

# SUMMING SEQUENCES FOR AMENABLE SEMIGROUPS

Steven A. Douglass

In a wide variety of settings, the special set  $\sigma_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  enters into computations involving the additive semigroup  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  of nonnegative integers. In this paper, we identify the significant mathematical properties of the sequence  $\{\sigma_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and we show that if  $G$  is a countable, cancellative, amenable semigroup, then there exists a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of finite subsets of  $G$  possessing exactly these properties. In Section 3, we examine some examples and obtain miscellaneous properties.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $G$  be a semigroup, and let  $m(G)$  denote the Banach space of bounded, real-valued functions on  $G$  endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup \{ |f(g)| : g \in G \}.$$

We shall also be interested in the subspace  $\ell_1(G)$  consisting of the functions  $f$  in  $m(G)$  with finite  $\ell_1$ -norm  $\|f\|_1 = \sum \{ |f(g)| : g \in G \} < \infty$ . Endowed with the convolution

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \sum \{ f_1(h') f_2(h'') : h' h'' = g \},$$

$\ell_1(G)$  is a real Banach algebra.

A *weight* on  $G$  is a nonnegative function  $\phi$  in  $\ell_1(G)$  having finite support and such that  $\|\phi\|_1 = 1$ . A *simple weight* on  $G$  is a weight  $\phi$  that is constant on its support; that is,  $\phi$  is a simple weight provided  $\phi = |A|^{-1} \chi_A$ , where  $A$ ,  $|A|$ , and  $\chi_A$  denote the support of  $\phi$ , the number of elements in the support, and its characteristic function. We denote the collection of all weights by  $\Phi$ , the collection of all simple weights by  $\Phi_s$ . For simplicity, given a  $g$  in  $G$ , we denote by  $g$  the simple weight with support  $\{g\}$ .

A *mean* on  $G$  is a real linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $m(G)$  such that for each  $f$  in  $m(G)$ ,

$$\inf \{ f(g) : g \in G \} \leq \Lambda(f) \leq \sup \{ f(g) : g \in G \}.$$

Clearly, a mean  $\Lambda$  is a positive linear functional such that  $\Lambda(1) = 1$ , where  $1$  denotes the function  $1(g) = 1$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ . If  $g$  is in  $G$  and  $f$  is in  $m(G)$ , then  ${}^g f$  and  $f^g$  are functions in  $m(G)$  defined by the equations

$${}^g f(h) = f(gh) \quad \text{and} \quad f^g(h) = f(hg),$$

respectively. A mean  $\Lambda$  on  $G$  is said to be *left [right] invariant* if  $\Lambda({}^g f) = \Lambda(f)$  [if  $\Lambda(f^g) = \Lambda(f)$ ] for all  $g$  in  $G$  and all  $f$  in  $m(G)$ . Finally,  $G$  is said to be *amenable* if a left invariant mean and a right invariant mean exist on  $G$ .

---

Received May 31, 1972.

Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973).

## 2. SUMMING SEQUENCES

M. M. Day [1] has proved that  $G$  is amenable if and only if there exists a net  $\{\phi_\alpha\}$  in  $\Phi$  such that, for every  $g$  in  $G$ ,

$$\lim \phi_\alpha * g - \phi_\alpha = \lim g * \phi_\alpha - \phi_\alpha = 0,$$

where the limit is taken in either the weak or the uniform topology on  $\ell_1(G)$ . We shall use this result, together with the following two lemmas of I. Namioka [2], to prove the existence in each countable, cancellative, amenable semigroup  $G$  of a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of finite subsets of  $G$  such that

$$(i) S_n \subset S_{n+1} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(ii) G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n,$$

$$(iii) \text{ for each } g \text{ in } G, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n g \cap S_n| / |S_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g S_n \cap S_n| / |S_n| = 1.$$

A sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of finite subsets of  $G$  having these properties will be called a *summing sequence* for  $G$ . Elsewhere, we shall examine several applications of summing sequences that give rise to a general concept of Cesàro summability. In all that follows,  $G$  is an amenable semigroup.

**LEMMA 2.1** (Namioka).  *$\Phi$  is the convex hull of  $\Phi_g$ ; in particular, for each  $\phi$  in  $\Phi$ , there exist finite subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of  $G$  and positive numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , such that*

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \supset A_n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1, \quad \text{and } \phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \phi_j,$$

where  $\phi_j$  is the simple weight having support  $A_j$ .

**LEMMA 2.2** (Namioka). *If  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \phi_j$  is the decomposition of a weight described in Lemma 2.1, then for each  $g$  in  $G$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\phi * g - \phi\|_1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j |A_j g \setminus A_j| / |A_j|$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|g * \phi - \phi\|_1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j |g A_j \setminus A_j| / |A_j|.$$

Because in [2] Namioka dealt only with right amenability, and because we want the full strength of two-sided amenability, we include a modified version of Namioka's elegant proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3** (E. Følner and A. Frey, Jr.). *Let  $G$  be an amenable semigroup. For each finite subset  $B$  of  $G$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $S$  of  $G$  such that*

$$|Sg \setminus S| < \varepsilon |S| \quad \text{and} \quad |gS \setminus S| < \varepsilon |S|$$

for all  $g$  in  $B$ .

*Proof* (Namioka [2]). Let  $B = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$ . Day's theorem enables us to select a  $\phi$  in  $\Phi$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|\phi * g_i - \phi\|_1 < \varepsilon/2k \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_i * \phi - \phi\|_1 < \varepsilon/2k$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . By Lemma 2.1, the weight  $\phi$  has a convex linear decomposition  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \phi_j$ , where the  $\phi_j$  are simple weights whose supports  $A_j$  satisfy the condition  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \supset A_n$ . The inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) are then valid for all  $g$  in  $G$ .

Define a measure  $\mu$  on the collection of subsets  $I$  of  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by defining  $\mu(I) = \left\{ \sum \lambda_j : j \in I \right\}$ . By convention,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ; also,  $\mu(N) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1$ , since the  $\lambda_j$  are the coefficients in a convex linear combination. Now, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , define

$$I_i = \{j \in N : [ |A_j g_i \setminus A_j| + |g_i A_j \setminus A_j| ] / |A_j| < \varepsilon \}.$$

Then, applying (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3), we see that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon/k &> \|\phi * g_i - \phi\|_1 + \|g_i * \phi - \phi\|_1 \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j [ |A_j g_i \setminus A_j| + |g_i A_j \setminus A_j| ] / |A_j| \geq \varepsilon \sum_{j \notin I_i} \lambda_j = \varepsilon \mu(N \setminus I_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu(N \setminus I_i) < 1/k$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Consequently,

$$\mu \left( N \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^k I_i \right) = \mu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (N \setminus I_i) \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(N \setminus I_i) < k/k = 1,$$

so that  $\mu \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k I_i \right) > 0$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k I_i \neq \emptyset$ . Pick any  $j_0$  in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k I_i$ . Then the subset  $S = A_{j_0}$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

The second property of a summing sequence  $\{S_n\}$  --to wit, that each finite subset  $C$  of  $G$  is contained in  $S_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$  --will be a direct consequence of the following improvement of Theorem 2.3. The improvement results from the hypothesis that  $G$  has both right and left cancellation.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a cancellative, amenable semigroup. Let  $B$  and  $C$  be any two finite subsets of  $G$ , and let  $r$  be any number ( $0 < r < 1$ ). Then there exists a finite subset  $S$  of  $G$  such that  $S \supseteq C$  and*

$$|Sg \cap S| > r |S| \quad \text{and} \quad |gS \cap S| > r |S|$$

for all  $g$  in  $B$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $G$  is infinite. Since  $0 < r < 1$ , we can choose an  $n$  such that  $n > r |C| / (1 - r)$ , that is,  $r(1 + |C|/n) < 1$ .

Select a finite subset  $B_1$  of  $G$  such that  $B_1 \supseteq B$  and  $|B_1| \geq n^2$ . Let

$$\varepsilon = 1 - r(1 + |C|/n) > 0.$$

By Theorem 2.3, there exists a finite subset  $S_1$  of  $G$  such that

$$|S_1 g \setminus S_1| < \varepsilon |S_1| \quad \text{and} \quad |g S_1 \setminus S_1| < \varepsilon |S_1|$$

for all  $g$  in  $B_1$ .

Now  $S_1 g$  is the disjoint union of  $S_1 g \setminus S_1$  and  $S_1 g \cap S_1$ , so that

$$|S_1 g| = |S_1 g \setminus S_1| + |S_1 g \cap S_1|.$$

On the other hand, right cancellation in  $G$  implies that  $|S_1 g| = |S_1|$ . Therefore

$$|S_1| = |S_1 g \setminus S_1| + |S_1 g \cap S_1| < \varepsilon |S_1| + |S_1 g \cap S_1|$$

for all  $g$  in  $B_1$ . That is,

$$|S_1 g \cap S_1| > (1 - \varepsilon)|S_1| = r(1 + |C|/n)|S_1| \quad (g \in B_1).$$

Similarly,

$$|g S_1 \cap S_1| > r(1 + |C|/n)|S_1| \quad (g \in B_1).$$

For each  $g$  in  $B_1$ , the set  $S_1 g \cap S_1$  is not empty, and therefore there is at least one ordered pair  $(h_1, h_2)$  in  $S_1 \times S_1$  such that  $h_1 g = h_2$ . An ordered pair  $(h_1, h_2)$  cannot correspond to different elements  $g$  and  $g'$  of  $B_1$ , for if  $h_1 g = h_2 = h_1 g'$ , then the left-cancellation law for  $G$  implies that  $g = g'$ . Consequently,  $|B_1| \leq |S_1 \times S_1| = |S_1|^2$ . Since  $B_1$  was chosen so that  $|B_1| \geq n^2$ , it follows that  $|S_1| \geq n$ .

Finally, put  $S = S_1 \cup C$  to obtain the set promised by the theorem. For each  $g$  in  $B \subseteq B_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |Sg \cap S| &\geq |S_1 g \cap S_1| > r(1 + |C|/n)|S_1| \geq r(1 + |C|/|S_1|)|S_1| \\ &= r(|S_1| + |C|) \geq r|S|. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,  $|gS \cap S| > r|S|$  for each  $g$  in  $B$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a countable, cancellative, amenable semigroup. Then there exists a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of finite subsets of  $G$  such that  $S_n \subseteq S_{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ , and for each  $g$  in  $G$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n g \cap S_n|/|S_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g S_n \cap S_n|/|S_n| = 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is countable,  $G$  can be written as the union  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  of finite sets such that  $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Select any finite set  $S_1 \supseteq B_1$ . Having picked  $S_{n-1}$ , we are entitled by Theorem 2.4 to choose a finite set  $S_n \supseteq C_n = S_{n-1} \cup B_n$  such that

$$|S_n g \cap S_n| > (1 - 1/n)|S_n| \quad \text{and} \quad |g S_n \cap S_n| > (1 - 1/n)|S_n|$$

for all  $g$  in  $B_n$ . The sequence  $\{S_n\}$  so chosen will have all the desired properties.

### 3. EXAMPLES

The sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  of finite subsets of integers, where

$$\sigma_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\},$$

is a familiar summing sequence giving rise to the classical Cesàro method of summability. It is worthwhile to note that  $(\mathbb{Z}^+, +)$  has many other summing sequences as well. For example, let  $F = \{0, 1, k\}$ , and let  $F^n$  denote  $F + F + \dots + F$  ( $n$  summands). Then, for  $n \geq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F^n = \{ & 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, (n - k + 3)k - 2, \\ & (n - k + 3)k, (n - k + 3)k + 1, \dots, (n - k + 4)k - 3, \\ & (n - k + 4)k, (n - k + 4)k + 1, \dots, (n - k + 5)k - 4, \\ & \dots \\ & (n - k + p + 3)k, (n - k + p + 3)k + 1, \dots, [(n - k + p + 4)k - (p + 3)], \dots \\ & \dots \\ & (n - 1)k, (n - 1)k + 1, nk \}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(3.1) \quad F^n = \sigma_{(n-k+3)k-1} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} [\sigma_i + (n - i + 1)k] \quad (\text{disjoint}).$$

Clearly  $F^n \subset F^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F^n$ , and

$$|F^n| = (n - k + 3)k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} i = (n - k + 3)k - 1 + \frac{1}{2}(k - 2)(k - 1).$$

To show that  $\{F^n\}$  is a summing sequence, we need merely show that if  $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , then  $|(j_0 + F^n) \cap F^n| > r |F^n|$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Referring to (3.1), we see that

$$(j_0 + F^n) \cap F^n \supset (j_0 + \sigma_m) \cap \sigma_m = j_0 + \sigma_{m-j_0},$$

where  $m = (n - k + 3)k - 1$ . Consequently,  $|(j_0 + F^n) \cap F^n| > (n - k + 3)k - 1 - j_0$ . Finally, because  $0 < r < 1$ , we have the inequality

$$(n - k + 3)k - 1 - j_0 > r \left\{ (n - k + 3)k - 1 + \frac{1}{2}(k - 2)(k - 1) \right\} = r |F^n|$$

whenever

$$n > k - 3 + \left\{ 1 + j_0 - r \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2}(k - 2)(k - 1) \right] \right\} [(1 - r)k]^{-1}.$$

Consequently,  $\{F^n\}$  is a summing sequence. We can use a similar argument to verify that if  $F = \{0, 1, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$ , then  $\{F^n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $(Z^+, +)$ .

In general, let  $\{S_n\}$  be any summing sequence for  $(Z^+, +)$ . The structure of  $S_n$  for large  $n$  is revealed as follows: fix any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $m \geq 1$ . By the summing-sequence property,

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n) \right| > (1 - \varepsilon) |S_n|$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Note that  $k \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n)$  if and only if  $\{k - (m - 1), k - (m - 2), \dots, k - 1, k\} \subset S_n$ . Therefore

$$S_n = (i_1 + \sigma_m) \cup (i_2 + \sigma_m) \cup \dots \cup (i_s + \sigma_m) \cup R_n \quad (\text{disjoint}),$$

where  $R_n = S_n \setminus \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n)$ . To see this, let  $k_1$  be the largest integer in  $\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n)$  and put  $i_1 = k_1 - m + 1$ , so that  $i_1 + \sigma_m \subset S_n$ . Pick  $k_2$  to be the largest integer in  $\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n)$  that is less than  $i_1$ , and put  $i_2 = k_2 - m + 1$ . Continue this process until  $\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n)$  is decomposed into the disjoint union  $\bigcup_{p=1}^s (i_p + \sigma_m)$ . Then

$$|R_n| = \left| S_n \setminus \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n) \right| = |S_n| - \left| \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} (j + S_n) \right| < \varepsilon |S_n|,$$

by (3.2). To recapitulate, to each  $m \geq 1$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there corresponds an  $n(m, \varepsilon) \in Z^+$  such that for each  $n > n(m, \varepsilon)$  there exists a finite set  $F = F(n) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$  such that

$$\left| S_n \setminus \bigcup_{p=1}^s (i_p + \sigma_m) \right| = |R_n| < \varepsilon |S_n| \quad \text{and} \quad |F| |\sigma_m| < (1 + \varepsilon) |S_n|.$$

This property motivates the following definition.

*Definition 3.1.* Let  $G$  be a countable, amenable semigroup with two summing sequences  $\{S_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$ . We say that the sequence  $\{S_n\}$  *nearly divides* the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  if, to each  $m \geq 1$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there corresponds an  $n(m, \varepsilon)$  such that, for each  $n \geq n(m, \varepsilon)$ , there exists a finite set  $F = F(n) \subset G$  such that

$$(i) \quad \left| T_n \setminus \bigcup_{g \in F} g S_m \right| < \varepsilon |T_n|$$

and

$$(ii) \quad |F| |S_m| < (1 + \varepsilon) |T_n|.$$

Clearly, (i) says that  $T_n$  is “almost covered” by left translates of  $S_m$ ; a moment’s reflection will suffice to confirm that (ii) says that the translates are almost disjoint. We have proved above that the classical summing sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  nearly divides each summing sequence for  $(Z^+, +)$ .

*Definition 3.2.* A summing sequence that nearly divides itself will be called *nearly divisible*.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\{S_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  be two summing sequences for  $G$ , and let each nearly divide the other. Then each of the sequences is nearly divisible.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , select  $\varepsilon'$  so that  $0 < \varepsilon' < \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} - 1$ , and choose a positive integer  $m$ . Since  $\{S_n\}$  nearly divides  $\{T_n\}$ , there exists an  $n(m, \varepsilon')$  such that  $p \geq n(m, \varepsilon')$  entails the existence of a finite set  $F = F(p) \subset G$  satisfying the conditions

$$(3.3) \quad \left| T_p \setminus \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m \right| < \varepsilon' |T_p|$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad |F| |S_m| < (1 + \varepsilon') |T_p|.$$

Fix  $p \geq n(m, \varepsilon')$  and the set  $F$ . Since  $\{T_n\}$  also nearly divides  $\{S_n\}$ , there exists an  $n(p, \varepsilon')$  such that for  $r \geq n(p, \varepsilon')$  we can pick a finite set  $E = E(r)$  in  $G$  satisfying the conditions

$$(3.5) \quad \left| S_r \setminus \bigcup_{h \in E} hT_p \right| < \varepsilon' |S_r|$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad |E| |T_p| < (1 + \varepsilon') |S_r|.$$

Fix  $r \geq n(p, \varepsilon')$  and  $E$ . Let  $D = EF$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{x \in D} xS_m = \bigcup_{h \in E} h \left( \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m \right).$$

Write

$$\bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m = (T_p \setminus A_p) \cup B_p,$$

where

$$A_p = T_p \setminus \left( \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m \right) \quad \text{and} \quad B_p = \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m \setminus T_p.$$

Because  $h(T_p \setminus A_p) \subseteq h\left(\bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m\right)$  for all  $h$ , we have the relation

$$\bigcup_{h \in E} h(T_p \setminus A) \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in E} h \left( \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_m \right) = \bigcup_{x \in D} xS_m,$$

and consequently

$$S_r \setminus \bigcup_{x \in D} xS_m \subseteq S_r \setminus \bigcup_{h \in E} h(T_p \setminus A_p).$$

Applying (3.3), (3.5), and (3.6), we obtain the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S_r \setminus \bigcup_{x \in D} xS_m \right| &\leq \left| S_r \setminus \bigcup_{h \in E} hT_p \right| + \left| \bigcup_{h \in E} hA_p \right| \\ &< \varepsilon' |S_r| + |E| |A_p| < \varepsilon' |S_r| + |E| \varepsilon' |T_p| \\ &< \varepsilon' |S_r| + \varepsilon' (1 + \varepsilon') |S_r| = \varepsilon' (2 + \varepsilon') |S_r| < \varepsilon |S_r|. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part,

$$|D| |S_m| \leq |E| |F| |S_m| < |E| (1 + \varepsilon') |T_p| < (1 + \varepsilon')^2 |S_r| < (1 + \varepsilon) |S_r|,$$

by (3.4) and (3.6). Hence  $\{S_n\}$  is nearly divisible.

As a further example, let  $G_1 = G_2 = \dots = G_r = (\mathbb{Z}^+, +)$ , and put  $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_r$ . Then  $G$  is a countable, amenable semigroup. If  $S_n = \sigma_n \times \sigma_n \times \dots \times \sigma_n$  ( $r$  factors), then  $\{S_n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $G$  that nearly divides each other summing sequence.

An example of a summing sequence for an amenable semigroup with an infinite set of generators is provided by the multiplicative semigroup  $G$  of positive integers. The generating set  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  of positive prime integers provides the elements with which we can methodically construct  $S_n$ . Specifically, for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$S_n = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{k_i} : 0 \leq k_i \leq n \right\}.$$

We see immediately that  $S_n \subset S_{n+1} \uparrow G$ . The summing-sequence property is established as follows: let  $g$  be any integer in  $G$  with prime factorization  $\prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{m_i}$ , where the  $m_i$  denote nonnegative integers. When  $n \geq \max\{s, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s\}$ , we have the formula

$$gS_n \cap S_n = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{t_i} \prod_{i=s+1}^n p_i^{k_i} : \begin{aligned} &m_i \leq t_i \leq n \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, s; \\ &0 \leq k_i \leq n \text{ for } i = s + 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Hence, if  $0 < r < 1$ , we can pick  $n$  so that

$$n^2 + n > (1 - r)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$$

as well. Then



$$|gS_n \cap S_n| = n^2 + n - \sum_{i=1}^s m_i > rn(n+1) = r|S_n|.$$

Therefore,  $\{S_n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $G$ . We leave it to the reader to confirm that  $\{S_n\}$  nearly divides each summing sequence for  $G$ .

By reexamining the summing sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  for  $(\mathbb{Z}^+, +)$ , we shall identify a further property of some summing sequences. Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$ , that  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers ( $n > m/\varepsilon$ ), and that  $\pi$  is a function mapping  $\sigma_n$  into  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$ . We shall show that we can select a finite set  $F = F(n, \pi)$  such that

- (i)  $(k + \sigma_{\pi(k)}) \subseteq \sigma_n$  for each  $k \in F$ ,
- (ii)  $(j + \sigma_{\pi(j)}) \cap (k + \sigma_{\pi(k)}) = \emptyset$  for all  $j$  and  $k$  in  $F$  ( $j \neq k$ ),

and

$$(iii) \left| \sigma_n \setminus \bigcup_{k \in F} (k + \sigma_{\pi(k)}) \right| < \varepsilon |\sigma_n|.$$

To see this, let  $k_1 = 0$ , so that  $k_1 + \sigma_{\pi(k_1)} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \pi(k_1) - 1\}$ . Let  $k_2 = \pi(k_1)$ , so that  $k_2 + \sigma_{\pi(k_2)} = \{\pi(k_1), \pi(k_1) + 1, \dots, \pi(k_1) + \pi(k_2) - 1\}$ . Let  $k_3 = \pi(k_1) + \pi(k_2)$ . Continue the procedure, picking  $k_{j+1} = \sum_{i=1}^j \pi(k_i)$  until  $n - m < \sum_{i=1}^t \pi(k_i) \leq n$ , and put  $F = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t\}$ . Then  $F$  has the required properties.

*Definition 3.4.* A summing sequence  $\{S_n\}$  for a countable amenable semigroup  $G$  is said to be *left-uniform* if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every positive integer  $m$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0 = n_0(m, \varepsilon)$  such that to every  $n \geq n_0$  and every function  $\pi$  mapping  $S_n$  into  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  there corresponds a finite set  $F = F(n, \pi)$  in  $S_n$  such that

- (i)  $gS_{\pi(g)} \subseteq S_n$  for each  $g$  in  $F$ ,
- (ii)  $gS_{\pi(g)} \cap hS_{\pi(h)} = \emptyset$  for all  $g, h$  in  $F$  ( $g \neq h$ ),
- (iii)  $\left| S_n \setminus \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_{\pi(g)} \right| < \varepsilon |S_n|.$

The property of being left-uniform places stringent requirements on the summing sequence; our next example provides a summing sequence (other than  $\{\sigma_n\}$ ) that is left-uniform.

Let  $G$  be a countable, locally finite group, that is, a countable group each of whose finite subsets generates a finite subgroup. It is well known that  $G$  is amenable. To construct a summing sequence for  $G$ , let  $\{F_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of  $G$ , with  $F_1 = \{e\}$ , and such that  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Let  $S_n$  be the finite subgroup generated by  $F_n$ . It is easy to see that  $\{S_n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $G$ .

The proof that  $\{S_n\}$  is left-uniform requires some labor. Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers ( $n \geq m$ ), and let  $\pi$  be any function mapping  $S_n$  into  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Note first that  $gS_{\pi(g)} \subseteq S_n$  for each  $g$  in  $S_n$ , since  $gS_{\pi(g)}$  is a left coset of the subgroup  $S_{\pi(g)}$  of  $S_n$ . Note also that if  $g$  and  $h$  are in  $S_n$  and  $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$ , then either  $gS_{\pi(g)} = hS_{\pi(h)}$  or  $gS_{\pi(g)} \cap hS_{\pi(h)} = \emptyset$ , since these sets are left cosets of the same subgroup. Now, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , define

$$A_k = \{g \in S_n : \pi(g) = k\},$$

so that  $S_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k$  (disjoint). Let

$$\mathcal{B}_m = \{B : B \subseteq A_m ; g, h \in B, g \neq h \Rightarrow gS_m \cap hS_m = \emptyset\},$$

and pick  $B_m$  in  $\mathcal{B}_m$  so that  $|B_m|$  is maximal. We observe that

$$A_m \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in B_m} gS_m;$$

for if there were a  $g_0$  in  $A_m \setminus \bigcup_{g \in B_m} gS_m$ , then  $B'_m = \{g_0\} \cup B_m$  would be a subset of  $A_m$ , and if  $h$  were in  $B_m$ , then the relation  $hS_m = g_0S_m$  would require that  $g_0 \in hS_m \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in B_m} gS_m$ , a contradiction. Therefore it can only be that  $hS_m \cap g_0S_m = \emptyset$  and  $B'_m$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_m$ . But this contradicts the maximality of  $|B_m|$ . Therefore  $A_m \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in B_m} gS_m$ .

Next, let

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-1} = \left\{ B : B \subseteq A_{m-1} \setminus \bigcup_{g \in B_m} gS_m ; g, h \in B, g \neq h \Rightarrow gS_{m-1} \cap hS_{m-1} = \emptyset \right\},$$

and choose a  $B_{m-1}$  in  $\mathcal{B}_{m-1}$  such that  $|B_{m-1}|$  is maximal.

For each  $g$  in  $B_m$  and  $h$  in  $B_{m-1}$ , the set  $gS_m \cap hS_{m-1}$  must be empty, for otherwise  $gS_m \cap hS_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$ , so that  $gS_m = hS_{m-1}$  and  $h \in \bigcup_{g \in B_m} gS_m$ , contrary to the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_{m-1}$ . Furthermore,

$$A_{m-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=m-1}^m \bigcup_{g \in B_i} gS_i,$$

as the proof above with minor modifications will show.

Continue the procedure; for  $k = m - 1, m - 2, \dots, 2, 1$ , select a set  $B_k \subseteq A_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=k+1}^m \bigcup_{g \in B_i} gS_i$  that satisfies the conditions

$$gS_k \cap hS_j = \emptyset \quad \text{whenever } g \in B_k, h \in B_j \text{ (} g \neq h \text{ and } k \leq j \leq m)$$

and

$$A_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i=k}^m \bigcup_{g \in B_i} gS_i.$$

Put  $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_k$ . Then

(i)  $gS_{\pi(g)} \subseteq S_n$  for each  $g$  in  $F$ ,

(ii)  $gS_{\pi(g)} \cap hS_{\pi(h)} = \emptyset$  for all  $g, h \in F$  ( $g \neq h$ ),

and

(iii)  $S_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{g \in B_i} gS_i = \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_{\pi(g)} \subseteq S_n.$

Consequently, equality holds and  $|S_n \setminus \bigcup_{g \in F} gS_{\pi(g)}| = 0$ . Therefore  $\{S_n\}$  is left-uniform.

It is easy to see that the same summing sequence nearly divides each summing sequence of finite subgroups of  $G$ .

As a final example, suppose that  $G$  is a finitely generated amenable semigroup with identity  $e$  and that  $\{S_n\}$  is a sequence of finite subsets of  $G$  such that  $S_n \subseteq S_{n+1} \uparrow G$ . It is easy to see that  $\{S_n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $G$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gS_n \setminus S_n|/|S_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n g \setminus S_n|/|S_n| = 0,$$

for each  $g$  in each generating set  $F$ . From this it follows immediately that if  $F$  is a finite generating set for  $G$  that contains  $e$ , then  $\{F^n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $G$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F^{n+1}|/|F^n| = 1,$$

or equivalently, if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F^{n+1} \setminus F^n|/|F^n| = 0.$$

For example, let  $G$  be the free product of two groups of order 2, and let  $a$  and  $b$  denote the generators of the two groups. This is the only nontrivial free product of groups that is amenable. Let  $F = \{e, a, b\}$ . Then  $|F^{n+1}| = |F^n| + 2$ , hence  $\{F^n\}$  is a summing sequence for  $G$ .

REFERENCES

1. M. M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*. Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 509-544.
2. I. Namioka, *Følner's conditions for amenable semi-groups*. Math. Scand. 15 (1964), 18-28.

University of California, Davis, California  
and

Southern Oregon College, Ashland, Oregon 97520

