $\rho > 0$,

$$\left(\int_{C[a,b]} |h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n); \lambda)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq \rho^{-n/2} \gamma^{1/2} N_n(f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{C[a,b]} |g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n))|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho w_1, \dots, \rho w_n)|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(w_j - w_{j-1})^2}{(t_j - t_{j-1})} \right) dw_1 \dots dw_n \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \gamma^{1/2} \|g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \dots)\| \rho^{-n/2} \leq \gamma^{1/2} \rho^{-n/2} N_n(f) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C[a,b]} |h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n); \lambda) - g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n))|^2 dy \\ & \leq 4\rho^{-n} [(2\pi)^n (t_1 - t_0) \dots (t_n - t_{n-1})]^{-1/2} [N_n(f)]^2 < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and since the second member is integrable with respect to t_1, \dots, t_n over Δ_n , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{\Delta_n} \dots \int_{C[a,b]} |h(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n); \lambda) \\ & \quad - g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n))|^2 dy dt_1 \dots dt_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem, and keeping the same arguments for h and g , we have the equation

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{C[a,b]} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int |h - g|^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_n dy = 0,$$

and hence, by Schwarz's inequality,

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{C[a,b]} \left| \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int (h - g) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right|^2 dy = 0.$$

Thus, by (3.2) of Lemma 3, and since f is the defining kernel of F , we have proved for each $\rho > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow -iq \\ \Re \lambda > 0}} \int_{C[a,b]} \left| \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F(x + \rho y) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\Delta_n}^{(n)} \int g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho y(t_1), \dots, \rho y(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right|^2 dy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 4 is proved.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4,*

$$(3.13) \quad (T_q F)(y) \approx \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int (T_q \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot))(y) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)).$$

Proof. From (3.11) and the definition of the mean Feynman transform it follows that

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)) = (T_q \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot))(y).$$

Thus, by (3.7), we see that

$$(T_q F)(y) \approx \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int (T_q \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot))(y) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

and the corollary is proved.

THEOREM 5. *Let q be real ($q \neq 0$), and let $F \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then $T_{-q} T_q F \approx F$.*

Proof. By the corollary to Theorem 4, we have (3.13) and (3.14). From Theorem 2, it follows that $T_q \Phi$ is given by

$$(T_q \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot))(y) \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)),$$

where for each t_1, \dots, t_n , $g \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|g(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot)\| = \|f(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot)\| \leq N_n(f) < +\infty.$$

Therefore, by virtue of (2.23), g is given by (3.8). By Theorem 4, $g \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and thus g satisfies the hypotheses imposed on f in Theorem 4. By two applications of the Corollary to Theorem 4, and by Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} T_{-q} T_q F &\approx T_{-q} \left\{ \int_{\Delta_n}^{(n)} \int T_q \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right\} \\ &\approx \int_{\Delta_n}^{(n)} \int T_{-q} T_q \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \approx \int_{\Delta_n}^{(n)} \int \Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; \cdot) dt_1 \cdots dt_n = F. \end{aligned}$$

4. THE TRANSFORMATION T_q APPLIED TO FUNCTIONALS $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Our next lemmas establish an inequality relating the Wiener norm of a functional in \mathcal{F} to the norms of its corresponding kernel sequence.

LEMMA 4. *If $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and f is a defining kernel for F , then*

$$\|F\|_W \leq \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b-a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f).$$

Proof. By applying the Fubini Theorem, the Schwarz inequality, and the Dirichlet integral formula [9], we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C[a,b]} |F(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{C[a,b]} \left| \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int \cdots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \bar{f}(s_1, \dots, s_n; x(s_1), \dots, x(s_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{C[a,b]} |f| |\bar{f}| dx dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \\ &= \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \left\{ \gamma(\vec{t}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(u_j - u_{j-1})^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}}\right) du_1 \cdots du_n \gamma(\vec{s}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s_1, \dots, s_n; v_1, \dots, v_n)|^2 \\ &\quad \left. \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(v_j - v_{j-1})^2}{s_j - s_{j-1}}\right) dv_1 \cdots dv_n \right\}^{1/2} dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq [N_n(f)]^2 \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} [\gamma(\vec{t}) \gamma(\vec{s})]^{1/2} dt_1 \cdots dt_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \\
 &= [N_n(f)]^2 \left[\int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} [\gamma(\vec{t})]^{1/2} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right]^2 \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} [N_n(f)]^2 \left[\int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int_{\Delta_n} [(t_1 - t_0) \cdots (t_n - t_{n-1})]^{-1/4} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right]^2 \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} [N_n(f)]^2 \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^{2n} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right) \right]^{-2} (b - a)^{3n/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 4 is proved.

For use in Theorem 6, we shall need to apply Lemma 4 to $F(\rho x)$, because the Wiener limit has to be scale invariant.

Remark. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 4, we have for $\rho > 0$ the inequality

$$\|F(\rho(\cdot))\|_W \leq \rho^{-n/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b - a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f).$$

LEMMA 5. Let $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$, and let $f_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ be a kernel for F_n for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ Suppose also that

$$[N_n(f_n)]^{-1/n} = o(n^{3/4}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then it follows for all positive numbers ρ that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|F_n((\cdot)\rho)\|_W < +\infty,$$

and the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(\rho x)$ converges absolutely for almost all $x \in C[a, b]$ and converges in the $L_1(C[a, b])$ -mean and in the $L_2(C[a, b])$ -mean. In fact,

$$F \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover, for each $y \in C[a, b]$ and each $\rho > 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{C[a, b]} |F_n(\rho x + y)| dx < +\infty.$$

Proof. By the Remark preceding this lemma,

$$\|F_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W \leq \rho^{-n/2} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b - a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f_n),$$

and hence, applying the root test and Stirling's theorem, we see that (4.1) holds. Since the L_1 -norm over Wiener space is dominated by the L_2 -norm over Wiener space,

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{C[a,b]} |F_n(\rho x)| dx < +\infty.$$

An application of the monotone-convergence theorem implies that

$$\sum_0^{\infty} |F_n(\rho x)| < +\infty \quad \text{a.e. on } C[a, b].$$

Since the translation theorem for Wiener integrals does not allow us to proceed directly from (4.3) to (4.2), we must examine the corresponding kernels of the functionals F_n .

For each $y \in C[a, b]$ and each $\rho > 0$, let

$$H_n(x) \equiv F_n(\rho x + y),$$

so that

$$(4.4) \quad H_n(x) = \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int h_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad h_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n) \equiv f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; \rho u_1 + y(t_1), \dots, \rho u_n + y(t_n)).$$

Since by hypothesis

$$F_n(x) \equiv \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n$$

whenever the integral on the right exists, it follows that (4.4) holds whenever the integral on the right exists. From (4.4) and (4.5) it follows that $H_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and that h_n is a kernel for H_n and $N_n(h_n) = \rho^{-n/2} N_n(f_n)$.

Thus H_n and h_n satisfy the hypotheses of this lemma, so that (4.3) becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{C[a,b]} |H_n(x)| dx < +\infty;$$

thus (4.2) is established and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 6. *Let $F \in \mathcal{S}$, and let q be real ($q \neq 0$). Then $T_q F$ exists and $T_q F \in \mathcal{S}$ and*

$$T_{-q} T_q F \approx F.$$

Proof. Let $\{F_n\}$ be a defining sequence for F , and let $\{f_n\}$ be the corresponding kernel sequence. By Theorem 4, $T_q F_n$ exists and

$$(T_q F_n)(y) \approx G_n(y) \equiv \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int g_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

where $g_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and $G_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Moreover, $N_n(g_n) = N_n(f_n)$, and therefore

$$[N_n(g_n)]^{1/n} = o(n^{3/4}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $F \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 5 applied to $\{G_n\}$, we see that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(y)$ converges absolutely a. e. on $C[a, b]$; and if we let

$$G(y) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(y),$$

we see that $G \in \mathcal{P}$. We wish to show that $T_q F \approx G$. Let

$$(4.6) \quad H_n(y, \lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F_n(x+y) dx.$$

The right-hand side exists, by Lemma 3, and it is analytic in λ for $\Re \lambda > 0$, for each $y \in C[a, b]$. Let $\mathcal{D}_r = \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| \leq r, \Re \lambda \geq 1/r\}$ for each $r > 0$. By the last inequality in the statement of Lemma 3 and by (0.6), for each $r > 0$ and each $y \in C[a, b]$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(y, \lambda)$ converges uniformly in λ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_r$. We define

$$H(y, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(y, \lambda)$$

for $y \in C[a, b]$ and $\Re \lambda > 0$. Then, for each $y \in C[a, b]$, the function $H(y, \lambda)$ is analytic in the half-plane $\Re \lambda > 0$. For real $\lambda > 0$, we see from (4.6) and the proof of Lemma 3 that

$$H_n(y, \lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]} F_n(\lambda^{-1/2}x + y) dx$$

for each y , and from (4.2) we see that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{C[a,b]} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(\lambda^{-1/2}x + y) dx &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{C[a,b]} F_n(\lambda^{-1/2}x + y) dx \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(y, \lambda) = H(y, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H(y, \lambda)$ is the analytic extension of the first member of (4.7) to $\Re \lambda > 0$, and

$$H(y, \lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(x+y) dx.$$

By the proof of Theorem 1,

$$H(y, \lambda) \approx \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{anw}\lambda} F(x+y) dx.$$

To prove that $T_q F$ exists, we consider for each $\rho > 0$ the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C[a,b]} |H(\rho y, \lambda) - G(\rho y)|^2 dy &= \int_{C[a,b]} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [H_n(\rho y, \lambda) - G_n(\rho y)] \right|^2 dy \\ &\equiv \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda) - G_n(\rho(\cdot))] \right\|_W^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda) - G_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Each term in the series in the last member tends to zero as $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$.

By the Corollary to Lemma 3, the Remark following Lemma 4, and (3.9) of Theorem 4, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda) - G_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W &\leq \|H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda)\|_W + \|G_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W \\ &\leq 2\rho^{-n/2} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b-a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the root test and (0.6), we obtain for each $\rho > 0$ the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_0^{\infty} \|H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda) - G_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W \\ \leq \sum_0^{\infty} 2\rho^{-n/2} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3n}{4} + 1\right)} (b-a)^{3n/4} (2\pi)^{-n/4} N_n(f_n) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since each term $\|H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda) - G_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W$ tends to 0 as $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ ($\Re \lambda > 0$), we see that $\sum_0^{\infty} \|H_n(\rho(\cdot), \lambda) - G_n(\rho(\cdot))\|_W \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ ($\Re \lambda > 0$). Thus, $T_q F$ exists and $T_q F \approx G$.

Thus we have shown that $T_q \sum_0^{\infty} F_n \approx \sum_0^{\infty} T_q F_n$, and since $T_q F \in \mathcal{F}$, we see, using Theorem 5, that

$$T_{-q} T_q F = T_{-q} T_q \sum_0^{\infty} F_n \approx T_{-q} \sum_0^{\infty} T_q F_n \approx \sum_0^{\infty} T_{-q} T_q F_n \approx \sum_0^{\infty} F_n = F,$$

and the theorem is proved.

5. THE TRANSFORMATION T_q APPLIED TO ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF INTEGRALS

We now prove that under mild conditions, functionals of the form (0.7) are elements of \mathcal{S} .

THEOREM 7. *Let $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire function of order less than four, let $\theta \in \mathcal{K}_1$, and let*

$$F(x) \equiv \Phi \left[\int_a^b \theta(t, x(t)) dt \right].$$

Then $F \in \mathcal{S}$, and therefore for real q ($q \neq 0$), $T_q F \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T_{-q} T_q F \approx F$.

Proof. The general term of the series defining F is

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x) &\equiv a_n \left(\int_a^b \theta(t, x(t)) dt \right)^n = a_n \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \prod_{j=1}^n \theta(t_j, x(t_j)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\ &= a_n n! \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^n \theta(t_j, x(t_j)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (0.5) and the fact that the product of the functions θ is a symmetric function of t_1, \dots, t_n . Thus

$$F_n(x) = \int_{\Delta_n} \cdots \int f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

where

$$f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n; u_1, \dots, u_n) = a_n n! \prod_{j=1}^n \theta(t_j, u_j).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} N_n(f_n) &= \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n} \left\| a_n n! \prod_{j=1}^n \theta(t_j, u_j) \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n} |a_n| n! \prod_{j=1}^n \|\theta(t_j, \cdot)\| \leq n! |a_n| [N_1(\theta)]^n < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log 1/|a_n|} < 4 - \varepsilon$$

for all sufficiently large n (since Φ is of order less than 4); therefore

$$|a_n| < n^{-n/(4-\varepsilon)}.$$

Using Stirling's formula, we deduce that

$$|N_n(f_n)|^{1/n} \leq [n! |a_n|]^{1/n} N_1(\theta) \leq [n!]^{1/n} n^{-1/(4-\varepsilon)} N_1(\theta) = o(n^{3/4}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(x)$, it follows that $F \in \mathcal{S}$, and Theorem 7 now follows from Theorem 6.

Since there is considerable interest in function-space integrals of functionals of the form

$$\exp\left(\int_a^b \theta(t, x(t)) dt\right),$$

we point out that Theorem 7 applies to functionals of this type.

REFERENCES

1. J. E. Bearman, *Rotations in the product of two Wiener spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 129-137.
2. M. D. Brue, *A functional transform for Feynman integrals similar to the Fourier transform*. Thesis, University of Minnesota, 1972.
3. R. H. Cameron, *The Ilstow and Feynman integrals*. J. Analyse Math. 10 (1962/1963), 287-361.
4. R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, *The behavior of measure and measurability under change of scale in Wiener space*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 130-137.
5. R. H. Cameron and D. A. Storvick, *An operator valued function space integral and a related integral equation*. J. Math. Mech. 18 (1968), 517-552.
6. ———, *An operator valued function space integral applied to integrals of functions of class L_2* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973), 330-372.
7. ———, *An operator valued Yeh-Wiener integral, and a Wiener integral equation*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. (to appear).
8. O. Haugsby, *An operator-valued integral in a function space of continuous vector-valued functions*. Thesis, University of Minnesota, 1972.
9. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.

University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455