

CONDUCTORS WITH RESPECT TO HEREDITARY ORDERS

David Sjöstrand

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{o} be a Dedekind ring with quotient field k , let A be a separable, finite-dimensional k -algebra, and let Λ be an \mathfrak{o} -order in A . Let \mathfrak{o}_p , A_p , k_p , Λ_p and so on denote the completions at p , where p is a prime ideal in \mathfrak{o} . If Γ is an \mathfrak{o} -order in A , we denote by $(\Lambda: \Gamma)_r$ the maximal right Γ -ideal in Λ , or equivalently,

$$(\Lambda: \Gamma)_r = \{x \in \Lambda: x\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda\}.$$

This ideal is called the right conductor of Γ in Λ . In a similar way we define the left conductor $(\Lambda: \Gamma)_l$ of Γ in Λ . These conductors are related to properties of $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, N)$, for arbitrary Λ -lattices M and N . Let $\text{cen } \Lambda$ be the center of Λ , and let $J(\Lambda)$ denote the set of elements x in $\text{cent } \Lambda$ that satisfy the condition

$$x \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, N) = 0$$

for every pair of left Λ -lattices M and N . D. G. Higman [4] has proved that $J(\Lambda) \neq 0$. H. Jacobinski [5] has shown that $(\Lambda: \Gamma)_l \cap \text{cen } \Lambda \subseteq J(\Lambda)$ for all hereditary \mathfrak{o} -orders Γ containing Λ . K. W. Roggenkamp [6] has proved that

$$J(\Lambda) \subseteq ((\Lambda: \Gamma)_l \Gamma) \cap \text{cen } \Lambda,$$

for all hereditary \mathfrak{o} -orders Γ containing Λ . Therefore, if there exists a hereditary \mathfrak{o} -order $\Gamma \supseteq \Lambda$ such that the left conductor $(\Lambda: \Gamma)_l$ is a two-sided Γ -ideal, then

$$J(\Lambda) = (\Lambda: \Gamma)_l \cap \text{cen } \Lambda.$$

In a special case, the existence of such an order is known. Let G be a finite group of order n , such that $\text{char } k \nmid n$, $A = kG$, and $\Lambda = \mathfrak{o}G$. Jacobinski [5] has proved that the left conductor $(\Lambda: \Gamma)_l$ is a two-sided Γ -ideal for all \mathfrak{o} -orders Γ containing Λ .

Let Λ be an \mathfrak{o} -order contained in only finitely many maximal orders. In this paper, we shall prove that there exists a hereditary \mathfrak{o} -order Γ containing Λ such that the left conductor $(\Lambda: \Gamma)_l$ of Γ in Λ is a two-sided Γ -ideal. In fact, we shall prove a slightly more general result. Let I be a full ideal in A , that is, a finitely generated \mathfrak{o} -module such that $kI = A$. Let Λ be the left order of I . If Γ is an order, let $(I: \Gamma)_r$ be the maximal right Γ -ideal in I .

THEOREM 1. *Let I be a full ideal in A with left order Λ such that Λ is contained in only finitely many maximal orders. Then there exists a hereditary \mathfrak{o} -order Γ , containing Λ , such that the right conductor $(I: \Gamma)_r$ is a two-sided Γ -ideal.*

Remark 1. By symmetry, a similar result holds for the left conductor.

Received March 6, 1972.

Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973).

Remark 2. If the field k is an algebraic number field or an algebraic function field in one variable over a finite constant field, then the condition that Λ is contained in only finitely many maximal-orders is automatically satisfied.

2. REDUCTION TO THE CASE WHERE A IS A SIMPLE ALGEBRA OVER A p -ADICALLY COMPLETE FIELD

An order Γ is hereditary if and only if Γ_p is hereditary for all prime ideals in \mathfrak{o} . Conductors also localize well; that is, $(I_p : \Gamma_p)_r = ((I : \Gamma)_r)_p$ for every prime ideal p in \mathfrak{o} . An order Λ is contained in only finitely many maximal orders if and only if Λ_p is contained in only finitely many maximal orders, for every prime ideal in \mathfrak{o} . Therefore it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 in the case where A is an algebra over a p -adically complete field. From now on we deal only with this case, and we can omit the subscript p and assume that \mathfrak{o} is a p -adically complete ring. Let e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be the primitive central idempotents of A . If Γ is hereditary, then $e_i \in \Gamma$ and each Γe_i is a hereditary order in Ae_i . The converse holds if

$$\Gamma = \bigoplus_1^n \Gamma e_i, \text{ where each } \Gamma e_i \text{ is hereditary. Now let } \Lambda \text{ be the left order of } I. \text{ Then}$$

clearly $I \cap Ae_i$ admits Λe_i . But $\Delta_i = I \cap Ae_i$ is a full ideal in Ae_i . If Theorem 1 is proved for simple algebras, we can find a hereditary order Γ_i in Ae_i , containing Λe_i , such that the conductor $(\Delta_i : \Gamma_i)_r$ is a two-sided Γ_i -ideal. But then $\Gamma = \bigoplus \Gamma_i$ is hereditary and contains $\bigoplus \Lambda e_i \supseteq \Lambda$, and $(I : \Gamma)_r = \bigoplus (\Delta_i : \Gamma_i)_r$ is a two-sided Γ -ideal. Now we see that it is enough to prove Theorem 1 in the case where A is a simple algebra.

3. HEREDITARY ORDERS

We now mention some known facts about hereditary orders in a simple algebra over a p -adically complete field. Let $A = (D)_t$, where D is a skew field with the unique maximal \mathfrak{o} -order Ω , and let $P = \text{rad } \Omega$. From now on we fix an irreducible left A -module W . This A -module is a right D -module. We denote by W_Ω the set of all right Ω -lattices V in W such that $kV = W$. For every $V \in W_\Omega$, $\text{End}_\Omega(V)$ is a maximal order in A . Conversely, the set $\{\text{End}_\Omega(V) \mid V \in W_\Omega\}$ contains all maximal orders in A . If O is a maximal order in A and $V \in W_\Omega$ is a left O -lattice, then every full right O -ideal I is equal to $\text{Hom}_\Omega(V, U)$, where $U \in W_\Omega$. In fact, $U = IV$, and U is uniquely determined by V . Clearly, $\text{End}_\Omega(U)$ is the left order of I .

LEMMA 2. *If $V_1, V_2, U \in W_\Omega$, then*

(i) $\text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1, U) + \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_2, U) = \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1 \cap V_2, U)$,

(ii) $\text{Hom}_\Omega(U, V_1) + \text{Hom}_\Omega(U, V_2) = \text{Hom}_\Omega(U, V_1 + V_2)$.

Proof. There exists a right D -basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t\}$ of W such that

$$V_1 = \bigoplus_1^t e_i p^{\alpha_i} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \bigoplus_1^t e_i p^{\beta_i}.$$

This implies that there are two right Ω -lattices V_1' and V_2' such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = V_1' \oplus V_2'$ and V_i' is an Ω -direct summand of V_i ($i = 1, 2$). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1 \cap V_2, U) &= \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1', U) \oplus \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_2', U) \\ &\subseteq \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1, U) + \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_2, U). \end{aligned}$$

The reverse inclusion is trivial. The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i).

Now, if M is a finite subset of W_Ω , then

$$\Lambda_M = \{x \in A \mid xV \subseteq V \text{ for all } V \in M\} = \bigcap_{V \in M} \text{End}_\Omega(V).$$

Λ_M is an order. We see that if

$$\overline{M} = \{VP^\alpha \mid V \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

then $\Lambda_M = \Lambda_{\overline{M}}$. Therefore we can always assume that $V \in M$ implies that $VP^\alpha \in M$ for all integers α . If $V_1, V_2 \in M$, then V_1 and V_2 are isomorphic as Λ_M -lattices if and only if $V_1 = V_2 P^\alpha$ for some integer α . By \overline{V} we denote the set $\{VP^\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ an integer}\}$, that is, the isomorphism class of V .

THEOREM 3 (A. Brumer [1] and [2], M. Harada [3]; for a proof, see Jacobinski [6]). (i) *An order Γ in A is hereditary if and only if $\Gamma = \Lambda_M$ for some $M \subseteq W_\Omega$, where M is totally ordered by inclusion and closed under isomorphism.*

(ii) *Let $\Gamma = \Lambda_M$ be hereditary. Then every indecomposable left Γ -lattice is isomorphic to some $V \in M$.*

LEMMA 4. *Let $M \subseteq W_\Omega$ be closed under isomorphism. Then Λ_M is a hereditary order if and only if M satisfies the following condition. For each pair $U, V \in M$, there exists U' isomorphic to U , as left Λ_M -lattice, such that $VP \subseteq U' \subseteq V$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that the condition means that M is totally ordered by inclusion. If M is totally ordered and $U, V \in M$, then the maximal element $U' \in \overline{U}$ such that $U' \subseteq V$ satisfies the condition $VP \subseteq U'$. On the other hand, if V and $U \in M$ and $U \not\subseteq V$, the least integer α such that $UP^\alpha \subseteq V$ is positive. But then $VP \subseteq UP^\alpha \subseteq V$ and thus $V \subseteq UP^{\alpha-1} \subseteq U$. Therefore M is totally ordered by inclusion.

4. CONDUCTORS

Let I be a full ideal in the simple algebra A .

LEMMA 5. *If Γ is a hereditary order and O_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are the maximal orders containing it, then*

$$(I: \Gamma)_r = \sum_i (I: O_i)_r.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, $(I: \Gamma)_r$ is a sum of right O_i -ideals. Thus $(I: \Gamma) \subseteq \sum_i (I: O_i)_r$. Since $\Gamma = \bigcap_i O_i$, we see that $\sum_i (I: O_i)_r$ is a right Γ -ideal, and therefore the opposite inclusion also holds.

Let Λ be the left order of I , and let M be the set of all left Λ -lattices $V \in W_\Omega$. If $V \in M$, then, by (ii) in Lemma 2, there exists a maximal element U of W_Ω such that $\text{Hom}_\Omega(V, U) \subseteq I$. If O is the left order of V , we see that $\text{Hom}_\Omega(V, U)$ is the maximal right O -ideal in I . If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\lambda \text{Hom}(V, U) \subseteq I$, and thus $\lambda \text{Hom}_\Omega(V, U) \subseteq \text{Hom}_\Omega(V, U)$. Therefore U is a left Λ -lattice. We see that the map $B: V \rightarrow U$ takes M into itself. Obviously, B has the property that

$$B(VP^\alpha) = (BV)P^\alpha \quad (\alpha \text{ an integer}).$$

LEMMA 6. *If $V_1, V_2 \in M$, then $B(V_1 \cap V_2) = BV_1 \cap BV_2$.*

Proof. Because $\text{Hom}_\Omega(V_i, BV_1 \cap BV_2) \subseteq \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_i, BV_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$),

$$\text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1 \cap V_2, BV_1 \cap BV_2) = \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_1, BV_1 \cap BV_2) + \text{Hom}_\Omega(V_2, BV_1 \cap BV_2) \subseteq I.$$

We conclude that $BV_1 \cap BV_2 \subseteq B(V_1 \cap V_2)$. The opposite inclusion is an immediate consequence of the definition of B .

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

As before, I is a full ideal in the simple algebra A with left order Λ . If $U, V \in W_\Omega$ are Λ -lattices, then U and V are isomorphic as Λ -lattices if and only if $\text{End}_\Omega(U) = \text{End}_\Omega(V)$. The assumption that the number of maximal orders containing Λ is finite implies that the number of nonisomorphic Λ -lattices in W_Ω is finite. In fact, these numbers are equal. Now take a Λ -lattice T in W_Ω . The sequence $\overline{T}, \overline{BT}, \dots$ must be periodic. We can assume that $T, BT, \dots, B^{m-1}T$ are nonisomorphic but T and $B^m T$ are isomorphic. Therefore $B^m T = TP^\alpha$ for some integer α . Now we define a Λ -lattice V in W_Ω by the formula

$$V = \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} B^i T P^{\{-i\alpha/m\}},$$

where $B^0 T = T$ and $\{-i\alpha/m\}$ is the least integer that is not less than $-i\alpha/m$. From Lemma 5 we get the relation

$$BV = BT \cap B^2 T P^{\{-\alpha/m\}} \cap \dots \cap B^m T P^{\{-(m-1)\alpha/m\}}.$$

But

$$B^m T P^{\{-i(m-1)\alpha/m\}} = T P^{\alpha + \{-(m-1)\alpha/m\}} = T P^{\{\alpha/m\}},$$

and therefore $BV = \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} B^i T P^{\{(1-i)\alpha/m\}}$. By induction, we find that

$$B^\mu V = \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} B^i T P^{\{(\mu-i)\alpha/m\}}.$$

If x and y are real numbers, then $\{x+y\} \leq \{x\} + \{y\} \leq \{x+y\} + 1$, and this implies that

$$\{(\nu - i)\alpha/m\} \leq \{(\nu - \mu)\alpha/m\} + \{(\mu - i)\alpha/m\} \leq \{(\nu - i)\alpha/m\} + 1,$$

where ν and μ are nonnegative integers. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} B^i \text{TP} \{(\nu-i) \alpha/m\} &\supseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} B^i \text{TP} \{(\nu-\mu) \alpha/m\} + \{(\mu-i) \alpha/m\} \\ &\supseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} B^i \text{TP} \{(\nu-i) \alpha/m\} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and this is equivalent to

$$(1) \quad B^\nu V \supseteq B^\mu V P \{(\nu-\mu) \alpha/m\} \supseteq B^\nu V P.$$

The sequence $\overline{V}, \overline{BV}, \dots$ must be periodic, and we can assume that $V, BV, \dots, B^n V$ are nonisomorphic and V and $B^{n+1}V$ are isomorphic. Put $M = \bigcup_{i=0}^n \overline{B^i V}$. By Lemma 4 and (1), we conclude that $\Gamma = \Lambda_M$ is a hereditary order. Let O_i be the left order of $B^i V$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Then

$$\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=0}^n O_i \quad \text{and} \quad (I: \Gamma)_r = \sum_{i=0}^n (I: O_i)_r,$$

by Lemma 5. But the left order of $(I: O_i)_r$ is O_{i+1} for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and the left order of $(I: O_n)_r$ is O_0 . Therefore $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=0}^n O_i$ is contained in the left order of $(I: \Gamma)_r = \sum_{i=0}^n (I: O_i)_r$. Finally, Γ contains Λ , because all the $B^i V$ are Λ -lattices. Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

REFERENCES

1. A. Brumer, *The structure of hereditary orders*. Dissertation, Princeton, 1963.
2. ———, *Structure of hereditary orders*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 721-724. Addendum 70 (1964), 185.
3. M. Harada, *Hereditary orders*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1963), 273-290.
4. D. G. Higman, *On orders in separable algebras*. Canad. J. Math. 7 (1955), 509-515.
5. H. Jacobinski, *On extensions of lattices*. Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 471-475.
6. ———, *Two remarks about hereditary orders*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971), 1-8.
7. K. W. Roggenkamp, *Projective homomorphisms and extensions of lattices*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 246 (1971), 41-45.

Chalmers University of Technology
 and
 University of Göteborg
 Göteborg, Sweden

