

ENDOMORPHISM RING OF AN INDUCED MODULE

Patricia A. Tucker

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group with a normal subgroup H . Let K be a field, and let L be a left KH -module. In this paper, we investigate the structure of $\text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$.

Let S be the subgroup of $G/H = B$ such that

$$S = \{b \in B \mid \bar{b} \otimes L \text{ is } KH\text{-isomorphic to } L\}.$$

If $\text{Hom}_{KH}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0$ for all $b \in B$, $b \notin S$, then $\text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$ is the crossed product of $\text{Hom}_{KH}(L, L)$ and S (described in Theorem 1).

We apply this to the case where K is algebraically closed and of characteristic $p > 0$, and L is an indecomposable left KH -module. We prove that if

$$\text{Hom}_{KH}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0$$

for all $b \in B$, $b \notin S$, then L^G is indecomposable if and only if S is a p -group or $S = \{1\}$.

J. A. Green in [3, Theorem 8] proves the following: *If K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, G is a p -group with subgroup H , and L is any indecomposable left KH -module, then L^G is indecomposable.* For the proof, he reduces the theorem to the case where H is maximal, and thus normal, in G , and $G/H = S$. He then uses a construction similar to the one presented here, for the case where S is a cyclic group of order p .

The basic definitions and notations used in this paper may be found in Curtis and Reiner [2]. All modules considered will be assumed to be unital and finite-dimensional vector spaces over K .

2. DECOMPOSITION OF ELEMENTS OF $\text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$

Let G be a finite group with a normal subgroup H , and let G be the extension of H by $B = G/H = \{b_1 = 1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$. Then to each element b_i of B there corresponds a left coset $\bar{b}_i H$ of H in G , and $G = H \cup \bar{b}_2 H \cup \dots \cup \bar{b}_n H$, where $\bar{b}_1 = 1$.

Multiplication of elements of G is given by

$$\bar{b}h \cdot \bar{b}'h' = \overline{bb'}(b, b')h^{b'}h',$$

where $b, b' \in B$, $h, h' \in H$, $(b, b') \in H$, and $h^b = (\bar{b})^{-1}h\bar{b}$. Furthermore,

$$(1, b) = (b, 1) = 1, \quad (h^b)^{b'} = (b, b')^{-1}h^{bb'}(b, b'),$$

$$(bb', b'')(b, b')^{b''} = (b, b'b'')(b', b'').$$

Received November 26, 1963 and October 7, 1964.

Let K be a field, and let KH denote the group algebra of H over K . Let L be a left KH -module, and let L^G denote the induced module $KG \otimes_{KH} L$. Then $L^G = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{b}_i \otimes L$.

Let $S = \{b \in B \mid \bar{b} \otimes L \text{ is } KH\text{-isomorphic to } L\}$. Then S is a subgroup of B . The isomorphisms of $\bar{b} \otimes L$ onto L are determined by the set of nonsingular linear transformations on L . If D_b is a nonsingular linear transformation on L that determines a KH -isomorphism of $\bar{b} \otimes L$ onto L by $\bar{b} \otimes \ell \rightarrow D_b \ell$, then $hD_b = D_b h^b$. The transformation D_b will be considered to be in $\text{Hom}_{KH}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L)$.

Assume that the elements of B have been ordered in such a way that $S = \{b_1 = 1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$, where $m \leq n$. Set $b_{ij} = b_i b_j$, where ij is some integer ($1 \leq ij \leq n$). Since S is a group, it follows that if $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, then $1 \leq ij \leq m$. For each $b_i \in S$, select a nonsingular linear transformation D_i on L such that $hD_i = D_i h^{b_i}$ and $D_1 = 1_L$.

Let $\theta \in \text{Hom}_K(L^G, L^G)$. Then θ can be represented by an $n \times n$ matrix $[\theta_{ij}]$, where

$$\theta_{ij} \in \text{Hom}_K(L, L) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(\bar{b}_i \otimes \ell) = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{b}_j \otimes \theta_{ji} \ell.$$

If $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, L)$, then $\bar{\sigma} \theta = [\sigma \theta_{ij}]$.

If $\theta \in \text{Hom}_K(L^G, L^G)$, then $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$ if and only if $h\theta = \theta h$ for all $h \in H$, and $\bar{b}_k \theta = \theta \bar{b}_k$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$). (Here h and \bar{b}_k are considered as linear transformations on L^G .)

Because

$$h\theta(\bar{b}_i \otimes \ell) = h\left(\sum \bar{b}_j \otimes \theta_{ji} \ell\right) = \sum \bar{b}_j \otimes h^{b_j} \theta_{ji} \ell$$

and

$$\theta h(\bar{b}_i \otimes \ell) = \theta(\bar{b}_i \otimes h^{b_i} \ell) = \sum \bar{b}_j \otimes \theta_{ji} h^{b_i} \ell,$$

the relation $h\theta = \theta h$ is equivalent to the condition

$$h^{b_j} \theta_{ji} = \theta_{ji} h^{b_i} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

This condition implies that

$$D_j \theta_{ji} D_i^{-1} = \sigma_{ji} \in \text{Hom}_{KH}(L, L) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m)$$

and

$$\theta_{1i} = \sigma_{1i} D_i = \sigma_i D_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Since

$$\bar{b}_k \theta(\bar{b}_i \otimes \ell) = \bar{b}_k \left(\sum \bar{b}_j \otimes \theta_{ji} \ell\right) = \sum \bar{b}_{kj} \otimes (b_k, b_j) \theta_{ji} \ell$$

and

$$\theta \bar{b}_k (\bar{b}_i \otimes \ell) = \theta (\bar{b}_{ki} \otimes (b_k, b_i)\ell) = \sum \bar{b}_r \otimes \theta_{r,ki} (b_k, b_i)\ell,$$

the relation $\bar{b}_k \theta = \theta \bar{b}_k$ is equivalent to the condition

$$(b_k, b_j) \theta_{ji} = \theta_{kj,ki} (b_k, b_i) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).$$

It follows that $\theta_{k,ki} = \theta_{1i} (b_k, b_i)^{-1}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$).

Now, for $i > m$, let $\theta_{1i} = \zeta_i$. Then $h\zeta_i = \zeta_i h^{b_i}$, and $\bar{b}_i \otimes \ell \rightarrow \zeta_i \ell$ defines an element of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(\bar{b}_i \otimes L, L)$. Consider ζ_i to be in $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(\bar{b}_i \otimes L, L)$.

Combining these relations, we see that for $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$,

$$\theta_{k,ki} = \begin{cases} \sigma_i D_i (b_k, b_i)^{-1} & (1 \leq i \leq m), \\ \zeta_i (b_k, b_i)^{-1} & (i > m), \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ and $D_i, \zeta_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(\bar{b}_i \otimes L, L)$.

Let V_i be the $n \times n$ matrix with $(b_k, b_i)^{-1}$ in the k, ki -position ($1 \leq k \leq n$) and with zeros elsewhere. Then, for $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$,

$$(1) \quad \theta = \bar{\sigma}_1 + \bar{\sigma}_2 \bar{D}_2 V_2 + \cdots + \bar{\sigma}_m \bar{D}_m V_m + \bar{\zeta}_{m+1} V_{m+1} + \cdots + \bar{\zeta}_n V_n.$$

3. LEMMAS FOR SECTION 4

Lemmas 1 to 3 can be proved by considering the entries in the appropriate matrices. Lemmas 4 to 6 follow immediately from the definitions.

LEMMA 1. $\bar{\theta}_{1i} V_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

LEMMA 2. $(\bar{\theta}_{1i} V_i)(\bar{\theta}_{1j} V_j) = \overline{\bar{\theta}_{1i} \bar{\theta}_{1j} (b_i, b_j)^{-1} V_{ij}}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$).

Now, define σ^b and $\rho(b, b') \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ by

$$\sigma^b = D_b \sigma D_b^{-1} \quad (b \in S, \sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)),$$

$$\rho(b, b') = D_b D_{b'} (b, b')^{-1} D_{bb'}^{-1}, \quad (b, b' \in S).$$

LEMMA 3. Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ and $b \in S$. Then $(\bar{D}_b V_b) \bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}^b (\bar{D}_b V_b)$, where $\bar{\sigma}^b = \overline{\sigma^b}$.

LEMMA 4. $(\bar{D}_b V_b)(\bar{D}_{b'} V_{b'}) = \overline{\rho(b, b') (\bar{D}_{bb'} V_{bb'})}$ ($b, b' \in S$).

LEMMA 5. $\rho(b', b'')^b \rho(b, b' b'') = \rho(b, b') \rho(bb', b'')$.

LEMMA 6. $(\sigma^b)^{b'} = \rho(b', b) \sigma^{b'b} \rho(b', b)^{-1}$.

4. THE CROSSED PRODUCT OF $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ AND S

THEOREM 1. $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \sum_{b \in S} \bar{\sigma}_b (\bar{D}_b V_b) \mid \sigma_b \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L) \right\}$ is the crossed product of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ and S with factor set ρ and correspondence $\phi: b \in S \rightarrow b^*$.

The factor set ρ is the mapping of the cartesian product $S \times S$ into the set of invertible elements in $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ given by $\rho(b, b') = D_b D_{b'}(b, b')^{-1} D_{bb'}^{-1}$. The automorphism b^* of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ is defined by $b^*: \sigma \rightarrow \sigma^b = D_b \sigma D_b^{-1}$.

Proof. If \mathcal{P} is the crossed product as described, then \mathcal{P} must have the following structure (see [4, pp. 81-82]):

\mathcal{P} consists of formal sums $\sum \bar{\sigma}_b (\bar{D}_b V_b)$ with $\sigma_b \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$, each sum being taken over all $b \in S$. The $\bar{D}_b V_b$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of S , and

$$(i) \quad \sum \bar{\sigma}_b (\bar{D}_b V_b) = \sum \bar{\sigma}'_b (\bar{D}_b V_b) \text{ if and only if } \sigma_b = \sigma'_b, \text{ for every } b \in S,$$

$$(ii) \quad \bar{\lambda} \left(\sum \bar{\sigma}_b (\bar{D}_b V_b) \right) + \bar{\lambda}' \left(\sum \bar{\sigma}'_b (\bar{D}_b V_b) \right) = \sum (\overline{\lambda \sigma_b + \lambda' \sigma'_b}) (\bar{D}_b V_b) \\ (\lambda, \lambda' \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)),$$

$$(iii) \quad \left(\sum \bar{\sigma}_b (\bar{D}_b V_b) \right) \cdot \left(\sum \bar{\sigma}'_{b'} (\bar{D}_{b'} V_{b'}) \right) = \sum_{b, b'} \overline{\sigma_b (\sigma'_{b'})^b \rho(b, b')} (\bar{D}_{bb'} V_{bb'}).$$

The correspondence $b \rightarrow \bar{D}_b V_b$ is one-to-one, since for $i \neq j$ the nonzero entries in the first row of $\bar{D}_i V_i$ and $\bar{D}_j V_j$ occur in different columns. In (i), the entry in the 1, i -position on the left is $\sigma_i D_i$; on the right it is $\sigma'_i D_i$. Since D_i^{-1} exists, $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i$. Condition (ii) follows immediately from the properties of $\text{Hom}_K(L^G, L^G)$; (iii) we obtain by applying Lemmas 3 and 4. Lemmas 5 and 6 give the fundamental relationships involving the factor set and the correspondence.

Remark. The structure of \mathcal{P} does not depend upon the choice of the transformations D_b , since any other choice gives a crossed product strictly equivalent to \mathcal{P} .

5. CONDITIONS THAT GIVE $\text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$ AS A CROSSED PRODUCT

The following theorem follows immediately from (1) and Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. $\text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$ is the crossed product of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ and S described in Theorem 1 if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0$, for all $b \in B$, $b \notin S$.

COROLLARY 1. If $S = B$, then $\text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$ is the crossed product of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ and B .

This corollary is true since every b in B belongs to S . J. A. Green in [3, Theorem 8] essentially proves this for the case in which $S = B$ is a cyclic group of prime order p .

COROLLARY 2. Let K be algebraically closed, and let L be completely reducible. Then $\text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$ is the crossed product of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ and S if and only if, whenever $b \in B$ and $b \notin S$, $\bar{b} \otimes L$ and L are disjoint left KH-modules, that is, have no composition factors in common.

Proof. Under the conditions given, it follows from [2, Section 43] that $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0$ if and only if $\bar{b} \otimes L$ and L are disjoint left KH-modules.

COROLLARY 3. Let K be algebraically closed, and let L be irreducible. Then $\text{Hom}_{\text{KG}}(L^G, L^G)$ is the crossed product of K and S with factor set ρ and trivial correspondence; in other words, it is the ρ -twisted group algebra of S over K .

Proof. By Schur's Lemma, $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L) = K \cdot 1_L$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0, \quad \text{for } b \in B, b \notin S.$$

Here $b \rightarrow D_b$ is a projective representation of S with factor set ρ , and b^* is the identity automorphism.

6. STRUCTURE OF \mathcal{S} WHEN L IS INDECOMPOSABLE AND K IS ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED

In order to simplify notation, replace $\bar{D}_b V_b$ by b and $\bar{\sigma}$ by σ , in the definition of \mathcal{S} . Then

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \sum_{b \in S} \sigma_b b \mid \sigma_b \in \text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L) \right\},$$

where $b \cdot b' = \rho(b, b')bb'$ and $b\sigma = \sigma^b b$.

$\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ is a finite-dimensional algebra over the field K . Let N be its radical. We can define a homomorphism of \mathcal{S} by reducing the coefficients modulo N , that is, by the mapping

$$\sum \sigma_b b \rightarrow \sum (\sigma_b + N)b = \sum \sigma_b b + \sum Nb.$$

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{ \sum (\sigma_b + N)b \}$. Then \mathcal{T} is the crossed product of $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)/N$ and S with factor set $\rho(b, b') + N$ and correspondence

$$b \rightarrow b^\#: \sigma + N \rightarrow \sigma^b + N.$$

The kernel of this homomorphism is $\sum Nb$. Since $(\sum Nb)^j \subseteq \sum N^j b$, this is a nilpotent ideal and is thus contained in the radical $N(\mathcal{S})$ of \mathcal{S} . The radical of \mathcal{T} is $N(\mathcal{T}) = N(\mathcal{S}) / \sum Nb$. Thus $\mathcal{S} / N(\mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{T} / N(\mathcal{T})$.

Assume L is indecomposable. Then $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$ is completely primary; that is, $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)/N$ is a division ring (see [1, p. 97]). If we further assume that K is algebraically closed, then the division ring is isomorphic to K , and \mathcal{T} is then isomorphic to the crossed product of K and S with a K -factor set β and trivial correspondence. (The correspondence is trivial, since the automorphisms involved are actually K -automorphisms.) Thus \mathcal{T} is isomorphic to $(KS)_\beta$, the β -twisted group algebra of S over K .

Remark. β can be obtained in the following way: $\rho(b, b') = \alpha(b, b') + n(b, b')$, where $\alpha(b, b') \in K \cdot 1'$ ($1'$ is the identity in $\text{Hom}_{\text{KH}}(L, L)$) and $n(b, b') \in N$. α is actually a factor set of S . Define $\beta(b, b') \in K$ by $\alpha(b, b') = \beta(b, b') \cdot 1'$.

LEMMA 7. *Let K be algebraically closed, and let L be indecomposable. Then \mathcal{S} is completely primary if and only if $(KS)_\beta$ is completely primary.*

THEOREM 3. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then $(KS)_\beta$ is completely primary if and only if S is a p -group or $S = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Let S be a p -group. It is well known [2, p. 189] that if β is the trivial factor set 1 , that is, if $\beta(b, b') = 1$ for all $b, b' \in S$, then KS is completely primary. If S is a p -group, this is the only case that can arise, since each β is then

equivalent to 1, as can be seen if we consider the multiplier M of S : Let $\{\beta\}$ denote the equivalence class of factor sets of S containing β . It is proved in [2, Section 53] that the order h of $\{\beta\}$ divides the order of S , which is p^e , and that h is not divisible by the characteristic of K , which is p . Thus $h = 1$, and $\{\beta\} = \{1\}$.

If $S = \{1\}$, then $(KS)_\beta$ is K , which is completely primary.

Suppose that $S \neq \{1\}$ is not a p -group. Let $Q \neq \{1\}$ be a q -Sylow subgroup of S , for some $q \neq p$. Define $(KQ)_\beta$ by using the multiplication in $(KS)_\beta$. Then $(KQ)_\beta$ is semisimple (see [4, p. 80]). Since $(KQ)_\beta \neq K \cdot 1$, it contains an idempotent other than $\beta(1, 1)^{-1} \cdot 1$, which is the identity of $(KS)_\beta$. Thus $(KS)_\beta$ contains at least two idempotents and is not completely primary.

L^G is indecomposable if and only if $\text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$ is completely primary. So, combining Lemma 7 and Theorem 3 with the condition that gives \mathcal{S} is $\text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 4. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be a finite group with a normal subgroup H . Let L be an indecomposable left KH -module. Let*

$$S = \{b \in G/H \mid \bar{b} \otimes L \text{ is } KH\text{-isomorphic to } L\}.$$

Assume that $\text{Hom}_{KH}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0$ whenever $b \in G/H$ and $b \notin S$. Then L^G is indecomposable if and only if S is a p -group or $S = \{1\}$.

COROLLARY. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let L be an irreducible left KH -module. Then L^G is indecomposable if and only if S is a p -group or $S = \{1\}$.*

Remark. Let K be algebraically closed and of characteristic 0, and let L be an irreducible left KH -module. L^G is irreducible if and only if

$$\text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G) = K \cdot 1'',$$

where $1''$ is the identity map on L^G . However, the case

$$K \cdot 1'' \subsetneq \mathcal{S} \subsetneq \text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G) = K \cdot 1''$$

occurs if and only if $S = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{Hom}_{KG}(L^G, L^G)$. Thus L^G is irreducible if and only if $\text{Hom}_{KH}(\bar{b} \otimes L, L) = 0$ whenever $b \neq 1$ and $b \in G/H$. This is a well-known theorem (see [2, Section 45]).

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, C. J. Nesbitt, and R. M. Thrall, *Rings with minimum condition*, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1946.
2. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Wiley, New York, 1962.
3. J. A. Green, *On the indecomposable representations of a finite group*, Math. Z. vol. 70 (1958/59), 430-445.
4. N. Jacobson, *The theory of rings*, Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 2; American Mathematical Society, New York, 1943.