

# REPRESENTATION RINGS

Irving Reiner

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Lambda$  be a ring with unity element. By a  $\Lambda$ -module we shall always mean a finitely generated unitary left  $\Lambda$ -module. If  $C$  is some category of  $\Lambda$ -modules, we may associate with  $C$  an abelian additive group  $a(C)$ , generated by the set of symbols  $\{[M]: M \in C\}$ , with relations  $[M] = [M'] + [M'']$  whenever  $M \cong M' \oplus M''$ . From this definition it follows at once that  $[M] = [N]$  in  $a(C)$  if and only if there exists a module  $X \in C$  such that  $M \oplus X \cong N \oplus X$ .

In particular, suppose that  $\Lambda$  is the group ring  $RG$  of a finite group  $G$  over an integral domain  $R$ . Take  $C$  to be the category of  $R$ -torsion-free  $RG$ -modules, and define multiplication in  $a(C)$  by means of

$$[M][N] = [M \otimes_R N] \quad (M, N \in C).$$

Then  $a(C)$  becomes a commutative ring, hereafter denoted by  $a(RG)$  and called the *representation ring* of  $RG$ . Such rings have been studied in [4] to [7], and in [10].

Now let  $Z$  be the ring of rational integers, and let  $G$  be a group of order  $n$ . Define

$$Z' = \{a/b: a, b \in Z, (b, n) = 1\}.$$

Then  $Z'$  is a semilocal ring, useful in the study of indecomposable  $ZG$ -modules. The purpose of the present note is to investigate the relationship between the representation rings  $a(ZG)$  and  $a(Z'G)$ , and to settle a conjecture raised at the end of [6].

Two  $Z$ -free  $ZG$ -modules  $M, N$  are said to lie in the same *genus* (notation:  $M \vee N$ ) if and only if  $Z' \otimes M \cong Z' \otimes N$ . (The original definition of genus, as well as its equivalence with the above definition, may be found in [3]. See also [1, Section 81].)

In this note it will be shown that, as additive groups,

$$(1) \quad a(ZG) \cong b(ZG) \oplus a(Z'G),$$

where  $b(ZG)$  is some finite additive group which is an ideal in the ring  $a(ZG)$ . Explicitly,

$$(2) \quad b(ZG) = \{[F] - [P]: F = \text{free } ZG\text{-module, } P \vee F\}.$$

We easily deduce that

$$(3) \quad b(ZG) = \{[ZG] - [P]: P \vee ZG\},$$

---

Received November 28, 1966.

This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

from which the finiteness of  $b(ZG)$  is an immediate consequence. As in [13], one shows that

$$(4) \quad \{b(ZG)\}^2 = 0 \quad \text{in } a(ZG).$$

Finally, we remark that  $b(ZG)$  is a quotient of the reduced projective class group  $c(ZG)$  defined in [8] and [11].

## 2. MODULES OVER ORDERS

Throughout this section,  $R$  is a Dedekind ring with quotient field  $K$ , and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a finite-dimensional separable algebra over  $K$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $R$ -order in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $C_f(\Lambda)$  be the category of  $R$ -torsion-free  $\Lambda$ -modules. For a prime ideal  $p$  in  $R$ , denote by  $R_p$  the localization of  $R$  at  $p$ , and by  $R_p^*$  the  $p$ -adic completion of  $R_p$ . We set

$$\Lambda_p = R_p \otimes_R \Lambda, \quad \Lambda_p^* = R_p^* \otimes_R \Lambda.$$

Finally, let  $\Lambda^{(k)}$  denote the direct sum of  $k$  copies of  $\Lambda$ .

As was shown by Higman [2] (see [1, (75.11)]), there exists a nonzero ideal  $i(\Lambda)$  in  $R$  such that

$$i(\Lambda) \cdot \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(A, B) = 0$$

for all  $\Lambda$ -modules  $A$  and  $B$ , provided only that  $A$  is  $R$ -torsion-free. Now define

$$R' = \bigcap_{p \supset i(\Lambda)} R_p, \quad \Lambda' = R' \otimes_R \Lambda.$$

Two modules  $M, N \in C_f(\Lambda)$  are in the *same genus* (notation:  $M \vee N$ ) if  $R_p^* M \cong R_p^* N$  for all  $p$ . As in [3] or [1, Section 81],  $M \vee N$  if and only if  $R' M \cong R' N$ . (In case  $i(\Lambda) = R$ , the ring  $R'$  is chosen to be the field  $K$ .) Equivalently,  $M \vee N$  if and only if for each ideal  $q$  in  $R$  there exists a  $\Lambda$ -monomorphism  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$  such that

$$q + \text{ann}(N/\phi M) = R.$$

Here,

$$\text{ann}(N/\phi M) = \{ \alpha \in R: \alpha \cdot N \subset \phi M \}.$$

Now let  $a(\Lambda)$  be the additive group associated with the category  $C_f(\Lambda)$ , and define  $a(\Lambda')$ ,  $a(\Lambda_p^*)$  analogously. There is an additive homomorphism

$$\tau: a(\Lambda') \rightarrow \prod_{p \supset i(\Lambda)} a(\Lambda_p^*),$$

defined by

$$\tau[M'] = \prod_{p \supset i(\Lambda)} [R_p^* M'] \quad (M' \in C_f(\Lambda')).$$

Since the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds for  $\Lambda_p^*$ -modules (see [1, (76.25)]), it follows that for each  $p$  the additive group  $a(\Lambda_p^*)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -free, with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis

$$\{[Y]: Y \in C_f(\Lambda_p^*), Y \text{ indecomposable}\}.$$

Furthermore, the results in [3] show that  $\tau$  is monic. Thus  $a(\Lambda')$  is embedded in a finite direct product of  $Z$ -free  $Z$ -modules, and therefore  $a(\Lambda')$  is also  $Z$ -free.

(In the trivial case where  $i(\Lambda) = R$ , the above discussion breaks down. However, in this case  $R' = K$ , and  $\Lambda' = \mathfrak{A}$ , so it is clear that  $a(\Lambda')$  is  $Z$ -free.)

The next result is a special case of a general theorem due to Roiter [9], and we give a simple proof for this case.

LEMMA. *Let  $M, N \in C_f(\Lambda)$ , and suppose that  $M \vee N$ . Then there exist a positive integer  $k$  and a module  $P \in C_f(\Lambda)$  such that*

$$M \oplus \Lambda^{(k)} \cong N \oplus P.$$

Furthermore,  $P \vee \Lambda^{(k)}$ , and  $P$  is a projective  $\Lambda$ -module.

*Proof.* Since  $M \vee N$ , there exists a  $\Lambda$ -monomorphism  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$  such that

$$i(\Lambda) + \text{ann}(N/\phi M) = R.$$

Hence there is an exact sequence of  $\Lambda$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{h} T \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $T$  is an  $R$ -torsion  $\Lambda$ -module such that  $i(\Lambda) + \text{ann } T = R$ . Let us write

$$1 = \alpha + \beta \quad (\alpha \in i(\Lambda), \beta \in \text{ann } T).$$

Then  $h = (1 - \beta)h = \alpha h$ .

Now let

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{u} T \rightarrow 0$$

be any exact sequence of  $\Lambda$ -modules. Then there is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules:

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, C) \xrightarrow{u^*} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, T) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(N, B).$$

Since  $\alpha \in i(\Lambda)$ , we see that

$$\delta(h) = \delta(\alpha h) = \alpha \delta(h) = 0,$$

and therefore  $h$  lies in the image of  $u^*$ . We have thus shown that each diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N & & \\ & & \downarrow h & & \\ C & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

whose bottom row is exact can be completed to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & N & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow h \\
 C & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

In particular, choose a free module  $\Lambda^{(k)}$  mapping onto  $T$ , and let  $P$  be the kernel of the map. Then there exists a map  $N \rightarrow \Lambda^{(k)}$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N & \xrightarrow{h} & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 & & \\
 \Lambda^{(k)} & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since the same argument also yields a map  $\Lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow N$ , we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow 1 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{(k)} & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

It follows then from the method of proof of Schanuel's lemma (see [12], for example) that

$$M \oplus \Lambda^{(k)} \cong N \oplus P,$$

as desired.

The above isomorphism immediately implies that  $P \vee \Lambda^{(k)}$ , since for each  $p$  we have

$$R_p^* M \oplus \Lambda_p^{*(k)} \cong R_p^* N \oplus R_p^* P,$$

and therefore (by virtue of the Krull-Schmidt theorem for  $\Lambda_p^*$ -modules) we may conclude that

$$\Lambda_p^{*(k)} \cong R_p^* P.$$

This also shows that  $P$  is  $\Lambda$ -projective ([1, (77.1)]).

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M \vee N$ , where  $M, N \in C_f(\Lambda)$ . Then there exist a positive integer  $k$  and a projective  $\Lambda$ -module  $P_1$  in the same genus as  $\Lambda$ , such that*

$$M \oplus \Lambda^{(k)} \cong N \oplus P_1 \oplus \Lambda^{(k-1)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  and  $k$  be as in the preceding lemma. Since  $P \vee \Lambda^{(k)}$ , the method of Swan [11] (see [1, (78.5)]) can be used to show that

$$P \cong \Lambda^{(k-1)} \oplus P_1$$

for some projective  $\Lambda$ -module  $P_1$  in the same genus as  $\Lambda$ .

Let us now define a mapping  $\mu: a(\Lambda) \rightarrow a(\Lambda')$  by setting

$$\mu[M] = [R'M] \quad (M \in C_f(\Lambda)).$$

Then  $\mu$  is well-defined and is an additive homomorphism. It is easily seen that  $\mu$  is an epimorphism (for example, see [13] or [1, (73.5)]). If we denote the kernel of  $\mu$  by  $b(\Lambda)$ , then there is an exact sequence of additive groups:

$$0 \rightarrow b(\Lambda) \rightarrow a(\Lambda) \rightarrow a(\Lambda') \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $a(\Lambda')$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -free, the sequence splits, and thus

$$a(\Lambda) \cong b(\Lambda) \oplus a(\Lambda')$$

as additive groups.

Furthermore, let  $[M] - [N] \in b(\Lambda)$ , where  $M, N \in C_f(\Lambda)$ . Then  $[R'M] = [R'N]$  in  $a(\Lambda')$ , and so for each  $p$ ,  $[R_p^*M] = [R_p^*N]$  in  $a(\Lambda_p^*)$ . Since the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds for  $\Lambda_p^*$ -modules, the last equality implies that  $R_p^*M \cong R_p^*N$  for each  $p$ , and thus  $M \vee N$ . We may then apply the preceding corollary, obtaining the relation

$$[M] - [N] = [P_1] - [\Lambda] \quad \text{in } a(\Lambda).$$

We have therefore shown that

$$b(\Lambda) = \{ [\Lambda] - [P_1] : P_1 \vee \Lambda \}.$$

Suppose now that the number of ideal classes in  $R$  is finite, and that for each (nonzero) prime ideal  $p$  of  $R$  the residue class field  $R/p$  is finite. Then the Jordan-Zassenhaus theorem is applicable (see [1, Section 79]), and so the number of isomorphism classes of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $P_1$  in the same genus as  $\Lambda$  is finite. Thus, in this case, the group  $b(\Lambda)$  is finite.

### 3. MODULES OVER GROUP RINGS

We now take  $\Lambda = RG$ , where  $G$  is a finite group of order  $n$ , and  $R$  is the ring of all algebraic integers in some algebraic number field  $K$ . As shown in [2] (see [1, Section 75]), the Higman ideal  $i(RG)$  is precisely the principal ideal  $nR$ .

As we remarked in the introduction,  $a(RG)$  and  $a(R'G)$  are rings, and it is obvious that the maps  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  of Section 2 are ring homomorphisms. Thus,  $b(RG)$  is not only a finite additive group, but it is also an ideal in  $a(RG)$ .

We have now established formulas (1) to (3) of Section 1, and we proceed to sketch the proof of (4), as found in [13]. We shall show that  $\{b(RG)\}^2 = 0$  in  $a(RG)$ . Let

$$M_i, N_i \in C_f(RG), \quad M_i \vee N_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

There exist exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow 0 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

with  $T_1$  and  $T_2$   $R$ -torsion  $RG$ -modules such that

$$(5) \quad \text{ann } T_1 + \text{ann } T_2 = R, \quad \text{ann } T_i + nR = R \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Thus, there are exact sequences of  $RG$ -modules:

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow T_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes N_2 \rightarrow T_1 \otimes N_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and also an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules:

$$(8) \quad \text{Tor}_1^R(T_1, T_2) \rightarrow T_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow T_1 \otimes N_2 \rightarrow T_1 \otimes T_2.$$

The first and last terms in (8) are both zero, by virtue of (5). Therefore  $T_1 \otimes M_2 \cong T_1 \otimes N_2$ . These modules are  $R$ -torsion  $RG$ -modules whose annihilator is relatively prime to  $nR$ . Applying the method in Section 2, we may thus deduce from (6) and (7) that

$$M_1 \otimes M_2 \oplus N_1 \otimes N_2 \cong M_1 \otimes N_2 \oplus N_1 \otimes M_2.$$

This shows that

$$([M_1] - [N_1])([M_2] - [N_2]) = 0,$$

and establishes that  $\{b(RG)\}^2 = 0$ .

To conclude, let us investigate the relationship between  $b(RG)$  and the reduced projective class group  $c(RG)$  defined in [8] and [11]. According to [8],

$$c(RG) = \{[M] - [N]: M, N \text{ projective } RG\text{-modules, } KM \cong KN\}.$$

Further,  $[M] = [N]$  in  $c(RG)$  if and only if there exists a free  $RG$ -module  $F$  such that  $M \oplus F \cong N \oplus F$ . However, it was proved in [11] (see [1, Section 78]) that if  $M$  is any projective  $RG$ -module, then there exists a free  $RG$ -module  $F$  such that  $M \vee F$ , and thus automatically  $KM \cong KF$ . Conversely, an  $RG$ -module in the same genus as a free module must be projective. Therefore

$$c(RG) = \{[F] - [M]: F = \text{free } RG\text{-module, } M \vee F\}.$$

An easy argument (see [11]) then shows that

$$c(RG) = \{[RG] - [P]: P \vee RG\}.$$

From the preceding discussion, we conclude at once that the map  $\lambda: c(RG) \rightarrow b(RG)$ , defined by letting the expression  $[RG] - [P]$  map onto itself, is a ring epimorphism. However,  $\lambda$  need not be an isomorphism. Indeed, if  $P \vee RG$ , then  $[RG] - [P] = 0$  in  $c(RG)$  if and only if there exists a free module  $F$  such that  $RG \oplus F \cong P \oplus F$ . On the other hand,  $[RG] - [P] = 0$  in  $b(RG)$  if and only if the isomorphism  $RG \oplus X \cong P \oplus X$  holds for some  $R$ -torsion-free  $RG$ -module  $X$ . It seems difficult, however, to give a specific example in which  $\lambda$  is not a monomorphism.

In order to determine the ring structure of  $a(RG)$ , it is necessary to give first the structure of  $a(R_p^*G)$  for each prime ideal  $p$ . Once this is known, we may regard the ring  $a(R'G)$  as known, and we can try to describe its action on the additive group  $b(RG)$ . This is likely to be a difficult question, since the corresponding problem for Grothendieck groups is already quite complicated (see [13]).

## REFERENCES

1. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
2. D. G. Higman, *On orders in separable algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* 7 (1955), 509-515.
3. J.-M. Maranda, *On the equivalence of representations of finite groups by groups of automorphisms of modules over Dedekind rings*, *Canad. J. Math.* 7 (1955), 516-526.
4. I. Reiner, *The integral representation ring of a finite group*, *Michigan Math. J.* 12 (1965), 11-22.
5. ———, *Nilpotent elements in rings of integral representations*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966), 270-274.
6. ———, *Integral representation algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1966), 111-121.
7. ———, *Relations between integral and modular representations*, *Michigan Math. J.* 13 (1966), 357-372.
8. D. S. Rim, *On projective class groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 98 (1961), 459-467.
9. A. V. Roiter, *On integral representations belonging to a genus*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 30 (1966), 1315-1324.
10. V. P. Rud'ko, *On the integral representation algebra of a cyclic group of order  $p^2$* , *Dopovidi Akad. Nauk Ukraïn RSR, Ser. A, Fiz.-Meh.-Mat. Nauki* (1967), 35-39.
11. R. G. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 71 (1960), 552-578.
12. ———, *Periodic resolutions for finite groups*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 72 (1960), 267-291.
13. ———, *The Grothendieck ring of a finite group*, *Topology* 2 (1963), 85-110.

University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois

