RANGES OF NORMAL AND SUBNORMAL OPERATORS

C. R. Putnam

1. Let T be a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H, and denote its spectrum by sp(T) and its range by R(T). (Only bounded operators will be considered.) For each set E of complex numbers, let S(T; E) be the subset of H defined by

(1.1)
$$S(T; E) = \bigcap_{t \in E} R(T - tI), \quad S(T; empty set) = H.$$

Denote the interior of E by int (E) and the complement of E by C(E). Clearly, S is a decreasing function of E in the sense that $S(T; E_1) \subset S(T; E_2)$ if $E_1 \supset E_2$. Also, since R(T - tI) = H whenever t does not belong to sp(T),

(1.2)
$$S(T; sp(T)) \subset S(T; E)$$
 for each E.

If T is normal and has the spectral resolution

$$T = \int z dK_z,$$

let K(E) denote the associated projection measure defined on the Borel sets E of the plane. We shall prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. If T is normal and has the spectral resolution (1.3), and if E is any Borel set of the plane, then

$$(1.4) S(T; C(E)) \subset R(K(E)) \subset S(T; int (C(E))).$$

Consequently,

(1.5)
$$S(T; sp(T) - E) = R(K(E)) \text{ if } E \text{ is a closed subset of } sp(T),$$

and, in particular,

(1.6)
$$S(T; sp(T)) = 0$$
.

To obtain (1.5) from (1.4), note that now C(E) = int(E) and hence, by (1.4), $R(K(E)) = S(T; C(E)) = S(T; sp(T) \cap C(E)) = S(T; sp(T) - E)$.

We see that if T is normal, then S(T; sp(T)) = 0 but $S(T; E) \neq 0$ whenever E is small relative to sp(T), more precisely, whenever the closure of E is a proper subset of sp(T). In case T is not normal, simple examples show that even (1.6) can be false. We need only consider an operator $T \neq 0$ for which sp(T) is the single point 0.

Received May 21, 1970.

This work was supported by a National Science Foundation research grant.

Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971).

Recall that T is *subnormal* if it has an extension T_1 that is normal on a Hilbert space $H_1 \supset H$, and if moreover T_1 leaves H invariant and $T_1 = T$ on H. (See P. R. Halmos [3, pp. 100 ff.] for properties of such operators.) It is known that if T_1 is the minimal extension of T, then $sp(T_1) \subset sp(T)$ (Halmos [2]) and, in fact, that sp(T) is obtained from $sp(T_1)$ by filling in some of the holes of the latter set (J. Bram [1]). Further, by (1.6),

(1.7)
$$S(T; sp(T)) \subset S(T; sp(T_1)) \subset S(T_1; sp(T_1)) = 0.$$

For example, let A denote the unilateral shift defined on the Hilbert space of sequences $\left\{x_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying the condition $\sum \left|x_n\right|^2 < \infty$. Then A has the matrix representation $A = (a_{ij})$ (i, $j = 1, 2, \cdots$), where $a_{i+1,j} = 1$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ ($j \neq i-1$). It is known that A is subnormal and that sp(A) is the closed unit disk. Further, the minimal normal extension of A is the unitary operator $B = (b_{ij})$ (i, $j = 0, \pm 1, \cdots$) on the Hilbert space of sequences $\left\{y_n\right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\sum \left|y_n\right|^2 < \infty$, where the elements b_{ij} are defined by $b_{i+1,i} = 1$ and $b_{ij} = 0$ ($j \neq i-1$). The spectrum of B is the circle

(1.8)
$$C = \{z: |z| = 1\}.$$

It is clear from (1.7) that if T is subnormal, in contrast to the situation where T is normal, then it is possible that S(T; E) = 0 when E is small relative to sp(T). Thus, when T = A, S(A; C) = 0, where C is the circle of (1.8). In fact, we shall prove the following proposition.

THEOREM 2. Let A be the unilateral shift defined above. Then

$$(1.9) S(A; E) = 0$$

if either

(1.10) E is an infinite set having a limit point inside C,

or

$$(1.11) m(E \cap C) > 0,$$

where m denotes ordinary Lebesgue measure on C.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let E denote any Borel set of the plane, and let $f \in S(T; C(E))$, so that whenever c belongs to C(E), f = (T - cI)g for some $g = g_c$. Let c = a + ib (a, b real), and let D_s denote the disk $0 \le |z - c| \le s$ for s > 0. It follows from (1.3) that

$$s^{-2} \| K(D_s) f \|^2 = s^{-2} \int_{D_s} [(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2] d \| K_z g \|^2 \le \int_{D_s - \{0\}} d \| K_z g \|^2 \to 0,$$

as $s \to 0$. Thus, the symmetric derivate of the set function $||K(X)f||^2$ (S. Saks [4, p. 149]) is 0 at all points of C(E), and hence [4, p. 155] K(C(E))f = 0. Thus, if $f \in S(T; C(E))$, then $f \in R(K(E))$, so that the first relation of (1.4) is proved.

If $c \in int(C(E))$, then, for each f in H, $K(E)f = (T - cI)g_c$, where

$$g_c = \int_E (z - c)^{-1} dK_z f.$$

This establishes the second part of (1.4), and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We see that each vector $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z}) = \{1, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}^2, \cdots\}$ $(|\mathbf{z}| < 1)$ is in the eigenspace of A* belonging to z. If $\mathbf{f} = \{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \cdots\}$ belongs to $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}; \mathbf{E})$, where E satisfies (1.10), then $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{z}\mathbf{I})\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{z}}$ for each z in E and some vector $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{z}}$, and therefore

$$(f, u(\bar{z})) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k z^k = 0$$
 for each z in E.

It follows from (1.10), by a basic property of power series, that $f_k = 0$ for all k, that is, f = 0, and so (1.9) is proved.

It remains to prove (1.9) under the assumption (1.11). Suppose then that f = (A - zI)g, where $g = g_z$ for z in $E \cap C$, where C is defined by (1.8). It is easily verified that if $g = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$, then

(3.1)
$$g_n = -(1/z)^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k z^k.$$

Note that $g_n = g_n(z)$, and let $z = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \le \theta < 2\pi$). For each fixed z in E, $|g_n| = |\sum_{k=1}^n f_k e^{ik\theta}|$, and since $\sum |g_n|^2 < \infty$, $g_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $F(\theta)$ denote the function in $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ defined by

(3.2)
$$\mathbf{F}(\theta) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

If $F_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k e^{ik\theta}$, then $F_n(\theta) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for each θ in the set $Q = \{\theta \colon 0 \le \theta < 2\pi, e^{i\theta} \in E\}$. Clearly,

(3.3)
$$\int_0^{2\pi} |F(\theta) - F_n(\theta)|^2 d\theta \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

In view of (1.11), Q has positive one-dimensional Lebesgue measure. By Egoroff's theorem, there exists a subset Q_1 of Q such that Q_1 has positive measure and such that $F_n(\theta) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for θ in Q_1 . Since $|F - F_n|^2 \le (|F| + \text{const.})^2$ on Q_1 and $(|F| + \text{const.})^2 \in L(0, 2\pi)$, it follows from (3.3) and Lebesgue's dominated-convergence theorem that, as $n \to \infty$,

(3.4)
$$\int_{Q_1} |F(\theta) - F_n(\theta)|^2 d\theta \rightarrow \int_{Q_1} |F(\theta)|^2 d\theta = 0.$$

Thus, $F(\theta) = 0$ almost everywhere on Q_1 , and hence, by the theorem of F. and M. Riesz (see Halmos [3, p. 82] for example), $F(\theta) = 0$ almost everywhere on $L^2(0, 2\pi)$. Hence $f_k = 0$ for all k, and again (1.9) holds.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Bram, Subnormal operators. Duke Math. J. 22 (1955), 75-94.
- 2. P. R. Halmos, Spectra and spectral manifolds. Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 25 (1952), 43-49.
- 3. —, A Hilbert space problem book. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1967.
- 4. S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*. (Second revised edition) English translation by L. C. Young. G. E. Stechert and Co., New York, 1937.

Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana 47907