ON CONSISTENCY OF \(\ell - \ell \) METHODS OF SUMMATION

H. I. Brown and V. F. Cowling

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ℓ and m denote the space of absolutely convergent series and the space of bounded sequences, respectively. Let A denote an infinite matrix defining a series-to-series transformation that preserves absolute convergence of series, and let ℓ_A denote its absolute summability field. We prove a number of results that relate with one another the concepts of perfectness, reversibility, and type M^* . We also prove some theorems giving conditions for absolute consistency of two matrix methods and for the existence of matrices A with the property that if $f \in \ell_A^l$ (the dual space of ℓ_A), then there exists a matrix B such that $\ell_A \subseteq \ell_B$ and B(x) = f(x) for $x \in \ell_A$. Finally, we extend to the class of perfect matrices a theorem that Macphail [2] proved for reversible matrices, and we demonstrate the existence of a nonreversible perfect matrix. Our results belong largely to a class of theorems due to Mazur [3], Mazur and Orlicz [4], Wilansky [6], and Zeller [9].

2. MATRIX MAPPINGS

Let A = (a_{nk}) and x = $\{\,x_k^{}\,\}$ be a matrix and a sequence of complex numbers, respectively. We write formally

(1)
$$y_n = A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k,$$

and we say that the sequence x (and the corresponding series $\sum_k (x_k - x_{k-1})$ with $x_{-1} = 0$) is absolutely summable if each series in (1) converges and $\sum_n |y_n| < \infty$. We say the method is an ℓ - ℓ method provided $\sum_n |y_n| < \infty$ whenever $\sum_n |x_n| < \infty$, and that it is absolutely regular provided in addition $\sum_n y_n = \sum_n x_n$ whenever $\sum_n |x_n| < \infty$. Regarding these concepts the following theorem was proved by Knopp and Lorentz [1] and by Mears [3].

THEOREM (Knopp, Lorentz, Mears). The matrix A defines an 1-1 method if and only if

(2)
$$\sum_{n} |a_{nk}| \leq M \quad (M \text{ independent of } k).$$

The method A is absolutely regular if and only if in addition to (2) it satisfies the condition

Received June 19, 1964 and January 2, 1965.

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF GP 1641).

(3)
$$\sum_{n} a_{nk} = 1 \ (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Macphail concerned himself with reversible ℓ - ℓ methods, that is, with methods for which the equations $y_n = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$ have exactly one solution $x \in \ell_A$ for each $y \in \ell$. This requirement essentially enables one to assume that the sequence space ℓ_A is a Banach space under an appropriate norm.

An application of Theorem 5 of [8, p. 230] shows that every $f \in \ell_A'$ may be evaluated as

(4)
$$f(x) = \sum_{n} t_{n} A_{n}(x) + \sum_{n} a_{n} x_{n}$$

for some t, a ϵ m. Here the series $\sum_n a_n x_n$ converges for $x \epsilon \ell_A$, and $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$. If we now set $A(x) = \sum_n \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$, then it is easily seen that $A \epsilon \ell_A^{\prime}$. If B is an ℓ - ℓ matrix such that $\ell_A \subseteq \ell_B$, then $B(x) = \sum_n \sum_k b_{nk} x_k$ is a continuous linear functional on ℓ_A , and hence

$$B(x) = \sum_{n} t_n A_n(x) + \sum_{n} a_n x_n$$

for some t, a ϵ m and all x ϵ ℓ_A . Following Macphail, we write B > A if $\ell_A \subseteq \ell_B$, and B ~ A if B(x) = A(x) for x ϵ ℓ_A . If B(x) = A(x) for x ϵ $\ell_A \cap \ell_B$, we say B is absolutely consistent with A. The method A is said to be of type M* if for every bounded sequence $\{g_n\}$ the condition

$$\sum_{n} g_{n} a_{nk} = 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

implies that $g_n = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The following theorem is due to Macphail [2].

THEOREM. In order that a reversible ℓ - ℓ method A be absolutely consistent with every ℓ - ℓ method B such that B >A and B ~ A, it is necessary and sufficient that A be of type M^* .

We now define an ℓ - ℓ method to be *perfect* if ℓ is dense in ℓ_A in the seminorm topology [8, p. 226].

3. PRINCIPAL RESULTS

In this section we prove three theorems that make precise the results promised in the Introduction.

THEOREM 1. Let A be an 1-1 matrix. In order that A be perfect, it is sufficient that

(5)
$$\sum_{n} t_{n} \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_{k} = \sum_{k} \sum_{n} t_{n} a_{nk} x_{k}$$

for each $t \in m$ and $x \in l_A$. It is necessary that whenever $t \in m$ and the right-hand member of (5) exists for all $x \in l_A$, then (5) hold for each $x \in l_A$.

Proof. Suppose (5) holds, and let f be an element of ℓ_A that vanishes on ℓ . Then $f(e^p) = 0$, where $e^p = \{0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots\}$ (the sequence of zeros except for a 1 in the pth coordinate). But since

$$f(x) = \sum_{n} t_{n} \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_{k} + \sum_{k} a_{k} x_{k}$$

for some t, a ϵ m, we see that $0 = f(e^p) = \sum_n t_n a_{np} + a_p$ for all p. From this we deduce, since $\sum_p a_p x_p$ converges for $x \epsilon \ell_A$, that

$$\sum_{p} a_{p} x_{p} = -\sum_{p} \left(\sum_{n} t_{n} a_{np} \right) x_{p} \quad \text{for } x \in \ell_{A},$$

and hence that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n} t_{n} \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_{k} - \sum_{k} \sum_{n} t_{n} a_{nk} x_{k}.$$

By (5), f(x) = 0 for $x \in \ell_A$. Hence ℓ is dense in ℓ_A .

For the proof of the second part of the theorem, we follow [7, p. 336]. Suppose A is perfect. Let $t \in m$ be such that the right-hand member of equation (5) converges for all $x \in \ell_A$. Then

$$f_t(x) = \sum_n t_n \sum_k a_{nk} x_k - \sum_k x_k \sum_n t_n a_{nk}$$

is a continuous linear functional on ℓ_A . Hence f_t^\perp is closed. Therefore the set Q of points in ℓ_A such that equation (5) holds for each $t \in m$ for which the right-hand member of (5) exists for each $x \in \ell_A$ is closed. But clearly $\ell \subset Q$. Therefore $\bar{\ell} \subset Q$, and if A is perfect it follows that $Q = \ell_A$.

THEOREM 2. A reversible l-l method is perfect if and only if it is of type M*.

Proof. Suppose A is of type M^* , and let $f \in \ell_A^!$ and f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \ell$. Now for some t, a ϵ m and $x \in \ell_A$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n} t_{n} \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_{k} + \sum_{k} a_{k} x_{k}.$$

Since A is reversible, we can easily show that $a_k = 0$ for all k. Therefore

$$0 = f(e^p) = \sum_{n} t_n a_{np}$$
 for all p.

But since A is of type M*, it follows that $t_n=0$ for all n. Hence, f(x)=0 for $x\in\ell_A$, and so A is perfect. Conversely, suppose A is perfect, and let $t\in m$ be such that $\sum_n t_n a_{nk} = 0$ for all k. If now A is not of type M*, then $t_p \neq 0$ for at least one p. Choose $e^p \in \ell$, so that $\sum_n t_n e_n^p \neq 0$. By the reversibility of A, there exists a unique $x' \in \ell_A$ such that $e_n^p = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k'$. Therefore, we must have the relation

(6)
$$\sum_{n} t_{n} e_{n}^{p} = \sum_{n} t_{n} \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_{k}^{\prime} \neq 0.$$

But $(t_n a_{nk})$ is an ℓ - ℓ method not weaker than A. Indeed,

$$\sum_{n} |t_n a_{nk}| \le N \quad \text{(independent of k),}$$

and if $x \in \ell_A$, then, since $|t_n| \leq M$, say,

$$\textstyle \sum\limits_{n} |\sum\limits_{k} \, t_n a_{nk} x_k| \, \leq \, M \, \sum\limits_{n} |\sum\limits_{k} \, a_{nk} x_k| \, < \, \infty \, . \label{eq:local_problem}$$

Thus $\sum_n t_n \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$ defines an element of ℓ_A . If $x \in \ell$ and $t \in m$, then $\sum_k \sum_n t_n a_{nk} x_k$ converges absolutely. Thus

$$\sum_{n} t_{n} \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_{k} = \sum_{k} \sum_{n} t_{n} a_{nk} x_{k} \quad \text{for } x \in \ell,$$

and since $\sum_n t_n a_{nk} = 0$ for all k, it follows that the functional $\sum_n t_n \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$ vanishes on ℓ . But since A is perfect, f(x) must vanish on ℓ_A , that is,

$$\sum_{n} \sum_{k} t_{n} a_{nk} x_{k} = 0$$

for $x \in \ell_A$. This contradicts (6), and hence A is of type M^* .

THEOREM 3. A necessary and sufficient condition for an 1-1 method A to be absolutely consistent with every 1-1 method B for which $B \succ A$ and $B \sim A$ is that A be perfect.

Proof. Suppose A is perfect and that $B \succ A$ and $B \sim A$. Then F(x) = B(x) - A(x) is a continuous linear functional on ℓ_A that vanishes on ℓ . Hence F(x) = 0 for $x \in \ell_A$, that is, B(x) = A(x) for $x \in \ell_A$. Conversely, suppose A is absolutely consistent with every B for which $B \succ A$ and $B \sim A$. In order to show that A is perfect, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let A be an ℓ - ℓ method and $f \in \ell_A^{\prime}$. Then there exists a method B such that B > A and B(x) = f(x) for all $x \in \ell_A$.

Proof. Let $f \in \ell_A'$. Then $f(x) = \sum_n t_n \sum_k a_{nk} x_k + \sum_k a_k x_k$ for some $t, a \in m$. Define

$$b_{nk} = 2^{-(n+1)} a_k + t_n a_{nk}$$
 for all n and k.

Since

$$\sum_{n} |b_{nk}| = \sum_{n} |2^{-(n+1)} a_k + t_n a_{nk}| \le \sum_{n} |2^{-(n+1)} a_k| + \sum_{n} |t_n a_{nk}| \le H$$

(H independent of k), B = (b_{nk}) is an ℓ - ℓ method. Furthermore, for x ϵ ℓ_A we have the relations

$$B(x) = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} \left[2^{-(n+1)} a_k + t_n a_{nk} \right] x_k = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} 2^{-(n+1)} a_k x_k + \sum_{n} \sum_{k} t_n a_{nk} x_k$$

$$= \sum_{k} a_k x_k + \sum_{n} \sum_{k} t_n a_{nk} x_k \equiv f(x).$$

Therefore $B \searrow A$, and B(x) = f(x) for all $x \in \ell_A$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We now complete the proof of the necessity portion of the theorem. Let $f \in \ell_A^1$ be such that f(x) = 0 for $x \in \ell$. By the lemma there exists an ℓ - ℓ method B such that B > A and B(x) = f(x) for $x \in \ell_A$. We easily see that if we set $c_{nk} = b_{nk} + a_{nk}$ for all n and k, then $C = (c_{nk})$ is an ℓ - ℓ method and C(x) = B(x) + A(x). So C > A and $C \sim A$, since B(x) = 0 on ℓ . Thus, by hypothesis, C(x) = A(x) for $x \in \ell_A$, and so f(x) = 0 for $x \in \ell_A$. Hence A is perfect. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The theorem of Macphail now becomes a corollary. In order to demonstrate that the results of Theorem 3 extend the theorem of Mcphail, it is only necessary to demonstrate the existence of a perfect nonreversible ℓ - ℓ method that maps into ℓ some sequence not in ℓ . Let $A=(a_{nk})$ be the matrix with all elements in the first row equal to one and all other elements zero. This is an ℓ - ℓ method that maps the sequence $x=\{x_n\}$ into the sequence $\{\sum_i x_i, 0, 0, \cdots\}$ if x is contained in the space (C) of convergent series. Since A maps into $\{0,0,\cdots\}$ every sequence $\{x_n\}$ belonging to (C) that converges to $\{x_n\}$ is not reversible. If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ vanishes on $\{x_n\}$ then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n} t_n \sum_{k} a_{nk} x_k - \sum_{k} \sum_{n} t_n a_{nk} x_k$$
 for some $t \in m$.

But if $x \in (C)$, then f(x) = 0, and so A is perfect. In this case, $\ell_A = (C)$.

The authors take this occasion to acknowledge their debt to the referee for numerous suggestions concerning the organization of the material in this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. K. Knopp and G. G. Lorentz, Beiträge zur absoluten Limitierung, Arch. Math. 2 (1949), 10-16.
- 2. M. S. Macphail, Some theorems on absolute summability, Canad. J. Math. 3 (1951), 386-390.
- 3. S. Mazur, Eine Anwendung der Theorie der Operationen bei der Untersuchung der Toeplitzschen Limitierungsverfahren, Studia Math. 2 (1930), 40-50.
- 4. S. Mazur and W. Orlicz, Sur les méthodes linéarires de sommation, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 196 (1933), 32-34.
- 5. F. M. Mears, Absolute regularity and the Nörlund mean, Ann. of Math. (2) 38 (1937), 594-601.
- 6. A. Wilansky, An application of Banach linear functionals to summability, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), 59-68.

- 7. A. Wilansky, Distinguished subsets and summability invariants, J. Analyse Math. 12 (1964), 327-350.
- 8. —, Functional analysis, Blaisdell, New York, 1964.
- 9. K. Zeller, *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N.F. no. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958.

Rutgers, The State University New Brunswick, N. J.