

A NOTE ON MULTIVALUED MONOTONE OPERATORS

Jean-Pierre Gossez

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E and F be two real vector spaces in duality with respect to a bilinear form $\langle x, u \rangle$ for $x \in E$ and $u \in F$. A (generally multivalued) mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ is called a *monotone operator* if

$$\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0$$

whenever $u \in Tx$ and $v \in Ty$; the *domain* of T is defined by

$$D(T) = \{x \in E; Tx \text{ nonempty}\}.$$

The purpose of this note is to show, roughly, that a monotone operator that is actually multivalued admits no continuous selection (Proposition 1) and is not lower-semicontinuous (Proposition 3). We give applications to duality mappings (Proposition 2) and to subdifferentials of convex functions (Proposition 4).

2. SELECTION

A *selection* for a multivalued mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ is a (singlevalued) mapping $\tilde{T}: D(T) \rightarrow F$ such that $\tilde{T}x \in Tx$ for every $x \in D(T)$. A selection \tilde{T} is said to be *hemicontinuous* at $x \in D(T)$ if it is continuous (in the $\sigma(F, E)$ -topology of F) at x , on each line segment in $D(T)$ with endpoint x .

We shall say that a point x of a subset D of E is *quasi-internal* to D if the convex cone generated by the set of y for which the line segment $[x, y]$ is contained in D is $\sigma(E, F)$ -dense in E . Thus each internal point of D , or each point of D if D is a $\sigma(E, F)$ -dense subspace of E or an open subset of E (for some vector-space topology on E), is quasi-internal to D .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a monotone operator that is not singlevalued at $x \in D(T)$. If x is quasi-internal to $D(T)$, then T admits no selection that is hemicontinuous at x .*

Proof. Suppose that T admits a selection $\tilde{T}: D(T) \rightarrow F$, hemicontinuous at x . Since T is not singlevalued at x , there exists $u \in Tx$ with $u \neq \tilde{T}x$. Take y such that $x + ty \in D(T)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The monotonicity of T implies that

$$\langle (x + ty) - x, \tilde{T}(x + ty) - u \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

so that

$$\langle y, \tilde{T}(x + ty) - u \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in]0, 1[;$$

Received February 12, 1970.

This work was supported by the F.N.R.S. in Belgium.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).

if we let $t \rightarrow 0$, it follows by hemicontinuity that

$$(1) \quad \langle y, \tilde{T}x - u \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since the set of such y generates in E a $\sigma(E, F)$ -dense convex cone, (1) implies that $\tilde{T}x = u$, a contradiction. ■

Remark. An assumption of the kind that x is quasi-internal to $D(T)$ is needed, in Proposition 1: take

$$E = F = \mathbb{R}, \quad D(T) = [0, 1], \quad Ty = 0 \quad \forall y \in]0, 1[, \quad T0 =]-\infty, 0], \quad T1 = [0, +\infty[, \quad x = 0.$$

This example also shows the insufficiency of the weaker assumption that x is almost internal to $D(T)$ (see below).

Consider now the (multivalued) duality mapping J_ϕ of a normed space X into its dual X^* , defined by

$$J_\phi(x) = \{u \in X^*; \langle x, u \rangle = \|x\| \|u\| \text{ and } \|u\| = \phi(\|x\|)\},$$

where ϕ is a strictly increasing continuous function from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. It is easy to see that $J_\phi: X \rightarrow X^*$ is monotone and that $D(J_\phi) = X$. Consequently, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let X be a normed space. If the duality mapping is not singlevalued at x , then it admits no selection that is hemicontinuous at x .*

Several fixed-point theorems for nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space X have been proved under the assumption that J_ϕ admits a selection that is sequentially continuous on X , $\sigma(X, X^*)$ into X^* , $\sigma(X^*, X)$ (F. E. Browder [3], Z. Opial [7], ...). Proposition 2 implies that a necessary condition for this assumption to be satisfied is that J_ϕ be singlevalued. The fact that J_ϕ is singlevalued is equivalent to the Gâteaux differentiability of the norm of X (S. Mazur [5]), and (when X is reflexive) to the strict convexity of X^* (Šmulian [8]).

Applications of Proposition 2 to fixed-point problems are given in [4].

3. LOWER-SEMICONTINUITY

A multivalued mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ is said to be *hemi-lower-semicontinuous* (hemi-L. S. C.) at $x \in D(T)$ if it is L. S. C. at x on each line segment in $D(T)$ with endpoint x , in the $\sigma(F, E)$ -topology of F .

We shall say that a point x of a subset D of E is *almost internal* to D if the set of y for which the line segment $[x, y]$ is contained in D distinguishes the points of F . A quasi-internal point is almost internal, but the converse is not true (see the remark above).

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a monotone operator that is not singlevalued at $x \in D(T)$. If x is almost internal to $D(T)$, then T is not hemi-L. S. C. at x .*

Proof. Translating T , if necessary, we can assume that $x = 0$. By assumption, there exist u and v in $T0$ with $u \neq v$. Since 0 is almost internal to $D(T)$, there exists y in $D(T)$ such that the line segment $[0, y]$ is contained in $D(T)$ and

$$(2) \quad \langle y, u - v \rangle \neq 0.$$

Let us denote by G the line $\{ry; r \in \mathbb{R}\}$, by i the injection mapping of G into E , and by i^* the adjoint projection of F onto G^* . The multivalued mapping $S: G \rightarrow G^*$ with domain $D(S) = [0, y]$, defined by $Sz = i^*Tz \ \forall z \in [0, y]$ is easily verified to be monotone; it is not singlevalued at 0, since $i^*u \in S0, i^*v \in S0$, and, by (2), $i^*u \neq i^*v$. Giving a suitable orientation to the lines G and G^* , we obtain the relation

$$i^*u < i^*v \leq Sz \quad \forall z \in]0, y].$$

This clearly shows that S is not hemi-L. S. C. at 0. Consequently, T is not hemi-L. S. C. at 0. ■

Remark. An assumption of the kind that x is almost internal to $D(T)$ is needed in Proposition 3: take $D(T) = \{y \in E: \langle y, u \rangle = 0\}$, with u in $F, u \neq 0$, and $Ty = \{ru; r \in \mathbb{R}\} \ \forall y \in D(T)$.

Consider now a locally convex vector space X with a Hausdorff topology, and let f be a proper convex function on X , that is, a convex function from X to $]-\infty, +\infty]$ not identically $+\infty$. The subdifferential of f is the (multivalued) mapping $\partial f: X \rightarrow X^*$ defined by

$$\partial f(x) = \{u \in X^*; f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle y - x, u \rangle \ \forall y \in X\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\partial f: X \rightarrow X^*$ is monotone. As a corollary of Proposition 3, we have the following result, which may be compared with a theorem of E. Asplund and R. T. Rockafellar [1, p. 460].

PROPOSITION 4. *Let f be a lower-semicontinuous, proper, convex function on X . Suppose that f is (finite and) continuous at a point x . Then f is Gâteaux-differentiable at x if and only if $\partial f: X \rightarrow X^*$ is hemi-L. S. C. at x .*

Proof. It is well-known [2, p. 92] that a finite convex function on an open convex set V is continuous throughout V if it is continuous at one point of V . Thus, in our case f is continuous on the (nonempty) interior of $\{y \in X; f(y) < +\infty\}$. Since $\partial f(y)$ is nonempty at the points y where f is continuous (a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem), x is interior (hence almost internal) to $D(\partial f)$. Consequently, by Proposition 3, if $\partial f: X \rightarrow X^*$ is hemi-L. S. C. at x , then ∂f is singlevalued at x , and it follows [6, p. 66] from the continuity of f at x that f is Gâteaux-differentiable at x . This proves the first part of the proposition. The converse implication is a consequence of the fact [6, p. 79] that, since f is continuous at $x, \partial f: X \rightarrow X^*, \sigma(X^*, X)$ is U. S. C. at x . ■

REFERENCES

1. E. Asplund and R. T. Rockafellar, *Gradients of convex functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 443-467.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. XV. Livre V: *Espaces vectoriels topologiques*. Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1189. Hermann, Paris, 1953.
3. F. E. Browder, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear semicontractive mappings in Banach spaces*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 21 (1966), 259-269.
4. E. Lami Dozo, *Opérateurs non-expansifs, P-compacts et propriétés géométriques de la norme*. Thèse, Université de Bruxelles, 1970.

5. S. Mazur, *Über konvexe Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen*. *Studia Math.* 4 (1933), 70-84.
6. J. J. Moreau, *Fonctionnelles convexes*. *Sém. Eq. Dér. Part.*, Collège de France, 1967.
7. Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967), 591-597.
8. V. L. Šmulian, *On some geometrical properties of the unit sphere in the space of type (B)*. *Mat. Sbornik N. S.* 6 (48) (1939), 77-94.

Département de Mathématique
Université de Bruxelles
Bruxelles