

# THE POWERS OF AN OPERATOR OF NUMERICAL RADIUS ONE

Michael J. Crabb

Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space, and  $T$  a bounded linear operator on  $H$ . As usual, the numerical radius  $\omega(T)$  of  $T$  is defined as

$$\sup \{ |(Tx, x)| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \} .$$

C. A. Berger and J. G. Stampfli [1] have shown that if  $\omega(T) = 1$ , then

$$\limsup \|T^n x\| \leq \sqrt{3} \|x\|$$

(see also T. Kato [3], [4]), and they ask for the best constant  $K$  such that  $\limsup \|T^n x\| \leq K \|x\|$ . They give an example (due to A. L. Shields) of an operator  $T$  and an element  $x \in H$  with  $\omega(T) = \|x\| = 1$  and  $\|T^n x\| = \sqrt{2}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Hence  $K \geq \sqrt{2}$ . We show here that the best constant  $K$  is  $\sqrt{2}$ , and further, that if  $\|x\| = \omega(T) = 1$ , then  $\|T^n x\| \rightarrow \ell$  for some  $\ell \leq \sqrt{2}$ .

Berger and Stampfli [2] show that if  $\omega(T) = \|x\| = 1$  and  $\|T^n x\| = 2$  for some  $n$ , then  $T^{n+1} x = 0$ . We give another proof of this, and we also show that  $\|Tx\| = \|T^2 x\| = \dots = \|T^{n-1} x\| = \sqrt{2}$ , that  $x, Tx, \dots, T^n x$  are mutually orthogonal, and that their linear span forms a reducing subspace of  $T$ . This was proved in the case  $n = 1$  by J. P. Williams and T. Crimmins [5].

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $\omega(T) = \|x\| = 1$ . Then  $\|T^n x\| \rightarrow \ell$ , where  $0 \leq \ell \leq \sqrt{2}$ . If  $\ell = \sqrt{2}$ , then  $\|T^n x\| = \sqrt{2}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ). Then, for  $y = a_0 x + \dots + a_n T^n x$ ,  $|(Ty, y)| \leq (y, y)$ , in other words,

$$(1) \quad \left| a_0 a_1 \|Tx\|^2 + a_1 a_2 \|T^2 x\|^2 + \dots + \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j+1 \neq k}}^n a_j a_k (T^{j+1} x, T^k x) \right| \\ \leq a_0^2 + a_1^2 \|Tx\|^2 + \dots + \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j \neq k}}^n a_j a_k (T^j x, T^k x).$$

Replacing  $T$  by  $e^{i\theta} T$  and integrating both sides over  $[0, 2\pi]$ , we obtain the inequality

$$m_1 a_0 a_1 + m_2 a_1 a_2 + \dots + m_n a_{n-1} a_n \leq a_0^2 + m_1 a_1^2 + \dots + m_n a_n^2,$$

---

Received August 21, 1970.

This paper was written while the author was an SRC Research Fellow.

Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971).



$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2a_0a_1 + \cdots + 2a_{n-2}a_{n-1} + 4a_{n-1}a_n + \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j+1 \neq k}}^n a_j a_k R_{j+1,k} \cos((j+1-k)\theta + \alpha_{j+1,k}) \\
 (3) \quad & \leq a_0^2 + 2a_1^2 + \cdots + 2a_{n-1}^2 + 4a_n^2 + \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j \neq k}}^n a_j a_k R_{jk} \cos((j-k)\theta + \alpha_{jk}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 1$  and  $a_n = 1/2$ , then the terms independent of  $\theta$  on each side of (3) are equal. Hence the integrals of each side over  $[0, 2\pi]$  are equal. If we had strict inequality in (3) for some  $\theta$ , the integral on the left-hand side would be less than that on the right. Therefore, we have equality in (3) for these  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ . Hence, the partial derivatives of the two sides of (3) with respect to  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  must be equal at this point. For  $a_n$ , this gives the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_{1,n} \cos((n-1)\theta - \alpha_{1,n}) + \cdots + R_{n-1,n} \cos(\theta - \alpha_{n-1,n}) \\
 & = 2R_{0,n} \cos(n\theta - \alpha_{0,n}) + \cdots + 2R_{n-1,n} \cos(\theta - \alpha_{n-1,n}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , we conclude that  $R_{0,n} = \cdots = R_{n-1,n} = 0$ . Similarly, differentiating with respect to  $a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots$  successively, we find that  $R_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $L = \text{lin}(x, Tx, \dots, T^n x)$ . Suppose  $a \perp L$ . Putting

$$y = x + Tx + \cdots + T^{n-1}x + \frac{1}{2}T^n x + ta,$$

where  $t > 0$ , we obtain the inequality  $\Re(Ty, y) \leq (y, y)$ , which implies that

$$t \Re\left(x + Tx + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}T^n x, Ta\right) + t^2 \Re(a, Ta) \leq t^2 \|a\|^2 \quad (t > 0).$$

Hence  $\Re\left(x + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}T^n x, Ta\right) \leq 0$ . Replacing  $a$  by  $e^{i\theta} a$ , we obtain the equation

$\left(x + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}T^n x, Ta\right) = 0$ , and replacing  $T$  by  $e^{i\theta} T$ , we deduce that

$(x, Ta) = \cdots = (T^n x, Ta) = 0$ , or  $Ta \perp L$ . Hence  $L$  is a reducing subspace of  $T$ .

REFERENCES

1. C. A. Berger and J. G. Stampfli, *Norm relations and skew dilations*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 28 (1967), 191-195.
2. ———, *Mapping theorems for the numerical range*. Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967), 1047-1055.
3. T. Kato, *Some mapping theorems for the numerical range*. Proc. Japan Acad. 41 (1965), 652-655.

4. T. Kato, *Remarks on the numerical radius* (to appear).
5. J. P. Williams and T. Crimmins, *On the numerical radius of a linear operator*. Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 832-833.

King's College  
Aberdeen, Scotland