

with  
with - LSA  
1 rect  
2-63  
replace.

## RETRACTS AND EXTENSION SPACES FOR PERFECTLY NORMAL SPACES

Byron H. McCandless

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a class of topological spaces, and  $n$  a nonnegative integer. A topological space  $Y$  is called an  $n$ -AR( $\mathcal{Q}$ ) [ $n$ -ANR( $\mathcal{Q}$ )] if

- (a)  $Y$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and
- (b) whenever  $Z$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $Y$  is imbedded as a closed subset of  $Z$  with  $\dim(Z - Y) \leq n$ , then  $Y$  is a retract of  $Z$  [ $Y$  is a retract of some neighborhood of  $Y$  in  $Z$ ].

$Y$  is called an AR( $\mathcal{Q}$ ) [ANR( $\mathcal{Q}$ )] if it satisfies (a) and the statement (b') obtained from (b) by omitting "with  $\dim(Z - Y) \leq n$ ." A space  $Y$  is called an  $n$ -ES( $\mathcal{Q}$ ) [ $n$ -NES( $\mathcal{Q}$ )] if

- (a)  $Y$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and
- (b) whenever  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $C$  is a closed subset of  $X$  with  $\dim(X - C) \leq n$ , and  $f: C \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping, then  $f$  has a continuous extension over  $X$  [over some neighborhood of  $C$  in  $X$ ] with respect to  $Y$ .

Finally,  $Y$  is called an ES( $\mathcal{Q}$ ) [NES( $\mathcal{Q}$ )] if  $Y$  satisfies (a) and the statement (b') obtained from (b) by omitting "with  $\dim(X - C) \leq n$ ." In the above definitions,  $\dim X$  means the dimension of  $X$  defined in terms of finite open coverings.

A normal space  $X$  is called *perfectly normal* if every closed subset of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ . Every metric space is perfectly normal, and every perfectly normal space is countably paracompact [1, p. 221]. Some justification for our interest in the class of perfectly normal spaces is provided by the following theorem of M. Katětov [7].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be a separable Banach space,  $K$  a convex subset of  $B$ , and  $C$  a closed set of type  $G_\delta$  in a normal space  $X$ . Then every continuous mapping  $f: C \rightarrow K$  has a continuous extension  $F: X \rightarrow K$  with*

$$\dim F(X - C) \leq \min[\dim C + 1, \dim f(C) + 1, \dim X].$$

The object of this paper is to prove the following five theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $Y$  be a separable metric space. Then the following implications hold between the statements listed below: (a) is equivalent to (d) and (b) is equivalent to (c); moreover, (b) implies (a) and (c) implies (d).*

- (a)  $Y$  is  $LC^{n-1}$ .
- (b)  $Y$  is an  $n$ -ANR (perfectly normal).
- (c)  $Y$  is an  $n$ -NES (perfectly normal).
- (d) *If  $X$  is perfectly normal,  $\dim X \leq n$ , and  $C$  is closed in  $X$ , then any continuous  $f: C \rightarrow Y$  has a continuous extension over some neighborhood of  $C$  in  $X$  with respect to  $Y$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $Y$  be a separable metric space. Then the following implications hold between the statements listed below: (a') is equivalent to (d') and (b') is equivalent to (c'); moreover, (b') implies (a'), and (c') implies (d').*

(a')  $Y$  is  $LC^{n-1}$  and  $\pi_i(Y) = 0$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ ).

(b')  $Y$  is an  $n$ -AR (perfectly normal).

(c')  $Y$  is an  $n$ -ES (perfectly normal).

(d') If  $X$  is perfectly normal,  $\dim X \leq n$ , and  $C$  is closed in  $X$ , then any continuous  $f: C \rightarrow Y$  has a continuous extension over  $X$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $Y$  be an  $n$ -dimensional separable metric space. Then*

(i)  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal) if and only if  $Y$  is  $LC^n$ ,

(ii)  $Y$  is an AR (perfectly normal) if and only if  $Y$  is  $LC^n$  and  $\pi_i(Y) = 0$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $Y$  be a perfectly normal space. Then*

(i)  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal) if and only if  $Y$  is an NES (perfectly normal),

(ii)  $Y$  is an AR (perfectly normal) if and only if  $Y$  is an ES (perfectly normal).

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $Y$  be a separable metric space. Then*

(i)  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal) if and only if  $Y$  is an ANR (metric),

(ii)  $Y$  is an AR (perfectly normal) if and only if  $Y$  is an AR (metric).

Kuratowski [10, p. 265] proved that if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the class of all separable metric spaces, then the statements of Theorem 1 are equivalent, likewise the statements of Theorem 2. Kodama later generalized Kuratowski's results to the case where  $Y$  is metric and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the class of all metric spaces [8]. Theorem 3 was also proved by Kuratowski for the case where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the class of separable metric spaces [10, p. 289]. Theorem 4 has been proved by several authors under various hypotheses [3, 5]. Dowker [2, p. 313] proved Theorem 5 for the case where  $Y$  is metric and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the class of completely normal perfectly normal spaces.

Before proceeding to the proofs of the theorems we need some preparatory remarks.

Let  $Y$  be a metric space, and  $B$  the Banach space of all bounded, continuous, real-valued functions defined on  $Y$ . Kuratowski [9] showed that  $Y$  is imbedded isometrically in  $B$ . Wojdysławski [11] subsequently proved that in Kuratowski's imbedding,  $Y$  is a closed subset of the convex hull  $K$  of  $Y$ , and moreover that  $B$  is separable whenever  $Y$  is separable. This is the Banach space  $B$  that will be used in the application of Katětov's theorem.

Another imbedding space that is useful in the non-metric cases is the *adjunction space* [6, p. 9], which was first used by O. Hanner in problems of this type [4, p. 376]. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be spaces,  $C$  a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $f: C \rightarrow Y$  a continuous mapping. Let  $Z$  be the adjunction space (called by Hanner the identification space) obtained from the free union  $X \cup Y$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  by identifying each  $x \in C$  with  $f(x) \in Y$ . There are two natural mappings  $j: Y \rightarrow Z$  and  $k: X \rightarrow Z$ , and a set  $V$  is open in  $Z$  if and only if  $j^{-1}(V)$  and  $k^{-1}(V)$  are open. The mapping  $j$  is a homeomorphism; and therefore we may assume that  $Y$  is a subspace of  $Z$ . Note that  $k|_{X-C}$  is a homeomorphism onto  $Z - Y$ . Moreover,  $k$  is an extension of  $f$  over  $X$  with respect to  $Z$ .

Later, we shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let X and Y be perfectly normal spaces, C a closed subset of X, and f: C → Y a continuous mapping. Then the adjunction space Z is also perfectly normal.*

*Proof.* Since X and Y are normal, it follows that Z is normal [4, p. 376]. Hence it remains to be shown that every closed subset of Z is of type  $G_\delta$ . Let F be a closed subset of Z. Then  $F \cap Y$  a closed subset of Y. Since Y is perfectly normal,  $F \cap Y$  is of type  $G_\delta$ . Therefore

$$(1) \quad F \cap Y = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i,$$

where the  $U_i$  are open sets of Y. Since Y is closed in Z, it follows that for each i,  $\overline{U_i} \subset Y$ , closure being taken in Z. Therefore the sets  $F \cup \overline{U_i}$  are closed in Z, and hence each of the sets  $k^{-1}(F \cup \overline{U_i})$  is closed in X. Since X is perfectly normal, each of the sets  $k^{-1}(F \cup \overline{U_i})$  is of type  $G_\delta$ , and thus

$$(2) \quad k^{-1}(F \cup \overline{U_i}) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{i,j} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where the  $V_{i,j}$  are open sets of X. Now Hanner has proved [4, p. 377] that the sets

$$G_{i,j} = k(V_{i,j} - C) \cup U_i$$

are open in Z. We shall prove that the intersection of the countable collection

$$\{G_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$$

is equal to F. Since  $k|_{X-C}$  is a homeomorphism, it follows that for fixed i

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} k(V_{i,j} - C) = (F \cup \overline{U_i}) \cap (Z - Y) = F \cap (Z - Y).$$

Therefore

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{i,j} = [F \cap (Z - Y)] \cup U_i$$

for each i, and hence

$$\bigcap_{i,j=1}^{\infty} G_{i,j} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{i,j} = [F \cap (Z - Y)] \cup \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = [F \cap (Z - Y)] \cup [F \cap Y] = F.$$

This shows that F is of type  $G_\delta$ , and completes the proof of the lemma.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The propositions that (b) implies (a), (d) implies (a), (c) implies (b), and (c) implies (d) were proved by Kuratowski [10, p. 265]. Therefore we need prove only that (a) implies (d) and that (b) implies (c).

Proof that (a) implies (d). Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal space of dimension at most  $n$ ,  $C$  a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $f: C \rightarrow Y$  a continuous mapping. By Kuratowski's imbedding we may assume that  $Y$  is a closed subset of a convex subset  $K$  of a separable Banach space  $B$ . Since  $X$  is perfectly normal,  $C$  is a closed set of type  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . Therefore we can apply Katětov's theorem to obtain a continuous extension  $F: X \rightarrow K$  of  $f$  with  $\dim F(X - C) \leq n$ . Since

$$F(X - C) \supset F(X) - F(C) = F(X) - f(C) \supset F(X) - Y,$$

it follows that  $\dim [F(X) - Y] \leq n$ . By Kuratowski's version of Theorem 1, (a) implies that  $Y$  is an  $n$ -ANR (separable metric). But  $B$  is separable metric, so that  $Y \cup F(X)$  is also separable metric. Since  $Y$  is closed in  $K$ ,  $Y$  is also closed in  $Y \cup F(X)$ , and moreover

$$\dim(Y \cup F(X) - Y) = \dim[F(X) - Y] \leq n.$$

Hence  $Y$  is a retract of some neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $Y \cup F(X)$ . Let  $r: V \rightarrow Y$  be the retraction, and define  $U = F^{-1}(V)$ .  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $C$  in  $X$ . Define  $f^*: U \rightarrow Y$  by  $f^*(x) = r F(x)$  for  $x \in U$ . Then  $f^*$  is a continuous extension of  $f$  over  $U$ ; this completes the proof that (a) implies (d).

Proof that (b) implies (c). Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal space,  $C$  a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $\dim(X - C) \leq n$ , and  $f: C \rightarrow Y$  a continuous mapping. We shall show that  $f$  has a continuous extension over some neighborhood  $U$  of  $C$ . In this case Katětov's theorem will not give us the result, since we have no control over  $\dim F(X - C)$ . Instead, let us use Hanner's method. Let  $Z$  be the adjunction space of  $X \cup Y$  described above.  $Z$  is perfectly normal, by Lemma 1, and since  $Z - Y$  is homeomorphic to  $X - C$ , it follows that  $\dim(Z - Y) \leq n$ . Hence, by (b),  $Y$  is a retract of some neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $Z$ . Let  $r: V \rightarrow Y$  be the retraction. Then  $U = k^{-1}(V)$  is a neighborhood of  $C$  in  $X$ , and the mapping  $f^*: U \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $f^*(x) = r k(x)$  for  $x \in U$  is the required extension of  $f$  over  $U$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The proof that (a') implies (d') is obtained from the proof that (a) implies (d) by replacing  $V$  by  $Y \cup F(X)$  and  $U$  by  $X$ . The proof that (b') implies (c') is obtained from the proof that (b) implies (c) by replacing  $V$  by  $Z$  and  $U$  by  $X$ . The remaining implications were proved by Kuratowski [10, p. 266].

*Proof of Theorem 3.* (i) If  $Y$  is separable metric and an ANR (perfectly normal), then  $Y$  is an ANR (separable metric). Hence Kuratowski's theorem [10, p. 289] shows that  $Y$  is  $LC^n$ .

Conversely, if  $\dim Y = n$  and  $Y$  is  $LC^n$ , then  $Y$  is an ANR (separable metric) [10, p. 289]. Let  $Y$  be imbedded in a perfectly normal space  $Z$  as a closed subset. By Kuratowski's imbedding theorem, we can assume that  $Y$  is a closed subset of a convex subset  $K$  of a separable Banach space. Define a mapping  $f: Y \rightarrow Y \subset K$  by  $f(y) = y$  for each  $y \in Y$ . By Katětov's theorem,  $f$  has a continuous extension  $F: Z \rightarrow K$ . Since  $Y$  is an ANR (separable metric),  $Y$  is a retract of some neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $K$ . Let  $r: V \rightarrow Y$  be the retraction, and define  $U = F^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $Y$  in  $Z$ , and for  $z \in U$ ,  $r F(z)$  is a retraction of  $U$  onto  $Y$ . Therefore  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal).

The proof of (ii) is so similar to that of (i) that it need not be given.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* (i) It is easy to see that if  $Y$  is an NES (perfectly normal) then  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal).

To establish the converse, we need only mention that by Lemma 1 the adjunction space  $Z$  is perfectly normal, so that Hanner's proof [5, p. 325] is valid in this case also.

The same remarks apply to the proof of (ii).

*Proof of Theorem 5.* (i) If  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal), then, being metric,  $Y$  is an ANR (metric).

Suppose now that  $Y$  is an ANR (metric). Then we can use the same argument as in (i) of Theorem 3 to show that  $Y$  is an ANR (perfectly normal).

The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i), and it will not be given.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. H. Dowker, *On countably paracompact spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* 3 (1951), 219-224.
2. ———, *On a theorem of Hanner*, *Ark. Mat.* 2 (1952-1954), 307-313.
3. J. Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, *Pacific J. Math.* 1 (1951), 353-367.
4. O. Hanner, *Solid spaces and absolute retracts*, *Ark. Mat.* 1 (1949-52), 375-382.
5. ———, *Retraction and extension of mappings of metric and non-metric spaces*, *Ark. Mat.* 2 (1952-1954), 315-360.
6. S. T. Hu, *Homotopy theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1959.
7. M. Katětov, *On the dimension of non-separable spaces, II*, *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* 6(81) (1956), 485-516.
8. Y. Kodama, *On  $LC^n$  metric spaces*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 33 (1957), 79-83.
9. C. Kuratowski, *Quelques problèmes concernant les espaces métriques non-séparables*, *Fund. Math.* 25 (1935), 534-545.
10. ———, *Topologie II*, Warszawa-Wrocław 1950.
11. M. Wojdysławski, *Rétractes absolus et hyperespaces des continus*, *Fund. Math.* 32 (1939), 184-192.

Rutgers—The State University

