

BOUNDS FOR THE COEFFICIENTS OF CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIALS

R. C. Vaughan

1. INTRODUCTION

We define the n th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_n(z)$ by the equation

$$(1) \quad \Phi_n(z) = \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ (r,n)=1}}^n (z - e(r/n)) \quad (e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}),$$

and we write

$$(2) \quad \Phi_n(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\phi(n)} a(m, n) z^m,$$

where ϕ is Euler's function. P. T. Bateman [1] has shown that

$$(3) \quad |a(m, n)| < \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} d(n) \log n\right),$$

where d is the divisor function. P. Erdős has given two proofs [2], [3] of the existence of a positive number c such that for infinitely many natural numbers n ,

$$(4) \quad \log \max_m a(m, n) > \exp\left(\frac{c \log n}{\log \log n}\right).$$

Erdős has asked whether it is possible to take c arbitrarily close to $\log 2$, which would imply that Bateman's result is best possible. In Theorem 1 we give an affirmative answer to this question. In fact, we even show that the choice $c = \log 2$ is permissible.

THEOREM 1. *There are infinitely many natural numbers n such that*

$$(5) \quad \log \max_m a(m, n) > \exp\left(\frac{(\log 2)(\log n)}{\log \log n}\right).$$

Erdős and R. C. Vaughan [4] have shown that

$$(6) \quad |a(m, n)| < \exp((\tau^{1/2} + o(1)) m^{1/2})$$

uniformly in n as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where

Received November 12, 1974.

Michigan Math. J. 21 (1974).

$$\tau = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p(p+1)} \right),$$

and that for every natural number m ,

$$\log \max_n |a(m, n)| \gg \left(\frac{m}{\log m} \right)^{1/2},$$

where \gg is Vinogradov's notation. A modification of the method used to prove Theorem 1 gives a slightly sharper lower bound for infinitely many m .

THEOREM 2. *There are infinitely many natural numbers m such that*

$$(7) \quad \log \max_m |a(m, n)| \gg \frac{m^{1/2}}{(\log m)^{1/4}}.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, χ denotes the quadratic character modulo 5. Also, y is a large real number, and

$$(8) \quad n = \prod_{\substack{p \leq y \\ \chi(p) = -1}} p,$$

where the dash signifies that the prime number 2 is included or excluded in the product according as the number of primes $p \leq y$ with $\chi(p) = -1$ is odd or even. Then

$$(9) \quad \mu(n) = -1$$

where μ is the Möbius function. By (1), when $|z| < 1$,

$$\Phi_n(z) = \prod_{r|n} (z^r - 1)^{\mu(n/r)}.$$

Hence, by (8),

$$|\Phi_n(z)| = \exp \left(-\Re \mu(n) \sum_{r|n} \mu(r) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} z^{mr} \right).$$

Thus, by (9),

$$(10) \quad |\Phi_n(z)| = \exp \left(\Re \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m z^m \right),$$

where

$$(11) \quad c_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r|(m,n)} r \mu(r).$$

Since χ is a primitive character modulo 5 and $\chi(-1) = 1$, we have for every integer m the equation

$$5^{1/2} \chi(m) = \tau(\chi) \chi(m) = \sum_{r=1}^4 \chi(r) e(mr/5),$$

where

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{r=1}^4 \chi(r) e(r/5)$$

is the Gaussian sum. Hence, for every positive real number x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e^{-m/x} \left(e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) - e\left(\frac{2m}{5}\right) - e\left(\frac{3m}{5}\right) + e\left(\frac{4m}{5}\right) \right) \\ (12) \qquad \qquad \qquad = 5^{1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \chi(m) e^{-m/x}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{r=1}^4 e(rm/5) = \begin{cases} 4 & (5 \mid m), \\ -1 & (5 \nmid m). \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (11) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e^{-m/x} \left(e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) + e\left(\frac{2m}{5}\right) + e\left(\frac{3m}{5}\right) + e\left(\frac{4m}{5}\right) \right) \\ (13) \qquad \qquad \qquad = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m (e^{-5m/x} - e^{-m/x}). \end{aligned}$$

Here it is convenient to recall the formula

$$(14) \qquad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-m/x} x^{-\sigma-1} dx = m^{-\sigma} \Gamma(\sigma) \quad (\sigma > 0),$$

where Γ as usual denotes the Gamma function.

By (11), c_m is multiplicative. Hence, by (8),

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m) c_m m^{-\sigma} = L(1 + \sigma, \chi) \prod_{p \mid n} (1 + p^{-\sigma}) \quad (\sigma > 0)$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m m^{-\sigma} = \zeta(1 + \sigma) \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - p^{-\sigma}) \quad (\sigma > 0),$$

where $L(s, \chi)$ is the Dirichlet L-function formed from the character χ , and where ζ is the Riemann zeta function. Hence, by (12), (13), and (14),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty \left(\Re \sum_{m=1}^\infty c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right) x^{-\sigma-1} dx \\
 (15) \quad & = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma(\sigma) \left(5^{1/2} L(1+\sigma, \chi) \prod_{p|n} (1+p^{-\sigma}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - (1-5^{-\sigma}) \zeta(1+\sigma) \prod_{p|n} (1-p^{-\sigma}) \right) \quad (\sigma > 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Suppose that $0 < x \leq 1$. Then, by (11),

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^\infty c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right| \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-m/x} < x.$$

Therefore, by (15), when $0 < \sigma < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{x \geq 1} \left(\Re \sum_{m=1}^\infty c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right) \\
 & > \frac{1}{4} \sigma \Gamma(\sigma) \left(5^{1/2} L(1+\sigma, \chi) \prod_{p|n} (1+p^{-\sigma}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - (1-5^{-\sigma}) \zeta(1+\sigma) \prod_{p|n} (1-p^{-\sigma}) \right) - \frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On taking the limit as σ tends to 0, we find that

$$\sup_{x \geq 1} \left(\Re \sum_{m=1}^\infty c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4} 5^{1/2} L(1, \chi) d(n).$$

Therefore, by (10) and (2),

$$(16) \quad (1 + \phi(n)) \max_m |a(m, n)| \geq \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} 5^{1/2} L(1, \chi) d(n) \right).$$

It is easily verified that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\phi(n)} a(m, n) = \Phi_n(1) = \prod_{p|n} r^{\mu(n/r)} = \exp(\Lambda(n)),$$

where Λ is von Mangoldt's function. Hence, by (16),

$$\max_m a(m, n) > \exp\left(\frac{1}{4} 5^{1/2} L(1, \chi) d(n) - \log(\phi(n)(\phi(n) + 1))\right).$$

We complete the proof of Theorem 1 by observing that by (8) and the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions

$$\sum_{p|n} 1 = \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \left(1 + \frac{1 - \log 2}{\log \log n} + O((\log \log n)^{-2})\right).$$

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let

$$(17) \quad \sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{\log y}$$

and

$$(18) \quad u = \exp((\log y)^{1/4}).$$

Then, by (11),

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right| x^{-\sigma-1} dx \leq \int_0^1 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-m/x} x^{-\sigma-1} dx \leq \int_0^1 2x^{1-\sigma} dx \ll 1,$$

$$\int_1^u \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right| x^{-\sigma-1} dx \leq \int_1^u x^{-\sigma} dx \leq (\log y)^{1/4},$$

and

$$\int_u^{\infty} (\log x)^{-1/2} x^{-\sigma} dx \leq \int_1^y (\log x)^{-1/2} \frac{dx}{x} + (\sigma - 1)^{1/2} \int_1^{\infty} x^{-\sigma} dx = 3(\log y)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by (15),

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & 3(\log y)^{1/2} \sup_{x \geq u} \left(x^{-1} (\log x)^{1/2} \Re \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e\left(\frac{m}{5}\right) e^{-m/x} \right) \\ & > \frac{1}{4} \Gamma(\sigma) \left(5^{1/2} L(1 + \sigma, \chi) \prod_{p|n} (1 + p^{-\sigma}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (1 - 5^{-\sigma}) \zeta(1 + \sigma) \prod_{p|n} (1 - p^{-\sigma}) \right) - 2(\log y)^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

By (17 and (18),

$$\prod_{p|n} (1 + p^{-\sigma}) \gg \exp \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ \chi(p) = -1}} p^{-\sigma} \right) \geq \exp \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ \chi(p) = -1}} p^{-1} (1 - (\sigma - 1) \log p) \right).$$

Hence, by (17) and the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions,

$$\prod_{p|n} (1 + p^{-\sigma}) \gg (\log y)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by (19), there is a real number x , no smaller than u , such that

$$(20) \quad \Re \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e \left(\frac{m}{5} \right) e^{-m/x} \gg x (\log x)^{-1/2}.$$

By (6), there is a positive constant c such that

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq m \leq x} a(m, n) e \left(\frac{m}{5} \right) e^{-m/x} \right| < e^{cx^{1/2}}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{m > cx^2} a(m, n) e \left(\frac{m}{5} \right) e^{-m/x} \right| < \sum_{m > cx^2} e^{-m/2x} < 1.$$

Therefore, by (2), (10), and (20),

$$\log \left| \sum_{x < m \leq cx^2} a(m, n) e \left(\frac{m}{5} \right) e^{-m/x} \right| \gg x (\log x)^{-1/2}.$$

Hence, for an m with $x < m \leq cx^2$,

$$\log (|a(m, n)| e^{-m/x}) \gg x (\log x)^{-1/2}.$$

Therefore, if $x \geq m^{1/2} (\log m)^{1/4}$, then

$$\log |a(m, n)| \gg m^{1/2} (\log m)^{-1/4},$$

and if $x < m^{1/2} (\log m)^{1/4}$, then

$$\log |a(m, n)| > m/x > m^{1/2} (\log m)^{-1/4}.$$

Thus, in either case there is an arbitrarily large natural number m such that

$$\log \max_n |a(m, n)| \gg m^{1/2} (\log m)^{-1/4},$$

as required.

REFERENCES

1. P. T. Bateman, *Note on the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 1180-1181.
2. P. Erdős, *On the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial*. Portugal. Math. 8 (1949), 63-71.
3. ———, *On the growth of the cyclotomic polynomial in the interval (0, 1)*. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 3 (1957), 102-104.
4. P. Erdős and R. C. Vaughan, *Bounds for the r-th coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 8 (1974), 393-400.

Imperial College

London, S. W. 7.

and

The University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

