

# PIECEWISE-LINEAR CLASSIFICATION OF SOME FREE $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -ACTIONS ON $S^{4k+3}$

Ronnie Lee

Let  $S^{2n+1}$  denote the unit  $(2n+1)$ -sphere. Represent each of its points by a sequence  $(c_0, \dots, c_n)$  of complex numbers with  $\sum |c_i|^2 = 1$ . Let  $(S^1, S^{2n+1})$  denote the  $S^1$ -action on  $S^{2n+1}$  given by the formula

$$c \cdot (c_0, \dots, c_n) = (cc_0, \dots, cc_n).$$

Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the subgroup of  $S^1$  generated by  $\exp(2\pi i/p)$ . Then  $(S^1, S^{2n+1})$  induces a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -action on  $S^{2n+1}$ . Its orbit space

$$L^n(p) = S^{2n+1} / \mathbb{Z}_p$$

is the  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional lens space. The purpose of this note is to study the piecewise-linear classification of all free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -actions on  $S^{4k+3}$  ( $4k+3 \geq 7$ ) for which the orbit space is of the same simple homotopy type as  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ . Our main results follow.

**THEOREM I.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p))$  denote the set of equivalence classes of simple homotopy triangulations of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ . If  $4k+3 \geq 7$ , there exists an exact sequence of pointed sets*

$$0 \rightarrow L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow [L^{2k+2}(p); G/PL] \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $[L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL]$  is the subgroup of  $G/PL$ -bundles on  $L^{2k+1}(p)$  and  $L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$  is the reduced surgery obstruction group of C. T. C. Wall.

**THEOREM II.** *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set*

$$\mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p)) \times \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} \right\}$$

and the set of equivalence classes of free piecewise-linear  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -actions on  $S^{4k+3}$  whose orbit space has the same simple homotopy type as  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ .

In the first section, we recapitulate some generalities about nonsimply-connected surgery, part of which has become folklore. We then carry out, in the second section, an elementary computation of the group  $[L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL]$ . Results of Sullivan are used in the proof of (2.1). Section 3 completes the proof of Theorem I. Section 4 is mainly a study of the homotopy classes of piecewise-linear homeomorphisms of a homotopy lens space. In the last section, we complete the proof of Theorem II.

---

Received October 27, 1969.

The author was partially supported by NSF Grant 7952X.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).

1. NONSIMPLY-CONNECTED SURGERY

In this section, we give a brief outline of the Browder-Novikov theorem from the point of view of nonsimply-connected surgery.

For a closed, piecewise-linear manifold  $M$ , Sullivan defines a simple homotopy triangulation of  $M$  to be a simple homotopy equivalence  $f: L \rightarrow M$ , where  $L$  is another closed, piecewise-linear manifold. Two homotopy triangulations  $(L_0, f_0)$  and  $(L_1, f_1)$  are equivalent if there exists a piecewise-linear homeomorphism  $h: L_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_1$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0 & & \\ & \searrow f_0 & \\ & & M \\ & \simeq & \nearrow f_1 \\ & \downarrow h & \\ L_1 & & \end{array}$$

commutes, up to homotopy. We denote the set of equivalence classes of simple homotopy triangulations of  $M$  by  $\mathcal{Pht}(M)$ .

Let  $G/PL$  be the fibre of the natural map  $B_{PL} \rightarrow B_G$ . A map  $g: X \rightarrow G/PL$  consists of a piecewise-linear  $n$ -disk bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  and an  $F$ -trivialization  $t$ . That is, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{t} & D^N \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

where  $t$  induces a homotopy equivalence of each fibre pair  $(p^{-1}(x), \partial p^{-1}(x))$  ( $x \in X$ ) with  $(D^N, \partial D^N)$ . Two  $G/PL$ -bundles  $(\xi_0, t_0)$  and  $(\xi_1, t_1)$  are equivalent if there exist a  $G/PL$ -bundle  $(\xi, t)$  over  $X \times I$  and bundle isomorphisms  $f_j: \xi_j \simeq \xi \mid X \times j$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ) such that  $tf_j \sim t_j$ .

Suppose that  $X = M^k$  is a manifold. By making  $t: E(\xi) \rightarrow D^N$  transverse regular to  $0 \in D^N$ , we may assume that there is a framed submanifold  $L^k \times R^N \subset E(\xi)$  such that

$$t \mid L \times R: L^k \times R^N \rightarrow D^N = R^N$$

is a projection onto the second factor and  $t(E(\xi) \setminus L \times R) = \partial D^N$ , and such that the map  $\pi: L^k \rightarrow M^k$ , induced by the projection  $\pi: E(\xi) \rightarrow M^k$ , is a map of degree one. If  $(\xi, t)$  and  $(\xi', t')$  are equivalent to each other, then the framed manifolds  $L \times R^N \subset E(\xi)$  and  $L' \times R^N \subset E(\xi')$ , given by  $(\xi, t)$  and  $(\xi', t')$ , are framed cobordant to each other. Thus for each  $L^k \times R^N$  in  $E(\xi)$ , we can do framed surgery on  $L^k \times R^N$  in  $E(\xi)$  and try to make  $\pi: L^k \rightarrow M^k$  a simple homotopy equivalence. According to the theory of nonsimply-connected surgery developed by Wall (see [7]), there is an obstruction in the group  $L_k(\pi_1 M)$ . This gives us the following maps:

$$s: [M; G/PL] \rightarrow L_k(\pi_1 M);$$

$$s': [M \times I, M \times \partial I; G/PL, *] \rightarrow L_{k+1}(\pi_1 M).$$

Next, for a prescribed simple homotopy triangulation  $f: L^k \rightarrow M^k$ , let  $\xi$  denote the piecewise-linear bundle stably equivalent to  $\bar{f}^* \tau_L - \tau_M$  over  $M$ , where  $\tau_L$  and  $\tau_M$  are the tangent bundles and  $\bar{f}$  is a homotopy inverse of  $f$ . Deform  $f$  to an embedding of  $L^k$  into the total space  $E(\xi)$  of  $\xi$ . One sees by an easy computation that  $L^k$  has a trivial normal bundle in  $\xi$ . The choice of a framing  $L^k \times \mathbb{R}^N \subset E(\xi)$  determines a map  $\theta(L^k, f): M^k \rightarrow G/PL$  (see [6]). The notion of equivalence of  $G/PL$ -bundles guarantees that the homotopy class of  $\theta(L^k, f)$  is independent of all the choices made. Thus we have constructed a sequence

$$\mathcal{H}t(M^k) \xrightarrow{\theta} [M^k, G/PL] \xrightarrow{S} L_k(\pi_1 M),$$

which is exact as a sequence of pointed sets.

Finally, there exists an action of  $L_{k+1}(\pi_1 M)$  on  $\mathcal{H}t(M^k)$  defined as follows: choose  $(L^k, f) \in \mathcal{H}t(M^k)$  and an element  $x \in L_{k+1}(\pi_1 M)$ ; then there exists a triple  $(W, \phi, F)$ , where  $\phi$  is a map of degree one of the triads  $(W, \partial W) \rightarrow (M \times I, M \times \partial I)$ ,  $(\partial_+ W, \phi|_{\partial_+ W}) = (L, f)$ ,  $\phi|_{\partial_- W}$  is a simple homotopy equivalence,  $F$  is a stable framing for  $\nu_W \oplus \phi^* \tau_M$ , and the surgery obstruction satisfies the condition  $\theta(W, \phi, F) = x$ . Define the action of  $x$  on  $(L^k, f)$  to be  $(\partial_- W, \phi|_{\partial_- W})$ . Combining this with the sequence above, we have the following exact sequence of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L_{k+1}(\pi_1 M) & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathcal{H}t(M) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [M; G/PL] & \xrightarrow{S} & L_k(\pi_1 M) \\ & & & & \swarrow s' & & \\ & & & & [M \times I, M \times \partial I; G/PL, *] & & \end{array}$$

For further information and references about nonsimply-connected surgery, see Wall [7].

## 2. THE GROUP $[L^n(p); G/PL]$

In this section we shall prove the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION (2.1).** *The map  $s: [L^n(p); G/PL] \rightarrow L_{2n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is always zero, provided that  $n$  is odd.*

First, consider the  $S^1$ -action  $(S^1, S^{2n+1})$  on  $S^{2n+1}$  defined by the condition  $c \cdot (c_0, \dots, c_n) = (cc_0, \dots, cc_n)$ . Since  $L^n(p)$  is the orbit space of the action of the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -subgroup in  $S^1$ , there exists an  $S^1$ -fibration

$$\pi: L^n(p) \rightarrow CP(n).$$

Suppose we have a homotopy triangulation  $(M, f)$  of complex projective space  $CP^n$ . Pull back this  $S^1$ -fibration by  $f$  to get a simple homotopy triangulation of  $L^n(p)$ . Denote this by  $\pi!(M, f)$ . Clearly this yields a well-defined map

$$\pi!: \mathcal{H}t(CP(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}t(L^n(p)).$$

Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{H}t(L^n(p)) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [L^n(p); G/PL] \\
 \uparrow \pi! & & \uparrow \pi^* \\
 \mathcal{H}t(CP(n)) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [CP(n); G/PL]
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Next, let  $L^n(p)_0$  be  $L^n(p)$  with a disk  $D^{2n+1}$  removed. It is easy to see that  $L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0$  is of the same homotopy type as  $S^{2n-1} \cup_p e^{2n}$ , where  $p$  denotes a map of degree  $p$  from  $\partial e^{2n}$  to  $S^{2n-1}$ . The exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 [S^{2n-1}; G/PL] \leftarrow [S^{2n-1}; G/PL] \leftarrow [L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL] \\
 \leftarrow [S^{2n}; G/PL] \leftarrow [S^{2n}; G/PL] \leftarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$[L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

The same sequence also shows that  $[L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; B_{G/PL}]$  is always zero.

LEMMA (2.2). *For every  $n$ ,  $[L^n(p)_0; G/PL]$  is a  $p$ -group.*

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \leftarrow [L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; B_{G/PL}] \leftarrow [L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL] \\
 \leftarrow [L^n(p)_0; G/PL] \leftarrow [L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL] \leftarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; B_{G/PL}]$  is always zero, the group  $[L^n(p)_0; G/PL]$  is either isomorphic to  $[L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL]$  or to an extension of  $[L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL]$  by a cyclic  $p$ -group. Lemma (2.2) follows immediately from induction on  $n$ .

LEMMA (2.3).  $[L^n(p); G/PL] \cong [L^n(p)_0; G/PL]$ .

*Proof.* There exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \leftarrow [S^{2n+2}; G/PL] \leftarrow [L^n(p)_0; G/PL] \leftarrow [L^{n-1}(p); G/PL] \\
 \leftarrow [S^{2n+1}; G/PL] \leftarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[S^{2n+2}; G/PL]$  is  $p$ -torsion free, the homomorphism on the left-hand side of the sequence above is always zero. On the other hand,  $[S^{2n+1}; G/PL] \cong 0$ . Lemma (2.3) follows.

LEMMA (2.4).  $\pi^*: [CP(n); G/PL] \rightarrow [L^n(p)_0; G/PL]$  is a surjection.

*Proof.* First, we observe that  $CP(n)/CP(n-1)$  is of the homotopy type of  $S^{2n}$ . The projection map

$$\pi: L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0 \rightarrow CP(n)/CP(n-1)$$

induced by  $\pi: L^n(p)_0 \rightarrow CP(n)$  is homotopic to the map that collapses  $S^{2n-1}$  in  $S^{2n-1} \cup_p e^{2n} (\sim L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0)$  to a point. From this, it follows that

$$\pi^*: [\mathbb{C}P(n)/\mathbb{C}P(n-1); G/PL] \rightarrow [L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL]$$

is always a surjective map.

Now, we assume by induction that

$$\pi^*: [\mathbb{C}P(n-1); G/PL] \rightarrow [L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL]$$

is a surjective map. Chasing the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & [L^n(p)_0/L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL] & \longrightarrow & [L^n(p)_0; G/PL] & \longrightarrow & [L^{n-1}(p)_0; G/PL] & \longrightarrow \\ & \uparrow \pi^* & & \uparrow \pi^* & & \uparrow \pi^* & \\ \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}P(n)/\mathbb{C}P(n-1); G/PL] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}P(n); G/PL] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}P(n-1); G/PL] & \longrightarrow, \end{array}$$

we see that

$$\pi^*: [\mathbb{C}P(n); G/PL] \rightarrow [L^n(p)_0; G/PL]$$

is a surjective map. This proves Lemma (2.4).

LEMMA (2.5) (Sullivan). *For a simply-connected manifold M of dimension  $4k+2$ , the map*

$$s: [M^{4k+2}; G/PL] \rightarrow L_{4k+2}(1) (\cong \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is a group homomorphism. In particular, for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , the map

$$s: [\mathbb{C}P(n); G/PL] \rightarrow L_{2n}(1)$$

is a group homomorphism.

*Proof.* There exists a cohomology class  $K \in H^{4k+2}(G/PL; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that if  $f: M \rightarrow G/PL$  is an element of  $[M; G/PL]$ , then

$$s(f) = \langle W(M) \cup f^*(K); [M] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where  $W(M)$  is the total Wu class. Lemma (2.5) follows immediately from the fact that  $K$  is a primitive class (see [6]).

Now, we are in a position to prove (2.1).

*Proof of (2.1).* Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H}t(L^n(p)) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL] & \xrightarrow{s} & L_{4k+3}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \\ \uparrow \pi! & & \uparrow \pi^* & & \\ \mathcal{H}t(\mathbb{C}P(n)) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [\mathbb{C}P(n); G/PL] & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

Let  $g$  be an element of  $[L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL]$ . Then by (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4), there exists an element  $g'$  of  $[\mathbb{C}P(n); G/PL]$  such that  $\pi^*(2g') = g$ . But since  $s: [\mathbb{C}P(n); G/PL] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a homomorphism, we have that  $s(2g') = 0$ . Therefore  $2g'$  can be represented by a simple homotopy triangulation of  $\mathbb{C}P(n)$ . It follows from the diagram above that  $s(g) = 0$ . The proof of (2.1) is complete.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM I

Let  $L_n(1)$  denote the obstruction group of simply-connected surgery. General arguments show that  $L_n(1)$  is a direct summand of  $L_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . The reduced surgery obstruction group  $L_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$  in Theorem I is defined to be the kernel of the projection map  $L_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow L_n(1)$ .

PROPOSITION (3.1).

(1)  $[L^n(p) \times I, L^n(p) \times \partial I; G/PL, *] \simeq \pi_{2n+2}(G/PL) \cong L_{2n+2}(1)$ .

(2) *Let*

$$s': [L^n(p) \times I, L^n(p) \times \partial I; G/PL, *] \rightarrow L_{2n+2}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

be defined as in Section 1. Then  $\text{Im } s' = L_{2n+2}(1) \subset L_{2n+2}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: (L \times I, L \times \partial I) \rightarrow (D^{2n+2}, \partial D)$  be a map of degree one. Since  $H^i(L \times I, L \times \partial I; \pi_i(G/PL)) = 0$  for  $i \neq 2n+2$ , the group  $[L \times I, L \times \partial I; G/PL, *]$  is generated by the image of the map

$$\phi^*: [D, \partial D; G/PL, *] \rightarrow [L \times I, L \times \partial I; G/PL, *].$$

As is well known, the group  $[D^{2n+2}, \partial D; G/PL, *]$  is determined by the formula

$$[D^{2n+2}, \partial D; G/PL, *] = \pi_{2n+2}(G/PL) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Represent an element  $x \in [D, \partial D; G/PL, *]$  ( $\simeq \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ ) by a Kervaire-Milnor manifold  $(M, \phi, F)$ , where  $x$  is the corresponding index or Arf-invariant. Its image  $\phi^*(x)$  in  $[L \times I, L \times \partial I; G/PL, *]$  can be represented by the connected sum of  $(M, \phi, F)$  with the trivial cobordism  $(W, \phi, F)$ , where  $W = L \times I$ ,  $\phi = \text{id}: W \rightarrow L \times I$ , and  $F$  is the natural framing of  $\tau_W \oplus \phi^* \nu_{L \times I}$ . Obviously,

$$s'((W, \phi, F) \# (M, \phi, F)) = s(M, \phi, F) = x.$$

Hence  $[L \times I, L \times \partial I; G/PL, *]$  is isomorphic to  $L_{2n+2}(1)$  under the map

$$s': [L \times I, L \times \partial I; G/PL, *] \rightarrow L_{2n+2}(1) \subset L_{2n+2}(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

This completes the proof of (3.1).

Now Theorem I is an immediate consequence of Propositions (2.1) and (3.1).

*Proof of Theorem I.* Recall that we have the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathcal{Pht}(L^{2k+1}(p)) \xrightarrow{\theta} [L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL] \xrightarrow{s} L_{4k+3}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \\ \swarrow \hspace{15em} \searrow s' \\ \hspace{20em} [L \times I, L \times \partial I; G/PL, *] .$$

Since (2.1) shows that the map  $s$  on the right-hand side of the sequence is always zero, and since (3.1) shows that  $\text{Im } s' = L_{4k+4}(1)$ , we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathcal{G}ht(L^{2k+1}(p)) \xrightarrow{\theta} [L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL] \rightarrow 0 .$$

This proves Theorem I.

*Remark (3.2).* It follows from the results of D. Sullivan (see [6]) that  $[L^n(p); G/PL]$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative subgroup of the virtual line bundles of  $KO(L^n(p))$ , modulo 2-torsions. This multiplicative structure has been completely determined by T. Kambe in [1].

*Remark (3.3).* To determine the group  $L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$ , Wall has shown that there exist a number of invariants that can detect a nonzero element of  $L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$ . Recent results of T. Petrie show that such invariants can be realized and that

$$L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim \cong \left[ \frac{p-1}{2} \right] \mathbb{Z} .$$

#### 4. HOMEOMORPHISMS

Throughout this section, a  $(4k + 3)$ -manifold is called a homotopy lens space if it has the simple homotopy type of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ . In this section, we study the homotopy classes of piecewise-linear homeomorphisms of a homotopy lens space.

First, we need the following well-known facts.

LEMMA (4.1). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be maps of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$  into itself. If  $f$  and  $g$  induce the same map on the fundamental group and on the top homology group of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ , in other words, if*

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &= g_*: \pi_1(L^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow \pi_1(L^{2k+1}(p)) \quad \text{and} \\ f_* &= g_*: H_{4k+3}(L^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow H_{4k+3}(L^{2k+1}(p)) , \end{aligned}$$

then  $f$  is homotopic to  $g$ .

For proof, see E. H. Spanier [5, p. 451, Theorem 10].

LEMMA (4.2). *If  $a \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ , then there exists no simple homotopy equivalence of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$  to itself such that the map induced on the fundamental group sends  $t$  to  $t^a$ .*

*Proof of (4.2).* Denote by  $\Delta$  the torsion of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ , and let  $N \subset \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the kernel of the canonical homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  that carries the generator  $t$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to  $+1$ . It is well known (see Milnor [3]) that

$$\Delta = (t - 1)^{2k+1} \in U(N)/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

and that  $\Delta$  is preserved by simple homotopy equivalence. Suppose there exists a simple homotopy equivalence  $\gamma: L^{2k+1}(p) \rightarrow L^{2k+1}(p)$  such that

$$\gamma_*(t) = t^a ,$$

where  $a \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $\gamma_*\Delta = \Delta$ , we have the relation

$$(t - 1)^{2k+1} \sim (t^a - 1)^{2k+1} .$$

This, of course, contradicts the Franz Independence Lemma (see Milnor [3]). Lemma (4.2) follows.

Let  $c: L^{2k+1}(p) \simeq L^{2k+1}(p)$  be the diffeomorphism defined by conjugation of complex coordinates. Then

$$c_* = -\text{id}: \pi_1(L^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow \pi_1(L^{2k+1}(p)).$$

By (4.2) and (4.1), every piecewise-linear homeomorphism of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$  is homotopic to either the identity or  $c$ .

Next we prove that a piecewise-linear homeomorphism similar to  $c: L^{2k+1}(p) \rightarrow L^{2k+1}(p)$  always exists for a homotopy lens space.

**THEOREM (4.3).** *Every homotopy lens space  $L$  admits a piecewise-linear homeomorphism  $\gamma: L \simeq L$  such that*

$$(4.4) \quad \gamma_* = -\text{id}: \pi_1 L \rightarrow \pi_1 L.$$

This result is essentially equivalent to the following theorem.

**THEOREM (4.5).** *Let  $c: L^{2k+1}(p) \simeq L^{2k+1}(p)$  be the piecewise-linear homeomorphism defined as before. Define  $c_*: \mathcal{Ht}(L^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Ht}(L^{2k+1}(p))$  by the formula*

$$c_*(L, f) = (L, c \circ f),$$

for every  $(L, f) \in \mathcal{Ht}(L^{2k+1}(p))$ . Then  $c_* = \text{id}$ .

The proof of (4.5) will be based on the following lemmas.

**LEMMA (4.6).** *Let  $\theta$  be defined as in Section 1. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Ht}(L^{2k+1}(p)) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL] \\ \downarrow c_* & & \downarrow c_* \\ \mathcal{Ht}(L^{2k+1}(p)) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & [L^{2k+1}(p); G/PL] \end{array}$$

commutes.

**LEMMA (4.7).** *Let  $c: CP(n) \simeq CP(n)$  be the diffeomorphism defined by the conjugation of complex coordinates. Then*

$$c^* = \text{id}: [CP(n); G/PL] \rightarrow [CP(n); G/PL].$$

The proof of (4.6) is a routine matter, and we leave it to the reader. The proof of (4.7) is easy and follows directly from the computation of the group  $[CP(n); G/PL]$  (see Sullivan [6]).

*Remark (4.8).* From the theory of simply-connected surgery, it is known that the map

$$\theta: \mathcal{Ht}(CP(n)) \rightarrow [CP(n); G/PL],$$

defined in Section 2, is an injection. Thus, by (4.7), every homotopy complex projective space  $HCP(n)$  admits a piecewise-linear homeomorphism  $\gamma$  such that



$$\gamma_* = -\text{id}: H^2(\text{HCP}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(\text{HCP}(n)) .$$

Since  $p^*: [\text{CP}(n); \text{G/PL}] \rightarrow [\text{L}^{2k+1}(p); \text{G/PL}]$  is a surjection, we have that for each element  $a$  of  $[\text{L}^{2k+1}(p); \text{G/PL}]$  there exists a simple homotopy triangulation  $(L, f)$  with  $\theta(L, f) = a$ , and  $L$  satisfies Theorem (4.3).

LEMMA (4.9). *Let  $(L, f)$  be a simple homotopy triangulation of  $\text{L}^{2k+1}(p)$  with  $c_*(L, f) = (L, f)$ . Let*

$$\chi: \text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{H}t(\text{L}^{2k+1}(p))$$

be the injection defined by the action of the group  $\text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$  on  $(L, f)$ , as in Section 1. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathcal{H}t(\text{L}^{2k+1}(p)) \\ \downarrow (-\text{id})_* & & \downarrow c_* \\ \text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathcal{H}t(\text{L}^{2k+1}(p)) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof of (4.9).* Let  $a$  be an element of  $\text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Represent  $\chi(a)$  by a simple homotopy triangulation  $(\partial_+ W, \phi | \partial_+ W)$ , as in Section 1. More precisely, we have the cobordism  $(W, \phi, F)$ , where

$$\phi: (W; \partial_+ W, \partial_- W) \rightarrow \text{L}^{2k+1}(p) \times (I; 0, 1)$$

is a map of degree one, and  $F$  is a stable framing of the bundle  $\tau_W \oplus \phi^* \nu$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $(\partial_- W, \phi | \partial_- W) = (L, f)$  in  $\mathcal{H}t(\text{L}^{2k+1}(p))$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi | \partial_+ W$  is a simple homotopy equivalence;
- (iii)  $\theta(W, \phi, F) = a$ .

By definition,  $(\partial_+ W, c \circ \phi | \partial_+ W)$  is a simple homotopy triangulation that represents the element  $c_*(a)$ . Note that

- (i)  $(\partial_- W, c \circ \phi | \partial_- W) = (L, c \circ f) = (L, f)$ ;
- (ii)  $(\partial_- W, c \circ \phi | \partial_- W)$  is connected with  $(\partial_+ W, c \circ \phi | \partial_+ W)$  by the cobordism  $(W, c \circ \phi, F)$ .

Because  $c_* = -\text{id}: \pi_1(\text{L}^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{L}^{2k+1}(p))$ , we have the relations

$$\theta(W, c \circ \phi, F) = (c_*)_* \theta(W, \phi, F) = (-\text{id})_*(a) .$$

This shows that  $c_* \circ \chi(a) = \chi \circ (-\text{id})_*(a)$ , and the proof of Lemma (4.9) is complete.

LEMMA (4.10). *Let  $-\text{id}$  denote the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  that sends every element  $t$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to  $t^{-1}$ . Then the induced map*

$$(-\text{id})_*: \text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim \rightarrow \text{L}_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$$

is the identity.

The proof of (4.10) requires a different technique, and we shall omit it. For full details, we refer the reader to a forthcoming paper by Petrie (see [4]).

*Proof of (4.3) and (4.5).* Let  $\xi$  be an element of  $\mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p))$ . By (4.8), there exists a simple homotopy triangulation  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p))$  with the property that

$$c_*(\eta) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(\eta) = \theta(\xi).$$

Thus we can think of  $\xi$  as  $\chi(a)$ , where  $a$  is some element of  $L_{4k+4}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\sim$  and  $\chi$  is defined as in (4.9). Now Lemmas (4.9) and (4.10) imply that  $c_*(\xi) = \xi$ . This completes the proof of (4.5).

Next suppose we have a prescribed homotopy lens space  $L$ . Let  $f: L \rightarrow L^{2k+1}(p)$  be a simple homotopy equivalence. Since  $(L, f) = (L, c \circ f)$ , there exists a piecewise-linear homeomorphism  $\gamma: L \rightarrow L$  such that  $f \circ \gamma = c \circ f$ . Clearly, we have that

$$\gamma_* = -\text{id}: \pi_1(L) \rightarrow \pi_1(L).$$

This completes the proof of (4.3).

### 5. FREE $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -ACTIONS ON $S^{4k+3}$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem II.

**PROPOSITION (5.1).** *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p))$  and the set of piecewise-linearly distinct homotopy lens spaces.*

*Proof of (5.1).* Every simple homotopy triangulation of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$  yields a homotopy lens space. Suppose  $(L, f)$  and  $(L, f')$  yield the same lens space  $L$ . By (4.1) and (4.2), the simple homotopy equivalence  $f \circ f'^{-1}: L \rightarrow L$  is either homotopic to the identity or to  $\gamma$  in (4.3). In either case,  $f \circ f'^{-1}$  can be deformed to a piecewise-linear homeomorphism, and thus  $(L, f) = (L, f')$ . This completes the proof of (5.1).

*Proof of Theorem II.* Let  $A(S^{4k+3}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  denote the set of equivalence classes of free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -actions on  $S^{4k+3}$  whose orbit spaces have the same simple homotopy type as  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ . First observe that there exists a natural map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p)) \rightarrow A(S^{4k+3}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

defined as follows. Let  $f: M \rightarrow L^{2k+1}(p)$  be a simple homotopy triangulation in  $L^{2k+1}(p)$ . Pull back under  $f$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -bundle  $S^{4k+3} \rightarrow L^{2k+1}(p)$  to get a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -action on the universal covering space  $M^\sim (\cong S^{4k+3})$  of  $M$ . Clearly,  $\Phi(M, f) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, M)$  is well-defined, since  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, M)$  depends only on the equivalence class of  $(M, f)$  in  $\mathcal{H}t(L^{2k+1}(p))$ .

Next let  $T: \mathbb{Z}_p \times S^{4k+3} \rightarrow S^{4k+3}$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -action on  $S^{4k+3}$ , and let  $q$  be an integer between 0 and  $p - 1$ . Define another action  $T_q: \mathbb{Z}_p \times S^{4k+3} \rightarrow S^{4k+3}$  by the relation

$$T_q(t^i, x) = T(t^{i+q}, x),$$

where  $t$  is the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $x \in S^{4k+3}$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -action  $(T_q, S^{4k+3})$  is called the  $q$ th power of  $(T, S^{4k+3})$ . Clearly,  $(T_q, S^{4k+3})$  and  $(T, S^{4k+3})$  have the same orbit space.

Define  $\Phi: \mathcal{Ht}(L^{4k+3}(p)) \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow A(S^{4k+3}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  by the formula

$$\Phi(\xi \times q) = \text{the } q\text{th power of } \Phi(\xi),$$

where  $\xi \in \mathcal{Ht}(L^{4k+3}(p))$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi$  is onto, and by (4.3), that  $\Phi(\xi, q) = \Phi(\xi, p - q)$ . It remains to show that  $\Phi(\xi, q) = \Phi(\xi', q')$  only if  $\xi = \xi'$  and either  $q = q'$  or  $q = p - q'$ . By (5.1), we can easily rule out the case  $\xi \neq \xi'$ . Suppose  $q \neq q'$  or  $q \neq p - q'$ . Then one can find a simple homotopy equivalence of  $L^{2k+1}(p)$  into itself that is not homotopic to the identity or the map  $c$ . This contradicts (4.2). The proof of Theorem II is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Kambe, *The structure of  $K_\Lambda$ -rings of the lens space and their applications*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 135-146.
2. R. Lee, *The Wall group  $L_3 \Lambda$  of a ring* (to appear).
3. J. Milnor, *Whitehead torsion*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 358-426.
4. T. Petrie, *The Atiyah-Singer invariant* (to appear).
5. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
6. D. Sullivan, *Geometric topology seminar notes*. Mimeographed Notes. University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
7. C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds* (to appear).

The Institute for Advanced Study  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

