

# HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE AND DIFFERENTIABLE PSEUDO-FREE CIRCLE ACTIONS ON HOMOTOPY SPHERES

Deane Montgomery and C. T. Yang

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with differentiable pseudo-free circle actions on homotopy spheres, and the main result shows that each such action on a homotopy  $(2n + 1)$ -sphere ( $n \geq 1$ ) may be mapped equivariantly, by a map of degree 1, onto a linear one on the  $(2n + 1)$ -sphere with exactly one exceptional orbit. For the case  $n = 1$ , this is an easy consequence of a theorem of R. Jacoby [2], and for the case  $n = 3$ , it is contained in an earlier paper of Montgomery and Yang, though by a different proof [3]. The result will be used in a forthcoming paper to classify pseudo-free circle actions on spheres.

Except where it is contrarily stated, our study below is assumed to be in the differentiable category.

Let  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) be a homotopy  $(2n + 1)$ -sphere on which there is a differentiable effective action of the circle group  $G$  such that all orbits are 1-dimensional. As usual, an orbit  $Gb$  in  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  is called *exceptional* if the isotropy group  $G_b$  at  $b$  is not trivial. If there is at least one exceptional orbit and each exceptional orbit is isolated, the action is called *pseudo-free*. Suppose that a differentiable pseudo-free action of the circle group  $G$  on a homotopy  $(2n + 1)$ -sphere ( $n \geq 1$ ) is given, and let  $Gb_1, \dots, Gb_k$  be the exceptional orbits in  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the isotropy group  $G_{b_i}$  at  $b_i$  is a finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  of order  $q_i$  for some integer  $q_i > 1$ , and the integers  $q_1, \dots, q_k$  are relatively prime to one another. In the following, we let

$$q = q_1 \cdots q_k,$$

which is an integer greater than 1.

Let  $G$  consist of complex numbers of absolute value 1, and let  $S^{2n+1}$  be the unit sphere in the unitary  $(n + 1)$ -space  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Then there exists a linear pseudo-free action of  $G$  on  $S^{2n+1}$ , given by the equation

$$g(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = (g^q z_0, g z_1, \dots, g z_n).$$

Since  $q > 1$ , there exists exactly one exceptional orbit in  $S^{2n+1}$ , namely  $|z_0| = 1$ . The main theorem of this paper asserts the existence of an equivariant map of  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  into  $S^{2n+1}$  of degree  $\pm 1$ . (For the determination of the sign, see Theorem 2.) Notice that such a map induces a homotopy equivalence of the orbit space  $\Sigma^{2n+1}/G$  into the orbit space  $S^{2n+1}/G$ .

---

Received December 21, 1972.

C. T. Yang was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973).

Whenever  $G$  acts on a space  $X$ , we let  $\pi$  be the projection of  $X$  onto the orbit space  $X/G$ , and for each  $A \subset X$ , we let

$$A^* = \pi(A);$$

in particular, we let

$$\Sigma^* = \pi(\Sigma^{2n+1}), \quad S^* = \pi(S^{2n+1}).$$

Let  $D_i$  be a slice at  $b_i$  that is a closed  $(2n)$ -disk of center  $b_i$  and on which  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  acts orthogonally. Then we can identify  $D_i$  with the closed unit disk in the unitary  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , so that for some integers  $r_{i,1}, \dots, r_{i,n}$  the action of  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  on  $D_i$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (g^{r_{i,1}} z_1, \dots, g^{r_{i,n}} z_n).$$

We note that each  $r_{i,j}$  may be replaced by any integer congruent to  $r_{i,j}$  or  $-r_{i,j}$  modulo  $q_i$ . Since  $Gb_i$  is an isolated exceptional orbit,

$$r_i = r_{i,1} \cdots r_{i,n}$$

is an integer with  $(q_i, r_i) = 1$ . Therefore

$$\partial D_i^* = \partial D_i / \mathbb{Z}q_i = L^{2n-1}(q_i; r_{i,1}, \dots, r_{i,n})$$

is a  $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional lens space and  $D_i^*$  is a cone of vertex  $b_i^*$  over  $\partial D_i^*$ .

In the remainder of the paper, we shall let  $D_i$  be oriented as follows. Let  $\mathbb{C}^\ell$  be oriented so that its orientation is represented by the real coordinate system  $(z_1 + \bar{z}_1, -\sqrt{-1}(z_1 - \bar{z}_1), \dots, z_\ell + \bar{z}_\ell, -\sqrt{-1}(z_\ell - \bar{z}_\ell))$ , and let

$$D^{2\ell} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell \mid |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_\ell|^2 \leq 1\},$$

$$S^{2\ell-1} = \partial D^{2\ell}$$

be oriented accordingly. Then  $G = \partial D^2$  is oriented. Therefore we may let  $D_i$  be so oriented that if  $G \times D_i$  has the product orientation, then the local imbedding  $f: G \times D_i \rightarrow \Sigma^{2n+1}$  given by  $f(g, x) = gx$  is orientation-preserving. Now we require the identification of  $D_i$  with  $D^{2n}$  to be such that the orientation on  $D_i$  coincides with that on  $D^{2n}$ . Then the integer  $r_i$ , up to a congruence modulo  $q_i$ , is uniquely determined.

An important fact used in the construction of a desired equivariant map of  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  into  $S^{2n+1}$  is the relation between  $q_1, \dots, q_k$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  given below.

**THEOREM 1.** *Either*

(I) 
$$r_i \equiv q/q_i \pmod{q_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

or

(II) 
$$r_i \equiv -q/q_i \pmod{q_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

With Theorem 1, we obtain the following precise formulation of our main result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that we have an action of  $G$  on  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  and an action of  $G$  on  $S^{2n+1}$  as described above. Then there exists an equivariant map of  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  into  $S^{2n+1}$  that is of degree 1 or -1 according as (I) or (II) holds.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Assume first that  $n$  is odd, say

$$n = 2m + 1,$$

where  $m$  is a positive integer. Then  $\Sigma^{2n+1} = \Sigma^{4m+3}$  is a homotopy  $(4m + 3)$ -sphere. For the case  $m = 1$ , our assertion is an easy consequence of Jacoby's theorem [2]. Hence we shall assume  $m > 1$ .

Let  $G$  act on  $S^{2m+1}$  so that

$$g(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m) = (g^{q_i} z_0, gz_1, \dots, gz_m).$$

Then there is exactly one exceptional orbit in  $S^{2m+1}$ , namely  $Gb$  with  $b = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $D$  be a slice that is a closed  $(2m)$ -disk of center  $b$  and on which  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  acts orthogonally. As we have seen above, we may identify  $D$  with  $D^{2m}$  so that

$$\partial D^* = D/\mathbb{Z}q_i = L^{2m-1}(q_i; 1, \dots, 1)$$

is a  $(2m - 1)$ -dimensional lens space. Then  $D^*$  is a cone of vertex  $b^*$  over  $\partial D^*$  and

$$A^* = (S^{2m+1})^* - \text{int } D^*$$

is a compact  $(2m)$ -manifold of boundary  $\partial D^*$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  act on  $S^{4m+1}$  so that

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_{2m}, z_{2m+1}) = (gz_1, \dots, gz_{2m}, g^{r_i} z_{2m+1}).$$

Then

$$S^{4m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i = L^{4m+1}(q_i; 1, \dots, 1, r_i)$$

is a  $(4m + 1)$ -dimensional lens space, and there exists a homotopy equivalence

$$\phi: S^{4m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i \rightarrow \partial D_i^*$$

induced by a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant map of  $S^{4m+1}$  into  $\partial D_i$  (or equivalently, mapping the preferred generator of  $\pi_1(S^{4m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i)$  into that of  $\pi_1(\partial D_i)$ ). Since  $\partial D$  may be naturally identified with the subset of  $S^{4m+1}$  defined by  $z_{m+1} = \dots = z_{2m+1} = 0$ , and since

$$\dim \partial D_i^* = 4m + 1 = 2 \dim \partial D^* + 3,$$

$\phi|_{\partial D^*}$  is homotopic to an imbedding

$$\psi: \partial D^* \rightarrow \partial D_i^*$$

that is covered by a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant imbedding of  $\partial D$  into  $\partial D_i$ . Therefore

$$\psi: \pi_1(\partial D^*) \rightarrow \pi_1(\partial D_i^*)$$

is an isomorphism preserving the preferred generator, and

$$\psi: H_j(\partial D^*) \rightarrow H_j(\partial D_1^*)$$

is an isomorphism for  $j < 2m - 1$  and is a surjective homomorphism for  $j = 2m - 1$ .

Next we assert that  $\psi$  can be extended to an imbedding

$$\bar{\psi}: (S^{2m+1})^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$$

such that

- (i)  $\bar{\psi}$  maps  $D^*$  into  $D_1^*$  and  $\bar{\psi}: D^* \rightarrow D_1^*$  is the natural extension of  $\psi$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{\psi}(A^*) \subset \Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_1^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\})$ ,
- (iii)  $\bar{\psi}$  is covered by an equivariant imbedding

$$\tilde{\psi}: S^{2m+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{4m+3} .$$

We note that since the imbedding  $\bar{\psi}$  to be constructed later is differentiable everywhere except at  $b^*$ , the equivariant imbedding  $\tilde{\psi}$  is expected to be differentiable everywhere except at points of  $Gb$ .

Let  $\psi': \partial D \rightarrow \partial D_1$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant imbedding covering  $\psi$ . Then  $\psi'$  can be uniquely extended to a  $G$ -equivariant imbedding

$$\tilde{\psi}_1: GD \rightarrow GD_1$$

that maps each radius of  $D$  proportionally onto a radius of  $D_1$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{\psi}_1$  induces an imbedding

$$\bar{\psi}_1: D^* \rightarrow D_1^*$$

that is an extension of  $\psi$ . As we noted above,  $\tilde{\psi}_1$  is differentiable at each point of  $GD - Gb$ , but in general it is not differentiable at each point of  $Gb$ .

If we can extend  $\psi$  to an imbedding

$$\bar{\psi}_2: A^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_1^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\})$$

so that  $\bar{\psi}_2(A^*)$  intersects  $\partial D_1^*$  transversally at  $\psi(\partial D^*)$  and

$$\bar{\psi}_2: H_j(A^*) \rightarrow H_j(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_1^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}))$$

is an isomorphism for  $j \leq 2m - 2$ , then we can use  $\bar{\psi}_1$  and  $\bar{\psi}_2$  to obtain a desired  $\bar{\psi}$ . In fact, there is an imbedding  $\bar{\psi}: (S^{2m+1})^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that

$$\bar{\psi} \mid D^* = \bar{\psi}_1, \quad \bar{\psi} \mid A^* = \bar{\psi}_2.$$

For this  $\bar{\psi}$ , there may exist a corner on  $\bar{\psi}((S^{2m+1})^*)$  along  $\psi(\partial D^*)$ ; but we can round the corner by modifying  $\bar{\psi}_2$ . Since

$$\bar{\psi}_2: H_j(A^*) \rightarrow H_j(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_1^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}))$$

is an isomorphism for  $j \leq 2m - 2$ ,  $\bar{\psi}_2$  is covered by an equivariant imbedding

$$\tilde{\psi}_2: S^{2m+1} - \text{int } GD \rightarrow \Sigma^{4m+3} - (\text{int } GD_1 \cup Gb_1 \cup \dots \cup Gb_k),$$

and  $\tilde{\psi}_2$  can be constructed so that by combining  $\tilde{\psi}_1$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_2$  we obtain an equivariant imbedding  $\tilde{\psi}: S^{2m+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{4m+3}$  covering  $\tilde{\psi}$ . Hence we have only to construct  $\tilde{\psi}_2$  in order to complete the construction of  $\tilde{\psi}$ .

For  $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $\sigma_\ell$  be the intersection of  $A^* - \partial D^*$  with the image of

$$\{(z_0, \dots, z_m) \in S^{2m+1} \mid z_\ell \neq 0, z_{\ell+1} = \dots = z_m = 0\},$$

and let

$$L_\ell = \bar{\sigma}_\ell \cap \partial D^*.$$

It can be seen that for  $\ell = 1, \dots, m$  the intersection  $\sigma_\ell$  is an open  $(2\ell)$ -cell,  $L_\ell$  is the  $(2\ell - 1)$ -dimensional lens space  $L^{2\ell-1}(q_i; 1, \dots, 1)$ , and

$$\bar{\sigma}_\ell = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_\ell \cup L_\ell.$$

Moreover,

$$L_m = \partial D^*, \quad \bar{\sigma}_m = A^*.$$

From the construction of  $\psi$ , it is easy to see that  $\psi|_{L_1}$  can be extended to an imbedding

$$\lambda_1: \bar{\sigma}_1 \rightarrow \Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\})$$

such that  $\lambda_1(\bar{\sigma}_1)$  intersects  $\partial D_i^*$  transversally at  $L_1$  and  $(\lambda_1(\bar{\sigma}_1), \lambda_1(L_1))$  represents a generator of

$$H_2(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}), \partial D_i^*).$$

Since

$$\pi_j(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\})) = 0 \quad (j = 3, \dots, 2m - 1),$$

we can construct imbeddings

$$\lambda_\ell: \bar{\sigma}_\ell \rightarrow \Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}) \quad (\ell = 2, \dots, m)$$

such that for each  $\ell$ ,

$$\lambda_\ell|_{L_\ell} = \psi|_{L_\ell}, \quad \lambda_\ell|_{\bar{\sigma}_{\ell-1}} = \lambda_{\ell-1},$$

and  $\lambda_\ell(\bar{\sigma}_\ell)$  intersects  $\partial D_i^*$  transversally at  $L_\ell$ . From the construction of  $\lambda_1$ , we know that

$$\lambda_m: H_2(A^*) \rightarrow H_2(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}))$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore we infer from the ring structure of  $H^*(A^*)$  and of  $H^*(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}))$  that

$$\lambda_m: H_j(A^*) \rightarrow H_j(\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* - \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\}))$$

is an isomorphism for  $j \leq 2m - 2$ . Hence  $\lambda_m$  is a desired  $\tilde{\psi}_2$ .

Let  $N$  be a closed tubular neighborhood of  $\bar{\psi}(A^*) (= \bar{\psi}_2(A^*))$  in  $\Sigma^* - (\text{int } D_i^* \cup \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*\})$  such that  $N \cap \partial D_i^*$  is a closed tubular neighborhood of  $\bar{\psi}(\partial D^*)$  in  $\partial D_i^*$ . Then

$$X^* = D_i^* \cup N,$$

with the corner on  $\partial X^*$  along  $\partial(N \cap D_i^*)$  rounded, is a compact  $(4m+2)$ -manifold in  $\Sigma^*$  containing a single singularity  $b_i^*$  and having  $\tilde{\psi}((S^{2m+1})^*)$  as a deformation retract. Therefore

$$X = \pi^{-1}(X^*)$$

is an invariant compact  $(4m+3)$ -manifold in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  having

$$\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1}) = \pi^{-1}(\tilde{\psi}((S^{2m+1})^*))$$

as a deformation retract, and hence it is diffeomorphic to  $S^{2m+1} \times D^{2m+2}$ .

Let

$$Y^* = \Sigma^* - \text{int } X^*, \quad Y = \pi^{-1}(Y^*).$$

Then  $Y$  is diffeomorphic to  $D^{2m+2} \times S^{2m+1}$  and

$$X \cup Y = \Sigma^{4m+3}.$$

As we said earlier,  $\partial D_i$  is regarded as the oriented  $(4m+1)$ -sphere  $S^{4m+1}$  such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  on  $\partial D_i$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_{2m+1}) = (g^{r_i,1} z_1, \dots, g^{r_i,2m+1} z_{2m+1}).$$

Similarly,  $\partial D$  is regarded as the oriented  $(2m-1)$ -sphere  $S^{2m-1}$  such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  on  $S^{2m-1}$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_m) = (gz_1, \dots, gz_m).$$

Therefore, if  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  acts on the oriented  $(2m+1)$ -sphere  $S^{2m+1}$  so that

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}) = (gz_1, \dots, gz_m, g^{r_i} z_{m+1})$$

with  $r_i = r_{i,1} \cdots r_{i,2m+1}$ , then there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant map

$$\xi: S^{2m+1} \rightarrow \partial D_i$$

such that  $\xi(S^{2m+1})^* \subset \partial D_i^* - N$  and the linking number of the integral singular cycles  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(\partial D)$  in  $\partial D_i$  is 1. Let  $D_i'$  be a slice at  $b_i$  that is a closed  $(4m+2)$ -disk with  $D_i \subset \text{int } D_i'$  and on which  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  acts orthogonally. Then  $D_i'^* - \text{int } D_i^*$  is a cylinder over  $\partial D_i^*$  and

$$\dim(D_i'^* - \text{int } D_i^*) = 2 \dim S^{2m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i \geq 6.$$

Using Whitney's technique, we can construct an imbedding

$$\eta^*: S^{2m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i \rightarrow D_i'^* - \text{int } D_i^*$$

such that  $\eta^*(S^{2m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i) \subset (D_i'^* - D_i^*) - N$ , and such that  $\eta^*$  and the induced map

$$\xi^*: S^{2m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i \rightarrow \partial D_i^*$$

are homotopic as maps of  $S^{2m+1}/\mathbb{Z}q_i$  into  $(D_i^* - \text{int } D_i^*) - N$ . Then we have a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant imbedding

$$\eta: S^{2m+1} \rightarrow Y$$

covering  $\eta^*$ . Since the linking number of the integral singular cycles  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(\partial D)$  in  $\partial D_i$  is 1 and  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  and  $\eta(S^{2m+1})$  are homologous in  $Y$ , it follows that the linking number of  $\eta(S^{2m+1})$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})$  in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  is 1 so that the sphere

$$S = \eta(S^{2m+1})$$

is an oriented  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -invariant  $(2m + 1)$ -sphere in  $Y$  with the property that the inclusion map of  $S$  into  $Y$  induces an isomorphism of  $H_*(S)$  onto  $H_*(Y)$ . Hence  $S$  is a deformation retract of  $Y$ .

Let  $S_1$  be an oriented  $G$ -invariant  $(2m + 1)$ -sphere in  $Y$  that may be identified with  $S^{2m+1}$ , and such that the action of  $G$  on  $S_1$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}) = (gz_1, \dots, gz_{m+1}).$$

Then the linking number of  $S_1$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})$  can be determined as follows. Let  $\alpha_1$  be the generator of  $H^2(\Sigma^*)$  such that, if  $E_i$  is an oriented closed 2-cell in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  with  $\partial E_i = Gb_i$  and  $[E_i^*]$  is the element of  $H_2(\Sigma^*)$  containing the 2-cycle  $E_i^*$ , then

$$\langle \alpha_1, [E_i^*] \rangle = q/q_i.$$

Then it can be seen that for  $\ell = 2, \dots, 2m + 1$  there exists a generator of  $H^{2\ell}(\Sigma^*)$  such that

$$\alpha_{\ell-1} \cup \alpha_1 = q\alpha_\ell.$$

Let  $[\Sigma^*]$  be the generator of  $H_{4m+2}(\Sigma^*)$  such that the image of  $q[\Sigma^*]$  in  $H_{4m+2}(\Sigma^*, \Sigma^* - \text{int } D_i^*)$  is represented by  $(D_i^*, \partial D_i^*)$ . Then

$$\langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle = 1 \text{ or } -1.$$

Now we make the following assertion.

LEMMA 1. *The linking number of  $S_1$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})$  in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  is*

$$(q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle.$$

It can be seen that

$$\langle \alpha_m, [S_1^*] \rangle = q, \quad \langle \alpha_m, [\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})^*] \rangle = q/q_i.$$

Let  $E$  be an oriented, closed  $(2m + 2)$ -cell immersed in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  such that  $\partial E = S_1$ . Then  $E^*$  represents an element  $[E^*]$  of  $H_{2m+2}(\Sigma^*)$ , and

$$\langle \alpha_{m+1}, [E^*] \rangle = q.$$

Since  $\alpha_{m+1} \cup \alpha_m = q\alpha_{2m+1}$ , it follows that

$$[E^*] \cdot [\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})^*] = (q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle;$$

this means that the intersection number of  $E$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})$  is

$$(q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle.$$

Hence our assertion follows.

**LEMMA 2.** *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant map of  $S_1$  into  $S$  of degree  $(q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle$ .*

Since  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  acts freely on  $Y$  and since  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -invariant deformation retract of  $Y$ , it follows that the inclusion map of  $S/\mathbb{Z}q_i$  into  $Y/\mathbb{Z}q_i$  is a homotopy equivalence (see [4; p. 97]). Let

$$f: Y/\mathbb{Z}q_i \rightarrow S/\mathbb{Z}q_i$$

be the inverse homotopy equivalence. Then  $f$  is covered by a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant map

$$\tilde{f}: Y \rightarrow S$$

that is also a homotopy equivalence. Since the linking number of  $S$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})$  is 1 and that of  $S_1$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(S^{2m+1})$  is  $(q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle$ , it follows that

$$\tilde{f}|_{S_1}: S_1 \rightarrow S$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant map of degree  $(q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle$ . This proves Lemma 2.

We know that

$$S/\mathbb{Z}q_i = L^{2m+1}(q_i; 1, \dots, 1, r_i), \quad S_1/\mathbb{Z}q_i = L^{2m+1}(q_i; 1, \dots, 1, 1).$$

Hence Lemma 2 implies that

$$r_i \equiv (q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle \pmod{q_i}$$

(see, for example, [1; p. 95]). This completes the proof of Theorem 1 for the case where  $n$  is odd.

Suppose next that  $n$  is even, say  $n = 2m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. Let

$$\Sigma^{4m+3} = \Sigma^{4m+1} * S^1;$$

that is, let  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  be the join of  $\Sigma^{4m+1} (= \Sigma^{2n+1})$  and  $S^1$ . Then  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  is obtained from  $\Sigma^{4m+1} \times D^2$  by identifying  $(x, y)$  with  $(x', y)$  for any  $x, x' \in \Sigma^{4m+1}$  and  $y \in \partial D^2$ . Moreover,  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  is a topological  $(4m+3)$ -sphere in which  $\Sigma^{4m+1} \times \text{int } D^2$  possesses a natural differentiable structure and the set

$$C = \Sigma^{4m+3} - (\Sigma^{4m+1} \times \text{int } D^2)$$

is a circle. Let  $G$  act on  $\Sigma^{4m+1} \times D^2$  so that for each  $g \in G$  and all  $(x, y) \in \Sigma^{4m+1} \times D^2$ ,

$$g(x, y) = (gx, gy).$$



Then the action induces a pseudo-free action of  $G$  on  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  with exceptional orbits  $Gb_1, \dots, Gb_k$ , and the action is differentiable on  $\Sigma^{4m+1} \times \text{int } D^2$ . Therefore  $\Sigma^{4m+3}/G$  is a topological closed  $(4m+2)$ -manifold with singularities  $b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*$ , and there exists a natural differentiable structure on

$$\Sigma^{4m+3}/G - \{b_1^*, \dots, b_k^*, C^*\}.$$

Let us imbed  $\Sigma^{4m+1}$  into  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  by identifying each  $x \in \Sigma^{4m+1}$  with  $(x, 0) \in \Sigma^{4m+1} \times \text{int } D^2 \subset \Sigma^{4m+3}$ , and study the pseudo-free circle action on  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  instead. It can be seen that at each  $b_i$ , there is a slice  $D_i'$  in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  that may be identified with  $D^{4m+2}$  in such a way that  $D_i = D_i' \cap \Sigma^{4m+1}$  is given by

$$z_{2m+1} = 0$$

and the action of  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  on  $D_i'$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_{2m}, z_{2m+1}) = (g^{r_{i,1}} z_1, \dots, g^{r_{i,2m}} z_{2m}, gz_{2m+1}).$$

Without much difficulty one can see that our previous proof applies to this somewhat more general pseudo-free action of  $G$  on  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$ . It may be helpful to note that here  $\Sigma^{4m+3}/G$  is locally Euclidean at  $C^*$ ; but in general there is no natural differentiable structure in any neighborhood of  $C^*$ . Even so, our previous proof is not affected by this situation, because the single orbit  $C$  in  $\Sigma^{4m+3}$  does not interfere with our argument anywhere in the proof. Hence

$$r_i = r_{i,1} \cdots r_{i,2m} \equiv (q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m+1}, [\Sigma^{4m+3}/G] \rangle \text{ mod } q_i,$$

or equivalently,

$$r_i \equiv (q/q_i) \langle \alpha_{2m}, [\Sigma^*] \rangle \text{ mod } q_i,$$

as was to be proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Suppose that we have a differentiable, pseudo-free action of the circle group  $G$  on a homotopy  $(2n+1)$ -sphere  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  and a linear pseudo-free action of  $G$  on  $S^{2n+1}$  as described in the Introduction. We recall that there are  $k$  exceptional orbits  $Gb_1, \dots, Gb_k$  in  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  and that for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $G_{b_i} = \mathbb{Z}q_i$  and there is a slice  $D_i$  at  $b_i$  which is to be identified with  $D^{2n}$  so that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$  on  $D_i$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (g^{r_{i,1}} z_1, \dots, g^{r_{i,n}} z_n).$$

Also, there is a single exceptional orbit  $Gb$  in  $S^{2n+1}$  with  $G_b = \mathbb{Z}q$ , where  $q = q_1 \cdots q_k$ , and there is a slice  $D$  at  $b$ , which is to be identified with  $D^{2n}$  so that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}q$  on  $D$  is given by

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (gz_1, \dots, gz_n).$$

As seen in the theorem, if we orient  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  properly, then there is a relation between

$$r_i = r_{i,1} \cdots r_{i,n} \quad (i = 1, \cdots, k)$$

and  $q_1, \cdots, q_k$ . It can be assumed that the relation is

$$(1) \quad r_i \equiv q/q_i \pmod{q_i} \quad (i = 1, \cdots, k),$$

because we can reduce the other case to this case simply by reversing the orientation of  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$ .

In the case  $n = 1$ , the action of  $G$  on  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  is linear [2], so that it is not hard to construct an equivariant map of  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  into  $S^{2n+1}$  of degree 1. Hence we shall assume that  $n > 1$ .

Let the slices  $D_1, \cdots, D_k$  be constructed so that  $D_1^*, \cdots, D_k^*$  are mutually disjoint. We first assert that there exists a map

$$f': \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^* \rightarrow D^*$$

such that  $f'(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \partial D_i^*) \subset \partial D^*$ ,  $f': (\bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^*, \bigcup_{i=1}^k \partial D_i^*) \rightarrow (D^*, \partial D^*)$  is of degree 1, and  $f'$  is covered by an equivariant map

$$\tilde{f}': \bigcup_{i=1}^k GD_i \rightarrow GD.$$

Since  $q_1, \cdots, q_k$  are relatively prime to one another, there exist integers  $s_1, \cdots, s_k$  such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k s_i(q/q_i) = 1.$$

Therefore, for  $i = 1, \cdots, k$ , the integer  $1 - s_i(q/q_i)$  is divisible by  $q_i$ , so that for some integer  $t_i$ ,

$$(3) \quad s_i(q/q_i) + t_i q_i = 1.$$

Since

$$\partial D_i^* = L^{2n-1}(q_i; r_{i,1}, \cdots, r_{i,n})$$

and

$$\partial D/\mathbb{Z}q_i = L^{2n-1}(q_i; 1, \cdots, 1),$$

it follows from (1) and (3) that there exists a map

$$\phi_i: \partial D_i^* \rightarrow \partial D/\mathbb{Z}q_i$$

of degree  $s_i$  that is covered by a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant map

$$\tilde{\phi}_i: \partial D_i \rightarrow \partial D.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\phi}'_i: D_i \rightarrow D$$

be a  $\mathbb{Z}q_i$ -equivariant extension of  $\tilde{\phi}'_i$  that maps each radius of  $D_i$  into a radius of  $D$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}'_i$  induces an extension of  $\phi_i$ :

$$\phi'_i: D_i^* \rightarrow D/\mathbb{Z}q_i.$$

Let

$$\psi_i: D/\mathbb{Z}q_i \rightarrow D^* = D/\mathbb{Z}q$$

be the projection. Then

$$f'_i = \psi_i \phi'_i: D_i^* \rightarrow D^*$$

is a map such that  $f'_i(\partial D_i^*) \subset \partial D^*$ ,  $f'_i: (D_i^*, \partial D_i^*) \rightarrow (D^*, \partial D^*)$  is of degree  $s_i(q/q_i)$ , and  $f'_i$  is covered by an equivariant map

$$\tilde{f}'_i: GD_i \rightarrow GD$$

with  $\tilde{f}'_i|_{D_i} = \tilde{\phi}'_i$ . Let

$$f': \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^* \rightarrow D^*, \quad \tilde{f}': \bigcup_{i=1}^k GD_i \rightarrow GD$$

be such that for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$f'|_{D_i^*} = f'_i, \quad \tilde{f}'|_{GD_i} = \tilde{f}'_i.$$

Then our assertion follows. Notice that it follows from (2) that

$$f': \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^*, \bigcup_{i=1}^k \partial D_i^* \right) \rightarrow (D^*, \partial D^*)$$

is of degree 1.

Let

$$X = \Sigma^* - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{int } D_i^*, \quad Y = S^* - \text{int } D^*.$$

Let  $K$  be a triangulation of  $X$ , and for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$ , let  $X_r$  be the union of  $\partial X$  and all the simplexes of  $K$  of dimension at most  $r$ . We claim that there exists a map

$$f_{2n-1}: X_{2n-1} \rightarrow Y$$

with  $f_{2n-1}|_{\partial X} = f'|_{\partial X}$ . Making use of the 1-connectedness of  $Y$ , we can first extend  $f'|_{\partial X}$  to a map  $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y$  and then extend  $f_1$  to a map  $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $Y$  is a 1-connected space with  $\pi_2(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and since  $H^3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , it follows that the obstruction cohomology class  $\gamma^3(f_2)$  (see, for example, [1; p. 180]) is equal to 0. By Eilenberg's extension theorem, there exists a map  $f_3: X_3 \rightarrow Y$  with

$f_3 \mid X_1 = f_2 \mid X_1 = f_1$ . For any  $r = 4, \dots, 2n - 1$ , if we already have a map  $f_{r-1}: X_{r-1} \rightarrow Y$ , it follows from the relation  $\pi_{r-1}(Y) = 0$  that the obstruction cocycle  $c^r(f_{r-1}) \in Z^r(X, \partial X; \pi_{r-1}(Y))$  is equal to 0. Therefore  $f_{r-1}$  can be extended to a map  $f_r: X_r \rightarrow Y$ . By induction, we have a map  $f_{2n-1}$ , as desired.

Next we claim that  $f_{2n-1}$  can be extended to a map

$$f'': X \rightarrow S^*.$$

Whenever  $\sigma$  is a  $(2n)$ -simplex of  $K$ , the restriction  $f_{2n-1} \mid \partial\sigma$  can be lifted, in other words, there exists a map  $\tilde{f}_\sigma: \partial\sigma \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  with  $\pi\tilde{f}_\sigma = f_{2n-1} \mid \partial\sigma$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{f}_\sigma$  can be extended to a map  $\tilde{f}_\sigma'': \sigma \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ . Then  $f_\sigma'' = \pi\tilde{f}_\sigma'': \sigma \rightarrow S^*$  is an extension of  $f_{2n-1} \mid \partial\sigma$ . Hence we obtain a desired extension  $f''$  of  $f_{2n-1}$ , by letting  $f'' \mid \sigma = f_\sigma''$  for every  $(2n)$ -simplex  $\sigma$ .

Now we let

$$f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow S^*$$

be the map such that

$$f \mid \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^* = f', \quad f \mid X = f''.$$

We assert that  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence.

Since  $f': H_1(\partial X) \rightarrow H_1(\partial Y)$  is an isomorphism, we may use the homology sequences of  $(X, \partial X)$  and  $(Y, \partial Y)$  to show that

$$f_3: H_2(X_3) \rightarrow H_2(Y)$$

is an isomorphism. Then

$$f: H_2(\Sigma^*) \rightarrow H_2(S^*)$$

is an isomorphism. Because of this and the ring structure of the cohomology rings  $H^*(\Sigma^*)$  and  $H^*(S^*)$ , one can show that

$$f: H^*(S^*) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma^*)$$

is a ring isomorphism. Hence

$$f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow S^*$$

is a homotopy equivalence [5].

Let  $\alpha_1$  be the generator of  $H^2(\Sigma^*)$  such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , if  $E_i$  is an oriented closed 2-cell in  $\Sigma^{2n+1}$  with  $\partial E_i = Gb_i$ , then  $\langle \alpha_1, [E_i^*] \rangle = q/q_i$ . Let  $\beta_1$  be the analogous generator of  $H^2(S^*)$ . It is not hard to show that  $f(\beta_1) = \alpha_1$ , so that

$$f(\beta_1^n) = \alpha_1^n.$$

By our assumption,  $r_i \equiv q/q_i \pmod{q_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ), or equivalently,

$$\langle \alpha_1^n, [\Sigma^*] \rangle = q^{n-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\langle \beta_1^n, [S^*] \rangle = q^{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$f([\Sigma^*]) = [S^*].$$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2, we need to show that  $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow S^*$  is covered by an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}: \Sigma^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  of degree 1. We know that  $f': \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^* \rightarrow D^*$  is covered by an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}': \bigcup_{i=1}^k GD_i \rightarrow GD$ . If we can construct an equivariant map

$$\tilde{f}'': \pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$$

covering  $f''$  and such that  $\tilde{f}''|_{\pi^{-1}(\partial X)} = \tilde{f}'|_{\pi^{-1}(\partial X)}$ , then  $\tilde{f}: \Sigma^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  defined by

$$\tilde{f}|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k GD_i} = \tilde{f}', \quad \tilde{f}|_{\pi^{-1}(X)} = \tilde{f}''$$

is an equivariant map covering  $f$ . Moreover, it follows from the relation  $f([\Sigma^*]) = [S^*]$  that  $\tilde{f}$  is of degree 1. Hence we have only to construct a desired  $\tilde{f}''$ .

Let

$$f_r = f''|_{X_r} \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1).$$

It is obvious that  $f_0$  is covered by an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}_0: \pi^{-1}(X_0) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a 1-simplex of  $K$ , and let

$$j_\sigma: \sigma \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\sigma)$$

be a cross-section for the circle bundle  $\pi: \pi^{-1}(\sigma) \rightarrow \sigma$ . It is easy to construct a map

$$\tilde{f}_\sigma: j_\sigma(\sigma) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$$

such that  $\tilde{f}_\sigma|_{j_\sigma(\partial\sigma)} = \tilde{f}_0|_{j_\sigma(\partial\sigma)}$  and  $\pi f_\sigma j_\sigma = f''|_\sigma$ . Therefore we have an equivariant map

$$\tilde{f}_1: \pi^{-1}(X_1) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$$

such that  $\tilde{f}_1|_{\pi^{-1}(X_0)} = \tilde{f}_0$ , and such that for each 1-simplex  $\sigma$  of  $K$ ,  $\tilde{f}_1|_{j_\sigma(\sigma)} = \tilde{f}_\sigma$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{f}_1$  covers  $f_1$ .

The obstruction cocycle  $c^2$  for extending  $\tilde{f}_1$  to an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}_2: \pi^{-1}(X_2) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$  covering  $f_2$  may be given as follows. Let  $\sigma$  be a 2-simplex of  $K$ , and let

$$j_\sigma: \sigma \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\sigma)$$

be a cross-section. Let

$$E = \{ (x, y) \in \sigma \times \pi^{-1}(y) \mid f(x) = \pi(y) \}.$$

Then there exists a free action of  $G$  on  $E$  given by

$$g(x, y) = (x, gy),$$

and we can identify the orbit space  $E/G$  with  $\sigma$  by setting  $G(x, y) = x$ . Let

$$\phi: \partial\sigma \rightarrow E$$

be defined by

$$\phi(x) = (x, \tilde{f}_1 j_\sigma(x)).$$

Then  $\phi$  followed by the projection of  $E$  into  $G$  is a map of  $\partial\sigma$  into  $G$  whose degree is  $c^2(\sigma)$ .

The obstruction cocycle  $c^2$  is actually a coboundary. In fact, if  $S^2$  is a 2-sphere in  $X$  representing a generator of  $H_2(X)$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(S^2)$  is a 3-sphere on which  $G$  acts freely, and  $f''|_{S^2}$  is covered by an equivariant map of  $\pi^{-1}(S^2)$  into  $S^{2n+1}$ . Therefore the value of  $c^2$  at  $S^2$  is equal to 0, and hence  $c^2$  is a coboundary.

As in Eilenberg's extension theorem, we can modify  $\tilde{f}_1$  so that  $c^2 = 0$ . Therefore  $\tilde{f}_1$  can be extended to an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}_2: \pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$  covering  $f_2$ .

For any  $r = 3, \dots, 2n - 1$ , if we already have an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}_{r-1}: \pi^{-1}(X_{r-1}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$  covering  $f_{r-1}$ , we can extend  $\tilde{f}_{r-1}$  to an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}_r: \pi^{-1}(X_r) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$  covering  $f_r$ , just as we extended  $\tilde{f}_0$  to  $\tilde{f}_1$ . Hence we have an equivariant map

$$\tilde{f}_{2n-1}: \pi^{-1}(X_{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$$

covering  $f_{2n-1}$ .

From the construction of  $f''$ , it is clear that  $\tilde{f}_{2n-1}$  can be extended to an equivariant map  $\tilde{f}'': \pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(Y)$  covering  $f''$ . Hence the proof is complete.

*Remark.* Since  $f': \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i^* \rightarrow D^*$  is of degree 1 at  $b^*$  and since  $f([\Sigma^*]) = [S^*]$ , it follows that  $f'': X \rightarrow S^*$  is of degree 0 at  $b^*$ . Therefore we may assume that

$$f''(X) \subset Y.$$

## REFERENCES

1. S.-T. Hu, *Homotopy theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 8. Academic Press, New York and London, 1959.
2. R. Jacoby, *One-parameter transformation groups of the three-sphere*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 131-142.
3. D. Montgomery and C. T. Yang, *Differentiable pseudo-free circle actions on homotopy seven spheres*. Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups (University of Massachusetts, Amherst, 1971), Part I, pp. 41-101. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

4. G. de Rham, S. Maumary, and M. A. Kervaire, *Torsion et type simple d'homotopie*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 48. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
5. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy. I*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 213-245.

Institute for Advanced Study  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

and

University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

